Rhetorical Awareness Discussion Rubric

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
Document Example Evaluates whether a document was found and is uploaded or linked.	**33 pts Meets Expectations** Document is an example of technical writing. It has been uploaded or linked.	**28 pts Almost There** Document is an example of technical writing, but the provided link does not work, or uploaded file cannot be opened.	**25 pts Needs Work** Document is not an example of technical writing. It has been uploaded or linked.	**0 pts Missing or Incomplete** Document has not been uploaded or linked.	33 pts
Part 1: Original Post Considers whether the original post fully addresses all required questions using specific details from the document.	**34 pts Meets Expectations** Original post fully addresses all required questions with clear, specific, document-based responses.	**29 pts Almost There** Original post addresses most of the required questions, but some responses lack detail, clarity, or strong connection to the document.	**26 pts Needs Work** Original post addresses some required questions, but several elements are missing, unclear, or overly general. Explanations may be uneven or not fully developed.	**0 pts Missing or Incomplete** Original post is missing or incomplete. Little or no evidence of rhetorical or reader-centered thinking.	34 pts
Part 2: Reply to a Classmate Measures the quality of the response to a classmate, including thoughtful engagement, a meaningful question, and a constructive revision suggestion.	**33 pts Meets Expectations** Reply responds thoughtfully to a classmate's post by identifying something effective, offering an additional perspective or respectful disagreement, asking a meaningful question, and suggesting one clear revision.	**28 pts Almost There** Reply responds to a classmate's post and completes most required elements, but one element is underdeveloped or vague.	**25 pts Needs Work** Reply is present but brief, generic, or missing multiple required elements.	**0 pts Missing or Incomplete** No reply is present or response does not meaningfully engage with a classmate's work.	33 pts