ARCHITECTURE ON TV: 'GREATEST NON-BUILDING ARCHITECT OF OUR TIME' EXPOUNDS By ADA LOUISE HUXTABLE

New York Times (1923-Current file); Mar 27, 1960; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The New York Times pg. X13

ARCHITECTURE ON TV

'Greatest Non-Building Architect of Our Time' Expounds His Ideas

By ADA LOUISE HUXTABLE

WO swallows do not make lar talent. He is a master of inshows on architecture can hardly be called a and gratifying-just one week after the National Broadcasting Company's ambitious fiasco, "The Shape of Things"-to find the Columbia Broadcasting System courageously tackling an architectural subject last Sunday's Three" program, a weekly halfhour television show devoted to laudable experiments in presenting ideas on an adult level.

The subject of the program was architect-sculptor Frederick Kiesler, theatre and gallery designer and originator of a novel form of shelter, the "endless house"-a man Philip Johnson calls "the greatest non building architect of our time.' The show was sincere, straight forward, competently conceived and produced. What it lacked in excitement, it made up in tasteful, intelligent handling of Mr. Kiesler's exposition of unorthodox architectural theory.

This theory has become an almost legendary canon of progressive thought among professionals, but is still largely ungree, maddening.

a summer, and two TV tellectual persuasion, an esthetic salesman on an almost evangelical level. He uses this talent trend. But it is both surprising to promote a fascinating, but dubious article. Hearing him speak of his "endless house"a free-form space enclosure of reinforced concrete, described as having endless continuity of space and endless possibilities of shape—one is temporarily convinced that all houses as we know them today are old-hat. We are sure that the post and beam has had its day, that the right angle is dead, that square shelters are square, and the people in them, squarer. We need only to open our tight little minds to see the blinding vision of a bright new world. He promises the "liberation of the personality" and the sensuous satisfactions of life in eggs, cocoons and nameless sculpturesque shelters.

But unfortunately, Mr. Kiesdisproves what he says by what straight lines. he does. Put into practice, his theories tend to be refuted by their execution.

known to the public. Mr. Kies-art displays, for example, his sculpture, for which he has exler is one of the more pic-continuously curved, freely-ceptional sensibility, and he debatable, it is stimulating, and turesque personalities currently flowing walls and ceilings (car-camouflages abstract sculpture C. B. S. is to be applauded for practicing—and talking—in the ried out to a limited extent in as a kind of building. Sculpture presenting his esoteric talents architecture field, for articulate-his design for World House Gal-becomes structure, by a slick, to the television audience. But ness is not the least of his abil-|leries) and the use of eccentric-|expertly rationalized, unpardon-|we are still waiting for the ities. His ideas are important, ally shaped, all-purpose chair-able reversal of legitimate ar-"big" program that will reveal influential, and to some de-easels for art and customers (as chitectural procedures. Enchant-the full beauty, drama, and im-

ing" of the work of art from al shapes, he creates models of its conventional setting, but to houses before plans, and fits chaos. The techniques of liber-uses to them, supposedly in acation, meant to insure comfort cordance with re-studied "basic and freedom, become instru-life functions." But the sophistiments of interference. To this cated rituals of twentieth-cenobserver, entering a Kiesler cre-tury existence are far removed ation provides the momentary from basic functions. pleasure of being inside an updated, abstract Easter egg, fol-carrying on his "endless house" lowed by the frustrations of un-studies for a good many years, necessary and unjustifiable dis-only today, with improved techturbances and distractions, and niques in reinforced concrete ler has another talent—not a longing for the simplicity and construction, can these projects quite so rare. He continually logic of plane surfaces and be executed with any ease. Sig-

Kiesler's concept of architec-structural techniques, ture is not really architectural would be the primary justifica-In Mr. Kiesler's settings for He is primarily interested in tion for his experiments. in the Peggy Guggenheim gal-ed by the limitless possibilities portance of the art of archi-For Mr. Kiesler has a singu-lery of 1942) lead not to a "free-lof large-scale, three-dimension-tecture.

Although Mr. Kiesler has been nificantly, Mr. Kiesler has not The basic fallacy is that Mr. been the one to develop these which

Even if Mr. Kiesler's thesis is

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.