# The Real Analysis and Combinatorics of Geometry

## George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. A range from 1-to-1 to many-to-many mappings between each disjoint domain set and each corresponding range set containing the same number of members, where the range sets in some cases intersect and the set members are the same-sized subintervals of intervals, converges to: the triangle inequality, Manhattan distance at the upper boundary, and Euclidean distance at the lower boundary, which provides set-based definitions of: metric space, longest, and shortest distances spanning disjoint sets. The Cartesian product of the same-sized subintervals of intervals converges to the product of interval sizes (Euclidean length/area/volume). The total ordering and symmetry properties of the set-based relations limit the number of dimensions of the same type to 3 dimensions. All ordered and symmetric, higher-dimensional geometries, like the spacetime four-vector, collapse into hierarchical 2 or 3-dimensional geometries. Proofs are verified in Coq.

#### Contents

4	The state of the s	4
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Ruler measure and convergence	2
3.	Distance	3
4.	Euclidean Volume	6
5.	Ordered and symmetric geometries	6
6.	Summary	8
References		10

#### 1. Introduction

All of real analysis and measure and integration is based on axioms from set and number theory – except for the notions of distance and volume. Metric space, the Manhattan and Euclidean distance metrics, and the product of interval sizes (Euclidean area/volume) are all defined [Gol76] rather than motivated and derived from more fundamental relations between countable sets.

The purpose of this article is motivate and derive real-valued distance and Euclidean volume from the relationships between countable sets. It will also be

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28A75, 28E15.

Copyright © 2017 George M. Van Treeck. Creative Commons Attribution License.

shown that these set-based relations have properties that constrains the number of dimensions of the same type and also constrains how one type of dimension, like time, relates to dimensions of another type, like space (length, width, and height).

The proofs in this article are verified formally using the Coq Proof Assistant [Coq15] version 8.7.0. The Coq-based definitions, theorems, and proofs are in the files "euclidrelations.v" and "threed.v" located at:

https://github.com/treeck/CombinatorialGeometry.

### 2. Ruler measure and convergence

Euclidean distance and volume are derived from many-to-many relations between countable sets. But, a function only allows each domain set member to map to one range set member. Therefore, measures, like the Lebesgue and Hausdorff measures and integrals using distance and volume functions [Gol76] are not capable of deriving the many-to-many, set-based relationships that converge to the continuous, bijective, Euclidean distance and volume functions. And deriving distance and volume functions from the same distance and volume functions would be circular logic.

Therefore, a method of measurement that allows the full range of mappings from a one-to-one correspondence to a many-to-many mapping is required. A ruler (measuring stick) measures a real-valued interval as the nearest integer number of same-sized subintervals (units), where the partial subintervals are ignored.

The ruler measure allows defining combinatorial relations, for example a many-to-many relation, between the same-sized subintervals in one interval and the same-sized subintervals in another interval. The discrete, combinatorial relations converge to continuous, bijective functions as the subinterval size converges to zero.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure: A ruler measures the size, M, of a closed, open, or semi-open interval as the sum of the sizes of the nearest integer number of whole subintervals, p, each subinterval having the same size, c. Notionally:

(2.1) 
$$\forall c \ s \in \mathbb{R}, \ [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \ s = |a-b| \land c > 0 \land (p = floor(s/c) \lor p = ceiling(s/c)) \land M = \sum_{a=1}^{p} c = pc.$$

Theorem 2.2. Ruler convergence:

$$\forall [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \ s = |a-b| \Rightarrow M = \lim_{c \to 0} pc = s.$$

The Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is "limit\_c\_0\_M\_eq\_exact\_size."

Proof. (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function,  $floor(x) = max(\{y : y \le x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$ :

$$(2.2) \forall c > 0, p = floor(s/c) \Rightarrow 0 \le |p - s/c| < 1.$$

Multiply all sides of inequality 2.2 by |c|:

$$(2.3) \forall c > 0, \quad 0 \le |p - s/c| < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \le |pc - s| < |c|.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \forall \ \delta \ : \ |pc - s| < |c| = |c - 0| < \delta$$
 
$$\Rightarrow \quad \forall \ \epsilon = \delta : \ |c - 0| < \delta \quad \wedge \ |pc - s| < \epsilon \ := \ M = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} pc = s. \quad \Box$$

The proof steps using the ceiling function (the outer measure) are the same as the steps in the previous proof using the floor function (the inner measure). The following is an example of ruler convergence, where:  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $s = |0 - \pi|$ ,  $c = 10^{-i}$ , and  $p = floor(s/c) \Rightarrow p \cdot c = 3.1_{i=1}, 3.14_{i=2}, 3.141_{i=3}, ..., \pi$ .

