

The Real Analysis and Set Relations of Geometry

George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. A range from 1-to-1 to many-to-many mappings between each disjoint domain set and a corresponding range set containing the same number of members, where the range sets in some cases intersect and the set members are the same-sized subintervals of intervals, converges to: the triangle inequality, Manhattan distance at the upper boundary, and Euclidean distance at the lower boundary, which provides countable set-based definitions of: metric space, longest, and shortest distances spanning disjoint sets. A many-to-many relation between sets of same-sized subintervals of intervals converges to the product of interval sizes (Euclidean area/volume). The total ordering and symmetry properties of these set-based relations limit the number of domain dimensions to 3. All ordered and symmetric, higher-dimensional geometries, like the spacetime four-vector, collapse into hierarchical 2 or 3-dimensional geometries. Proofs are verified in Coq.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ruler measure and convergence	2
3. Distance	3
4. Euclidean Volume	5
5. Ordered and symmetric geometries	6
6. Summary	8
References	10

1. Introduction

Metric space, Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance, and Euclidean area/volume are motivated by geometry and assumed in measure and integration [Gol76] rather than motivated and derived from more fundamental relations between countable sets. This article will use some very simple real analysis to motivate and derive distance and volume from relationships between countable sets.

The relationships between countable sets generating distance and volume provides a formal, set-based understanding of geometry, for example, why (without

any notions of side, angle, and shapes) Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points in \mathbb{R}^n . It will also be shown that these set-based relations have properties that constrain the number of domain dimensions (variables) and also constrain how a range variable, for example, time, relates to the domain variables of another type, for example, geometric space (length, width, and height).

The proofs in this article are verified formally using the Coq Proof Assistant [Coq15] version 8.7.0. The Coq-based definitions, theorems, and proofs are in the files “euclidrelations.v” and “threed.v” located at:

<https://github.com/treeck/CombinatorialGeometry>.

2. Ruler measure and convergence

Euclidean distance and volume are derived from many-to-many set-based relations. Therefore, deriving distance and volume from set and number theory requires a measure that does not have Euclidean assumptions and also allows the full range of mappings from a one-to-one correspondence to a many-to-many mapping.

A ruler (measuring stick) measures a real-valued interval as the nearest integer number of same-sized subintervals (units), where the partial subintervals are ignored. The ruler measure allows defining relations, for example a many-to-many relation, between the set of same-sized subintervals in one interval and the set of same-sized subintervals in another interval. The countable relations converge to continuous, bijective functions as the subinterval size converges to zero.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure: A ruler measures the size, M , of a closed, open, or semi-open interval as the sum of the sizes of the nearest integer number of whole subintervals, p , each subinterval having the same size, c . Notionally:

$$(2.1) \quad \forall c \, s \in \mathbb{R}, \, [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \, s = |a - b| \wedge c > 0 \wedge \\ (p = \text{floor}(s/c) \vee p = \text{ceiling}(s/c)) \wedge M = \sum_{i=1}^p c = pc.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Ruler convergence:*

$$\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \, s = |a - b| \Rightarrow M = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} pc = s.$$

The Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is “limit_c_0.M_eq_exact_size.”

PROOF. (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function, $\text{floor}(x) = \max(\{y : y \leq x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$:

$$(2.2) \quad \forall c > 0, p = \text{floor}(s/c) \wedge 0 \leq |\text{floor}(s/c) - s/c| < 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq |p - s/c| < 1.$$

Multiply all sides of inequality 2.2 by $|c|$:

$$(2.3) \quad \forall c > 0, \quad 0 \leq |p - s/c| < 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq |pc - s| < |c|.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \forall \delta : |pc - s| < |c| = |c - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon = \delta : |c - 0| < \delta \wedge |pc - s| < \epsilon := M = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} pc = s. \quad \square$$

The proof steps using the ceiling function (the outer measure) are the same as the steps in the previous proof using the floor function (the inner measure). The following is an example of ruler convergence, where: $[0, \pi]$, $s = |0 - \pi|$, $c = 10^{-i}$, and $p = \text{floor}(s/c) \Rightarrow p \cdot c = 3.1_{i=1}, 3.14_{i=2}, 3.141_{i=3}, \dots, \pi$.

3. Distance

Notation conventions: The vertical bars around a set is the standard notation for indicating the cardinal (number of members in the set). To prevent over use of the vertical bar, the symbol for “such that” is the colon.

