# The Real Analysis and Combinatorics of Geometry

## George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. Using a ruler-like measure of intervals with real analysis exposes some counting principles underlying geometry: A set-based definition of a countable distance range converges to the taxicab distance equation as the upper boundary, the Euclidean distance equation as the lower boundary, and the triangle inequality over the full range, which provides counting-based motivations for the definitions of smallest distance and metric space. A set-based definition of countable size converges to the product of interval sizes, the volume equation used in the Lebesgue measure and Euclidean integrals. A cyclic set of at most 3 dimensions emerges from the same countable set axioms generating the distance and volume measures. Implications for non-Euclidean geometries and higher dimensional geometries are discussed. Proofs are verified in Coq.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Ruler measure and convergence	2
3.	Distance	3
4.	Size (length/area/volume)	6
5.	Ordered and symmetric geometries	7
6.	Summary	9
References		11

#### 1. Introduction

The triangle inequality of a metric space, Euclidean distance metric, and the volume equation (product of interval sizes) of the Lebesgue measure and Euclidean integrals are imported into mathematical analysis from Euclidean geometry [Gol76] as primitives rather than derived from set and number theory-based axioms. As a consequence, mathematical analysis has provided no insight into the counting principles that motivate and generate those geometric relations.

 $<sup>2010\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$  Primary 28A75, 28A25, 03E05; Secondary 05C99, 51M25.

Copyright © 2017 George M. Van Treeck. Creative Commons Attribution License.

Real analysis and measure theory have not provided any proofs that a set-based countable distance range applied to the nearest integer number of same-sized subintervals of a set of domain intervals and an image (distance) interval converge to the triangle inequality, taxicab (Manhattan) distance at the upper boundary, and Euclidean distance at the lower boundary. Understanding the counting principle generating the triangle inequality, taxicab and Euclidean distance provides counting-based insights into the notions of a distance measure, largest monotonic distance, and smallest monotonic distance that importing as primitives from Euclidean geometry does not provide.

Further, the Lebesgue measure and Euclidean integrals sum the product of interval sizes (Euclidean volumes) without proof that the Cartesian product of the nearest integer number of same-sized subintervals of each interval converges to the product of intervals sizes.

What is needed for counting-based (combinatorial) proofs is an indefinite integration that derives a real-valued equation from a **combinatorial** function relating the nearest **integer number** of same-sized subintervals of each interval. In contrast, the various traditional indefinite integrals (antiderivatives) derive a real-valued equation from a **real-valued**, **continuous** function relating the **real-valued sizes** of domain and image subintervals.

Combinatorial integration requires measuring approximately to the nearest integer number of same-sized subintervals of intervals similar to using a ruler (measuring stick). Using the ruler measure, the size of subintervals is the same in both the domain and image intervals and the number of subintervals in each domain and image interval can vary. In contrast, when using the traditional method of dividing a set of intervals into subintervals, the number of subintervals is the same in both the domain and image intervals and the size of some subintervals can vary.

The Euclidean volume and distance equations are derived in this article for any number of dimensions. However, it will be shown that the properties that can limit both Euclidean and non-Euclidean geometries to a cyclic set of at most 3 dimensions emerge from the same countable set axioms from which distance and volume emerge. Implications for higher dimensional geometries are discussed.

The proofs in this article are verified formally using the Coq Proof Assistant [Coq15] version 8.4p16. The Coq-based definitions, theorems, and proofs are in the files "euclidrelations.v" and "threed.v" located at:

 $\verb|https://github.com/treeck/CombinatorialGeometry|.$ 

## 2. Ruler measure and convergence

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure: A ruler measures the size, M, of a closed, open, or semi-open interval as the nearest integer number of whole subintervals, p, times the subinterval size, c, where c is the independent variable. Notionally:

$$(2.1) \quad \forall \ c \ s \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \ \ s = |b-a| \ \land \ \ c > 0 \ \land \\ (p = floor(s/c) \ \lor \ \ p = ceiling(s/c)) \ \land \ \ M = \lim_{p \to \infty} \Sigma_{i=1}^p c = \lim_{c \to 0} pc.$$

THEOREM 2.2. Ruler convergence:

$$\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \ s = |b - a| \Rightarrow M = \lim_{c \to 0} pc = s.$$

The Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is "limit\_c\_0\_M\_eq\_exact\_size."