#### 3. Distance

**3.1.** Countable metric space. A simple countable distance measure is that a range (distance) set has the same number of members as a corresponding domain set. For example, the number of steps walked in a distance set must equal the number pieces of land traversed. Generalizing, for each distance set,  $y_i$ , containing  $p_i$  number of members there exists a corresponding domain set,  $x_i$ , with the same  $p_i$  number of members.

**Notation conventions:** The vertical bars around a set is the standard notation for indicating the cardinal (number of members in the set). To prevent over use of the vertical bar, the symbol for "such that" is the colon.

If the domain sets are disjoint  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|x_i|=|\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}x_i|\Leftrightarrow\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}x_i=\emptyset)$  and the distance sets intersect  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|y_i|>|\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}y_i|\Leftrightarrow\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}y_i\neq\emptyset)$ , then multiple domain set members can map to a distance set member. Therefore, the size of the union of the distance sets,  $d_c$ , is related to the number of domain-to-distance member mappings. Notionally:

Definition 3.1. Countable metric space,  $d_c$ :

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_i \subseteq X, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i|, \quad \forall x_i \exists y_i \subseteq Y :$$

$$|x_i| = |y_i| = p_i \quad \land \quad d_c = |Y| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n y_i| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|.$$

Consider the trivial case of the countable metric space principle (3.1), where a domain set has only one member:  $|x_i| = |y_i| = p_i = 1$ : 1) Each member of  $x_i$  maps to the each member of  $y_i$ , bijectively, yielding  $p_i$  number of domain-to-distance member mappings. 2) All  $p_i$  number of members map to each member of  $y_i$ , yielding  $p_i^2$  number of domain-to-distance member (many-to-many) mappings. And the same range of domain-to-distance mappings,  $p_i$  to  $p_i^2$ , must be true for all sizes of domain sets.

Therefore,  $d_c = f(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)$  is the largest possible distance because it is the case the smallest number of domain-to-distance mappings (no intersection of the distance sets). And  $d_c = f(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2)$  is the smallest possible distance because it is the case the largest number of domain-to-distance mappings (largest allowed intersection of distance sets).

It will now be proved that using the ruler (2.1) to divide a set of real-valued domain intervals and a distance interval into sets of same-sized subintervals, and applying the ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the longest and shortest distance cases converge to the real-valued, Manhattan and Euclidean distance functions.

#### 3.2. Manhattan distance.

THEOREM 3.2. Manhattan (longest) distance, d, is the size of the distance interval,  $[d_0, d_m]$ , mapping to a set of disjoint domain intervals,  $\{[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \ldots, [a_n, b_n]\}$ , where:

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$
,  $d = |d_0 - d_m|$ ,  $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$ .

The formal Coq-based theorem and proof in file euclidrelations.v is "taxicab\_distance."

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$ , of each of the domain intervals,  $[a_i, b_i]$ , into a set,  $x_i$ , containing  $p_i$  number of subintervals and apply the definition of the countable metric space (3.1), where each domain set has a corresponding distance set containing the same  $p_i$  number of members.

(3.1) 
$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad s_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \exists \ c > 0 : \quad floor(s_i/c) = p_i = |x_i| = |y_i|.$$

Next, apply the rule of product to the case of one domain set member per distance set member:

(3.2) 
$$|y_i| = p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| \cdot 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

Apply the countable metric space defintion (3.1) to equation 3.2:

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i| \cdot 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \quad \land \quad d_c \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d_c \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists \ p_i, \ d_c : \ d_c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 3.3 by c:

(3.4) 
$$d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of d:

$$(3.5) d = |d_0 - d_m| \Rightarrow \exists c d: floor(d/c) = d_c \Rightarrow d = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of  $s_i$ :

$$(3.6) s_i = |a_i - b_i| \Rightarrow floor(s_i/c) = p_i \Rightarrow \lim_{c \to 0} p_i \cdot c = s_i.$$

