

# Some Set Properties Underlying Geometry and Physics

George. M. Van Treeck

**ABSTRACT.** Volume and distance equations are proved to be instances of a sets of n-tuples. A symmetry property is proved to limit a cyclic set to at most 3 members. Where distance is such a cyclic set, more dimensions have different types (members of other sets), with ratios of a distance unit to units of time, mass, and charge. The proofs and ratios are used to: derive well-known gravity, charge, electromagnetic equations, special and general relativity equations, and quantum physics equations; derive the gravity, charge, vacuum permittivity, vacuum permeability, Planck, and fine structure constants; add quantum extensions to gravity and charge equations. All the proofs are verified in Rocq.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ruler measure and convergence	3
3. Volume	4
4. Distance	4
5. Applications to physics	8
6. Insights and implications	15
References	21

## 1. Introduction

The Riemann integral, Lebesgue integral, and Lebesgue measure define Euclidean volume as the product of interval sizes, where the justification points to Euclidean geometry [Gol76] [Rud76]. And Euclidean distance, vector, inner product, metric space, etc. are definitions, in analysis, motivated by Euclidean and Cartesian geometry [Wey52] [Gol76] [Rud76].

The importance of deriving volume and distance from an abstract foundation is that the equations and definitions can explain the geometry and physics instead of the geometry and physics motivating the equations and definitions. This foundation can then be extended to explain other aspects of geometry and physics, for example: why there are only 3 dimensions of physical space, why the speed of light is a

constant, and allow the derivation of what were previously empirical or hypothesized laws and their constants.

If differential calculus can be derived from a set and limit-based foundation without using notions of straight line, side, angle, etc. [Gol76] [Rud76], then the Euclidean volume equation should also be derived from a set and limit-based foundation. And the same set and limit-based foundation should also be used to derive distance equations, and a simple, concise definition of a distance measure.

All the proofs in this article have been verified using the Rocq proof verification system [Roc25]. The formal proofs are in the Rocq files, “euclidrelations.v” and “threed.v,” at: <https://github.com/treeck/RASRGeometry>.

Using integral calculus and  $\sigma$ -algebras (for example, the Lebesgue measure) to prove the volume and distance theorems in this article would result in circular logic. Therefore, a “ruler” measure of intervals,  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , will be used to prove the theorems.

Where  $|x_i|$  is the cardinal of (number of elements in) a countable set,  $x_i$ ,  $v_c$  is the countable number of ordered combinations (n-tuples). The ruler measure will be used to prove the Euclidean volume relation,

$$(1.1) \quad \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = X, \quad \bigcap_{x_i \in X} x_i = \emptyset : \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i, \quad s_i = b_i - a_i, \quad [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

For each  $n$ , there are some cases, where the countable volume,  $v_c$ , is a bijective function ( $\exists! d_c : v_c = f(d_c)$  and  $d_c = f^{-1}(v_c)$ ):

$$(1.2) \quad \exists d_c, v_c, |x_i| \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\} : v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n,$$

the ruler measure will be used to prove that:

$$(1.3) \quad d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n |x_{i,j}|) \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n s_{i,j}).$$

The  $n = 2$  case is the basis of the inner product. Where each  $v_{c_i}$  is also a bijective function,  $v_{c_i} = d_{c_i}^n$ , the ruler measure will be used to prove that:

$$(1.4) \quad d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n.$$

$|d|$  is the  $p$ -norm (Minkowski distance) [Min53], which will be proved to imply the metric space properties [Rud76].

A simple, concise definition of a distance measure is:

$$(1.5) \quad f(d, n) = v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i, \quad d = f^{-1}(v, n).$$

The computation of volume requires multiplying a sequentially ordered set of domain values. And calculating distance requires summing a sequentially ordered set of volumes. The commutative properties of multiplication and addition allows sequencing an ordered set in all  $n!$  permutations.

And there is no intrinsic property of a domain value (interval or dimension) that gives it a particular position of first, second,  $\dots$ , last in a multiplication or addition sequence. For example,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are arbitrarily assigned labels to allow repeatable sequencing of all  $n$  set elements without redundancy.

The *only* sequentially ordered set, where any member can be selected first (labeled as  $x_1$ ), is a cyclic set. The second sequenced member ( $x_2$ ) must be either the *immediate* cyclic successor or *immediate* cyclic predecessor. And sequencing a cyclic set in all  $n!$  permutations, is a symmetry, where every set member is either an *immediate* cyclic successor or an *immediate* cyclic predecessor to every other set

member, herein referred to as an “immediate symmetric” cyclic set. An immediate symmetric cyclic set will be proved to have  $n \leq 3$  members.

Where distance is an immediate cyclic set of 3 dimensions, the 3 members proof requires more dimensions to have non-distance types (be members of other sets), where for each same-sized subinterval (unit) length,  $r_c$ , of distance interval length,  $r$ , there are unit lengths:  $t_c$  of time interval length,  $t$ ;  $m_c$  of mass interval length,  $m$ ; and  $q_c$  of charge interval length,  $q$ , such that:  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q$ .

Where the infinitesimal space at each coordinate point is Euclidean-like (for example, Riemann and pseudo-Riemann surfaces), the ratio is constant (not stretched or contracted) at every coordinate frame of reference. For example, the constant ratio,  $r_c/t_c = c$ , is the speed of light at every coordinate frame of reference.

The proofs and the 3 direct proportion ratios are used to provide simple derivations of the Newton, Gauss, and Poisson gravity equations, Coulomb charge, Gauss, Lorentz, and Faraday electromagnetic equations [and the constants: gravity ( $G$ ), charge ( $k_e$ ), vacuum permittivity ( $\epsilon_0$ ), and vacuum permeability ( $\mu_0$ )]. They are also used to derive all the special relativity equations, the Schwarzschild time dilation and black hole metric equations pointing to a simplified method of finding solutions to Einstein’s general relativity equations.

Next, algebraic manipulation of the 3 direct proportion ratios yields 3 inverse proportion ratios,  $r = t_c r_c / t = m_c r_c / m = q_c r_c / q$ . The combination of the direct and inverse proportion ratios are used to derive the Planck relation, the Planck constant,  $h$ , the Compton, position-space Schrödinger, and Dirac wave equations. And finally, the inverse proportion ratios are used to add quantum extensions to some general relativity and classical physics equations.

## 2. Ruler measure and convergence

A ruler (measuring stick) measures the size of each interval *approximately* as the sum of the nearest integer number,  $p$ , of size  $\kappa$  subintervals. The ruler is both an inner and outer measure of an interval.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Ruler measure,  $M = \sum_{i=1}^p \kappa = p\kappa$ , where  $\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s = b - a \wedge 0 < \kappa \leq 1 \wedge (p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \vee p = \text{ceiling}(s/\kappa))$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Ruler convergence:*  $M = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} p\kappa = s$ .

