
Optimal Risky Portfolios

Chapter 8

Diversification and Portfolio Risk

- diversification = investing in a larger number of assets
- sources of risk:
 - economy-wide factors (inflation, business cycles, exchange rates etc.) → market (systematic) risk
 - firm- or asset-specific factors → idiosyncratic (nonsystematic) risk
- if the firm-specific risk of the assets in the portfolio is independent, diversification can reduce the idiosyncratic risk (to zero), but *not* the market risk



Two-Security Portfolios

- objective: analyze efficient diversification, i.e. obtaining the lower possible risk for any given level of expected return
- consider two mutual funds, *D* (specialized in bonds and debt securities) and *E* (specialized in equity)
- the weight of mutual fund D in the portfolio is w_D , and the weight of mutual fund E is w_E , and their returns are r_D and r_E

Characterization of Two-Security Portfolios

expected return of the portfolio:

$$E(r_p) = w_D E(r_D) + w_E E(r_E)$$

■ variance of the portfolio:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{p}^{\ 2} &= w_{D}^{\ 2} \, \sigma_{D}^{\ 2} + w_{E}^{\ 2} \, \sigma_{E}^{\ 2} + 2 \, w_{D} \, w_{E} \, \text{Cov}(r_{D}, r_{E}) \\ &= (w_{D} \sigma_{D})^{2} + (w_{E} \sigma_{E})^{2} + 2 \, (w_{D} \sigma_{D}) \, (w_{E} \sigma_{E}) \, \rho_{DE} \\ &= (w_{D} \sigma_{D} + w_{E} \sigma_{E})^{2} + 2 \, (w_{D} \sigma_{D}) \, (w_{E} \sigma_{E}) \, (\rho_{DE} - 1) \\ &= (w_{D} \sigma_{D} - w_{E} \sigma_{E})^{2} + 2 \, (w_{D} \sigma_{D}) \, (w_{E} \sigma_{E}) \, (\rho_{DE} + 1) \end{split}$$

• if the two assets are not perfectly positively correlated, the standard deviation of the portfolio is less than the weighted average of the standard deviations of the assets

1_5

Two-Security Portfolios: Risk

- lowest variance achieved when assets perfectly negatively correlated
- in this case:

$$\sigma_p^2 = (w_D \sigma_D - w_E \sigma_E)^2$$

can drive portfolio risk to zero with properly chosen weights:

$$w_D = \frac{\sigma_E}{\sigma_D + \sigma_E}$$


$$w_E = \frac{\sigma_D}{\sigma_D + \sigma_E} = 1 - w_D$$

Two-Security Portfolios: Risk (cont.)

- zero-variance is possible with positively correlated assets as well, but it would involve short-selling (e.g., $w_D > 1$ and $w_E < 0$)
- can trace out the portfolio opportunity set, the set of portfolios as the weights invested in the assets vary
- *minimum-variance portfolio* = portfolio in the opportunity set with minimum variance
- can also analyze how the shape of the portfolio opportunity set changes due to different correlations between the assets

1_7

Portfolio Opportunity Set





Three-Security Portfolios

- add a risk-free asset
- the CAL depends on the portfolio chosen as *the* risky portfolio
- remember the slope of the CAL (Sharpe ratio)

$$S_A = \frac{E(r_A) - r_f}{\sigma_A}$$

1-9






Optimal Risky Portfolio

- optimal choices of complete portfolios lie on the highest possible CAL
- hence, optimal risky portfolio is the tangency point of the highest CAL to the portfolio opportunity set
- the highest CAL also has the highest slope
- thus, the investor maximizes the slope:

$$\max_{w_i} S_p = \frac{E(r_p) - r_f}{\sigma_p}$$

 optimal complete portfolio given by investor indifference curve




Markovitz Portfolio Selection Model

- many risky assets and a risk-free asset
- minimum-variance frontier = the set of portfolios with the lowest variance given an expected rate of return
- efficient frontier = the set of portfolios on the minimum-variance frontier, with expected return higher than that of the global minimum variance portfolio
- when short-sales are allowed, all individual assets lie *inside* the minimum-variance frontier

1-13


Minimum-Variance Frontier Efficient Frontier Global Minimum-Variance Portfolio Minimum-Variance Frontier T-14



Capital Allocation with Many Risky Assets

- add a risk-free asset
- again, the CAL depends on the portfolio chosen as the risky portfolio
- investors will choose the highest CAL, i.e., the CAL tangent to the efficient frontier
- again, this portfolio is the solution to the optimization problem of maximizing the slope of the CAL





Separation Property

- note that *all* investors will choose the *same* portfolio of risky assets (say *P*) for their capital allocation problem
- this leads to the *separation property* = the portfolio choice problem can be broken down into two tasks:
 - choosing P, a technical matter (can be done by the broker)
 - deciding on the proportion to be invested in P and in the risky asset (decided by the client)