3.1. Countable metric space. A simple countable distance measure is that a range (distance) set has the same number of members as a corresponding domain set. For example, the number of steps walked in a distance set must equal the number pieces of land traversed. Generalizing, for each distance set, y_i , containing p_i number of members there exists a corresponding domain set, x_i , with the same p_i number of members. Notionally, $|x_i| = |y_i| = p_i$.

It is assumed here that the domain sets are disjoint (do not intersect), where: $|\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset$. And the number of members in the union of the distance sets, d_c , depends on the amount of intersection of the distance sets, where: $|\bigcup_{i=1}^n y_i| < \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| \Leftrightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i \neq \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 3.1. Countable metric space:

$$|\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \quad \wedge \quad d_c = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n y_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| \quad \wedge \quad |x_i| = |y_i| = p_i.$$

3.2. Real-valued metric Space. Applying the ruler (2.1), and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of a countable metric space (3.1) yields the real-valued triangle inequality and non-negativity properties of metric space:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad d_c &= |\bigcup_{i=1}^2 y_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 |y_i| \quad \wedge \\ d_c &= \text{floor}(d(u, w)/c) \quad \wedge \quad |y_1| = \text{floor}(d(u, v)/c) \quad \wedge \quad |y_2| = \text{floor}(d(v, w)/c) \\ &\Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} |y_i| \cdot c = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \end{aligned}$$

The number of members in any countable set is always non-negative. And the product of two non-negative numbers, $d_c \cdot c$, is always a non-negative number:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad \forall c > 0, \quad d_c &= \text{floor}(d(u, w)/c) \quad \wedge \quad d_c = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n y_i| \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \text{floor}(d(u, w)/c) = d_c \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Metric space range. Where the range (distance) sets intersect, multiple domain set members map to a single distance member. Therefore, the union distance, d_c , is related to the number of domain-to-distance member mappings.

Consider the trivial case of the countable metric space (3.1), where a domain set has only one member: $|x_i| = |y_i| = p_i = 1$: 1) Each member of x_i maps to only one member of y_i , yielding $|x_i| \cdot |y_i| = |x_i| \cdot 1 = p_i = 1$ number of domain-to-distance member mappings. 2) All p_i number of members of x_i map to each member in y_i , yielding $|x_i| \cdot |y_i| = p_i^2 = 1$ number of domain-to-distance member mappings.

The range of domain-to-distance mappings, p_i to p_i^2 , that is true for one non-empty set size is true for all non-empty set sizes. Therefore, $d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ is the largest possible distance because it is the case of the smallest number of domain-to-distance mappings (no intersection of the distance sets). And $\exists \mathbf{f} : d_c = \mathbf{f}(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2)$ is the smallest possible distance because it is the case of the largest number of domain-to-distance mappings (largest allowed intersection of distance sets). Applying the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the longest and shortest distance cases yields the real-valued, Manhattan and Euclidean distance functions.

3.4. Manhattan distance.

THEOREM 3.2. *Manhattan (longest) distance, d , is the size of the distance interval, $[d_0, d_m]$, mapping to a set of disjoint domain intervals, $\{[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \dots, [a_n, b_n]\}$, where:*

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i, \quad d = |d_0 - d_m|, \quad s_i = |a_i - b_i|.$$

The formal Coq-based theorem and proof in file euclidrelations.v is “taxicab.distance.”

PROOF.

From the countable metric space definition (3.1), the largest possible countable distance, d_c , is the equality case:

$$(3.3) \quad d_c \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| \quad \wedge \quad |y_i| = p_i \\ \Rightarrow \quad d_c \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists p_i, d_c : d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 3.5 by c and take the limit:

$$(3.4) \quad d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \Rightarrow d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c) \Rightarrow \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of d :

$$(3.5) \quad d = |d_0 - d_m| \Rightarrow \exists c d : \text{floor}(d/c) = d_c \Rightarrow d = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to the definition of s_i :

$$(3.6) \quad \forall i \in [1, n], s_i = |a_i - b_i| \Rightarrow \text{floor}(s_i/c) = p_i \Rightarrow \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} p_i \cdot c = s_i.$$

Combine equations 3.5, 3.4, 3.6:

$$(3.7) \quad d = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c) \quad \wedge \\ \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c) = s_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i. \quad \square$$

3.5. Euclidean distance.

THEOREM 3.3. *Euclidean (shortest) distance, d , is the size of the distance interval, $[d_0, d_m]$, mapping to a set of disjoint domain intervals, $\{[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \dots, [a_n, b_n]\}$, where:*

$$d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2, \quad d = |d_0 - d_m|, \quad s_i = |a_i - b_i|.$$

The formal Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is “Euclidean.distance.”