Proof. (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function,  $floor(x) = max(\{y: y \le x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$ :

$$(2.2) \forall c > 0, p = floor(s/c) \Rightarrow 0 \le |p - s/c| < 1.$$

Multiply all sides of inequality 2.2 by |c|:

$$(2.3) \qquad \forall c > 0, \quad 0 \le |p - s/c| < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \le |pc - s| < |c|.$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} (2.4) & \forall \ c>0, \ \exists \ \delta \ : \ |pc-s|<|c|=|c-0|<\delta \\ & \Rightarrow & \forall \ \epsilon=\delta, \ |c-0|<\delta \ \land \ |pc-s|<\epsilon \ := \ M=\lim_{c\to 0} pc=s. \end{array} \ \Box$$

The proof steps using the ceiling function (the outer measure) are the same as the steps in the previous proof using the floor function (the inner measure).

For example, showing convergence using the interval,  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $s = |\pi - 0|$ ,  $c = 10^{-i}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and p = floor(s/c), then,  $p \cdot c = 3.1_{i=1}$ ,  $3.14_{i=2}$ ,  $3.141_{i=3}$ , ...,  $\pi$ .

#### 3. Distance

The most basic principle of distance is that an image (distance) set has the same number of elements as a corresponding domain set. For example, the number of steps in a distance set must equal the number pieces of land traversed. Therefore, for each  $i^{th}$  disjoint domain set containing  $p_i$  number of elements there exists a distance set with the same  $p_i$  number of elements.

If a distance set element corresponds to more than one domain set element, then the total (union) size of the distance sets is less than the union size of the disjoint domain sets. That is, distance is a function of the number of correspondences per distance element. Notionally:

Definition 3.1. Countable distance range,  $d_c$ :

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_i \subseteq X, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset, \quad \forall \ x_i \ \exists \ y_i \subseteq Y:$$
 
$$|x_i| = |y_i| = p_i \quad \land \quad |\bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i| \ge 0 \quad \land \quad d_c = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n y_i| = |Y|.$$

**Notation conventions:** The vertical bars around a set is the standard notation for indicating the cardinal (number of elements in the set). To prevent over use of the vertical bar, the symbol for "such that" is the colon.

The countable distance range principle (3.1),  $|x_i| = |y_i| = p_i$ , constrains each  $i^{th}$  distance set element to a range of correspondences from one domain set element to as many as  $p_i$  number of domain set elements. More than  $p_i$  number of surjective correspondences would be over counting like a step walked corresponding to the same piece of land multiple times.

Using the rule of product, there is a range from  $|y_i| \cdot 1 = p_i$  to  $|y_i| \cdot p_i = p_i^2$  number of distance-to-domain correspondences per distance set. Therefore,  $d_c = f(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)$  is the largest possible distance (a function of the smallest number of correspondences per distance set element, which is the case of disjoint distance sets).  $d_c = f(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2)$  is the smallest possible distance (a function of the largest number of correspondences per distance set element, which is the case of the maximum allowed intersection of distance sets).

Using the ruler (2.1) to divide a set of real-valued domain intervals and a distance interval into sets of same-sized subintervals, and applying the ruler convergence theorem (2.2) proves that the largest and smallest distance cases converges to the real-valued taxicab and Euclidean distance equations.

The convergence proofs of the taxicab and Euclidean distance equations use the strategy of showing that the right and left sides of a proposed counting-based equation both converge to the same real value and therefore are equal. In other words, the propositional logic,  $A = C \land B = C \Rightarrow A = B$ , is used.

Theorem 3.2. Taxicab (largest) distance, d, is the size of the distance interval,  $[y_0, y_m]$ , corresponding to a set of disjoint domain intervals,  $\{[x_{0,1}, x_{m_1,1}], [x_{0,2}, x_{m_n,2}], \dots, [x_{0,n}, x_{m_n,n}]\}$ , where:

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$
,  $d = |y_m - y_0|$ ,  $s_i = |x_{m_i,i} - x_{0,i}|$ .

The formal Coq-based theorem and proof in file euclidrelations. v is "taxicab\_distance."

