### **Intermediate Microeconomics**

Chapter 6
Choice under Uncertainty

1

# **Uncertainty**

- Until now, the situation in which the consumer would find herself was certain
- State of the world = outcome of an uncertain situation
- Contingent commodity = commodity whose level depends on which state of the world occurs (e.g., consumption if a bet is won, or if a bet is lost)

# **Expected value**

- Each state of the world can occur with a certain chance called probability
- Suppose we consider a bet
- Expected value = outcome that we would obtain, on the average, from playing the bet an infinity of times
- Algebraically, if p<sub>i</sub> is the probability of state of the world i and w<sub>i</sub> is the outcome in that state:

$$E(w) = p_1 w_1 + p_2 w_2 + ... + p_n w_n$$

**Choice under uncertainty** 

- St. Petersburg paradox (Bernoulli, 1738)
  - a coin is tossed until "head" appears (toss n)
  - payoff from participating:  $R(n) = 2^n$
  - how much would you pay as entry fee?
- What is different when there is uncertainty? Risk matters!


# **Contingent commodities**

- Suppose we consider a bet: next card drawn from the deck is not heart
  - if true, earn \$0.40 on the dollar
  - if false, lose \$1 on the dollar
- Initial endowment is \$100
  - consumption if next card is heart =  $c_h$
  - consumption if next card is different suit = c<sub>0</sub>
- If no bet is placed:  $c_n = c_o = $100$  (endowment point, on the 45 degree line)

# An example of uncertainty

- In principle, you could bet both on "next card is not a heart" and against it
- If the payoff structure is the same, regardless of the bet, then the budget line is a straight line
- The slope of the budget line is given by the negative of the ratio of the potential wins/losses:
   - 0.4/1 = - 0.4
- The endowment point (no bet) is always an alternative ⇒ has to lie on the budget line





# Fair gambles


- Actuarially fair gamble = gamble for which the expected monetary gain is zero
- Odds = the ratio of the probability of two events occurring
- Fair odds line = budget constraint that reflects the opportunities presented by an actuarially fair gamble (i.e., the ratio of the probabilities is equal to the inverse of the ratio of the corresponding gains/losses)

# Back to our example

- Is our example a fair bet?
  - a heart is drawn: chance = 1/4, loss = \$1
  - other suit drawn: chance = <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, gain = \$0.4
  - on the average:  $\frac{3}{4} \times 0.4 \frac{1}{4} \times 1 = \$0.05$
  - $\Rightarrow$  you gain \$0.05 on each bet
- What would be a fair bet? Suppose you gain d dollars per dollar when another suit shows:

$$\frac{3}{4} \times d - \frac{1}{4} \times 1 = 0 \implies d = \frac{1}{4} \div \frac{3}{4} = 0.33$$

• The budget line would now have a slope of 0.33 too (½ ÷ ¾) – the fair odds line



#### Risk aversion


- Risk averse = individual who would not accept an actuarially fair gamble (risk is bad)
- Risk lover = individual who prefers a gamble with a certain expected value to certainty with the same expected value (risk is good)
- Risk neutral = individual who is indifferent among alternatives with the same expected value (risk does not matter)
- Most individuals are risk averse

11

#### Preferences for risk

- Risk averse = indifference curves look as before
- Risk lover = indifference curves bow outward
- Risk neutral = indifference curves are straight lines, parallel to the fair odds line
- Certainty line = 45 degree line
- Most individuals are risk averse ⇒ will focus only on risk averse individuals






# **Equilibrium**

- Risk averse individuals prefer certainty to risk
- Hence, when presented to a risky alternative that has the same expected value as a certain alternative, they will always choose the certain alternative
- This means that they will always choose the endowment point when faced with a fair odds line ⇒ the slope of their indifference curves on the 45 degree line is equal to the odds ratio

# Equilibrium for risk averse people



# **Equilibrium**

- When faced with a non-actuarially fair bet, risk averse individuals might choose to place some money on the bet
- In contrast, risk lovers will choose to place all their money on the bet
- Las Vegas and Atlantic City suggest that there are many risk-loving individuals
- But: most of them place small bets so maybe not risk lovers after all...

# **Applications**

 Risk premium = extra return on an investment, to compensate for risk

$$RP = E(I) - r_{f}$$

- Diversification = process of buying several assets in order to reduce risk ("don't put all your eggs in one basket")
- More on these topics: ECON435 (Financial Markets)

17

#### Insurance

- In many cases, people cannot choose whether to take a risk or not ⇒ take insurance
- Premium = price of obtaining (\$1 worth of) insurance coverage
- Actuarially fair insurance = premium equals the expected payout of the insurer

# **Example of insurance problem**

- Suppose you have a car and there is a probability p of getting into an accident
- If no accident occurs, then have \$a; if an accident occurs, need to spend \$a on repairs
- Consumption when there's no accident is  $c_g$  ("good" state) and when there's an accident is  $c_b$  ("bad" state)
- The "endowment point" is then the intercept with the "good state" axis

19


#### Fair insurance

 An actuarially fair insurance pays as much as its premium, on average ⇒ for \$1 of coverage:

$$(1-r)p = r(1-p) \Rightarrow r = p$$

- A risk-averse person would always choose full insurance ( $c_g = c_b = c_0$ , on the 45 degree line) when presented with an actuarially fair insurance
- Hence, insurance expenditures =  $a c_0$





# Coverage with unfair insurance

- What happens if r > p? Insurance is unfair (you pay more, on average, than get repaid by the insurance company)
- But is this really "unfair"? Insurance companies need to recover their costs
- Risk averse individuals still buy some insurance (but not full)
- The budget line is now steeper than the fair odds line (because the negative of the slope is r / (1 - r) > p / (1 - p))

