

## CS17 Code Review Feedback

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	For the most part, yes. However, on the first npm start, I got an error about nodemon. Is nodemon going to be used in production? If so then I believe it should be added to the package.json. Otherwise, I think it should be a prereq in the readme.	Nodemon is meant to be used only in development. I changed the start command to use node for production.
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Good legibility, I like that you use a linter. There is sane, understandable naming.	None
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	Seems like a good/standard way to do it. The model of routing with express which leads to different components looks good.	None
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	I don't believe that there are unit tests here. They could be added, but I don't have a ton of experience on testing this kind of website.	None
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	The website seems most of the way there, just the live update functionality isn't quite done.	None, was working in previous testing and should work in production.
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Overall looks decent. Main things are readme and getting the last bits of requirements done.	

