Mathematical modelling for all-solid-state battery: (SE|SSE)-Interface

Tuan Vo[a,b†], Claas Hüter[b], Stefanie Braun[a], Manuel Torrilhon[a]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Applied and Computational Mathematics (ACoM), RWTH Aachen University, Schinkelstraße 02, 52062 Aachen, Germany
[b]Institute of Energy and Climate Research (IEK-2), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, 52428 Jülich, Germany

Mathematical modelling for the next-generation All-solid-state batteries: Nucleation (SE|SSE)$^{(*)}$-interface

Rechargeable Lithium-ion battery (LIB) is at the heart of every electric vehicle (EV), portable electronic device, and energy storage system [1]. Nowadays, LIBs enable human life more efficient and help to solve global environment issues thanks to EVs' zero emission. However, conventional LIB (c-LIB) is sensible to temperature and pressure, hence, flammable and explosive, which is undesirable. This bottleneck is mainly due to liquid-based electrolyte found in c-LIBs.

All-solid-state battery (ASSB) is one of promising candidates to overcome bottlenecks of c-LIBs. Thanks to solid-state electrolyte (SSE), ASSB is highly stable towards temperature and pressure. Nevertheless, Li-metal dendrite triggered at (SE|SSE)-interface [5] is the main drawback of ASSB since these dendritic threads extrapolate into SSE grain boundary network, causing crevice, degradation of ionic conductivity, and the probability of short-circuit, which is unfavorable.

All-solid-state Batteries (ASSBs)

Boundary conditions for Airy-Westergaard stress distribution
(i) $\lim_{z \to 0} {}^w\!\sigma(z) = -p_h$ (ii) $\lim_{z \to \infty} {}^w\!\sigma(z) = 0$

Next-generation All-solid-state battery (ng-ASSB) with a consideration of nucleation criterion defined by

$$a_{\text{Griffith}} := a^* = \arg\min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \iiint_\Omega f(a, \boldsymbol{u}, \theta; \lambda, \mu, \boldsymbol{d}^{(\star)} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}^{(\star)}) \, d\Omega - \iint_\Gamma f(a; \gamma) \, d\Gamma \Big|_{\boldsymbol{u}}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}$ displacement field, θ temperature field, a crevice length, λ, μ Lamé constants, $\boldsymbol{d}^{(\star)} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}^{(\star)}$ embedded misorientation structural tensor, and γ cracking-surface energy density, can help to improve ASSB performance.

(SE|SSE)-Interface Analysis

Interface between solid electrode and solid-state electrolyte (SE|SSE) taking place at space charge layer (SCL) [2] found in ASSBs critically exhibits mechanical and electrochemical instability [3]. This evidence points directly to the fact that the soft metallic li anode is erroneously prone to triggering dendrites, under cycles of electric charge & discharge [5].

<u>Distribution</u>: ana. max. shear stress ${}^w\!\sigma^\Pi_{x_1 x_2}$ around crack tip a_c.

Next-generation All-solid-state battery

Nucleation criterion governs the instable (SE|SSE)-interface [3]


✓ **Thermodynamic consistency** is satisfied, followed by [2].
✓ **Closure** $\bar{\Omega}$ is fulfilled by 15 moments, followed by [4].

Embedded structural-tensor in SSE

Polycrystalline garnet-type SSE [5] such as LLZO exhibit grain boundary network, and grains with variation of {size, shape} under microscopic observation. Hence, this microstructure is potentially prone to nuances of destruction.

$$\boldsymbol{M} = \boldsymbol{d}^{(\star)}_{G_1} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}^{(\star)}_{G_2} \quad \text{given by} \quad \mathbb{G} := \{\boldsymbol{Q}_{\|d}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{\perp d}\} \subset \mathcal{O}(3).$$

Consequentially, dendrites contribute to degradation of ionic conductivity and tiny-cracks tracing along grain boundaries.

Nucleation interface: Taking place at the critically dendritic (SE|SSE)-interface

Coupled fields: Displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}$ and temperature field θ; structural tensor $\boldsymbol{M}$

$$\boldsymbol{u} : \begin{cases} \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\boldsymbol{x}, t) \mapsto \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \end{cases} \quad \theta : \begin{cases} \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}, \\ (\boldsymbol{x}, t) \mapsto \theta(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \end{cases} \quad \boldsymbol{M}^{\{RR, RE\}}_{i=1,\dots,N} : \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{d}^R_{\text{Grain i}} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}^R_{\text{Grain i}} \\ \boldsymbol{d}^R_{\text{Grain i}} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}^E \end{cases}$$

Governing conservation equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega (\cdot) \, d\Omega = \int_\Omega (\cdot)^{\text{action}} \, d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\cdot)^{\text{section}} \, d\partial\Omega + \int_\Omega (\cdot)^{\text{production (+/-)}} \, d\Omega$$

used to describe balance of mass, conservation of linear momentum, conservation of angular momentum, and conservation of energy with $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is mass density per unit volume (puv); $\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ body force puv; $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ velocity; $e(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ internal energy puv; $\boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ heat flux; $r(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ heat source puv; $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ Cauchy stress and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ infinitesimal strain. Then, the governing partial differential equation (PDE) for the thermodynamically consistent coupled problem with deformation field $\boldsymbol{u}$ as its variable takes the form

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla \cdot \left(\overset{4}{\mathbb{C}}{}^{f_{\text{alocation}}(\lambda, \mu, \boldsymbol{d}^R_{G_i, i=1,\dots,N}, \boldsymbol{d}^E; \boldsymbol{x})} : \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{(s)} \right) + \rho \boldsymbol{b} = -\rho \nabla V_e,$$

where $V_e : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the electric potential applied globally on ASSB. Due to nature setting of ASSB taking the form (SE|SSE|SE) the electric potential becomes uniform.

