Global Exercise - 12

Tuan Vo

12$^{\text{th}}$ January 2022

1 A remark about the derivation from coupled to decoupled form of linear hyperbolic systems

1. Case 1: $W := R^{-1}U$ as the scheme shown in exercise

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U_t + AU_x = 0 \\
U_t + R\Lambda R^{-1}U_x = 0 \\
R^{-1}U_t + \Lambda R^{-1}U_x = 0 \\
W_t + \Lambda W_x = 0
\end{array}
$$

where the matrix A is diagonalizable with a transformation matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ in the form

$$A = R\Lambda R^{-1}.$$

2. Case 2: $W := TU$ as the scheme shown in lecture note

$$
\begin{array}{r}
U_t + AU_x = 0 \\
U_t + T^{-1}\Lambda TU_x = 0 \\
TU_t + \Lambda TU_x = 0 \\
W_t + \Lambda W_x = 0
\end{array}
$$

where the matrix A is diagonalizable with a transformation matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ in the form

$$A = T^{-1}\Lambda T.$$

Both schemes result in the same solution. We have just to be consistent with which scheme to follow.

2 Linearity - Oder of consistency - Stability

3 Time step methods: Comparison

1. Upwind left - One-sided method

2. Upwind right - One-sided method

3. Lax-Friedrichs

4. Lax-Wendroff

5. Leapfrog

6.

4 Correlation between Domain of dependence (DoD) and Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) condition

Example 1. *Examine the numerical domain of dependence of the One-sided method.*


Figure 1: Numerical domain of dependence for One-sided method.

As it can be seen from Figure 1, the numerical value computed at point A depends essentially on computed initial conditions laying between point D and E.

1. Perspective of indical subscription:
   Line (l_1) passsing point $A(j, n)$ and $B(j-1, n-1)$ has the following form

$$(l_1): \quad \tau = \tau_A + \frac{\tau_B - \tau_A}{\xi_B - \xi_A}(\xi - \xi_A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau = n + \frac{(n-1) - n}{(j-1) - j}(\xi - j)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \tau = n + \frac{-1}{-1}(\xi - j), \tag{1}$$

where τ is the indical variable corresponding to t, and x the indical variable to x. Hence, line (l_1) passing line x with index $\tau = 0$ at point D leads to the following relation

$$\xi = j - n \Leftrightarrow x_\xi = x_{j-n} \Leftrightarrow x_\xi = x_j - n\Delta x \Leftrightarrow x_\xi - x_j = -n\Delta x. \tag{2}$$

Likewise, line (l_2) passing line x with index $\tau = 0$ at point E leads to the following relation

$$x_\xi - x_j = 0. \tag{3}$$

Therefore, by combining (2) and (3) we arrive at the numerical domain of dependence for the One-sided method in terms of indical perspective

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Delta t}\left(x_j, t_n\right) = \left\{ x_\xi \,\middle|\, -n\Delta x \le x_\xi - x_j \le 0 \right\}. \tag{4}$$

Next, by using the CFL number $\nu := a\Delta t / \Delta x$ we obtain the following equality

$$-n\Delta x = -n\Delta t \frac{a\Delta x}{a\Delta t} \overset{(CFL)}{=} -n\Delta t \frac{a}{\nu} = -\frac{at_n}{\nu}. \tag{5}$$

Then, by substituting (5) into (4) with limit consideration we obtain the entire set of the numerical domain of dependence, as follows

$$\boxed{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta t}\left(x_j, t_n\right) = \left\{ x \,\middle|\, -\frac{at_n}{\nu} \le x - x_j \le 0 \right\}}. \tag{6}$$

Besides, the analytical domain of dependence for the linear advection PDE reads

$$\mathcal{D}\left(x_j, t_n\right) = \left\{ x \,\middle|\, x = x_j - at_n \right\}. \tag{7}$$

Futhermore, the CFL condition enforces that

$$\mathcal{D}\left(x_j, t_n\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\Delta t}\left(x_j, t_n\right), \tag{8}$$

which implies that characteristics should lie with the triangular zone under line (l_1) and (l_2), as shown in Figure 1. Therefore, substitution of (7) into (6) yields the CFL condition applied on the linear advection equation, as follows

$$-\frac{at_n}{\nu} \le (x_j - at_n) - x_j \le 0 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{at_n}{\nu} \le -at_n \le 0, \tag{9}$$

which, equally, leads to the CFL condtion

$$\therefore \quad \boxed{0 \le \nu \le 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \le \Delta t \le \frac{\Delta x}{a}}. \tag{10}$$

Herein, the CFL condition (10) leads to contraint on the time step Δt for the case when $a > 0$. Note in passing that ν is non-negative.

2. Perspective of fixed-point value:
Line (l_1) passsing point $A(x_j, t_n)$ and $B(x_{j-1}, t_{n-1})$ has the following form

$$(l_1): \quad t = t_A + \frac{t_B - t_A}{x_B - x_A}\left(x - x_A\right) \Leftrightarrow t = t_n + \frac{t_{n-1} - t_n}{x_{j-1} - x_j}\left(x - x_j\right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow t = t_n + \frac{-\Delta t}{-\Delta x}\left(x - x_j\right). \tag{11}$$

Hence, line (l_1) passing line $t = 0$ at point D leads to the relation

$$x = x_j - \frac{t_n\Delta x}{\Delta t} \Leftrightarrow x - x_j = -\frac{t_n\Delta x}{\Delta t}. \tag{12}$$

Likewise, line (l_2) passing line $t = 0$ at point E leads to the relation

$$x - x_j = 0. \tag{13}$$

Therefore, combination of (12) and (13) leads to the numerical domain of dependence for the One-sided method in terms of fixed-point value

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Delta t}\left(x_j, t_n\right) = \left\{ x \,\middle|\, -\frac{t_n\Delta x}{\Delta t} \le x - x_j \le 0 \right\}. \tag{14}$$

5 von Neumann stability

6 Conservative form

7 Comparison: Order of consistency

8 Godunov

9 TVD

10 Fourier transformation

11 Remark about Rarefaction wave solution

Example 2. *Show that for a general convex scalar problem*

$$u_t + f(u)_x = 0$$

with initial condition in form of Riemann*'s problem*

$$u(x, t = 0) = \begin{cases} u_L, & x < 0, \\ u_R, & x > 0, \end{cases} \qquad where \quad u_L < u_R,$$

the rarefaction wave solution is given by

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} u_L, & x/t < f'(u_L), \\ v(x/t), & f'(u_L) \leq x/t \leq f'(u_R), \\ u_R, & x/t > f'(u_R), \end{cases}$$

where $v(\xi)$ *is the solution to* $f'(v(\xi)) = \xi$.