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $s_i = |x_{m_i,i} - x_{0,i}|$ , of each of the domain intervals,  $[x_{0,i}, x_{m_i,i}]$ , into  $p_i$  number of subintervals.

(3.1) 
$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad c > 0 \quad \land \quad p_i = floor(s_i/c) \quad \land$$
  
$$|\{x_i : x_i \in \{x_{1_i}, x_{2_i}, \dots, x_{p_i}\}\}| = |\{y_i : y_i \in \{y_{1_i}, y_{2_i}, \dots, y_{p_i}\}\}| = p_i.$$

Next, apply the definition of the countable distance range (3.1): (3.2)

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad y \in \{y_i\} \subseteq Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\{y_i\}| = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = |\{y: y \in Y\}|.$$

Multiply both sides of 3.2 by c and apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2):

(3.3) 
$$s_i = \lim_{c \to 0} p_i \cdot c \quad \land \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c) = |\{y\}| \cdot c$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c) = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{y\}| \cdot c.$$

Use the ruler to divide the exact size,  $d = |y_m - y_0|$ , of the image interval,  $[y_0, y_m]$ , into  $p_d$ , number of subintervals and apply the rule of product:

$$(3.4) \exists c > 0: p_d = floor(d/c) = |\{y: y \in \{y_{1_i}, y_{2_i}, \dots, y_{p_d}\} = Y\}|.$$

Multiply both sides of 3.4 by c and apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2):

$$(3.5) \ d = \lim_{c \to 0} p_d \cdot c \ \land \ p_d \cdot c = |\{y\}| \cdot c \ \Rightarrow \ d = \lim_{c \to 0} p_d \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{y\}| \cdot c.$$

Combine equations 3.5 and 3.3:

(3.6) 
$$d = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{y\}| \cdot c \quad \land \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{y\}| \cdot c \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i. \quad \Box$$

THEOREM 3.3. Euclidean (smallest) distance, d, is the size of the distance interval,  $[y_0, y_m]$ , corresponding to a set of disjoint domain intervals,  $\{[x_{0,1}, x_{m_1,1}], [x_{0,2}, x_{m_1,2}], \dots, [x_{0,n}, x_{m_n,n}]\}$ , where:

$$d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2$$
,  $d = |y_m - y_0|$ ,  $s_i = |x_{m_i,i} - x_{0,i}|$ .

The formal Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is "Euclidean\_distance."

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $s_i = |x_{m_i,i} - x_{0,i}|$ , of each of the domain

intervals,  $[x_{0,i}, x_{m_i,i}]$ , into  $p_i$  number of subintervals and apply the definition of the countable distance range (3.1).

(3.7) 
$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad c > 0 \quad \land \quad p_i = floor(s_i/c) \quad \land$$

$$x_i = \{x_{1_i}, x_{2_i}, \dots, x_{p_i}\} \quad \land \quad y_i = \{y_{1_i}, y_{2_i}, \dots, y_{p_i}\}.$$

Apply the rule of product:

$$(3.8) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i| \cdot |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in y_i\}|,$$

where each pair,  $(y_a, y_b)$ , represents a combination between two elements in the distance set,  $y_i$ . From the definition of countable distance range (3.1), the distance sets can intersect, which results in a range of possible distance set sizes. Applying the inclusion-exclusion principle:

$$(3.9) \quad |\cap_{i=1}^n y_i| \ge 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\cap_{i=1}^n \{ (y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in y_i \} | \ge 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\{ (y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in y_i \} | \ge |\{ (y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y \} |.$$

From combining equation 3.8 and relation 3.9:

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in y_i\}| \ge |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists \ y_i, Y : \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in y_i\}| = |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}|.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 3.10 by  $c^2$  and apply the ruler convergence theorem.

$$(3.11) \quad s_i = \lim_{c \to 0} p_i \cdot c \quad \wedge \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c)^2 = |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}| \cdot c^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}| \cdot c^2.$$

Use the ruler to divide the exact size,  $d = |y_m - y_0|$ , of the image interval,  $[y_0, y_m]$ , into  $p_d$ , number of subintervals and apply the rule of product:

