OPPORTUNITY MUFFED

On Television, Architecture Is Again The Stepchild of Criticism

By ADA LOUISE HUXTABLE

AT last the arts have made the big time—television big time, that is. Even more surprising, the art selected for the honor was architecture, which usually trails the field. "The Shape of Things," a coast-to-coast television spectacular on shelter and the man-made environment, was scheduled by the National Broadcasting Company "World Wide 60" production last night, (previewed Tuesday for this review) in prime evening time and living color and black and white, with the assistance of N. B. C. correspondents in London, Paris, Rome and Cairo (come in, pyramids), and cartoons by Abner Dean. Naturally, there were cartoons. It is axiomatic in television that no serious subject shall be presented to the American public without them.

Passing quickly from the caveman to the present and from Europe to America, the marration moved to the way man lives today. Here again, the industrious democratization of the subject (or was this chummy nothingness just in-adequate knowledge?) treated the viewer to the homes of Hollywood stars, the atrocities of a trailer court, the sins of mass housing, and a fuzzy demonstration of an architect-designed house. With the best intentions and the worst possible results, a brief explanation of modern technology and the development of the skyscraper was attempted (with William LeBaron Jenney called William Jenning throughout), experimental shelters of the future displayed, a series of churches flashed on with accompanying inspirational theme, and space planning in ed to the without them.

Poor Show

Unfortunately, the show seldom rose above comic-book level. Its theme was important and its scope was ambitious, but its execution was confused and inept. To those of us who are not only concerned with the way architecture shapes our world and our lives, but are also aware that the story of man's cities and monuments is packed with glamour, this was a glorious opportunity missed. It is hard to believe that out of the wonders available the trivia could have been picked so unerringly and the clichés repeated so tritely, while so much of real beauty and significance was passed by.

In Rome, for example, where

was passed by.

In Rome, for example, where one falls over the memorable buildings of fifteen centuries, the viewer was cued into a Parioli-like vista of undistinguished new buildings on the Via Veneto and a routine facade shot of the Villa Borghese. Of the incomparable architectural experiences of that great city—the fanciful baroque surprises of St. Ivo or the Piazza San Ignazio, the timeless magnificence of St. Peter's and its embracing plaza, to name just a few—as well as the modern marvel of Pier Luigi Nervi's plaza.

American public with accompanying inspirational theme, and space planning in cities and the problems of the cities and the problems of the automobile touched on lightly

a few—as well as the modern San Marco in Venice and the marvel of Pier Luigi Nervi's Piazza Navona in Rome are

marvel of Pier Luigi Nervi's spectacular structures for this shown or indicated. Paris was represented by the Ritz Hotel, for its "dignified air of opulence," although the building's particular distinction is in its particular distinction is in its orderly architectural integration into that uniquely perfect public square, the Seventeenth-century Place Vendome. London, the city of Christopher Wren churches, was dismissed with a sampling of Labor dwellings.