Combine equations 3.5, 3.4, 3.6:

(3.7) 
$$d = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c \quad \land \quad d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c) \quad \land \quad \lim_{c \to 0} p_i \cdot c = s_i$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \quad d = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i. \quad \Box$$

#### 3.3. Euclidean distance.

THEOREM 3.3. Euclidean (shortest) distance, d, is the size of the distance interval,  $[d_0, d_m]$ , mapping to a set of disjoint domain intervals,  $\{[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \ldots, [a_n, b_n]\}$ , where:

$$d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i^2$$
,  $d = |d_0 - d_m|$ ,  $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$ .

The formal Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is "Euclidean\_distance."

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$ , of each of the domain intervals,  $[a_i, b_i]$ , into a set,  $x_i$ , containing  $p_i$  number of subintervals and apply the definition of the countable metric space (3.1), where each domain set has a corresponding distance set containing the same  $p_i$  number of members.

(3.8) 
$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad s_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \exists \ c > 0 : \quad floor(s_i/c) = p_i = |x_i| = |y_i|.$$

Apply the rule of product to the largest number of domain-to-distance set mappings, where all  $p_i$  number of domain set members,  $x_i$ , map to each of the  $p_i$  number of members in the distance set,  $y_i$ :

(3.9) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i| \cdot |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2.$$

Choose the equality case of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1, j=1, i \neq j}^{n} (p_i \cdot p_j) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists p_i : (\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2.$$

Choose the equality case of the countable metric space definition (3.1) and square both sides  $(x = y \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y))$ :

(3.11) 
$$d_c \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \implies \exists p_i, d_c : d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \implies \exists p_i, d_c : d_c^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2.$$

Combine equations 3.11 and and 3.10:

(3.12) 
$$\exists p_i, d_c : d_c^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2 \wedge \exists p_i : (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \exists p_i, d_c : d_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2.$ 

Multiply both sides of equation 3.12 by  $c^2$ .

(3.13) 
$$d_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c)^2.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of d:

$$(3.14) d = |d_0 - d_m| \Rightarrow \exists c d: floor(d/c) = d_c \Rightarrow d = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of  $s_i$ :

$$(3.15) s_i = |a_i - b_i| \Rightarrow floor(s_i/c) = p_i \Rightarrow \lim_{c \to 0} p_i \cdot c = s_i.$$

Combine equations 3.14, 3.13, 3.15:

(3.16) 
$$d = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c \wedge (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c)^2 \wedge \lim_{c \to 0} p_i \cdot c = s_i$$
  

$$\Rightarrow d^2 = \lim_{c \to 0} (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2. \quad \Box$$

**3.4.** Metric Space. Applying the ruler (2.1), and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of a countable metric space (3.1) yields the real-valued triangle inequality:

(3.17) 
$$d_{c} = |Y| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} y_{i}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} |y_{i}| \wedge d_{c} = floor(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})/c) \wedge |y_{1}| = floor(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})/c) \wedge |y_{2}| = floor(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})/c)$$
$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \lim_{c \to 0} d_{c} \cdot c \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lim_{c \to 0} |y_{i}| \cdot c = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}).$$

The other metric space properties also follow from the countable metric space definition, the ruler convergence theorem, and  $\forall [u, w], |u - w| \ge 0$ .

(3.18) 
$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) \ge 0$$
:  
 $|u - w| \ge 0 \implies \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} floor(|u - w|/c) \cdot c \ge 0.$ 

(3.19) 
$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = w :$$

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} floor(|u - w|/c) \cdot c = 0 \Leftrightarrow |u - w| = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = w.$$

(3.20) 
$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) :$$

$$|u - w| = |w - u| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} floor(|u - w|/c)| \cdot c$$

$$= \lim_{c \to 0} floor(|w - u|/c) \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} d_c \cdot c = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}).$$

#### 4. Euclidean Volume

The number of all possible combinations between members in a countable set  $x_1$  and a countable set  $x_2$  is the Cartesian product,  $|x_1| \cdot |x_2|$ . This section will use the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to prove that the Cartesian product of the same-sized subintervals of intervals converges to the product of interval sizes as the subinterval converges to zero. The first step is to define a countable set-based measure of area/volume as the Cartesian product of disjoint domain set members.

