

# Concurrency (Part I):


Mutual Exclusion, Synchronization, Deadlock, and Starvation


Professor Travis Peters
CSCI 460 Operating Systems
Fall 2019


Some slides & figures adapted from Stallings instructor resources.

Some slides adapted from Adam Bates's F'18 CS423 course @ UIUC https://courses.engr.illinois.edu/cs423/sp2018/schedule.html

#### SIMPLY EXPLAINED







-http://www.datamation.com/news/tech-comics-quantum-physics-2.html



# Goals for Today

## **Learning Objectives**

- Dive into core topics in concurrency
- · Discuss common mechanisms for achieving mutual exclusion & synchronization

#### **Announcements**

- Homework 2 (Chapters 3-4) out later today
- Coming Soon...
  - 1st Programming Assignment (Concurrency)
  - Homework 3 (Chapters 5-6)
  - Exam will be held in-class next week

## Concurrency—What is it? & Why is it?

Concurrency is all about managing shared resources whilst interleaving & overlapping execution.

Recall...

Thread = a single (separately schedulable) execution sequence

#### Servers

Multiple connections handled simultaneously

## Parallel Programs

To achieve better performance

## Programs w/ User Interfaces

To acheive user responsiveness while doing computation

## Network & Disk Bound Programs

To hide network/disk latency



# Concurrency—Why is it challenging?

Concurrency is all about managing shared resources whilst interleaving & overlapping execution.

Difficult for OS to manage resources in an **optimal** way...

Difficult to **debug** programing errors (non-deterministic and hard to reproduce)...

#### Programmer vs. Processor View

#### Programmer's Possible Possible Possible View Execution Execution Execution #1 #3 x = x + 1; x = x + 1; x = x + 1; x = x + 1;y = y + x;Thread is suspended. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Thread is suspended. Other thread(s) run. Other thread(s) run. Thread is resumed. ..... Thread is resumed. y = y + x; ..... z = x + 5y; z = x + 5y;

#### **Possible Executions**

Processor View	
One Execution	Another Execution
Thread 1	Thread 1
Thread 2	Thread 2
Thread 3	Thread 3
Another Execution	
Thread 1	
Thread 2	
Thread 3	

Program must anticipate all of these possible executions!

(Keep this in mind for later when we discuss scheduling)



# Some Key Terminology Related to Concurrency

**atomic operation**—A function or action implemented as a sequence of one or more instructions that appears to be indivisible; that is, no other process can see an intermediate state or interrupt the operation. The sequence of instruction is guaranteed to execute as a group, or not execute at all, having no visible effect on system state. Atomicity guarantees isolation from concurrent processes.

**critical section**—A section of code within a process that requires access to shared resources and that must not be executed while another process is in a corresponding section of code.

**deadlock**—A situation in which two or more processes are unable to proceed because each is waiting for one of the others to do something.

**livelock**—A situation in which two or more processes continuously change their states in response to changes in the other process(es) without doing any useful work.

mutual exclusion—The requirement that when one process is in a critical section that accesses shared resources, no other process may be in a critical section that accesses any of those shared resources.

**race condition**—A situation in which multiple threads or processes read and write a shared data item and the final result depends on the relative timing of their execution.

**starvation**—A situation in which a runnable process is overlooked indefinitely by the scheduler; although it is able to proceed, it is never chosen.



# Mutual Exclusion—What is it? & What are the requirements?

- Any facility or capability that is to provide support for mutual exclusion should meet the following requirements:
  - 1. Mutual exclusion must be enforced: *only one process at a time is allowed into its critical section*, among all processes that have critical sections *for the same resource or shared object*
  - 2. A process that *halts* must do so without interfering with other processes
  - 3. It must not be possible for a process requiring access to a critical section to be delayed indefinitely: no deadlock or starvation
  - 4. When **no process is in a critical section**, any process that request entry to its critical section must be permitted to enter without delay
  - 5. No assumptions are made about relative process speeds or number of processes
  - 6. A process remains inside its *critical section for a finite time only*

Solutions?



