Controversy Widens on Design Of Development in Washington: Fine Arts ... By ADA LOUISE HUXTABLE
By ADA LOUISE HUXTABLE
Wew York Times (1923-Current file): Apr 29, 1962; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The New York Times

Controversy Widens on Design Of Development in Washington

Fine Arts Commission Battling to Prevent Approval of the Watergate Project-Session Here Fails to End Dispute

By ADA LOUISE HUXTABLE A battle that has been raging mental building project in Mon-

in Washington for the last six treal. months moved last week to The American managing New York. The controversy is partner of the Italian firm is over the design of one of the Nicloas M. Salgo of New York, Capital's largest new building president of the sponsoring projects, the ten-acre, \$50,000,- corporation, Island Vista, Inc. 000 luxury Watergate develop- The unconventional design is by ment for the Foggy Bottom a well-known Italian architect, Waterfront.

The architect members of with the ashington's Commission of Fischer Washington's Commission Fine Arts, Ralph Walker and Douglas W. Orr, met in Mr.

ences that have arisen between the commission and the deand velopment's architects sponsors. These differences have been

atmost as striking as the project itself, which, if it goes ahead, will mark a radical change in Washington's architecture. The project is planned for the land adjacent to the

proposed National Cultural Cen-

ter on the Potomac River. It would consist of a group of large apartment houses, smaller maisonettes, a hotel, an office

building, shops and restaurants. The design calls for a sweeping arrangement of boldly curving forms and projecting balconies forms and projecting balconies of a kind that Washington has never seen, and never will see, if the Fine Arts Commission

Height Point of Conflict

has its way.

The conflict has arisen over e 130-to-165-foot height of some of the buildings, the possibility of their conflict with the not-too-far-distant Lincoln Memorial and the necessity of zoning changes. The amount zoning changes. The amount and kind of open space provided, the planned population densities, and the suitability of the radical design to the Washington come are also points of ington scene are also points of

The land is owned, and would be privately developed without government funds, by an Italian investing firm believed to have Vatican ties, the Società Generale Immobiliare, which is also proceeding with a minu-

The

Luigi Moretti, in collaboration with the Washington firm of Corning, Moore, Elmore and Fischer.

Fischer.

Fischer.

Fischer.

Fischer.

Fischer.

Fischer.

Watergate's sponsors call the Douglas W. Orr, met in Mr.

Walker's office at 101 Park ton." The Fine Arts Commission, rejected it formally at an April 18 meeting, after warming up with objections before the zoning commission on appear that have arisen between April 12 April 13. In rec

to No. In recommendations to National Capital Planning Commission last February, the fine arts group called it "an invitation to cheeting disharmone". tion to chaotic disharmony" that "will begin to crode and destroy the qualities that give Washington particular

Three Steps Are Taken

beauty.

At the meeting here, the Watergate sponsors guaranteed that the Italian architect, Sig-nor Moretti, would be willing to meet with the commission to discuss the design changes that the commission considers necessary for the site.

Mr. Walker and Mr. Orr affirmed their objections to the buildings' height, and took a firm stand against the population densities that would result fro mthe proposed land coverage.

To those who are for the Watergate scheme, which includes much of the Washington press, these decisions will seem like an impeding action that may preclude "progressive development" with private funds of a deteriorated area, where housing and other facilities are badly needed. The proposal has already been accepted, after hot debate, by a split decision of debate, by a split decision the 'national Capital Plann Planning

Board. It is still awaiting zoning board approval.
To those who oppose the To those who oppose the project, the meeting represents a step toward saving the capital from what might prove to be an architectural catastrophe on a scale so grand that the city's character would be irretrievably damaged.