The formal proof, “limit\_c\_0\_M\_eq\_exact\_size,” is in the file, euclidrelations.v.

**PROOF.** (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function,  $\text{floor}(x) = \max(\{y : y \leq x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$ :

$$(2.1) \quad \forall 0 < \kappa \leq 1, p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \wedge 0 \leq |\text{floor}(s/\kappa) - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p - s/\kappa| < 1.$$

Multiply both sides of inequality 2.1 by  $\kappa$ :

$$(2.2) \quad \forall 0 < \kappa \leq 1, |p - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \wedge |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow |\kappa - 0| < \delta \wedge |p\kappa - s| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad M = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} p\kappa = s. \quad \square$$

The following is an example of ruler convergence for the interval,  $[0, \pi]$ :  $s = \pi - 0$ , and  $p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \Rightarrow p \cdot \kappa = 3.1_{\kappa=10^{-1}}, 3.14_{\kappa=10^{-2}}, 3.141_{\kappa=10^{-3}}, \dots, \pi_{\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0}}$ .

**LEMMA 2.3.**  $\forall n \geq 1, 0 < \kappa \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa$ .

PROOF. The formal proof, “lim\_c.to\_n\_eq\_lim\_c,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

$$(2.4) \quad n \geq 1 \quad \wedge \quad 0 < \kappa \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 < \kappa^n < \kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \quad \wedge \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - 0| < \delta \quad \wedge \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = 0.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa. \quad \square$$

### 3. Volume

DEFINITION 3.1. A countable n-volume is the number of ordered combinations (n-tuples),  $v_c$ , of the members of  $n$  number of disjoint, countable domain sets,  $x_i$ :

$$(3.1) \quad x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = X, |x_i| \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\} : \bigcap_{x_i \in X} x_i = \emptyset \quad \wedge \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Euclidean volume,*

$$(3.2) \quad \forall [a_i, b_i] \in \{[a_1, b_1], \dots [a_n, b_n]\}, [v_a, v_b] \subset \mathbb{R}, s_i = b_i - a_i, v = v_b - v_a : \\ v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

The formal proof, “Euclidean\_volume,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF.

$$(3.3) \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow v_c \kappa = (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to equation 3.3:

$$(3.4) \quad \exists v, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : v_c = \text{floor}(v/\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa \quad \wedge \\ \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa.$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 3.4:

$$(3.5) \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa \\ \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to  $s_i$ :

$$(3.6) \quad \exists s_i, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : \text{floor}(s_i/\kappa) = |x_i| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \kappa) = s_i.$$

$$(3.7) \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \kappa) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \kappa) = s_i \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \kappa) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \quad \square$$

### 4. Distance

DEFINITION 4.1. Countable distance,

$$(4.1) \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, v_c, d_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}, x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = X : \bigcap_{x_i \in X} x_i = \emptyset \quad \wedge \\ d_c = |x_1| = \dots = |x_n| \quad \wedge \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n.$$

LEMMA 4.2. *A volume is the sum of volumes,*

$$v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i, \quad v, v_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The formal proof, “sum\_of\_volumes,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. From the condition of this theorem:

$$(4.2) \quad v_c = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa).$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 4.2:

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_i}) \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i}) \kappa^n \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.3:

$$(4.4) \quad \exists v, v_i : v = \text{floor}(d/\kappa), v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa \\ \wedge v_{c_i} = \text{floor}(v_i/\kappa), v_i = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_{c_i} \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (d_c \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa) \\ \Leftrightarrow v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (d_c \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa) = \sum_{j=1}^m v_i^n. \quad \square$$

#### 4.1. Sum of volumes distance.

THEOREM 4.3. *Sum of volumes distance:*

$$v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n s_{ij}).$$

The formal proof, “sum\_of\_volumes\_distance,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. From lemma 4.2 and the Euclidean volume theorem 3.2:

$$(4.5) \quad v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n v_i) \quad \wedge \quad v_i = \prod_{j=1}^n s_{ij} \\ v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n s_{ij}). \quad \square$$

#### 4.2. Minkowski distance (p-norm).

THEOREM 4.4. *Minkowski distance (p-norm):*

$$v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n.$$

The formal proof, “Minkowski\_distance,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. From lemma 4.2 and the Euclidean volume theorem 3.2:

$$(4.6) \quad v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \quad \wedge \quad v_i = \prod_{j=1}^n d_i = d_i^n \\ v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n. \quad \square$$

**4.3. Distance inequality.** The formal proof, distance\_inequality, is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.5. *Distance inequality*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, v_a, v_b \geq 0 : (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Expand  $(v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n$  using the binomial expansion:

$$(4.7) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \geq 0 : v_a + v_b \leq v_a + v_b + \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (v_a^{1/n})^{n-i} (v_b^{1/n})^i + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (v_a^{1/n})^i (v_b^{1/n})^{n-i} = (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n.$$

Take the  $n^{th}$  root of both sides of the inequality 4.7:

$$(4.8) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} : v_a + v_b \leq (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n \Rightarrow (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

**4.4. Distance sum inequality.** The formal proof, `distance_sum_inequality`, is in the `Rocq` file, `euclidrelations.v`.

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Distance sum inequality*

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i, b_i \geq 0 : (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

**PROOF.** Apply the distance inequality (4.5):

$$(4.9) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, v_a, v_b \geq 0 : v_a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \quad \wedge \quad v_b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n \quad \wedge \\ (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n) + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n))^{1/n} = \\ (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

**4.5. Metric Space.** All Minkowski distances ( $p$ -norms) imply the metric space properties. The formal proofs: `triangle_inequality`, `symmetry`, `non_negativity`, and `identity_of_indiscernibles` are in the `Rocq` file, `euclidrelations.v`.