PROOF.

Apply the rule of product to the largest number of domain-to-distance set mappings, where all p_i number of domain set members, x_i , map to each of the p_i number of members in the distance set, y_i :

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| \cdot |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2.$$

From the countable metric space definition (3.1), choose the equality case:

$$(3.9) \quad d_c \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| \quad \wedge \quad |y_i| = p_i \\ \Rightarrow \quad d_c \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists p_i, d_c : d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

Square both sides of equation 3.9 ($x = y \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(y)$):

$$(3.10) \quad \exists p_i, d_c : d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists p_i, d_c : d_c^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2.$$

Applying the distributive law of multiplication to equation 3.10:

$$(3.11) \quad \forall p_i p_j \in \{p_1 \cdots p_n\} : d_c^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j)).$$

Applying the commutative law of addition to equation 3.11:

$$(3.12) \quad d_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j)) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot (\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n p_j)) \\ \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \\ \Rightarrow \exists p_i : d_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 3.12 by c^2 , simplify, and take the limit.

$$(3.13) \quad d_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \Rightarrow d_c^2 \cdot c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \cdot c^2 = (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c)^2 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) and square both sides:

$$(3.14) \quad \exists c d : floor(d/c) = d_c \Rightarrow d = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} d_c \cdot c \Rightarrow d^2 = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (d_c \cdot c)^2.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) and square both sides:

$$(3.15) \quad \forall i \in [1, n], floor(s_i/c) = p_i \Rightarrow \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} p_i \cdot c = s_i \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 = s_i^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2.$$

Combine equations 3.14, 3.13, 3.15:

$$(3.16) \quad d^2 = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (d_c \cdot c)^2 \wedge \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 \wedge \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2 \\ \Rightarrow d^2 = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (d_c \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2. \quad \square$$

4. Euclidean Volume

The number of all possible combinations (all many-to-many mappings) between members in a countable set x_1 and a countable set x_2 is the Cartesian product, $|x_1| \cdot |x_2|$. This section will use the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to prove that the Cartesian product of the same-sized subintervals of intervals converges to the product of interval sizes as the subinterval size converges to zero. The first step is to define a countable set-based measure of area/volume as the Cartesian product (many-to-many mappings) of disjoint domain set members.

DEFINITION 4.1. Countable volume measure, V_c :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i|, \quad V_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

THEOREM 4.2. *Euclidean volume, V , is the size of a range interval, $[v_0, v_m]$, corresponding to a set of disjoint intervals: $\{[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \dots, [a_n, b_n]\}$, where:*

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i, \quad V = |v_0 - v_m|, \quad s_i = |a_i - b_i|, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad i, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The Coq-based theorem and proof in the file euclidrelations.v is “Euclidean_volume.”

PROOF.

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size, $s_i = |a_i - b_i|$, of each of the domain intervals, $[a_i, b_i]$, into a set, x_i of p_i number of subintervals.

$$(4.1) \quad \forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in [1, n], \quad c > 0 \quad \wedge \quad \text{floor}(s_i/c) = p_i = |x_i|.$$

Apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.1:

$$(4.2) \quad \text{floor}(s_i/c) = p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c) = s_i.$$

Use the ruler (2.1) to divide the exact size, $V = |v_0 - v_m|$, of the range interval, $[v_0, v_m]$, into p^n subintervals. Every integer number, V_c , does **not** have an integer n^{th} root. However, for those cases where V_c does have an integer n^{th} root, there is a p^n that satisfies the definition a countable volume measure, V_c (4.1). Notionally:

$$(4.3) \quad \forall p^n = V_c \in \mathbb{N}, \exists V \in \mathbb{R}, x_i : \text{floor}(V/c^n) = V_c = p^n = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i.$$

Apply the ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.3 and simplify:

$$(4.4) \quad \text{floor}(V/c^n) = p^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad V = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} p^n \cdot c^n = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p \cdot c)^n.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 4.3 by c^n and simplify:

$$(4.5) \quad p^n = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad p^n \cdot c^n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \right) \cdot c^n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (p \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c).$$

