# **ECG AI Diagnosis Report Structure (15 Pages)**

# **Page Distribution Overview**

• **Cover Page**: 1 page

• Main Content: 12-13 pages

• **References**: 1-2 pages

#### **Detailed Section Structure**

#### 1. Cover Page (1 page)

- University header and course information
- Report title: "AI-Based ECG Diagnosis System for Cardiovascular Disease Detection"
- Team member names and student IDs
- Date of submission
- Declaration of Originality (included in LaTeX template)

#### 2. Abstract (0.5 pages)

- **Content**: 150-200 words
- · Problem statement and significance
- Brief methodology overview
- Key findings and model performance
- Clinical implications

#### 3. Introduction (1.5-2 pages)

# Following the "Further Instruction" framework:

- **Problem Context** (0.5 pages):
  - o CVD statistics and AFIB significance
  - o ECG interpretation challenges in clinical settings
  - Need for AI-assisted diagnosis

- Scope and Specifications (0.5 pages):
  - o Target conditions: NORM, AFIB, AFLT, 1dAVb, RBBB, LBBB
  - o System requirements: accuracy, transparency, confidence flagging
  - Clinical deployment context
- **Objectives and Contributions** (0.5 pages):
  - Research objectives
  - Expected contributions to clinical practice

#### 4. Literature Review (3-3.5 pages)

# Structured survey with purpose-driven analysis:

- ECG Foundation Models Evolution (1 page):
  - o From traditional CNNs to foundation models
  - o Self-supervised learning in ECG analysis
  - o Transfer learning advantages for medical data
- Target Foundation Models (1.2 pages):
  - o HuBERT ECG Model:
    - Architecture based on speech processing HuBERT
    - Self-supervised pre-training on large ECG datasets
    - Multi-lead ECG representation learning
    - Performance on arrhythmia detection tasks
  - ECG Founder Model:
    - Foundation model design principles
    - Pre-training strategies and datasets
    - Fine-tuning capabilities for specific conditions
    - Reported benchmark performances
- **Explainable AI in ECG Analysis** (0.5 pages):
  - Feature-based interpretation methods

- Clinical feature extraction importance
- o Integration of domain knowledge with AI models
- **Selection Justification** (0.3-0.5 pages):
  - o Why these two foundation models were selected
  - Comparison criteria: performance, interpretability, clinical relevance

#### 5. Benchmarking Results (2.5-3 pages)

## Head-to-head foundation model comparison:

- Benchmark Datasets (0.5 pages):
  - MIMIC-IV ECG Dataset:
    - Dataset characteristics (size, demographics, conditions)
    - Clinical relevance and quality annotations
    - Preprocessing and data split strategies
  - PhysioNet Challenge 2021 Dataset:
    - Competition context and evaluation metrics
    - Dataset diversity and representativeness
    - Alignment with target conditions (AFIB, AFLT, etc.)
- **Quantitative Performance Analysis** (1.5 pages):
  - **o** Comprehensive Metrics Table:
    - Per-condition performance (NORM, AFIB, AFLT, 1dAVb, RBBB, LBBB)
    - F1-Score, AUC-ROC, Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity
    - Macro and micro-averaged metrics
    - Statistical significance testing (p-values, confidence intervals)

#### o Cross-Dataset Generalization:

■ MIMIC-IV → PhysioNet 2021 transfer performance

- PhysioNet 2021 → MIMIC-IV transfer performance
- Robustness analysis across different patient populations
- Computational Efficiency Comparison:
  - Training time, inference speed, memory requirements
- **Qualitative Analysis** (0.5-1 pages):
  - o Model Interpretability Assessment:
    - Foundation model feature representation quality
    - Attention pattern analysis (if applicable)
    - Clinical relevance of learned representations
  - Failure Case Analysis:
    - Challenging samples where models disagree
    - Common misclassification patterns
    - Clinical significance of errors