(3.12) 
$$\exists c > 0$$
:  $p_d = floor(d/c) = |\{y_{1_i}, y_{2_i}, \dots, y_{p_d}\}| = |Y|$   
 $\Rightarrow p_d^2 = |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}|,$ 

where  $\{(y_a, y_b)\}$  is the set of all combination pairs of elements of Y. Multiply both sides of 3.12 by  $c^2$  and apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2):

(3.13) 
$$d = \lim_{c \to 0} p_d \cdot c \quad \wedge \quad (p_d \cdot c)^2 = |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}| \cdot c^2$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \quad d^2 = \lim_{c \to 0} (p_d \cdot c)^2 = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{(y_a, y_b) : y_a \ y_b \in Y\}| \cdot c^2.$$

Combine equations 3.11 and 3.13:

(3.14) 
$$d^{2} = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{(y_{a}, y_{b}) : y_{a} y_{b} \in Y\}| \cdot c^{2} \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{2} = \lim_{c \to 0} |\{(y_{a}, y_{b}) : y_{a} y_{b} \in Y\}| \cdot c^{2} \Rightarrow d^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{2}. \quad \Box$$

**3.1. Triangle inequality.** The definition of a metric in real analysis is based on the triangle inequality,  $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) \leq \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ , that has been intuitively motivated by the triangle [Gol76]. Applying the inclusion-exclusion principle, ruler (2.1), and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of a countable distance range (3.1) yields the real-valued triangle inequality:

$$(3.15) \quad d_{c} = |Y| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} y_{i}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} |y_{i}| \wedge d_{c} = floor(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})/c) \wedge |y_{1}| = floor(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})/c) \wedge |y_{2}| = floor(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})/c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \lim_{c \to 0} d_{c} \cdot c \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lim_{c \to 0} |y_{i}| \cdot c = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

### 4. Size (length/area/volume)

This section will use the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to prove that the Cartesian product of the number of same-sized subintervals of intervals converges to the product of interval sizes. The first step is to define a set-based, countable size measure as the Cartesian product of disjoint domain set members.

Definition 4.1. Countable size (length/area/volume) measure,  $S_c$ :

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad x_i \subseteq X, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset \quad \land \quad S_c = |y| = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

Theorem 4.2. Euclidean size (length/area/volume), S, is the size of an image interval,  $[y_0, y_m]$ , corresponding to a set of disjoint domain intervals:  $\{[x_{0,1}, x_{m_1,1}], [x_{0,2}, x_{m_1,2}], \ldots, [x_{0,n}, x_{m_n,n}]\}$ , where:

$$S = \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$
,  $S = |y_m - y_0|$ ,  $s_i = |x_{m_i,i} - x_{0,i}|$ ,  $i \in [1, n]$ ,  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations. v is "Euclidean\_size."

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $s_i = |x_{m_i,i} - x_{0,i}|$ , of each of the domain intervals,  $[x_{0,i}, x_{m_i,i}]$ , into  $p_i$  number of subintervals.

$$(4.1) \quad \forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad c > 0 \quad \land \quad p_i = floor(s_i/c) \quad \land$$

$$x_i = \{x_{1_i}, x_{2_i}, \dots, x_{p_i}\} \quad \Rightarrow |x_i| = p_i.$$

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $S = |y_m - y_0|$ , of the image interval,  $[y_0, y_m]$ , into  $p_S^n$  subintervals. Every integer number,  $S_c$ , does **not** have an integer  $n^{th}$  root. However, for those cases where  $S_c$  does have an integer  $n^{th}$  root, there is a  $p_S^n$  that satisfies the definition a countable size measure,  $S_c$  (4.1). Notionally:

(4.2) 
$$\exists c > 0 \land \exists S_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i :$$

$$p_S^n = floor(S/c) \quad \wedge \quad p_S^n = S_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 4.2 by  $c^n$  to get the ruler measures:

(4.3) 
$$p_S^n = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad (p_S \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c).$$

Apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.3:

$$(4.4) \quad S = \lim_{c \to 0} (p_S \cdot c)^n \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c) = s_i \quad \wedge \quad (p_S \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad S = \lim_{c \to 0} (p_S \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i. \quad \Box$$

## 5. Ordered and symmetric geometries

Neither Euclidean geometry nor modern analytic geometry has been able to provide any insight into why physical Euclidean geometry appears to be limited to at most three dimensions. The same counting principles that generate the triangle inequality, taxicab distance, Euclidean distance, and size (length, area, volume) also generates properties that can limit a geometry (both Euclidean and non-Euclidean) to a cyclic set of at most three dimensions.

