

QFT for gifted amateur problems

2023

9 Problems

9.1 Problem 2.3

$$x_j \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k e^{ijk a} \tilde{x}_k \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_k}} e^{ijk a} (a_k + a_k^\dagger) \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_k}} \left(a_k e^{ijk a} + \underbrace{a_{-k}^\dagger e^{-ijk a}}_{\text{swap } k \rightarrow -k} \right) \quad (3)$$

9.2 Problem 3.3

First we will calculate $\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2m}p_1^2$:

$$x_1 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a_1 + a_1^\dagger) \quad (4)$$

$$p_1 \equiv -i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (a_1 - a_1^\dagger) \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2m}p_1^2 = \hbar\omega \left(\frac{1}{2}(a_1 a_1^\dagger + a_1^\dagger a_1) \right) \quad (6)$$

$$= \hbar\omega \left(a_1^\dagger a_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (7)$$

Since the "1" above is just label, it follows this must be true for "2" and "3" as well so that:

$$\hat{H} = \hbar\omega \sum_{n=1}^3 \left(a_n^\dagger a_n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (8)$$

To prove the next step, first note that $[b_0, b_{\text{anything else}}] = 0$ because a_3 commutes with a_2, a_1 . Also note $[b_0, b_0^\dagger] = 1$.

Therefore, $[b_i, b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$ holds for $i = 0$.

For $i = -1, +1$, we only have to check, the following 3 calculations:

Calculation 1:

$$[b_{-1}, b_{+1}^\dagger] = -\frac{1}{2}[a_1 + ia_2, a_1^\dagger + ia_2^\dagger] \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}([a_1, a_1^\dagger] - [a_2, a_2^\dagger]) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Calculation 2:

$$[b_{-1}, b_{-1}^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2}[a_1 + ia_2, a_1^\dagger - ia_2^\dagger] \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}([a_1, a_1^\dagger] + [a_2, a_2^\dagger]) = 1 \quad (12)$$

Calculation 3:

$$[b_{+1}, b_{+1}^\dagger] = \frac{1}{2}[a_1 - ia_2, a_1^\dagger + ia_2^\dagger] \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}([a_1, a_1^\dagger] + [a_2, a_2^\dagger]) = 1 \quad (14)$$

We now calculate the claimed hamiltonian:

$$\hbar\omega \sum_m \left(b_m^\dagger b_m + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \hbar\omega(a_3^\dagger a_3) + \frac{3}{2}\hbar\omega \times \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + \text{cross terms}) + \hbar\omega \times \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + \text{cross terms}) \quad (15)$$

$$= \hbar\omega \sum_n (a_n^\dagger a_n + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (16)$$

To check the 2nd claim, we note that the $m = 0$ term goes away, while the $m = 1$ and $m = -1$ term subtract. This means we keep the cross terms from the previous calculation:

$$\hbar \sum_{m=-1}^{+1} m b_m^\dagger b_m = \hbar(b_{+1}^\dagger b_{+1} - b_{-1}^\dagger b_{-1}) \quad (17)$$

$$= -i\hbar(a_1^\dagger a_2 - a_2^\dagger a_1) = \hat{L}^3 \quad (18)$$

Commentary

This problem illustrates a special case of the more general symmetry of the 3-d harmonic oscillator hamiltonian under $U(3)$ group:

$$\mathbf{a} \equiv (a_1, a_2, a_3) \quad (19)$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{U}\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H} \quad (20)$$

9.3 Problem 5.4

$$L = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \approx -mc^2 \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{2c^2}\right) \approx -mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \quad (21)$$

$$p \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \quad (22)$$

$$= mv \quad (23)$$

$$H \equiv p\dot{q} - L \quad (24)$$

$$= mv^2 - (-mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2) = mc^2 + \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \quad (25)$$

9.4 Problem 5.8

Note that the electromagnetic field tensor, doing $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}F_{\gamma\delta}$ basically swaps $E \rightarrow -B$ and $B \rightarrow -E$.

Then we are asked to compute $F_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}F_{\gamma\delta})$ which is just the sum of all the matrix entries element wise multiplied, which gives: $\propto \sum_{i=1}^3 E_i(-B_i) \propto E \cdot B$

This $E \cdot B$ term is topological in nature. The way to see it is in form notation, it can be written as $F \wedge F$. Its integral over the manifold gives the winding number of the gauge field configuration and is a topological invariant. It's integral over a closed manifold gives an integer (up to factors of π and 2).

Another comment: this term obviously violates parity (by nature of having the ϵ tensor).

9.5 Problem 5.9

Consider the equation: $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\nu$ for $\nu = 0$

This gives:

$$\partial_x E_x + \partial_y E_y + \partial_z E_z = \rho \text{ (Gauss's Law)} \quad (26)$$

Let's work out the same equation for $\nu = 1$ (x) component:

$$\partial_t E_x + \partial_y B_z - \partial_z B_y = J_x \quad (27)$$

$$\partial_t E_y - \partial_x B_z + \partial_z B_x = J_y \quad (28)$$

$$\text{et cetera} \quad (29)$$

$$\rightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{B} + \partial_t \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{J} \quad (30)$$

The bianchi's identity below:

$$\partial_{[\mu} F_{\nu\lambda]} = 0 \quad (31)$$

follows straight from the definition of F:

$$F_{\nu\lambda} = \partial_\nu A_\lambda - \partial_\lambda A_\nu \quad (32)$$

A way to see it is that an exact form is necessarily closed: $F = dA \rightarrow dF = 0$.

In particular for the spatial components $\mu, \nu, \lambda = 1, 2, 3$ it implies:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (33)$$

which is one of maxwell's equations (no magnetic monopoles).

For the combination $\mu, \nu, \lambda = 0, (1 \rightarrow 3), (1 \rightarrow 3)$ where $\nu \neq \lambda$, we can for example use the case 0, 1, 3 to get the following equation:

$$\partial_0 F_{13} + \partial_1 F_{30} + \partial_3 F_{01} = 0 \quad (34)$$

$$\partial_t B_y - \partial_x E_z + \partial_z E_x = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$(36)$$

We can work out the 2 other cases for B_x, B_z to get Faraday's law:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0. \quad (37)$$

9.6 Problem 5.10

F is a anti-symmetric object. It is contracted with symmetric tensor $\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta$ which implies that the result is 0 (this is because for every term, there is a term of opposite sign).

The continuity equation is a statement about conservation of charge:

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{\int_M \rho dV}_{\text{charge in region "M"}} = - \underbrace{\int_{\partial M} \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{A}}_{\text{current flowing out of the boundary of } M} \quad (38)$$