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Triangle Inequality:*

$$d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

**PROOF.**  $\forall p \geq 1, k > 1, u = s_1, w = s_2, v = w/k$ :

$$(4.10) \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + w^p) + 2v^p)^{1/p} = ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p}.$$

Apply the distance inequality (4.5) to the inequality 4.10:

$$(4.11) \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \quad \wedge \quad (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \\ \wedge \quad v_a = u^p + v^p \quad \wedge \quad v_b = v^p + w^p \\ \Rightarrow \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \leq (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq \\ (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 4.8.** *Symmetry:*  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = d(v, u).$

**PROOF.** By the commutative law of addition:

$$(4.12) \quad \forall p : p \geq 1, d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} = (s_1^p + s_2^p)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} = (v^p + u^p)^{1/p} = d(v, u). \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 4.9.** *Non-negativity:*  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \geq 0.$

**PROOF.** By definition, the length of an interval is always  $\geq 0$ :

$$(4.13) \quad \forall [a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], u = b_1 - a_1, v = b_2 - a_2, \Rightarrow u \geq 0, v \geq 0.$$

$$(4.14) \quad p \geq 1, u, v \geq 0 \Rightarrow d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \geq 0. \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 4.10.** *Identity of Indiscernibles:*  $d(u, u) = 0.$

**PROOF.** From the non-negativity property (4.9):

$$(4.15) \quad d(u, w) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(u, v) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(v, w) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \exists d(u, w) = d(u, v) = d(v, w) = 0.$$

$$(4.16) \quad d(u, w) = d(v, w) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v.$$

$$(4.17) \quad d(u, v) = 0 \quad \wedge \quad u = v \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, u) = 0. \quad \square$$

**4.6. Set properties limiting a set to at most 3 members.** The following definitions and proof use first order logic. A Horn clause-like expression is used, here, to make the proof easier to read. By convention, the proof goal is on the left side and supporting facts are on the right side of the implication sign ( $\leftarrow$ ). The formal proofs in the Rocq file `threed.v` are:

**Lemmas:** `adj111`, `adj122`, `adj212`, `adj123`, `adj133`, `adj233`, `adj213`, `adj313`, `adj323`, and `not_all_mutually_adjacent_gt_3`.

**DEFINITION 4.11.** Immediate Cyclic Successor of  $m$  is  $n$ :

$$(4.18) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \\ \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1) \vee (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

**DEFINITION 4.12.** Immediate Cyclic Predecessor of  $m$  is  $n$ :

$$(4.19) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \\ \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}) \vee (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

**DEFINITION 4.13.** Adjacent: Member  $m$  is sequentially adjacent to member  $n$  if the immediate cyclic successor of  $m$  is  $n$  or the immediate cyclic predecessor of  $m$  is  $n$ . Notionally:

$$(4.20) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \\ \text{Adjacent}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \vee \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}).$$

**DEFINITION 4.14.** Immediate Symmetric (every set member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

$$(4.21) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \quad \text{Adjacent}(m, n, \text{setsize}).$$

**THEOREM 4.15.** *An immediate symmetric cyclic set is limited to at most 3 members.*

**PROOF.**

Every member is adjacent to every other member, where  $\text{setsize} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ :

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1).$$

$$(4.23) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.24) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow (n = \text{setsize} \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(4.25) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.26) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

$$(4.27) \quad \text{Adjacent}(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow (n = \text{setsize} \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(4.28) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.29) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.30) \quad \text{Adjacent}(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

Member 2 is the only immediate successor of member 1 for all  $\text{setsize} \geq 3$ , which implies member 3 is not ( $\neg$ ) an immediate successor of member 1 for all  $\text{setsize} \geq 3$ :

$$(4.31) \quad \neg \text{Successor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} \geq 3) \\ \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, \text{setsize} \geq 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

Member  $n = \text{setsize} > 3$  is the only immediate predecessor of member 1, which implies member 3 is not ( $\neg$ ) an immediate predecessor of member 1 for all  $\text{setsize} > 3$ :

$$(4.32) \quad \neg \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} \geq 3) \\ \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(1, \text{setsize}, \text{setsize} > 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize} > 3).$$

For all  $\text{setsize} > 3$ , some elements are not ( $\neg$ ) sequentially adjacent to every other element (not immediate symmetric):

$$(4.33) \quad \neg \text{Adjacent}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \\ \leftarrow \neg \text{Successor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \wedge \neg \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3). \quad \square$$

The Symmetric goal matches Adjacent goals 4.22 and fails for all “setsize” greater than three.

## 5. Applications to physics

Where distance is an immediate cyclic set of dimensions, the 3D proof requires more dimensions to have non-distance types, where for each unit length,  $r_c$ , of distance interval length,  $r$ , there are unit lengths:  $t_c$  of time interval length,  $t$ ;  $m_c$  of mass interval length,  $m$ ; and  $q_c$  of charge interval length,  $q$ , such that:

$$(5.1) \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q.$$

**5.1. Derivation of the constant,  $G$ , and the gravity laws of Newton, Gauss, and Poisson.** From equation 5.1:

$$(5.2) \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/m_c)m/r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = ((r_c/m_c)c^2)m/r^2 = Gm/r^2,$$

where Newton’s constant,  $G = (r_c/m_c)c^2$ , conforms to the SI units:  $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$  [New19].

Newton’s law follows from multiplying both sides of equation 5.2 by  $m$ :

$$(5.3) \quad r/t^2 = Gm/r^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = Gm^2/r^2.$$

$$(5.4) \quad F = Gm^2/r^2 \quad \wedge \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R} : \exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : m_1 m_2 = m^2 \Rightarrow F = Gm_1 m_2 / r^2.$$

From equation 5.2, Gauss’s gravity field,  $\mathbf{g}$  and Poisson’s gravity field,  $\nabla \Phi(r, t)$ :

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{g} = -\nabla \Phi(\vec{r}, t) := r/t^2 = Gm/r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{r}, t) = -2Gm/r^3 = (-2Gm/r^3)(2\pi/2\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = m/2\pi r^3 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{r}, t) = -4\pi G\rho.$$

**5.2. Derivation of Coulomb’s charge constant,  $k_e$  and charge force.**

$$(5.6) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R} : \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/q_c)q \\ \Rightarrow \quad \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 = ((q_c/r_c)r)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = 1.$$

$$(5.7) \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m = ct \\ \Rightarrow \quad mr = (m_c/r_c)rct = (m_c/r_c)(ct)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1.$$



$$(5.8) \quad ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1 \quad \wedge \quad (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = k_e q_1 q_2 / r^2.$$

where Coulomb's constant,  $k_e = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2$ , has the units  $kg \cdot m^3 \cdot s^{-2} \cdot C^{-2}$ , which is equivalent to the SI units:  $N \cdot m^2 \cdot C^{-2}$  [FLS10].

**5.3. Vacuum permittivity,  $\varepsilon_0$ , and Gauss's law for electric fields.** From Coulomb's charge force equation 5.8:

$$(5.9) \quad \exists q \in \mathbb{R} : F = k_e q_1 q_2 / r^2 = k_e q^2 / r^2 := q\mathbf{E} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{E} = k_e q / r^2,$$

where  $\mathbf{E}$  has the SI units  $N \cdot C^{-1}$ .

$$(5.10) \quad \mathbf{E} = k_e q / r^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -2k_e q / r^3.$$

$$(5.11) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -(2k_e q / r^3)(2\pi/2\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = q/2\pi r^3 \quad \wedge \quad \varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -4\pi k_e \rho = -\rho/\varepsilon_0,$$

which is Gauss's electric field law [FLS10].