The previous derivations of taxicab distance (3.2), Euclidean distance (3.3), and Euclidean volume (4.2) show that the total number of combinations of subintervals of intervals converge to real-valued distance measures and Euclidean volume. By the commutative properties of addition and multiplication, all orderings (permutations) of the combinations of subintervals of intervals yield the same total distance and same total volume. Therefore, all orderings (permutations) of domain intervals corresponding to those subinterval combinations yield the same total distance and same total volume (a symmetric geometry).

All distance measures, size measures, and permutations emergent from the countable distance range principle and countable size exist (allowed). There is no axiom of choice about which distance measures, size measures, and permutations exist or does not exist (emerge or do not emerge, allowed or not allowed).

For example, between any two distinct points, A and B, there is both a taxicab and Euclidean distance because both types of distance emerge from the same countable distance range definition (axiom). There is no choice about which type of distance exists and does not exist (emerge or does not emerge from the countable distance range axiom).

The same logic applies to "all permutations existing" (all possible permutations of intervals emerge from the countable distance range and countable size). Mathematics defines the ordering (permutation) of a set in terms of a successor function and a predecessor (inverse order) function. For example, successor and predecessor functions can be defined that generate the left-to-right ordered set of elements,  $\{A, B, C, D\}$ . The successor function lists the permutation, (A, B, C, D). And the predecessor function lists the permutation, (D, C, B, A). In this case, only two permutations exist (emerge from) those successor and predecessor functions.

It will be proved that all permutations (a symmetric geometry) can only emerge from a successor function and predecessor function that defines a cyclic ordering on a set containing at most three elements (dimensions).

Definition 5.1. Ordered geometry:

$$\forall i n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in [1, n-1], \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},\$$

 $successor x_i = x_{i+1} \land predecessor x_{i+1} = x_i.$ 

where  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  are a set of real-valued intervals (dimensions).

Definition 5.2. Symmetric geometry (all permutations):

$$\forall i \ j \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall x_i \ x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \ successor \ x_i = x_j \ \land \ predecessor \ x_j = x_i.$$

Theorem 5.3. An ordered and symmetric geometry is a cyclic set.

successor 
$$x_n = x_1 \land predecessor x_1 = x_n$$
.

The theorem and formal Coq-based proof is "ordered\_symmetric\_is\_cyclic," which is located in the file threed.v.

PROOF. The property of order (5.1) defines unique successors and predecessors for all elements except for the successor of  $x_n$  and the predecessor of  $x_1$ . From the properties of a symmetric geometry (5.2):

(5.1) 
$$i = n \land j = 1 \land successor x_i = x_j \Rightarrow successor x_n = x_1.$$

(5.2) 
$$i = n \land j = 1 \land predecessor x_j = x_i \Rightarrow predecessor x_1 = x_n.$$

For example, using the cyclic set with elements labeled,  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ , starting with each element and counting through 3 cyclic successors and counting through 3 cyclic predecessors yields all possible permutations: (1,2,3), (2,3,1), (3,1,2), (1,3,2), (3,2,1), and (2,1,3). That is, a cyclically ordered set preserves sequential order while allowing some n-at-a-time permutations. If all possible n-at-a-time permutations are generated, then the cyclic set is also a symmetric geometry.

Theorem 5.4. An ordered and symmetric geometry is limited to at most 3 elements.

The Coq-based lemmas and proofs in the file threed.v are:

Lemmas: adj111, adj122, adj212, adj123, adj133, adj233, adj213, adj313, adj323, and not\_all\_mutually\_adjacent\_gt\_3.

The following proof uses Horn clauses (a subset of first-order logic) that uses unification and resolution. Horn clauses make it clear which facts satisfy a proof goal.

Proof.

