

# Statistical and Econometric Models

Lectures: Lennart Oelschläger · Tutorials: Sebastian Büscher ✉

## Problem Set – Week 3 (for tutorial on May 06, 2024)

### Solutions

#### Properties of “Hat Matrix” and “Residual Maker”

##### Problem 3.1:

In the linear regression framework  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ ,

- the “Hat Matrix”  $H$  yields the fitted values  $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$
- and the “Residual Maker” matrix  $M$  yields the residuals  $e = y - \hat{y}$

when right-multiplied with  $y$ , respectively.

(a) Show that  $H = X(X'X)^{-1}X'$  and  $M = I - H$ .

##### Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} Hy &= \hat{y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X'X)^{-1}X'y \\ \implies H &= X(X'X)^{-1}X' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} My &= e = y - \hat{y} = y - X\hat{\beta} = y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y = (I - H)y \\ \implies M &= I - H \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show that  $H$  and  $M$  are square and symmetric.

**Solution:** both matrices are  $N \times N$ , which follows from the dimensions of the matrices ( $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (K+1)}$ ) and their definitions

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= (X(X'X)^{-1}X')' & | & (AB)' = B'A' \\ &= ((X'X)^{-1}X')'X' \\ &= X((X'X)^{-1})'X' & | & (A^{-1})' = (A')^{-1} \\ &= X((X'X)')^{-1}X' \\ &= X(X'X)^{-1}X' \\ &= H \end{aligned}$$

$$M' = (I - H)' = I - H' = I - H = M$$

(c) Show that  $H$  and  $M$  are idempotent.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^2 &= (X(X'X)^{-1}X') (X(X'X)^{-1}X') \\
 &= X((X'X)^{-1}(X'X)) (X'X)^{-1}X' \\
 &= XI(X'X)^{-1}X' \\
 &= X(X'X)^{-1}X' \\
 &= H
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^2 &= (I - H)(I - H) \\
 &= I - IH - HI + H^2 \\
 &= I - 2H + H^2 & | \quad H^2 = H \\
 &= I - 2H + H \\
 &= I - H \\
 &= M
 \end{aligned}$$

(d) Show that  $MX = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (K+1)}$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 MX &= (I - H)X \\
 &= X - X(X'X)^{-1}X'X \\
 &= X - XI \\
 &= 0_{N \times (K+1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(e) Show that the residuals  $e$  are orthogonal to all covariates  $x_j$ , i.e.  $x_j'e = 0$ , where  $x_j$  is the  $j$ -th column of  $X$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_j'e &= x_j'My \\
 &= x_j'M'y \\
 &= (Mx_j)'y \\
 &= 0_{1 \times N}y \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(f) Show that a symmetric and idempotent matrix  $A \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is positive semi-definite.

**Solution:** Two ways to show this:

(1) Show it directly. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}x'Ax &= x'(Ax) \\&= x'A(Ax) \\&= x'A'(Ax) \\&= (Ax)'(Ax)\end{aligned}$$

(2) Showing  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of an idempotent matrix  $A$ . Let  $x$  be an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$Ax = \lambda x,$$

but also

$$\begin{aligned}Ax &= (AA)x \\&= A(Ax) \\&= A(\lambda x) \\&= \lambda Ax \\&= \lambda^2 x,\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda x &= \lambda^2 x \\ \iff 0 &= \lambda(1 - \lambda)x \\ \implies \lambda &\in \{0, 1\} \\ \implies \lambda &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

So since  $A$  is symmetric and all its eigenvalues are non-negative and real it follows that  $A$  is positive semi-definite.

## Interpreting parameters with logarithm transformed variables

### Problem 3.2:

In the following, we want to consider the interpretation of the slope coefficient in models in which dependent and/or independent variables are log-transformed. For the following derivations, use the approximation  $f(z) = \exp(az) \approx 1 + az$  for  $az \in \mathbb{R}$  close to zero at a suitable point.

In the following,  $x_0$  denotes the initial level,  $\Delta x$  any absolute change and  $\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}$  any percentage change in the regressor ( $\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} = 0.01$  corresponds to a one per cent change).

(a) Given the *log-level* model

$$\log(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon$$

with fitted values  $\hat{y}(x) = \exp(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x)$ . Show that the following applies

$$\frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} \approx \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x. \quad (1)$$

Interpret  $\hat{\beta}_1$  for the case that  $\Delta x = 1$  applies. Then transform equation (1) to  $\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x)$  and check your interpretation using the transformation (continue to use  $\Delta x = 1$ ).