## Solutions for Mutual Exclusion

## **Software Support (today)**

- Assume elementary mutual exclusion at the memory access level; serialized by "memory arbiter"
- Decker's Algorithm, Peterson's Algorithm

### **Hardware Support**

- Interrupt Disabling
  - · **Disadvantages:** inhibits processor's ability to interleave processes; doesn't work across processors.
- Special Instructions
  - Compare&Swap: compare values => if values are the same, swap!
  - Exchange (XCHG): exchanges the contents of a register w/ that of a memory location
  - · Advantages: simple & easy to implement; can be used on multi-processor machines
  - · Disadvantages: possibly expensive busy-waiting; starvation & deadlock are still possibe

### **Programming Language Mechanisms**

· Semaphores, Mutex (Lock), Condition Variables, Monitors, ...oh my!



# Mutual Exclusion—Workings towards a solution...

#### Attempt 1: Dependent Turn-taking (1 flag)

- If value of turn == process #, process can proceed
- What if one process takes a long turn?

#### Attempt 2: Independent Turn-taking (2+ flags)

- Each process can proceed independently\*
- What if one process fails in critical section?
- · => Also, possibly incorrect! Mutual exclusion broken! (TOCTOU)

#### **Attempt 3: Set THEN check**

- Mutual exclusion fixed...
- What if both processes set flags to true?
- · => <u>Deadlock</u> is now possible!

#### Problematic Sequence...

P0 executes the while statement and finds flag[1] set to false P1 executes the while statement and finds flag[0] set to false P0 sets flag[0] to true and enters its critical section P1 sets flag[1] to true and enters its critical section

#### Attempt 4: Set THEN check (THEN back-off?)

- Processes will "back-off"
- · What if both processes alternate in deferring to the other?
- · => <u>Livelock</u> is now possible!

# Problematic Sequence... P0 sets flag[0] to true P1 sets flag[1] to true P0 checks flag[1] P1 checks flag[0] P0 sets flag[0] to false P1 sets flag[1] to false P0 sets flag[0] to true P1 sets flag[1] to true

#### 

#### (a) First attempt

```
/* PROCESS 0 */

while (flag[1])
   /* do nothing */;
flag[0] = true;
/*critical section*/;
flag[0] = false;

•

/* PROCESS 1 */

while (flag[0])
   /* do nothing */;
flag[1] = true;
/* critical section*/;
flag[1] = false;
•

•
```

#### (b) Second attempt

\*while other process is not in the critical section

```
/* PROCESS 0 */

flag[0] = true;
flag[1] = true;
while (flag[1])
   /* do nothing */;
/* critical section*/;
flag[0] = false;
flag[1] = true;
while (flag[0])
   /* do nothing */;
/* critical section*/;
flag[1] = false;
flag[1] = false;
```

#### (c) Third attempt

```
/* PROCESS 0 */
                             /* PROCESS 1 */
flag[0] = true;
                           flag[1] = true;
while (flag[1]) {
                           while (flag[0]) {
 flag[0] = false;
                             flag[1] = false;
 /*delay */;
                             /*delay */;
  flag[0] = true;
                              flag[1] = true;
                           /* critical section*/;
/*critical section*/;
flag[0] = false;
                           flag[1] = false;
```

(d) Fourth attempt



# Peterson's Algorithm for Two Processes


Generalizable to 3+ processes

```
boolean flag [2];
int turn;
void P0()
     while (true) {
          flag [0] = true;
          turn = 1;
          while (flag [1] && turn == 1) /* do nothing */;
          /* critical section */;
          flag [0] = false;
          /* remainder */;
void Pl()
     while (true) {
          flag [1] = true;
          turn = 0;
          while (flag [0] && turn == 0) /* do nothing */;
          /* critical section */;
          flag [1] = false;
          /* remainder */
void main()
     flag [0] = false;
     flag [1] = false;
     parbegin (P0, P1);
```





# Synchronization Roadmap



CSCI 460: Operating Systems