Definition 4.1. Countable volume measure,  $V_c$ :

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad x_i \subseteq X, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i|, \quad V_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

THEOREM 4.2. Euclidean volume, V, is the size of a range interval,  $[v_0, v_m]$ , corresponding to a set of disjoint intervals:  $\{[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \ldots, [a_n, b_n]\}$ , where:

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$
,  $V = |v_0 - v_m|$ ,  $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$ ,  $i \in [1, n]$ ,  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is "Euclidean\_space."

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$ , of each of the domain intervals,  $[a_i, b_i]$ , into a set,  $x_i$  of  $p_i$  number of subintervals.

$$(4.1) \forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad c > 0 \quad \land \quad floor(s_i/c) = p_i = |x_i|.$$

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size,  $V = |v_0 - v_m|$ , of the range interval,  $[v_0, v_m]$ , into  $p^n$  subintervals. Every integer number,  $V_c$ , does **not** have an integer  $n^{th}$  root. However, for those cases where  $V_c$  does have an integer  $n^{th}$  root, there is a  $p^n$  that satisfies the definition a countable volume measure,  $V_c$  (4.1). Notionally:

(4.2) 
$$\forall p^n = V_c \in \mathbb{N}, \ \exists \ V \in \mathbb{R}, \ x_i : floor(V/c) = V_c = p^n = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 4.2 by  $c^n$  to get the ruler measures:

$$(4.3) p^n = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad (p \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c).$$

Apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.3:

$$(4.4) \quad V = \lim_{c \to 0} (p \cdot c)^n \quad \wedge \quad (p \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c) = s_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad V = \lim_{c \to 0} (p \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (p_i \cdot c) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i. \quad \Box$$

### 5. Ordered and symmetric geometries

Why do we appear to live in a 3-dimensional world? Looking at the equations of distance and volume, there is no reason to assume any limit to the number dimensions. If there are any limitations to the number dimensions, then those limitations must come from the underlying principles that geneate distance and volume.

The commutative property of union in the countable metric space principle (3.1) generating all real-valued distance equations and the countable volume principle (4.1) generating Euclidean volume allow a sequential (total) ordering of the disjoint domain sets (dimensions). The commutative property of union also allows each domain set (dimension) to be sequentially adjacent to every other dimension (herein, referred to as a symmetric geometry).

Between every two distinct points, there exists both a Manhattan and Euclidean distance **simultaneously**. It will now be proved that satisfying both the total ordering and symmetry properties **simultaneously** limits the number of dimensions of distance and volume.

Definition 5.1. Ordered geometry:

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ i \in [1, n-1], \ \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

$$successor \ x_i = x_{i+1} \ \land \ predecessor \ x_{i+1} = x_i,$$

where each  $x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a set of subintervals of a real-valued domain interval (dimension).

Definition 5.2. Symmetric geometry (every member is sequentally adjacent to every other member):

$$\forall i \ j \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall \ x_i \ x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \ successor \ x_i = x_j \ \land \ predecessor \ x_j = x_i.$$

Theorem 5.3. An ordered and symmetric geometry is a cyclic set.

successor 
$$x_n = x_1 \land predecessor x_1 = x_n$$
.

The theorem and formal Coq-based proof is "ordered\_symmetric\_is\_cyclic," which is located in the file threed.v.

PROOF. The property of order (5.1) defines unique successors and predecessors for all members except for the successor of  $x_n$  and the predecessor of  $x_1$ . Therefore, the only member that can be a successor of  $x_n$ , without creating a contradiction, is  $x_1$ . And the only member that can be a predecssor of  $x_1$ , without creating a constradiction, is  $x_n$ . From the properties of a symmetric geometry (5.2):

$$(5.1) \hspace{1cm} i=n \hspace{1cm} \wedge \hspace{1cm} j=1 \hspace{1cm} \wedge \hspace{1cm} successor \hspace{1cm} x_i=x_j \hspace{1cm} \Rightarrow \hspace{1cm} successor \hspace{1cm} x_n=x_1.$$

Theorem 5.4. An ordered and symmetric geometry is limited to at most 3 members.