**5.4. Lorentz's force law.** From Coulomb's charge force equation 5.8:

$$(5.12) \quad \exists q \in \mathbb{R} : F_{charge} = k_e q_1 q_2 / r^2 = k_e q^2 / r^2 \quad \wedge \quad F_{magnetic} := (v/c)F_{charge}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad F_{magnetic} = qv(k_e/c)q/r^2 := qv\mathbf{B},$$

where  $\mathbf{B}$ , has the base SI units:  $kg \cdot s^{-1} \cdot C^{-1} = kg \cdot s^{-2} \cdot A^{-1} = T$ .

$$(5.13) \quad F_{magnetic} = qv\mathbf{B} \quad \wedge \quad v = |\vec{v}| \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{magnetic} = q\vec{v} \times \mathbf{B}.$$

Gauss's electric force equation 5.9 plus equation 5.14 yields the Lorentz law:

$$(5.14) \quad F_{electric} = q\mathbf{E} \quad \wedge \quad F_{magnetic} = q\vec{v} \times \mathbf{B} \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{total} = q(\mathbf{E} + \vec{v} \times \mathbf{B}).$$

**5.5. Vacuum permeability,  $\mu_0$ , and Faraday's law.** From the Lorentz equation 5.12:

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbf{B} = (k_e/c)q/r^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{B} = (k_e/c^3)q/t^2.$$

$$(5.16) \quad \mathbf{B} = (k_e/c^3)q/t^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t = -(2k_e/c^3)q/t^3.$$

$$(5.17) \quad \partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t = -(2k_e/c^3)q/t^3 \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t = -2k_e q / r^3.$$

From equation 5.9:

$$(5.18) \quad \mathbf{E} = k_e q / r^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 2k_e q / r^3.$$

Combining equations 5.18 and 5.17 yields Faraday's law [FLS10]:

$$(5.19) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 2k_e q / r^3 \quad \wedge \quad \partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t = -2k_e q / r^3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t.$$

$$(5.20) \quad \partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t = -(2k_e q / r^3)(2\pi/2\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = q/2\pi r^3 \quad \wedge \quad \mu_0 := 4\pi k_e / c^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t = -4\pi k_e \rho = -\mu_0 \rho.$$

**5.6. Space-time-mass-charge.**  $r$  is an Euclidean distance, where,  $r = f^{-1}(v)$ , then, by the Minkowski distance theorem (4.4),  $r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i^2$ . Let,  $r' = r_1$  and  $r_v = (\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i^2)$ . From equation 5.1, there are ratios  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  such that:

$$(5.21) \quad \forall \tau \in \{t, m, q\}, r^2 = r'^2 + r_v^2, \exists \mu, \nu : \quad \wedge \quad r = \mu\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_v = \nu\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (\mu\tau)^2 = r'^2 + (\nu\tau)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = \sqrt{(\mu\tau)^2 - (\nu\tau)^2} = \mu\tau\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Rest frame distance,  $r'$ , contracts relative to stationary frame distance,  $r$ , as  $\nu \rightarrow \mu$ :

$$(5.22) \quad r' = \mu\tau\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \wedge \quad \mu\tau = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Stationary frame type,  $\tau$ , dilates relative to the rest frame type,  $\tau'$ , as  $\nu \rightarrow \mu$ :

$$(5.23) \quad \mu\tau = r'/\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \wedge \quad r' = \mu\tau' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau = \tau'/\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Where  $\tau$  is type, time, the space-like flat Minkowski spacetime event interval is:

$$(5.24) \quad dr^2 = dr'^2 + dr_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad dr_v^2 = dr_1^2 + dr_2^2 + dr_3^2 \quad \wedge \quad d(\mu\tau) = dr \\ \Rightarrow \quad dr'^2 = d(\mu\tau)^2 - dr_1^2 - dr_2^2 - dr_3^2.$$

**5.7. Derivation of Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation and black hole metric.** [Sch16] [AL99] From equations 5.22 and 5.1:

$$(5.25) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2}.$$

Where  $v_{escape}$  is the escape velocity:

$$(5.26) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2}.$$

$$(5.27) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \rightarrow c} \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2} \\ = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2}.$$

Combining equation 5.27 with the derivation of  $G$  (5.4):

$$(5.28) \quad (r_c/m_c)c^2 = G \quad \wedge \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$$

Combining equation 5.28 with equation 5.23 yields Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation:

$$(5.29) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} \\ \Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$$

Schwarzschild defined the black hole event horizon radius,  $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$ . From equations 5.22 and 5.30:

$$(5.30) \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad \sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} = r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}.$$

Using the time-like spacetime interval, where  $ds^2$  is negative:

$$(5.31) \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r} \quad \wedge \quad ds^2 = dr'^2 - dr^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}dr')^2 - (dr/\sqrt{1 - r_s/r})^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dr'^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2.$$

$$(5.32) \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dr'^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 \quad \wedge \quad dr' = d(ct) \quad \wedge \quad c = 1 \\ \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2.$$

Translating from 2D to 4D yields Schwarzschild's black hole metric:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.33) \quad ds^2 &= (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 = f(r, t) \\
 \Rightarrow ds^2 &= (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) = f(r, t, \theta, \phi) \\
 \Rightarrow g_{\mu,\nu} &= \text{diag}[1 - r_s/r, (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}, r^2(d\theta^2), r^2(\sin^2\theta d\phi^2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

### 5.8. Simplifying Einstein's general relativity (field) equation. Step 1)

Use the ratios to define functions returning scalar values for each component of the metric,  $g_{\nu,\mu}$ , in Einstein's field equations [Ein15] [Wey52]: All functions derived from the ratios, where the units on each side of the equation balance, are valid metrics, for example, the previous Schwarzschild black hole metric derivation using the ratios (5.7).

Step 2) Express the EFE as 2D tensors: As shown in equation 5.33, the Schwarzschild metric was first derived as a 2D metric and then expanded to a 4D metric. Further, the 4D flat spacetime interval equation (5.24) is an instance of the 2D equation,  $dr'^2 = d(ct)^2 - dr_v^2$ , where  $dr_v^2$  is the magnitude of a 3-dimensional vector.

The 2D metric tensor allows using the much simpler 2D Ricci curvature and scalar curvature. And the 2D tensors reduce the number of independent equations to solve.