Combine equations 4.4, 4.5, and 4.2:

$$(4.6) \quad V = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p \cdot c)^n \quad \wedge \quad (p \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i \cdot c) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c) = s_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad V = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p \cdot c)^n = \prod_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} (p_i \cdot c) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i. \quad \square$$

5. Ordered and symmetric geometries

The union operations of the set-based relations generating the properties of metric space, Euclidean distance, and volume requires being able to iterate sequentially through each set (dimension of sets), which implies a total ordering exists. The commutative property of union also allows each set (dimension of sets) to be sequentially adjacent to any other dimension of sets, herein referred to as a symmetric geometry.

Both Manhattan and Euclidean distance are *simultaneous* properties of flat space (\mathbb{R}^n). Using the Manhattan distance between two points does not make the Euclidean distance property disappear. Likewise, using the ordered property of space does not make the symmetry property disappear.

For example, asserting the order of the four dimensions, $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, contradicts the symmetry assertion that x_4 is sequentially adjacent (either a successor or predecessor of x_2). Asserting a different order, where x_4 is sequentially adjacent to element x_2 either contradicts the previous assertion that the successor of x_2 is x_3 or contradicts the previous assertion that the predecessor of x_2 is x_1 .

In other words, the two specified orders can not bedef-countable-metric-space true because a member of a sequentially ordered set can have at most one successor and at most one predecessor. It will now be proved that satisfying the *simultaneous* constraints of both total ordering and symmetry limits the number of dimensions of distance and volume.

DEFINITION 5.1. Ordered geometry:

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ i \in [1, n-1], \ \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

$$\text{successor } x_i = x_{i+1} \ \wedge \ \text{predecessor } x_{i+1} = x_i,$$

where each $x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a set of subintervals of a real-valued domain interval (dimension of intervals).

DEFINITION 5.2. Symmetric geometry (every member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

$$\forall i \ j \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall x_i \ x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \ \text{successor } x_i = x_j \ \wedge \ \text{predecessor } x_j = x_i.$$

THEOREM 5.3. *An ordered and symmetric geometry is a cyclic set.*

$$\text{successor } x_n = x_1 \ \wedge \ \text{predecessor } x_1 = x_n.$$

The theorem and formal Coq-based proof is “ordered_symmetric_is_cyclic,” which is located in the file `threed.v`.

PROOF. The property of order (5.1) defines unique successors and predecessors for all members except for the successor of x_n and the predecessor of x_1 . Therefore, the only member that can be a successor of x_n , without creating a contradiction, is x_1 . And the only member that can be a predecessor of x_1 , without creating a contradiction, is x_n . From the properties of a symmetric geometry (5.2):

$$(5.1) \quad i = n \ \wedge \ j = 1 \ \wedge \ \text{successor } x_i = x_j \ \Rightarrow \ \text{successor } x_n = x_1.$$

$$(5.2) \quad i = n \ \wedge \ j = 1 \ \wedge \ \text{predecessor } x_j = x_i \ \Rightarrow \ \text{predecessor } x_1 = x_n. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 5.4. *An ordered and symmetric geometry is limited to at most 3 members.*

The Coq-based lemmas and proofs in the file `threed.v` are:

Lemmas: `adj111`, `adj122`, `adj212`, `adj123`, `adj133`, `adj233`, `adj213`, `adj313`, `adj323`, and `not_all_mutually_adjacent_gt_3`.

The following proof uses Horn clauses (a subset of first-order logic) that uses unification and resolution. Horn clauses make it clear which facts satisfy a proof goal.

PROOF.

it was proved that a symmetric and ordered set is a cyclic set (5.3). In other words, the successors and predecessors of symmetric and ordered set are cyclic:

DEFINITION 5.5. Successor of m is n :

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1) \vee (m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

DEFINITION 5.6. Predecessor of m is n :

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}) \vee (m - 1 \geq 1).$$

DEFINITION 5.7. Adjacent: member m is sequentially adjacent to member n (required for a “symmetric” set (5.2)), if the cyclic successor of m is n or the cyclic predecessor of m is n . Notionally:

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Adjacent}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \vee \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}).$$