Because a symmetric and ordered set is a cyclic set (5.3), the successors and predecessors are cyclic:

Definition 5.5. Successor of m is n:

 $(5.3) \quad Successor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = setsize \land n = 1) \lor (m + 1 \le setsize).$ 

Definition 5.6. Predecessor of m is n:

$$(5.4) \qquad Predecessor(m,n,setsize) \leftarrow (m=1 \land n=setsize) \lor (m-1 \ge 1).$$

DEFINITION 5.7. Adjacent: element m is adjacent to element n (an allowed permutation), if the cyclic successor of m is n or the cyclic predecessor of m is n. Notionally:

(5.5)

 $Adjacent(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow Successor(m, n, setsize) \vee Predecessor(m, n, setsize).$ 

Every element is adjacent to every other element, where  $setsize \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ :

$$(5.6) Adjacent(1,1,1) \leftarrow Successor(1,1,1) \leftarrow (1=1 \land 1=1).$$

$$(5.7) \qquad Adjacent(1,2,2) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,2) \leftarrow (1+1 \leq 2).$$

$$(5.8) \qquad \qquad Adjacent(2,1,2) \leftarrow Successor(2,1,2) \leftarrow (2=2 \land 1=1).$$

$$(5.9) Adjacent(1,2,3) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,3) \leftarrow (1+1 \leq 2).$$

$$(5.10) Adjacent(2,1,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(2,1,3) \leftarrow (2-1 \ge 1).$$

$$(5.11) \qquad \qquad Adjacent(3,1,3) \leftarrow Successor(3,1,3) \leftarrow (3=3 \land 1=1).$$

$$(5.12) Adjacent(1,3,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(1,3,3) \leftarrow (1=1 \land 3=3).$$

$$(5.13) Adjacent(2,3,3) \leftarrow Successor(2,3,3) \leftarrow (2+1 < 3).$$

$$(5.14) Adjacent(3,2,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(3,2,3) \leftarrow (3-1 \ge 1).$$

Must prove that for all setsize > 3, there exist non-adjacent elements (not every permutation allowed). For example, the first and third elements are not adjacent:

$$(5.15) \quad \forall \ setsize > 3: \quad \neg Successor(1,3,setsize) \\ \leftarrow Successor(1,2,setsize) \leftarrow (1+1 \leq setsize).$$

That is, 2 is the only successor of 1 for all setsize > 3, which implies 3 is not a successor of 1 for all setsize > 3.

(5.16) 
$$\forall \ set size > 3: \neg Predecessor(1, 3, set size)$$
  $\leftarrow Predecessor(1, n, set size) \leftarrow (1 = 1 \land n = set size).$ 

That is, n = set size is the only predecessor of 1 for all set size > 3, which implies 3 is not a predecessor of 1 for all set size > 3.

(5.17) 
$$\forall setsize > 3: \neg Adjacent(1, 3, setsize)$$
  
 $\leftarrow \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize) \land \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize). \square$ 

## 6. Summary

Applying the ruler measure (2.1) and ruler convergence proof (2.2) to combinatorial relations between the number of same-sized subintervals of real-valued domain intervals and the number of same-sized subintervals of an image interval yields some new insights into real analysis, measure theory, geometry, and physics.

- (1) With respect to measure theory, the ruler measure can be used to both prove a real-valued function is derived from a counting relationship between the elements of more primitive sets and also that the real-valued function is measurable. In contrast, the distance measures of a metric space and sigma algebra measures, like the Lebesgue measure, use a real-valued function as a primitive, which does not provide any insight into the principles that generate the real-valued function.
- (2) With respect to distance measures, applying the ruler measure and ruler convergence proof to the countable distance range definition (3.1) provides the insight that all real-valued measures of distance are based on the principle that for each disjoint domain set there exists a distance set containing the same number of elements:
  - (a) The countable distance range principle that for each disjoint domain set there exists a distance set containing the same number of elements converges to the triangle inequality, which is the basis for the definition of metric space.
  - (b) The upper bound of the countable distance range principle converging to taxicab distance provides the insight that largest (maximum)