# 6. Methodology (3-3.5 pages)

## Your hybrid AI solution development:

- Foundation Model Selection and Adaptation (1 page):
  - Model Selection Rationale:
    - Benchmarking results analysis
    - Selection of best-performing foundation model
    - Justification based on clinical requirements
  - Model Fine-tuning Strategy:
    - Target condition-specific adaptation
    - Transfer learning from general ECG representations
    - Hyperparameter optimization approach
- NeuroKit-Based Explainability Framework (1.2 pages):
  - **o** ECG Feature Extraction Pipeline:

- NeuroKit2 implementation details
- Clinical feature categories (P-wave, QRS, T-wave characteristics)
- Heart rate variability metrics
- Morphological and temporal features

#### Feature Visualization System:

- Automated ECG feature plotting
- Clinical annotation generation
- Interactive visualization components

#### Integration Architecture:

- Feature extraction → Visualization → API workflow
- Data preprocessing and normalization steps

### • **ChatGPT 4.1 Integration for Clinical Reporting** (0.8 pages):

#### API Integration Framework:

- Feature data formatting for LLM input
- Prompt engineering for clinical interpretation
- Response parsing and validation

#### Clinical Report Generation:

- Template-based reporting structure
- Integration of quantitative features with qualitative insights
- Medical terminology and clinical reasoning

#### Quality Assurance:

- Output validation mechanisms
- Clinical consistency checks

#### • **Test Results on Provided Dataset** (0.5-1 pages):

## o Diagnostic Performance:

- Selected model performance on validation set
- Per-condition accuracy metrics
- Confidence threshold optimization

# Explainability Demonstration:

- Sample ECG feature extraction results
- Generated clinical reports examples
- Clinician feedback on report quality (if available)

#### **7. AI Ethics (1-1.5 pages)**

## Alignment with Australia's AI Ethics Principles:

#### • Fairness and Non-discrimination:

- o Foundation model bias assessment across demographic groups
- o MIMIC-IV and PhysioNet dataset diversity analysis
- o Mitigation strategies for population-specific biases

# • Transparency and Explainability:

- NeuroKit feature extraction transparency
- ChatGPT clinical reasoning interpretability
- End-to-end explanation pipeline validation

#### Accountability and Human Oversight:

- o Clinician-in-the-loop design with AI-generated reports
- Foundation model decision boundaries and uncertainty quantification
- LLM output validation and clinical oversight requirements

## Privacy and Data Protection:

- Patient data handling in NeuroKit processing
- API security for ChatGPT integration
- De-identification protocols for clinical features

# • Reliability and Safety:

- Foundation model robustness across datasets
- LLM hallucination mitigation in clinical contexts
- Fail-safe mechanisms for uncertain diagnoses

#### 8. Discussion (1.5 pages)

- Foundation Model Performance Analysis (0.5 pages):
  - o HuBERT ECG vs ECG Founder comparative strengths
  - o Implications of pre-training strategies on clinical tasks
  - Generalization capabilities across MIMIC-IV and PhysioNet datasets

## • **Novel Explainability Approach Impact** (0.5 pages):

- NeuroKit + ChatGPT pipeline effectiveness
- o Clinical feature interpretation quality
- Comparison with traditional explainability methods (Grad-CAM, SHAP)
- o Potential for clinical adoption and trust building

#### • **Limitations and Future Directions** (0.5 pages):

- o Foundation model computational requirements
- o LLM API dependency and latency considerations
- o Dataset limitations and generalization concerns
- o Integration challenges in clinical workflows
- Future work: real-time processing, extended condition coverage

#### 9. Conclusion (0.5 pages)

- Key achievements summary
- Clinical significance
- Contribution to ECG AI diagnosis field

#### 10. References (1-2 pages)

- 40-60 high-quality references
- Recent papers (2020-2025 preferred)
- Mix of medical and AI/ML journals
- Proper IEEE/ACM citation format