

Next-generation all-solid-state battery

Tuan Vo^{a,b,†}, Claas Hüter^b, Stefanie Braun^a, Manuel Torrilhon^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Applied and Computational Mathematics (ACoM), RWTH Aachen University, Schinkelstraße 02, 52062 Aachen, Germany


^bInstitute of Energy and Climate Research (IEK-2), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, 52428 Jülich, Germany

Mathematical modelling for the next-generation All-solid-state batteries: Nucleation (SE|SSE)^(*)-interface

Rechargeable Lithium-ion battery (LIB) stays at the heart of electric vehicles, portable electric devices, and energy storage systems [1]. Nowadays, LIBs help human life become more efficient as well as to cope with global environment issues thanks to its zero emission. However, conventional LIB (cLIB) is sensible to temperature and pressure, easily prone to flammable and explosive. This is mainly due to liquid-based electrolyte found in cLIBs. **All-solid-state battery** (ASSB) is, therefore, one of promising candidates to overcome bottlenecks of conventional LIBs. It is because the solid-state electrolyte (SSE) in ASSB, e.g. SSE made of the highly ionic-conductive polycrystalline LLZO, is highly stable towards temperature and pressure. Nevertheless, metallic Lithium dendrite triggered at (SE|SSE)-interface is the main drawback as these dendritic threads extrapolate into grain boundary network of SSE, causing degradation of ionic conductivity and the likelihood of short-circuit.

$$\alpha_{\text{Griffith}} := a^* = \arg \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\iint_{\Omega} f(a, \mathbf{u}; \lambda, \mu, \mathbf{d} \otimes \mathbf{d}) d\Omega - \iint_{\Gamma} f(a; \gamma) d\Gamma \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{u}^{(s)}}$$

Next-generation All-solid-state battery (ng-ASSB) should, consequentially, be able to cope with micro-dendritic threads at the (SE|SSE)-interface, and hence, to foresee nucleation points caused by propagations



Next-generation All-solid-state battery (ng-ASSB)

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.


Comparison: Analytical vs. Numerical solution

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.


Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nucleation interface

Interface between solid electrode and solid-state electrolyte (SE|SSE) taking place at space charge layer (SCL) [2] found in all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries (ASSLiBs) critically exhibits mechanical and electrochemical instability [3]. This evidence points directly to the fact that the soft metallic lithium negative electrode is erroneously prone to triggering dendritic by-products of silvery lithium metal, under cycles of electric charge and discharge [4].



das



Besides, polycrystalline garnet-typed solid-state electrolyte such as LLZO exhibit grain boundaries and various sizes and shapes of grains under microscopic observation. Therefore, this type of microstructure distinctively leads to nuance destruction of ceramic-like materials. Consequentially, dendritic by-products contribute to degradation of ionic conductivity and trace along grain boundaries in SSE. This phenomenon, notwithstanding, is predicted, quantified, and controlled based on analysing the multi-scale coupled problem subjected to conditions of Griffith criterion.

References

- [1] **T.Vo**, *Modeling the swelling phenomena of li-ion battery cells based on a numerical chemo-mechanical coupled approach*. Master thesis, Robert Bosch Battery Systems GmbH, 2018.
- [2] **S.Braun**, C.Yada and A.Latz, *Thermodynamically consistent model for Space-Charge-Layer formation in a solid electrolyte*. Journal of Physical Chem., 119, 22281-22288, 2015.
- [3] **C.Hüter**, S.Fu, M.Finsterbusch, E.Figgemeier, L.Wells, and R.Spatschek, *Electrode-electrolyte interface stability in solid state electrolyte system: influence of coating thickness under varying residual stresses*. AIMS materials Science, 4(4):867-877, 2017.
- [4] **S.Kim**, J.S.Kim, L.Miara, Y.Wang, S.K.Jung, S.Y.Park, Z.Song, H.King, M.Badding, J.M.Chang, V.Roev, G.Yoon, R.Kim, J.H.Kim, K.Yoon, D.Im, and K.Kang. *High-energy and*