- monotonic distance path is due to the smallest surjective mapping, which is the union of disjoint distance sets.
- (c) The lower bound of the countable distance range principle converging to Euclidean distance provides the insight that smallest (minimum) monotonic distance path is due to the maximum surjective mapping, which is the union of intersecting distance sets, where each of the  $p_i$  number of elements in the  $i^{th}$  distance set corresponds to  $p_i$  number of elements in the  $i^{th}$  domain set.
- (d) All  $L^p$  norms where p>2 generated from the countable distance range principle would require each distance set element to correspond to the same domain set element multiple times (over counts the number of surjective correspondences). It is not a useful measure of steps when walking from point A to B, where a step would correspond to the same piece of land multiple times. Previous real analysis and measure theory have not provided this over-counting insight.
- (e) Euclidean distance (3.3) was derived without any notions of side, angle, or shape. Arc angle defined as a parametric variable relating the sizes of two domain intervals can be easily derived using using calculus (Taylor series) and the Euclidean distance equation to generate the arc sine and arc cosine functions of the parametric variable (arc angle). In other words, the notions of side and angle are derived from Euclidean distance, which is the reverse of what classical geometry [Joy98] [Loo68] [Ber88] and axiomatic foundations for geometry [Bir32] [Hil] [TG99] assume.
- (3) Applying the ruler measure and ruler convergence proof to the countable size definition (4.1) provides the insight that the Cartesian product of same-sized subintervals of real-valued intervals converges to the product of the interval sizes (Euclidean volume):
  - (a) Euclidean size (length/area/volume) was derived without notions of sides, angles, and shape.
  - (b) The ruler measure can be used to prove that Euclidean volume (product of interval sizes) is derived from the Cartesian product of the number of same-sized subintervals of real-valued intervals. In contrast, the Lebesgue measure (a sigma algebra), uses Euclidean volume as a primitive.
- (4) The countable distance range and countable size provide a counting-based insight into the difference between distance and size (length, area, volume). Distance is a function of the combinatorial relationship between each disjoint domain set and a corresponding image set. Whereas, size is a function of the combinatorial relationship between disjoint domain set elements. In contrast, the definition of metric space and measures based on sigma algebras do not provide any insight into the difference between distance and size.
- (5) All permutations (orderings) of domain intervals (dimensions) emerge from (are allowed by) the same countable distance range (3.1) and size (4.1) principles that generate real-valued distance and volume measures.
  - (a) Mathematics defines the ordering of a set in terms of a successor function and a predecessor (inverse order) function. When the successor

- and predecessor functions generate all permutations (a symmetric geometry), then the ordering must be cyclic (5.3) and the set size limited to at most three elements (dimensions) (5.4).
- (b) A pure mathematician can use the axiom of choice to choose a successor function that generates a single permutation of any number of dimensions of distance and volume.
- (c) However, the axiom choice does not apply to the physical world. Choosing one ordering (permutation) of dimensions does not make the other permutations cease to exist. Therefore, physical geometry allowing all permutations that emerge from the distance and volume principles results in a cyclic set (5.3) of three dimensions (5.4), which agrees with observation.
- (d) Higher dimensional geometries in the physical world beyond the set of three "space" dimensions must comprise sets containing different types of dimensions (for example, time), where the result of arithmetic operations across sets must retain type information (for example, meter/second).
- (6) Applying the ruler measure to countable relationships between samesized subintervals of other types of intervals and the sets of same-sized subintervals of the three physical space intervals might converge to realvalued functions describing phenomena perceived as "particles", "waves", "mass", "forces", and "time." Our universe might emerge from a few simple counting principles in the real-valued continuum.

#### References

- [Ber88] B. C. Berndt, Ramanujan-100 years old (fashioned) or 100 years new (fangled)?, The Mathematical Intelligencer  ${\bf 10}$  (1988), no. 3.  $\uparrow 10$
- [Bir32] G. D. Birkhoff, A set of postulates for plane geometry (based on scale and protractors), Annals of Mathematics 33 (1932). ↑10
- [Coq15] Coq, Coq proof assistant, 2015. https://coq.inria.fr/documentation. \( \gamma \)
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, Methods of real analysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. †1, 5
  - [Hil] D. Hilbert, The foundations of geometry (2cd ed), Chicago: Open Court. http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/17384. \u00a310
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, Euclid's elements, 1998. http://alepho.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html. \pm10
- [Loo68] E. S. Loomis, The pythagorean proposition, NCTM, 1968. ↑10
- [TG99] A. Tarski and S. Givant, *Tarski's system of geometry*, The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic **5** (1999), no. 2. ↑10

George Van Treeck, 668 Westline Dr., Alameda, CA 94501