Every member is adjacent to every other member, where $setsize \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$(5.6) \quad Adjacent(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow Successor(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow (1 = 1 \wedge 1 = 1).$$

$$(5.7) \quad Adjacent(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow Successor(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow (1 + 1 \leq 2).$$

$$(5.8) \quad Adjacent(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow Successor(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow (2 = 2 \wedge 1 = 1).$$

$$(5.9) \quad Adjacent(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow Successor(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow (1 + 1 \leq 2).$$

$$(5.10) \quad Adjacent(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow Predecessor(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow (2 - 1 \geq 1).$$

$$(5.11) \quad Adjacent(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow Successor(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow (3 = 3 \wedge 1 = 1).$$

$$(5.12) \quad Adjacent(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow Predecessor(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow (1 = 1 \wedge 3 = 3).$$

$$(5.13) \quad Adjacent(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow Successor(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow (2 + 1 \leq 3).$$

$$(5.14) \quad Adjacent(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow Predecessor(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow (3 - 1 \geq 1).$$

Must prove that for all $setsize > 3$, there exist non-adjacent members. For example, the first and third members are not adjacent:

$$(5.15) \quad \forall setsize > 3 : \quad \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize) \\ \leftarrow Successor(1, 2, setsize) \leftarrow (1 + 1 \leq setsize).$$

That is, 2 is the only successor of 1 for all $setsize > 3$, which implies 3 is not a successor of 1 for all $setsize > 3$.

$$(5.16) \quad \forall setsize > 3 : \quad \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize) \\ \leftarrow Predecessor(1, n, setsize) \leftarrow (1 = 1 \wedge n = setsize).$$

That is, $n = setsize$ is the only predecessor of 1 for all $setsize > 3$, which implies 3 is not a predecessor of 1 for all $setsize > 3$.

$$(5.17) \quad \forall setsize > 3 : \quad \neg Adjacent(1, 3, setsize) \\ \leftarrow \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize) \wedge \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize). \quad \square$$

That is, for all $setsize > 3$, some elements are not sequentially adjacent to every other element (violates the symmetry property).

6. Summary

Applying some very simple real analysis, in the form of the ruler measure (2.1) and ruler convergence proof (2.2), to a set of real-valued domain intervals and a range interval yields some new insights into geometry and physics.

- (1) Ruler measure-based proofs expose the difference between distance and volume measures: Distance is a function of the number of mappings between the members of each disjoint domain set and a corresponding range set. In contrast, volume is a function of the many-to-many mapping between the members of disjoint domain sets. Other types of measures, like Borel, Hausdorff, and Lebesgue, do not provide that insight.
- (2) Applying the ruler measure to the countable metric space (3.1) provides the insight that all notions of distance are based on the principle that for each disjoint domain set there exists a corresponding range set containing the same number of members, where the range sets in some cases intersect:

- (a) Applying the ruler and ruler convergence to the countable metric space principle (3.1) generates the real-valued triangle inequality and non-negativity properties of metric space (3.2) with Manhattan distance at the upper boundary and Euclidean distance at the lower boundary, which provides the insight that a "flat" geometry is one where for each disjoint, countable domain set of same-sized members there exists a corresponding range (distance) set with same number of same-sized members.
 - (b) All L^p norms ($\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p)^{1/p}$), where $p < 1$ and $p > 2$, violate the principle that each range set has the same number of same-sized members as the corresponding domain set. Therefore, all L^p norms, where $p < 1$ and $p > 2$, are not flat distances. All L^p norms, where $p < 1$, are open (hyperbolic) distances. All L^p norms, where $p > 2$, are closed (elliptic) distances.
 - (c) The upper bound case is the size, d_c , of the union of disjoint range (distance) sets, where there is a 1-1 correspondence between the domain and range set members. Therefore, the countable Manhattan distance is $d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$, where p_i is the i^{th} number of domain-to-range mappings.
 - (d) The lower bound case is the size, d_c , of the union of intersecting range (distance) sets, where all domain members maps to each range member (a many-to-many relation) yielding $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$ number of domain-to-distance set member mappings. Combining the previous equation, $d_c = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ with $(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \Rightarrow d_c^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$. The smallest (shortest) distance is the equality case: $d_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$, which explains from a set-based perspective (without any notions of side, angle, or shape) why Euclidean distance is the smallest possible distance spanning countable, disjoint domain sets. And applying the ruler measure and convergence theorem yields the real-valued smallest possible distance relation: $d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2$ (3.3).
 - (e) Euclidean distance (3.3) was derived from a set-based, many-to-many relation without any notions of side, angle, or shape. A parametric variable relating the sizes of two domain intervals can be easily derived using using calculus (Taylor series) and the Euclidean distance equation to generate the arc sine and arc cosine functions of the parametric variable (arc angle). In other words, the notions of side and angle are derived from Euclidean distance, which is the reverse perspective of classical geometry [Joy98] [Loo68] [Ber88] and axiomatic foundations for geometry [Bir32] [Hil80] [TG99].
- (3) Applying the ruler measure and ruler convergence proof to the countable volume definition (4.1) allows a proof that the Cartesian product of same-sized subintervals of real-valued intervals converges to the product of the interval sizes (Euclidean length/area/volume):
- (a) Euclidean volume was derived from a many-to-many (combinatorial) relation without notions of sides, angles, and shape.
 - (b) The Lebesgue measure, Riemann and Lebesgue integration, and vector analysis assume Euclidean volume/space (\mathbb{R}^n) rather than deriving Euclidean volume from more fundamental set-based relations.