Strain energy is based on the deformation of SSE due to dendrite formation at (SE|SSE)-interface

$$\iiint_\Omega f(a, \boldsymbol{u}; \lambda, \mu, \boldsymbol{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}) \, d\Omega$$

Surface energy is analysized based on the open crevice cracking at (SE|SSE)-interface affected by prescribed pressure

$$\iint_\Gamma f(a; \gamma) \, d\Gamma$$

Therefore, the governing problem of dendritic nucleation at (SE|SSE) takes the form

$$\partial_t \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} + \nabla \cdot \left(\overset{4}{\mathbb{C}}{}^{f_{\text{alocation}}(\lambda, \mu, \boldsymbol{d}^R_{G_i, i=1,\dots,N}, \boldsymbol{d}^E; \boldsymbol{x})} : \nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{(s)} \right) + \rho \boldsymbol{b} = -\rho \nabla V_e, \tag{1}$$

s.t. $\quad a_{\text{Griffith}} := a^* = \arg\min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \iiint_\Omega f(a, \boldsymbol{u}, \theta; \lambda, \mu, \boldsymbol{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{d}) \, d\Omega - \iint_\Gamma f(a; \gamma) \, d\Gamma \Big|_{\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}} \tag{2}$

where deformation $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is (i) based on (1), and then (ii) for *Griffith*-analysis in (2).

Analysis: *Airy-Westergaard* fcn. used for stress analysis: (i) max. shear stress and (ii) principal stresses

$${}^w\!\mathbb{A} : \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \\ z \mapsto {}^w\!\mathbb{A}(z) := \Re\left(\oiint_\Gamma \mathcal{K}^{(\star)} dz\right) + x_2 \Im\left(\oint_\Gamma \mathcal{K}^{(\star)} dz\right), \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{K}^{(\star)} : \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \\ z \mapsto \mathcal{K}^{(\star)} := -p_h + p_h / \sqrt{1 - a^2/z^2}, \end{cases}$$

where a the crevice length, p_h pressure at the opening crevice on dendritic interface, and $\forall \{p_h, a\} \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Boundary conditions applied on a solid-state object

DISPLACEMENT FIELD $\boldsymbol{u}$ · TEMPERATURE FIELD θ

Numerical spectral of *Griffith* criterion at (SE|SSE) yields (xx-yy-xy)

(a) Case 1 $a_{\text{crevice}} = 0.01$
(b) Case 2 $a_{\text{crevice}} = 0.05$
(c) Case 3 $a_{\text{crevice}} = 0.1$
(d) Case 4 $a_{\text{crevice}} = 0.3$
(e) Case 5 $a_{\text{crevice}} = 0.5$

where a sample of 5 cases with various prescribed crevice length is studied.

FEM: Strain energy density

Partial differential equation (PDE)

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\overset{4}{\mathbb{C}}{}^{\mathbb{D}(\Omega)}_{(\lambda, \mu)} \nabla^{(s)} \boldsymbol{u} \right) + \rho \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Displacement vector field solution

$$u_i \leftarrow \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{K}^{-1} \boldsymbol{f}$$

Strain tensor

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{x_j} u_i + \partial_{x_i} u_j \right)$$

Stress tensor

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} \mathbb{C}^{f^{\mathbb{D}(\Omega)}_{(\lambda, \mu)}}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}$$

Strain energy density

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{strain}} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}$$

Strain solution takes the following form

$$\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\text{rr}}_{\text{node}}} \left(\sum_{L=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\text{rr}}_{\text{dof}}} N^\alpha_{,L} \xi_{L,rr} u^\alpha_L + \sum_{L=1}^{\mathcal{N}^{\text{anode}}_{\text{dof}}} N^\alpha_{,L} \xi_{K,rr} u^\alpha_L \right)$$

where displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}$ is known, numerically computed from the PDE above.

Griffith-based critical stress

$$\sigma_{\text{Griffith}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 E \gamma}{\pi \, a_{\text{crevice}}}}$$

governs nucleation interface.

Contact

Tuan Vo
vo@acom.rwth-aachen.de

Scan me

References

[1] **T.Vo**, *Modeling the swelling phenomena of li-ion batt. cells based on a numerical chemo-mech. coupled approach.* MA, Robert Bosch Battery Systems GmbH, **2018**.

[2] **S.Braun**, C.Yada, and A.Latz, *Thermodynamically consistent model for Space-Charge-Layer formation in a solid electrolyte.* Jr. Phys. Chem., 119, 22281-22288, **2015**.

[3] **C.Hüter**, S.Fu, M.Finsterbusch, E.Figgemeier, L.Wells, and R.Spatschek, *Electrode-electrolyte interface stability in solid state electrolyte system: influence of coating thickness under varying residual stresses.* AIMS Materials Science, 4(4):867-877, **2017**.

[4] **M.Torrilhon**. *Modeling nonequilibrium gas flow based on moment equations.* Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 48(1):429-458, **2016**.

[5] **S.Kim**, J.S.Kim, L.Miara, Y.Wang, S.K.Jung, S.Y.Park, Z.Song, H.King, M.Badding, J.M.Chang, V.Roev, G.Yoon, R.Kim, J.H.Kim, K.Yoon, D.Im, and K.Kang, *High-energy and durable li metal batt. using garnet-type solid electrolytes with tailored li-metal compatibility.* Nature Communications, 13(1):1883, **2022**.

#OBMS2023