Step 3) One simple method to translate from 2D to 4D is to use spherical coordinates, where  $r$  and  $t$  remain unchanged and two added dimensions are the angles,  $\phi$ , and  $\theta$ . For example, the 2D Schwarzschild metric was translated to 4D using this method in equation ??.

### 5.9. 3 fundamental direct proportion ratios. $c_t$ , $c_m$ , and $c_q$ :

$$(5.34) \quad c_t = r_c/t_c \approx 2.99792458 \cdot 10^8 m \text{ s}^{-1}.$$

$$(5.35) \quad G = (r_c/m_c)c_t^2 = c_m c_t^2 \Rightarrow c_m = r_c/m_c \approx 7.4261602691 \cdot 10^{-28} m \text{ kg}^{-1}.$$

$$(5.36) \quad k_e = (c_t^2/c_m)(r_c/q_c)^2 \Rightarrow c_q = r_c/q_c \approx 8.6175172023 \cdot 10^{-18} m \text{ C}^{-1}.$$

### 5.10. 3 fundamental inverse proportion ratios. $k_t$ , $k_m$ , and $k_q$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.37) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/m = r_c/m_c &\Rightarrow (r/t)/(r/m) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/m_c) \Rightarrow \\
 (mr)/(tr) = (m_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) &\Rightarrow mr = m_c r_c = k_m, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.38) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/q = r_c/q_c &\Rightarrow (r/t)/(r/q) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/q_c) \Rightarrow \\
 (qr)/(tr) = (q_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) &\Rightarrow qr = q_c r_c = k_q, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

**5.11. Planck relation and constant,  $h$ .** [Jai11] Applying both the direct proportion ratio (5.34), and inverse proportion ratio (5.37):

$$(5.39) \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad m = k_m/r \Rightarrow m(ct)^2 = (k_m/r)r^2 = k_m r.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.40) \quad m(ct)^2 &= k_m r \quad \wedge \quad r/t = r_c/t_c = c \\
 \Rightarrow E := mc^2 &= k_m r/t^2 = (k_m(r/t)) (1/t) = (k_m c)(1/t) = hf,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the Planck constant,  $h = k_m c$ , and the frequency,  $f = 1/t$ .

$$(5.41) \quad k_m = m_c r_c = h/c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \text{ kg } m.$$

$$(5.42) \quad k_t = t_c r_c = k_m c_m / c_t \approx 5.4749346710 \cdot 10^{-78} \text{ s } m.$$

$$(5.43) \quad k_q = q_c r_c = k_t c_t / c_q \approx 1.9046601056 \cdot 10^{-52} \text{ C } m.$$

**5.12. Compton wavelength.** [Jai11] From equations 5.37 and 5.40:

$$(5.44) \quad m r = k_m \quad \wedge \quad h = k_m c \quad \Rightarrow \quad r = k_m / m = (k_m / m)(c/c) = h/mc.$$

**5.13. 4 quantum units.** Distance ( $r_c$ ), time ( $t_c$ ), mass ( $m_c$ ), and charge ( $q_c$ ):

$$(5.45) \quad r_c = \sqrt{r_c^2} = \sqrt{c_t k_t} = \sqrt{c_m k_m} = \sqrt{c_q k_q} \approx 4.0513505432 \cdot 10^{-35} \text{ m}.$$

$$(5.46) \quad t_c = r_c / c_t \approx 1.3513850782 \cdot 10^{-43} \text{ s}.$$

$$(5.47) \quad m_c = r_c / c_m \approx 5.4555118613 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ kg}.$$

$$(5.48) \quad q_c = r_c / c_q \approx 4.7012967286 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ C}.$$

**5.14. Subtype ratios.** The ratio of two subtypes of direct proportion ratio constants,  $(x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_2})/(x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_2}) = 1$ . The ratio of two subtypes of inverse proportion ratios,  $\forall x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_2} > 0 : = (x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_2})(x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_2}) = (x_{\tau_1} x_{\tau_1})/(x_{\tau_2} x_{\tau_2}) = x_{\tau_1}^2/x_{\tau_2}^2 > 0$ :

$$\text{Planck length, } r_p: r_c^2/r_p^2 = 2\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_p = r_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 1.6162550244 \cdot 10^{-35} \text{ m}.$$

$$\text{Planck time, } t_p: t_c^2/t_p^2 = 2\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad t_p = t_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 5.3912464472 \cdot 10^{-44} \text{ s}.$$

$$\text{Planck mass, } m_p: m_c^2/m_p^2 = 2\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_p = m_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 2.176434343 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ kg}.$$

$$\text{Planck charge, } q_p: q_c^2/q_p^2 = 2\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 1.875546038 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ C}.$$

Where  $q_e$  is the elementary (electron) charge ( $1.60217663 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}$ ), the fine structure constant,  $\alpha$ , is also the ratio of two inverse proportion ratios:

$$(5.49) \quad q_c^2/q_e^2 = 2\pi/\alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = 2\pi q_e^2/q_c^2 = q_e^2/(q_c/\sqrt{2\pi})^2 = q_e^2/q_p^2 \approx 0.0072973526.$$

**5.15. Schrödinger's equation.** Start with the previously derived Planck relation 5.40 and multiply the kinetic energy component by  $mc/mc$ :

$$(5.50) \quad h/t = mc^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists V(r, t) : h/t = h/2t + V(r, t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r, t).$$

And from the distance-to-time (speed of light) ratio (5.34):

$$(5.51) \quad h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r, t) \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r, t).$$

$$(5.52) \quad h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r, t) \quad \wedge \quad h/t = mc^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r, t).$$

$$(5.53) \quad h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r, t) \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t).$$

Replace the Planck constant in equation 5.53 with the reduced Planck constant:

$$(5.54) \quad h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t) \quad \wedge \quad \hbar = h/2\pi \quad \Rightarrow \quad 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t).$$

Multiply both sides of equation 5.54 by a function,  $\Psi(r, t)$ .

$$(5.55) \quad 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r, t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r, t) + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t).$$

$$(5.56) \quad (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r, t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r, t) + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t) \quad \wedge \\ \forall \Psi(r, t) : \partial^2\Psi(r, t)/\partial r^2 = -(2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2\Psi(r, t) \quad \wedge \quad \partial\Psi(r, t)/\partial t = (i 2\pi/t)\Psi(r, t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad i\hbar\partial\Psi(r, t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r, t)/\partial r^2 + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t),$$

which is the position-space Schrödinger's equation in one dimension of space [Sch20] [Jai11].

$$(5.57) \quad i\hbar\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t) \quad \wedge \quad \|\vec{r}\| = r \\ \Rightarrow \quad \exists \vec{r} : i\hbar\partial\Psi(\vec{r},t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(\vec{r},t)/\partial \vec{r}^2 + V(\vec{r},t)\Psi(\vec{r},t),$$

which is the position-space Schrödinger's equation in 3 dimensions of space [Sch20] [Jai11].