- (4) The set-based relations generating metric space (3.2), Manhattan distance (3.2), Euclidean distance (3.3), and volume (4.2) have the properties of total ordering (5.1) and symmetry (5.2). A geometry that is *simultaneously* both ordered and symmetric limits Euclidean distance and volume to a cyclic set (5.3) of three dimensions (5.4), which explains why there are only three dimensions of physical space (length, width, and height).
- (5) The *simultaneously* ordered and symmetric properties that generate the three dimensions of physical (flat/Euclidean) space requires that all valid higher dimensional theories of physics must collapse into hierarchical 2 or 3-dimensional geometries because more dimensions of Euclidean space would cause symmetry contradictions. For example, the four-vectors common in physics [Bru17] are hierarchical, 2-dimensional geometries that have been "flattened."

The spacetime four-vector length, $d = \sqrt{(ct)^2 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}$, where c is the speed of light and t is time, can be expressed in a form like, $(ct)^2 = d_1^2 + d_2^2$, where $d_1^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ and $d_2 = d$. Likewise, the energy-momentum four-vector has the 2-dimensional length: $E^2 = (mv^2)^2 + (pc)^2$, where E is energy, m is the resting mass, v is the 3-dimensional velocity, c is the speed of light, and p is the relativistic momentum ($p = \gamma mv$, where $\gamma = (1/(1 - (v/c)^2))^{1/2}$ is the Lorentz factor).

Applying the Euclidean distance proof (3.3) to the 2-dimensional spacetime and energy-momentum equations provides the perspective that for each set of domain subintervals (units of physical measure) there is a corresponding range containing the same number of subintervals (units of physical measure), where each subinterval (unit of measure) has the same size. In other words, the speed of light, unit of energy per unit of work, etc. are the same in each frame of reference (domain interval), which is a set and real analysis-based statement of Albert Einstein's second law of relativity [Bru16].

References

- [Ber88] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan-100 years old (fashioned) or 100 years new (fangled)?*, The Mathematical Intelligencer **10** (1988), no. 3. ↑9
- [Bir32] G. D. Birkhoff, *A set of postulates for plane geometry (based on scale and protractors)*, Annals of Mathematics **33** (1932). ↑9
- [Bru16] P. Bruskiwich, *A very simple introduction to the special theory of relativity (the new mathematics for the millions book 33)*, Pythagoras Publishing, 2016. ↑10
- [Bru17] ———, *A very simple introduction to special relativity: Part two - four vectors, the lorentz transformation and group velocity (the new mathematics for the millions book 38)*, Pythagoras Publishing, 2017. ↑10
- [Coq15] Coq, *Coq proof assistant*, 2015. <https://coq.inria.fr/documentation>. ↑2
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, *Methods of real analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. ↑1
- [Hil80] D. Hilbert, *The foundations of geometry (2cd ed)*, Chicago: Open Court, 1980. <http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/17384>. ↑9
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, *Euclid's elements*, 1998. <http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html>. ↑9
- [Loo68] E. S. Loomis, *The pythagorean proposition*, NCTM, 1968. ↑9
- [TG99] A. Tarski and S. Givant, *Tarski's system of geometry*, The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic **5** (1999), no. 2, 175–214. ↑9