```
Name: Khoa Tran - 5411431 - tran0707@umn.edu
Homework 3
Question 1: Power function, over natural number
Base Case:
P(0):
prove: power 0 x = x^0
 power 0 x
= 1.0
  by def. of power function
= x^0
  by arithmetic
Inductive Case:
P(n+1):
given: power n x = x^n
prove: power (n+1) x = x^{(n+1)}
  power (n+1) x
= x *. power (n) x
  by def. of power function
= x *. x^n
  by induction
= x^{(n+1)}
  by arithmetic
Question 2: Power over structured numbers
The principle of induction for the type nat is if P(Zero) and
P(n) \Rightarrow P(Succ n)
     1. we prove the base case P(Zero)
     2. then prove the inductive case P(Succ n), assuming
that P(n) holds.
Base Case:
P(Zero):
prove: power Zero x = x^{\text{toInt}(Zero)}
  power Zero x
= 1.0
 by def. power function
= x^0
  by arithmetic
= x<sup>toInt(Zero)</sup>
```

by def. toInt function

Inductive Case:

```
P(Succ n):
given: power n x = x^{toInt(n)}
prove: power (Succ n) x = x^{\text{toInt}(Succ n)}
 power (Succ n) x
= x *. power n x
  by def. of power function
= x *. x^{toInt(n)}
 by induction
= x^{toInt(n) + 1}
  by arithmetic
= xtoInt(succ n)
   by def. toInt function
Question 3: List reverse and append
Let prove that append lst [] = lst
Base Case:
P([]):
Prove: append [] [] = []
  append [] []
= []
  by def. of append function
Inductive Case:
P(x::xs):
given: append xs[] = xs
prove: append x::xs [] = x::xs
  append x::xs []
= x :: (append xs [])
  by def. of append function
= x :: xs
  by induction
It proved that append lst [] = lst
Let prove that reverse (append 11 12) = append (reverse
12) (reverse 11)
Induction over 11
Base Case:
P([]):
prove: reverse (append [] 12) = append (reverse 12) (reverse
[])
  part 1:
  reverse (append [] 12)
= reverse (12)
  by def. of append function
```

```
part 2:
  append (reverse 12) (reverse [])
= append (reverse 12) []
 by def. of reverse function
= reverse (12)
  by the above proved for append 1st [] = 1st
From part 1 and 2, it proved that reverse (append [] 12) = append
(reverse 12) (reverse [])
Inductive Case:
P(x::xs,12): reverse (append x::xs 12) = append (reverse 12)
(reverse x::xs)
given: reverse (append xs 12) = append (reverse 12) (reverse
prove: reverse (append x::xs 12) = append (reverse 12) (reverse
x::xs)
  reverse (append x::xs 12)
= reverse (x :: (append xs 12))
  by def. of append function
= reverse (append xs 12) [x]
  by def. of reverse function
= append (reverse 12) (reverse xs) [x]
 by induction
= append (reverse 12) (reverse x::xs)
  by def. of reverse function
Question 4: List processing
Induction over 11
Base Case:
P([]):
someupper([]@12) = someupper [] || someupper 12
  someupper([]@12)
= someupper(12)
  by understanding of @
= someupper 12 = someupper 12 || someupper []
  by equality of elements
Inductive Case:
P(x::xs,12): someupper(x::xs @ 12) = someupper (x::xs) ||
someupper 12
given: someupper(xs@12) = someupper xs || someupper 12
prove: someupper(x::xs@12) = someupper (x::xs) || someupper 12
```

```
someupper(x::xs @ 12)
= someupper(x :: (xs @ 12))
 by understanding of @
= isupper x || someupper (xs @ 12)
 by def. of someupper function
= isupper x || someupper xs || someupper 12
 by induction
= someupper (x::xs) || someupper 12
 by def. Of someupper function
Question 5: List Processing and folds
Base Case:
P([]):
someupper [] = foldupper []
Part 1:
  someupper []
= false
  by def. of someupper function
Part 2:
  foldupper []
= foldr upperor [] false
 by def. of foldupper function
= false
 by def. of foldr function
From part 1 and 2, it proved that someupper [] = foldupper []
Inductive Case:
P(x::xs):
given: someupper xs = foldupper xs
prove: someupper (x::xs) = foldupper (x::xs)
Case 1: Char.code x >= Char.code 'A' && Char.code x <= Char.code
171
 Part 1:
  someupper (x::xs)
= isupper x || someupper xs
 by def. of someupper function
= true || someupper xs
 by condition in case 1
= true || foldupper xs
 by induction
= true
 by understanding of ||
```

```
Part 2:
  foldupper (x::xs)
= foldr upperor (x::xs) false
 by def. of foldupper function
= upperor x (foldr upperor xs false)
 by def. of foldr function
= isupper x || foldr upperor xs false
 by def. of upperor function
= true || foldr upperor xs false
 by the condition in the case 1
= true
 by understanding of ||
From part 1 and 2, the results when compare them is true, which
mean they are equal. The Case 1 is proved.
Case 2: Char.code x < Char.code 'A' && Char.code x > Char.code
17.1
 Part 3:
  someupper (x::xs)
= isupper x || someupper xs
 by def. of someupper function
= false || someupper xs
 by condition in case 2
= foldupper xs
 by induction
  Part 4:
  foldupper (x::xs)
= foldr upperor (x::xs) false
  by def. of foldupper function
= upperor x (foldr upperor xs false)
 by def. of foldr function
= isupper x|| foldr upperor xs false
 by def. of upperor function
= false || foldr upperor xs false
 by condition in case 2
= foldr upperor xs false
 by understand of ||
= foldupper xs
 by def. of foldupper function
From part 3 and 4, it proved that someupper (x::xs) =
foldupper(x::xs)
```

= fold mintree (Brand (t1,t2)

By def. of fold mintree function

Question 6: Tree processing Base Case: P(Leaf t): Prove: mintree (Leaf t) = fold mintree (Leaf t) Part 1: mintree (Leaf t) by def. of mintree function Part 2: fold mintree (Leaf t) = tfold (fun x-> x) min (Leaf t) by def. of fold mintree function = (fun x \rightarrow x) t by def. of tfold function = t by applying t in (fun $x \rightarrow x$) which will return t From part 1 and 2, it proved that mintree (Leaf t) = fold mintee (Leaf t) Inductive Case: P(Branch (t1,t2):given: mintree t = fold mintree t prove: mintree (Branch (t1,t2)) = fold mintree (Branch (t1,t2)) mintree (Branch (t1,t2)) = min (mintree t1) (mintree t2) by def. of mintree function = min (fold mintree t1) (fold mintree t2) by induction = min (tfold (fun $x \rightarrow x$) min t1) (tfold (fun $x \rightarrow x$ min t2) By def. of fold mintree function = tfold (fun $x \rightarrow x$) min Branch (t1, t2) By def. of tfold function