**5.16. Dirac's wave equation.** Using the derived Planck relation 5.40:

$$(5.58) \quad mc^2 = \hbar/t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists V(r,t) : mc^2/2 + V(r,t) = \hbar/t \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2\hbar/t - 2V(r,t) = mc^2.$$

$$(5.59) \quad \forall V(r,t) : V(r,t) = i\hbar/t \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad 2\hbar/t - 2V(r,t) = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2\hbar/t - i2\hbar c/r = mc^2.$$

Use the charge ratio,  $c_q$ , and time ratio,  $c_t = c$  to multiply each term on the left side of equation 5.59 by 1:

$$(5.60) \quad qc_q/r = qc_q/ct = 1 \quad \wedge \quad 2\hbar/t - i2\hbar c/r = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2\hbar(-qc_q/c)/t^2 - i2\hbar((-qc_q/c)/r^2)c = mc^2.$$

where a negative sign is added to  $q$  to indicate an attractive force between an electron and a nucleus.

Applying a quantum amplitude equation in complex form to equation 5.61:

$$(5.61) \quad A_0 = (c_q/c)((1/t)) - i(1/r) \quad \wedge \quad 2\hbar(-qc_q/c)/t^2 - i2\hbar((-qc_q/c)/r^2)c = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2\hbar\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Translating equation 5.61 to moving coordinates via the Lorentz factor,  $\gamma_0 = 1/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$ :

$$(5.62) \quad 2\hbar\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2\hbar\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Multiplying both sides of equation 5.62 by  $\Psi(r,t)$ :

$$(5.63) \quad \gamma_0 2\hbar\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \gamma_0 i2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r,t) = mc^2\Psi(r,t).$$

Applying the vectors to equation 5.63:

$$(5.64) \quad \gamma_0 2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \gamma_0 i2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r,t) = mc^2\Psi(r,t) \quad \wedge \\ \|\vec{r}\| = r \quad \wedge \quad \|\vec{A}\| = A_0 \quad \wedge \quad \|\vec{\gamma}\| = \gamma_0 \quad \wedge \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \vec{r}, \vec{A}, \vec{\gamma} : \\ \gamma_0 2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \vec{\gamma} \cdot i2\hbar(\partial(-q\vec{A})/\partial r)c\Psi(\vec{r},t) = mc^2\Psi(\vec{r},t).$$

Adding a  $\frac{1}{2}$  angular rotation (spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of  $\pi$  to equation 5.61 allows substituting the reduced Planck constant,  $\hbar = h/2\pi$ , into equation 5.64, which yields Dirac's

wave equation [Dir57] [Jai11]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.65) \quad & \gamma_0 2\hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \vec{\gamma} \cdot i2\hbar(\partial(-q\vec{A})/\partial r)c\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = mc^2\Psi(\vec{r}, t) \\
 & \quad \wedge A_0 = \pi(c_q/c)((1/t) - i(1/r)) \\
 \Rightarrow \quad & \gamma_0 \hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \vec{\gamma} \cdot i\hbar(\partial(-q\vec{A})/\partial r)c\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = mc^2\Psi(\vec{r}, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

**5.17. Total mass.** The total mass of a particle is  $m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2}$ , where  $m_0$  is the rest mass and  $m_{ke}$  is the kinetic energy-equivalent mass. Applying both the direct (5.34) and inverse proportion ratios (5.37):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.66) \quad & m_0 = r/(r_c/m_c) = r/c_m \quad \wedge \quad m_{ke} = (m_c r_c)/r = k_m/r \quad \wedge \\
 & m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**5.18. Quantum extension to general relativity.** The simplest way to demonstrate how to add quantum physics to general relativity is by extending the Schwarzschild's black hole metric (5.7). Start by changing equation 5.25 in the Schwarzschild derivation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.67) \quad & \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \quad \wedge \quad r = \sqrt{(c_m m)^2 + (k_m/m)^2} = Q_m \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - Q_m v^2/rc^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.68) \quad & \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - Q_m v^2/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2 \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m v_{escape}^2/rc^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.69) \quad & \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \rightarrow c} \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m v_{escape}^2/rc^2} \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m c^2/rc^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining equation 5.69 with equation 5.23 yields Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation with a quantum mass effect:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.70) \quad & \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r} \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Schwarzschild defined the black hole event horizon radius,  $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$ . The radius with the quantum extension is  $r_s := 2Q_m$ . At this point the exact same equations 5.30 through 5.33 yield what looks like the same Schwarzschild black hole metric.

**5.19. Quantum extension to Newton's gravity force.** The quantum mass effect is easier to understand in the context Newton's gravity equation than in general relativity, because the metric equations and solutions in the EFEs are much more complex. From equation 5.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.71) \quad & m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} = 1 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = 1 \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} \\
 & \Rightarrow \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.72) \quad r^2/t^2 &= c^2 m / \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} \\
&\Rightarrow (m/r)(r^2/t^2) = (m/r)(c^2 m / \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}) \\
&\Rightarrow F := mr/t^2 = c^2 m^2 / (r \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}) = c^2 m^2 / \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}. \\
(5.73) \quad F &= c^2 m^2 / \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2} \quad \wedge \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R}, \exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : m_1 m_2 = m^2 \\
&\Rightarrow F = c^2 m_1 m_2 / \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

## 5.20. Quantum extension to Coulomb's force.

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.74) \quad q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) &= 1 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = 1 \\
&\Rightarrow r^2/(ct)^2 = q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) \\
&\Rightarrow r^2/t^2 = c^2 q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2). \\
(5.75) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R} : \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 &= q^2 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) \\
&\Rightarrow \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : r^2/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) \\
&\Rightarrow r/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2/(r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)). \\
(5.76) \quad r/t^2 &= c^2 q_1 q_2/(r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)) \quad \wedge \quad m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} \\
&\Rightarrow F := mr/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2 \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} / (r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)) \\
&= c^2 q_1 q_2 \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2} / ((r^4/c_q^2) + k_q^2).
\end{aligned}$$

