

For: CS 17

By: Jeremiah Kramer - kramerje (CS 11)

| Category | Description | Reviewers Comment | Action taken by reviewed group |
|-----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Build | Could you clone from Git and build using the README file? | Yes, I was able to clone and build the project using the README file and command-line messages. The instructions were very clear and helpful, however I still needed to install "nodemon" in order for the project to build successfully. This is a minor fix to the README file, however. | Added nodemon to dependencies |
| Legibility | Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style? | The code is very legible because code styles are consistent and general guidelines were followed. Further, the structure of the code made it easy to follow along. The repo is extremely well documented with comments throughout each file. I especially appreciated the organization within the "server.js" file. An extremely minor thing to point out is that some commented-out, unused code is unnecessary and can be cleaned up. The team mentioned this in the demo. Overall, the flow is sane, the variable names and methods are easy to follow, and the code adheres to the general guidelines and code style. | Removed commented out code |
| Implementation | is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions? | In my opinion, the code is very clean, efficient, short, fast, and safe as is. I do not see useful abstractions. This project uses functions and libraries effectively that increases efficiency and consistency. | None |
| Maintainability | Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering Interesting cases? Are they readable? | I was unable to find unit tests within the repo. Since the project is essentially a web app, it doesn't really make sense to include unit tests. The team could potentially | None |

| | | | |
|--------------|---|---|------|
| | | have used Postman to check replies from the server based on HTTP methods used (GET, POST, etc.). However, this shouldn't be a requirement because it is more for developing purposes, not demoing purposes. | |
| Requirements | Does the code fulfill the requirements? | According to the requirements document, the code does seem to fulfill the requirements that were laid out. | None |
| Other | Are there other things that stand out that can be improved? | No, the team did a great job creating a web application. | None |