**Solution:** To show:  $\frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} \stackrel{!}{\approx} \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} &= \frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} - 1 = \frac{\exp[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1(x_0 + \Delta x)]}{\exp[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0]} - 1 \\ &= \exp[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_0] - 1 = \exp[\hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x] - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now use the above approximation with  $a := \hat{\beta}_1, z = \Delta x$ :

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} = \exp[\hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x] - 1 \approx 1 + \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x - 1 = \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x$$

If the regressor  $x$  changes by exactly one unit ( $\Delta x = 1$ ), then the percentage change in  $y$  can be described approximately by  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . The slope coefficient now (approximately) indicates the effect as a percentage change in the dependent variable. Transformation of (1) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} &\approx \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x \\ \Leftrightarrow \hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) &\approx \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x \hat{y}(x_0) + \hat{y}(x_0) = \hat{y}(x_0)(1 + \hat{\beta}_1 \Delta x) \quad | \quad \Delta x = 1 \\ &\quad \text{old value} \\ \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\hat{y}(x_0 + 1)}_{\text{new value}} &\approx \underbrace{\hat{y}(x_0)}_{\text{old value}} \cdot \underbrace{(1 + \hat{\beta}_1)}_{\text{Percentage increase}}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Given the *log-log* model

$$\log(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(x) + \varepsilon$$

with fitted values  $\hat{y}(x) = \exp(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x))$ . Show that the following applies

$$\frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} \approx \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{\Delta x}{x_0}. \quad (2)$$

**Solution:** Analogue to (a), we use the functional form of  $\hat{y}$  for the left-hand side of the approximate equality.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} &= \frac{\exp[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x_0 + \Delta x)]}{\exp[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x_0)]} - 1 \\ &= \exp[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x_0)] - 1 \\ &= \exp[\hat{\beta}_1(\log(x_0 + \Delta x) - \log(x_0))] - 1 \\ &= \exp\left[\hat{\beta}_1 \log\left(\frac{x_0 + \Delta x}{x_0}\right)\right] - 1 \\ &= \exp\left[\hat{\beta}_1 \log\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} + 1\right)\right] - 1 \end{aligned}$$

We now apply the approximation from (a) a total of two times. First 'backwards' with

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \Delta x, z := \frac{1}{x_0} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta x}{x_0} + 1 &\approx \exp\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

We then use the approximation from (a) with

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \hat{\beta}_1, z := \frac{\Delta x}{x_0} \\ \exp\left[\hat{\beta}_1 \frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right] &\approx 1 + \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{\Delta x}{x_0} \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0)}{\hat{y}(x_0)} &= \exp\left[\hat{\beta}_1 \log\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} + 1\right)\right] - 1 \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\approx} \exp\left[\hat{\beta}_1 \log\left(\exp\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right)\right)\right] - 1 \\ &= \exp\left[\hat{\beta}_1 \left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right)\right] - 1 \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{\approx} \hat{\beta}_1 \left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right) + 1 - 1 \\ &= \hat{\beta}_1 \left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Interpret  $\hat{\beta}_1$  for the case that  $\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} = 0.01$  applies. Then solve equation (2) to  $\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x)$  and check your interpretation (continue to use  $\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} = 0.01$ ).

**Solution:** If our regressor changes by one per cent (corresponds to  $\Delta x/x_0 = 0.01$ ), then we expect a percentage change in our dependent variable of  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{100}$ . This results from the transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) &\approx \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{\Delta x}{x_0} \hat{y}(x_0) + \hat{y}(x_0) & | \quad \frac{\Delta x}{x_0} = 0.01 \\ &= \hat{y}(x_0) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{100}\right) \\ &= \hat{y}(x_0) \cdot \left(\frac{100 + \hat{\beta}_1}{100}\right)\end{aligned}$$

(c) Given the *level-log* model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(x) + \varepsilon$$

with fitted values  $\hat{y}(x) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x)$ . Show that the following applies

$$\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0) \approx \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{\Delta x}{x_0}. \quad (3)$$

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{y}(x_0) &= \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x_0 + \Delta x) - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 \log(x_0) \\ &= \hat{\beta}_1 \left[ \underbrace{\log(x_0 + \Delta x) - \log(x_0)}_{= \log\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} + 1\right)} \right] \\ &= \hat{\beta}_1 \left[ \log\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} + 1\right) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\approx} \hat{\beta}_1 \left[ \log\left(\exp\left(\frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\right)\right) \right] \\ &= \hat{\beta}_1 \frac{\Delta x}{x_0}\end{aligned}$$

Interpret  $\hat{\beta}_1$  for the case that  $\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} = 0.01$  applies. Then solve equation (3) to  $\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x)$  and check your interpretation (continue to use  $\frac{\Delta x}{x_0} = 0.01$ ).

**Solution:** If the regressor changes by one per cent, we expect an absolute change in the dependent variable of  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{100}$ . We can also see this by:

$$\hat{y}(x_0 + \Delta x) \approx \hat{y}(x_0) + \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{100}$$