## 6. Insights and implications

- (1) The ruler measure (2.1) and convergence theorem (2.2) were shown to be useful tools for proving that a real-valued equation is the only instance of an abstract, countable set relation and that set relation is the only instance of that same real-valued equation.
- (2) Combinatorics, the ordered set of combinations of countable, disjoint sets (n-tuples),  $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ , was proven to imply the Euclidean volume equation (3.2), the sum of volumes equation (4.3) (which includes the inner product), and the Minkowski distance equation (4.4) (which includes the Manhattan and Euclidean distance equations), without relying on the geometric primitives and relations in Euclidean geometry [Joy98], axiomatic geometry [Lee10], and vector analysis [Wey52].
- (3) Where the total n-volume is both the sum and subtraction of n-volumes, the  $n = 2$  case is the vector inner product. The distributive and associate laws of multiplication and addition allow the  $\pm$  signed volumes to be represented as each domain interval length multiplied by a  $\pm$ -signed unit values,  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ :

$$(6.1) \quad d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i \alpha_i)(b_i \beta_i) := \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}.$$

- (4) Defining all Euclidean and non-Euclidean distance measures as:

$$(6.2) \quad f(d, n) = v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i, \quad d = f^{-1}(v, n).$$

- (a) shows the intimate relation between distance and volume that definitions, like vector space and metric space, ignore [Wey52] [Gol76] [Rud76];
  - (b) is a more simple and concise definition of a distance measure that includes all the properties used in the definitions of vector space, inner product space, and metric space [Wey52] [Gol76] [Rud76];
  - (c) does not require pointing to Euclidean and Cartesian geometry for justification.
- (5) Euclid's proof that Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points equate Euclidean distance to a straight line, where it is assumed that the straight line length is the smallest distance [Joy98]. And analytic proofs sum infinitesimal distances,  $ds = \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2}$ , where the Euler-Lagrange equation is used find that the minimum solution, which is the straight line equation,  $y = mx + b$  [BF92].

Without using the notion of a straight line: All distance measures in an Euclidean volume have corresponding Minkowski distances (4.4). For all 2-volumes, all Minkowski distances are limited to  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ :  $n = 1$  is the larger (Manhattan) distance case,  $d = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i$ .  $n = 2$  is the smaller (Euclidean) distance case,  $d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2)^{1/2}$ . That is:  $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i \geq (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2)^{1/2}$ . Where  $1 \leq n \leq 2$ ,  $d$  decreases monotonically as  $n \rightarrow 2$ .

- (6) The left side of the distance sum inequality (4.6),

$$(6.3) \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n},$$

differs from the left side of Minkowski's sum inequality [Min53]:

$$(6.4) \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

The two inequalities are only the same where  $n = 1$ .

- (a) The distance sum inequality (4.6) is a more fundamental inequality because the proof does not require the convexity and Hölder's inequality assumptions of the Minkowski sum inequality proof [Min53].
  - (b) The Minkowski sum inequality term,  $\forall n > 1 : ((a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n}$ , is **not** a Minkowski distance spanning the  $n$ -volume,  $a_i^n + b_i^n$ . But the distance sum inequality term,  $(a_i^n + b_i^n)^{1/n}$ , is the Minkowski distance spanning the  $n$ -volume,  $a_i^n + b_i^n$ , which makes it directly related to geometry (for example, the metric space triangle inequality was derived from the  $m = 1$  case for all  $n \geq 1$  (4.7)).
- (7) Combinatorics, the sequencing through an ordered set to yield all  $n!$  permutations of its members (without jumping around) was proved to be a cyclic set having  $n \leq 3$  members (4.15). Higher dimensions must have different types (members of different sets).
- (a) For example, the vector inner product space (which includes Riemann and pseudo-Riemann spaces) can only be extended beyond 3 dimensions if and only if the higher dimensions have non-distance types, for example, time.
  - (b) But order and symmetry probably limit the number of fundamental types to a very small number. For example, temperature, measured in Kelvins, is a function of (kinetic or electromagnetic) energy, which is a function of distance, time, mass, and charge. Both the electric and magnetic fields are functions of the charge field. Likewise, one



should not immediately assume the strong force field, weak force field, etc. are related to other types. As will be discussed later, quantum effects might allow radioactivity without a weak force.

- (c) Each of 3 immediate symmetric cyclic dimensions of space can have at most 3 immediate symmetric cyclic state values, for example, an immediate symmetric cyclic set of 2 vector orientations,  $\{-1, 1\}$ , per dimension of space and at most 3 spin states per plane,  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ .
  - (d) If the states are not ordered (a bag of states), then a state value is undetermined until observed (like Schrödinger's poisoned cat being both alive and dead until the box is opened [Sch20]). For a bag of states, there is **no** "axiom of choice" [CG15], an axiom often used in math proofs that allows selecting a particular set element (in this case, selecting a particular state).
  - (e) A discrete value has measure 0 (no size). The ratio of a time or distance interval length to zero is undefined, which is the reason quantum entangled particles change discrete state values together with no propagation delay and independent of distance.
- (8) For each unit,  $r_c$ , of a 3-dimensional distance interval having a length,  $r$ , there are units of other types of intervals forming unit ratios (5.9):  $c_t = r_c/t_c$ ,  $c_m = r_c/m_c$ ,  $c_q = r_c/q_c \Leftrightarrow$  the inverse proportion ratios (5.10):  $k_t = r_c t_c$ ,  $k_m = r_c m_c$ ,  $k_t = r_c q_c$ , where the combination of the direct and inverse ratios implies the quantum units (5.13):  $r_c$ ,  $t_c$ ,  $m_c$ ,  $q_c$ .
- (9) Previous empirical and hypothesized laws start with an assumption of the form,  $y \propto f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where an **opaque** constant,  $K$ , is defined to make an equation, where the units balance,  $y = Kf(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The opaque definition of the constants has led to the assumptions of some constants being fundamental (atomic).

In this article, the equations and constants are derived together, where the units in the equations naturally balance. As a result, the derivations show that constants, previously thought to be fundamental, are composed of the constant ratios.

- (a) The gravity,  $G = c_m c_t^2$  (5.4), charge,  $k_e = (c_q^2/c_m) c_t^2$  (5.8), and Planck  $h = k_m c_t$  (5.40) constants were all derived directly from (composed of) the ratios. And vacuum permittivity,  $\varepsilon_0$  and vacuum permeability,  $\mu_0$ , are both definable in terms of  $k_e$ :  $\varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e$  and  $\mu_0 := 1/c_t^2 \varepsilon_0 = 4\pi k_e/c_t^2$ .
- (b) Therefore,  $G$ ,  $k_e$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $\mu_0$ , and  $h$  are **not** "fundamental" constants.
- (c) Using the ratios instead of those constants in equations would show the shared principles underlying the different laws of physics. For example, the speed of light ratio,  $c_t$ , is a component of the constants:  $G = c_m c_t^2$ ,  $k_e = (c_q^2/c_m) c_t^2$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 = 1/(4\pi(c_q^2/c_m) c_t^2)$ ,  $h = k_m c_t$ .
- (d) Using the ratios instead of the opaque constants can sometimes simplify equations. For example the derivation of the Compton wavelength equation,  $r = h/mc$ , (5.12) shows that the computation of the wavelength,  $r$ , is overly complex (because it assumes the Planck constant is a fundamental constant) and can be simplified to  $r = k_m/m$ .
- (e) Laws that were previously empirical, like Newton's gravity law (5.3) and Coulomb's charge law (5.7), and hypothesized equations, like the

Planck relation (5.40), were derived using the ratios. Further, all the other derivations, in this article, were much shorter and simpler than all previous derivations, which shows that the ratios are an important new tool for physicists and engineers.