The Coq-based lemmas and proofs in the file threed.v are:

Lemmas: adj111, adj122, adj212, adj123, adj133, adj233, adj213, adj313, adj323, and not\_all\_mutually\_adjacent\_gt\_3.

The following proof uses Horn clauses (a subset of first-order logic) that uses unification and resolution. Horn clauses make it clear which facts satisfy a proof goal.

Proof.

Because a symmetric and ordered set is a cyclic set (5.3), the successors and predecessors are cyclic: Definition 5.5. Successor of m is n:

$$(5.3) \quad Successor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = setsize \land n = 1) \lor (m + 1 \le setsize).$$

Definition 5.6. Predecessor of m is n:

$$(5.4) \qquad Predecessor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = 1 \land n = setsize) \lor (m - 1 \ge 1).$$

DEFINITION 5.7. Adjacent: member m is sequentially adjacent to member n (required for a "symmetric" set (5.2)), if the cyclic successor of m is n or the cyclic predecessor of m is n. Notionally:

(5.5)

 $Adjacent(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow Successor(m, n, setsize) \lor Predecessor(m, n, setsize).$ 

Every member is adjacent to every other member, where  $setsize \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ :

$$(5.6) \qquad Adjacent(1,1,1) \leftarrow Successor(1,1,1) \leftarrow (1=1 \land 1=1).$$

$$(5.7) Adjacent(1,2,2) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,2) \leftarrow (1+1 \leq 2).$$

$$(5.8) \qquad Adjacent(2,1,2) \leftarrow Successor(2,1,2) \leftarrow (2=2 \land 1=1).$$

$$(5.9) Adjacent(1,2,3) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,3) \leftarrow (1+1 \leq 2).$$

$$(5.10) Adjacent(2,1,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(2,1,3) \leftarrow (2-1 \ge 1).$$

$$(5.11) Adjacent(3,1,3) \leftarrow Successor(3,1,3) \leftarrow (3=3 \land 1=1).$$

$$(5.12) Adjacent(1,3,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(1,3,3) \leftarrow (1=1 \land 3=3).$$

$$(5.13) \hspace{1cm} Adjacent(2,3,3) \leftarrow Successor(2,3,3) \leftarrow (2+1 \leq 3).$$

$$(5.14) \qquad \qquad Adjacent(3,2,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(3,2,3) \leftarrow (3-1 \geq 1).$$

Must prove that for all setsize > 3, there exist non-adjacent members. For example, the first and third members are not adjacent:

(5.15) 
$$\forall setsize > 3: \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize) \\ \leftarrow Successor(1, 2, setsize) \leftarrow (1 + 1 \leq setsize).$$

That is, 2 is the only successor of 1 for all setsize > 3, which implies 3 is not a successor of 1 for all setsize > 3.

(5.16) 
$$\forall setsize > 3: \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize) \\ \leftarrow Predecessor(1, n, setsize) \leftarrow (1 = 1 \land n = setsize).$$

That is, n = setsize is the only predecessor of 1 for all setsize > 3, which implies 3 is not a predecessor of 1 for all setsize > 3.

(5.17) 
$$\forall \ set size > 3: \neg Adjacent(1, 3, set size)$$
  $\leftarrow \neg Successor(1, 3, set size) \land \neg Predecessor(1, 3, set size). \Box$ 

# 6. Summary

Applying some very simple real analysis, in the form of the ruler measure (2.1) and ruler convergence proof (2.2), to a set of real-valued domain intervals and a range interval yields some new insights into geometry and physics.

- (1) Discrete, combinatorial relations converge to the continuous, bijective relations: triangle inequality, Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance and volume. Other types of measures do not have that capability.
- (2) Ruler measure-based proofs expose the difference between distance and volume measures: Distance is a mapping relation between the members of each disjoint domain set and members of a corresponding range (distance) set. In contrast, volume is a combinatorial relation between the members of disjoint domain sets. Other types of measures can not provide that insight.
- (3) Applying the ruler measure to the countable metric space (3.1) provides the insight that all notions of distance are based on the principle that for each disjoint domain set there exists a corresponding distance set containing the same number of members, where the distance sets in some cases intersect:
  - (a) The countable metric space principle converges to the real-valued triangle inequality (3.4) and other properties of metric space. Therefore, a function is not a distance metric unless it satisfies the more fundamental countable metric space (3.1).
  - (b) All  $L^{p>2}$  norms generated from the countable metric space principle would require each member of the  $i^{th}$  domain set to map to a member of the  $i^{th}$  distance set more than once, which would be over-counting the number of possible mappings. Therefore,  $L^{p>2}$  norms are not valid distance measures. Other measure theories have not provided this over-counting insight into  $L^{p>2}$  norms.
  - (c) The upper bound of the countable metric space converging to Manhattan distance (3.2) provides the insight that the largest (longest) monotonic distance path is the case of disjoint distance sets, where there is a 1-1 correspondence between the domain and distance set members.
  - (d) The lower bound of the countable metric space converging to Euclidean distance (3.3) provides the insight that the smallest (shortest) possible monotonic distance path is the case of the maximum allowed intersection of the distance sets, where there is a many-to-many mapping from domain to distance set members.
  - (e) Euclidean distance (3.3) was derived from a set-based, many-to-many relation without any notions of side, angle, or shape. A parametric variable relating the sizes of two domain intervals can be easily derived using using calculus (Taylor series) and the Euclidean distance equation to generate the arc sine and arc cosine functions of the parametric variable (arc angle). In other words, the notions of side and angle are derived from Euclidean distance, which is the reverse perspective of classical geometry [Joy98] [Loo68] [Ber88] and axiomatic foundations for geometry [Bir32] [Hil80] [TG99].
- (4) Applying the ruler measure and ruler convergence proof to the countable volume definition (4.1) allows a proof that the Cartesian product of same-sized subintervals of real-valued intervals converges to the product of the interval sizes (Euclidean length/area/volume):

- (a) Euclidean volume (length/area/volume) was derived from a combinatorial relation without notions of sides, angles, and shape.
- (b) Other types of measures, like the Lebesgue and Hausdorff measures, Riemann and Lebesgue integration, and vector analysis can only assume Euclidean space.
- (5) The set-based relations of countable metric space (3.1) and countable space (4.1) that generate metric space, Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance, and volume equations have the properties of total ordering (5.1) and symmetry (5.2).

Manhattan and Euclidean distance both exist simultaneously between every two distinct points. Likewise, a set of dimensions of distance and volume that is simultaneously both ordered and symmetric limits distance and volume to a cyclic set (5.3) of three dimensions (5.4). This simultaneously ordered and symmetric geometry explains why there are only three dimensions of physical space.

(6) All valid higher dimensional theories of physics must collapse into hierarchical 2 or 3-dimensional geometries. The four-vectors common in physics, like the spacetime four-vector, are hierarchical 2-dimensional geometries that have been "flattened." For example, the spacetime four-vector length,  $d = \sqrt{(ct)^2 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}$ , can be expressed in a form like,  $d_2 = \sqrt{(ct)^2 - d_1^2}$ , where  $d_1 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$  and  $d_2 = d$ .

Applying the Euclidean distance proof (3.3) to the 2-dimensional spacetime equation,  $(ct)^2 = d_1^2 + d_2^2$ , provides the perspective that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are lengths in two frames of reference (the lengths of two domain intervals) and the size of each range subinterval is the same size (same speed of light) in both frames of reference.

#### References

- [Ber88] B. C. Berndt, Ramanujan-100 years old (fashioned) or 100 years new (fangled)?, The Mathematical Intelligencer 10 (1988), no. 3. ↑9
- [Bir32] G. D. Birkhoff, A set of postulates for plane geometry (based on scale and protractors), Annals of Mathematics 33 (1932). ↑9
- [Coq15] Coq, Coq proof assistant, 2015. https://coq.inria.fr/documentation. \( \gamma \)
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, Methods of real analysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. ↑1, 2
- [Hil80] D. Hilbert, The foundations of geometry (2cd ed), Chicago: Open Court, 1980. http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/17384. ↑9
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, Euclid's elements, 1998. http://alepho.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html. ↑9
- [Loo68] E. S. Loomis, The pythagorean proposition, NCTM, 1968. †9
- [TG99] A. Tarski and S. Givant, Tarski's system of geometry, The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic 5 (1999), no. 2, 175–214. ↑9

George Van Treeck, 668 Westline Dr., Alameda, CA 94501