- (10) The derivations of:  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = -4\pi G\rho$  from  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = -2Gm/r^3$  (5.5),  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\rho/\varepsilon_0$  (5.11) from  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -2k_e q/r^3$  (5.10), and  $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t = -\mu_0 \rho$  from  $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t = -2k_e q/r^3$  (5.20), show that the use of mass and charge density,  $\rho$ , and the definitions of  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\mu_0$  are unnecessary complications that obfuscate the commonality,  $\dots = -2k_X y/r^3$ , and the inverse square commonality,  $\mathbf{X} = k_X y/r^2$ . Likewise, the  $4\pi G$  in  $\kappa = 2(4\pi G)/c^4$  and the energy density in the stress-energy tensor,  $T_{\mu,\nu}$ , in Einstein's field equations [Wey52] also obfuscates the inverse square assumption.
- (11) The derivation of the Lorentz (5.14) and Faraday laws (5.19) shows that:
- (a)  $F_{electric} = q\mathbf{E}$  and  $F_{magnetic} = qv\mathbf{B} = q(v/c)\mathbf{E} \Rightarrow c = \mathbf{E}/\mathbf{B}$ .
  - (b) A simple equation combining static and moving charge forces is:

$$(6.5) \quad F_{total} = \sqrt{F_{static}^2 + ((\vec{\nabla}/c) \times F_{static})^2},$$

where  $F_{static}$  is either Coulomb's force (5.8) or the quantum extended Coulomb force (5.76).

- (12)  $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t = -2k_e q/r^3 \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$ , which appears to contradict Gauss's and Maxwell's assumption ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ ) [FLS10].  $\mathbf{B}$  probably contain an additional spin-related term that generates  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ .
- (13) The Lorentz law describes the right-hand and left-hand rules for positive and negative charged particles in a magnetic field, but does not explain what property of charge causes the rules. All elementary charge particles have a spin axis with an orientation: 1) Positively and negatively charged particles with the same orientation have opposite spins. 2) The elementary charged particles only move in the direction of their orientation. The resistance against the spinning charge field causes particles moving in the same direction to roll off to the right or left based on their spin – not their charge. Electrons flowing in a wire (moving in the same direction) have aligned spins. **NOTE:** Elementary charged particles do **not** flip their spin! The axis orientation flips.
- (14) The derivation of Schwarzschild's time dilation and black hole metric (5.7) [Sch16] [AL99] using ratios:
- (a) was much shorter and simpler than Schwarzschild's derivation;
  - (b) did not require the complexity of manipulating Christoffel symbols, calculating determinants, partial derivatives, etc.;
  - (c) points to a way of simplifying the finding of solutions to Einstein's field equations: 1) the ratios can be used to derive the components of the metric,  $g_{\mu,\nu}$ , independent of Einstein's field equations, and 2) the field equations can be first solved as 2-dimensional tensors and then generalized to 4-dimensional tensors (5.8).
- (15) Using the quantum units,  $r_c$  and  $t_c$ :  $r_c/t_c^2 \approx 2.2184088232 \cdot 10^{51} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ , which suggests a maximum acceleration for masses.

- (16) The simplification of  $\mu_0$  into the quantum units shows two interesting relationships:

$$(6.6) \quad \mu_0 := \frac{1}{c_t^2 \varepsilon_0} = \frac{4\pi k_e}{c_t^2} = 4\pi \frac{c_q^2}{c_m} = 4\pi \frac{(r_c/q_c)^2}{r_c/m_c} = 4\pi \frac{m_c r_c}{q_c^2} = 4\pi \frac{k_m}{q_c^2} \\ \approx 4\pi \frac{2.2102190930 \cdot 10^{-42}}{2.2102190930 \cdot 10^{-35}} = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ kg m C}^{-2} = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ H m}^{-1}.$$

- (a) The first time  $k_m = m_c r_c$  appears is in the derivation of the Planck relation and Planck constant,  $h = k_m c$  (5.11), the second time in the Compton wavelength,  $r = k_m/m$  (5.12). And now,  $k_m$  appears as a components of  $k_e$  and  $\mu_0$ .

- (b) It is an open question why  $\frac{c_q^2}{c_m} = \frac{(r_c/q_c)^2}{r_c/m_c} = \frac{k_m}{q_c^2} = 1.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$  exactly.

- (17) Two subtypes are related via the ratios of the same super-type (5.14).

- (a) For example, the quantum charge and reduced Planck charge units are related via the ratio:  $q_c^2/q_p^2 = 2\pi \Rightarrow q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ .

- (b) The CODATA electron coupling version of the fine structure constant,  $\alpha$  is defined as:  $\alpha = q_e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar c = q_e^2/2\varepsilon_0\hbar c$  [COD22].

- (i) The derivation of  $\alpha$ , in this article (5.14), is much simpler because it is the ratio of two subtypes of charge: elementary (electron) charge,  $q_e^2$  and Planck charge,  $q_p^2$ :  $\alpha = q_e^2/q_p^2 \approx 0.0072973526$ , which is the empirical CODATA value [COD22].

- (ii) The following steps show that the CODATA definition reduces to the ratio-derived equation:

$$(6.7) \quad \varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e = 1/(4\pi(c_q^2/c_m)c_t^2) \quad \wedge \quad h = k_m c_t \\ \Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon_0 \hbar c = k_m c_t^2 / (4\pi(c_q^2/c_m)c_t^2) = k_m / (4\pi(c_q^2/c_m)) \\ = m_c r_c / (4\pi((r_c/q_c)^2/(r_c/m_c))) = q_c^2/4\pi = q_p^2/2.$$

$$(6.8) \quad \alpha = q_e^2/2\varepsilon_0\hbar c \quad \wedge \quad \varepsilon_0 \hbar c = q_p^2/2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = q_e^2/2(q_p^2/2) = q_e^2/q_p^2.$$

- (iii) As shown above, CODATA defines the fine structure constant in terms of a relationship to the Planck constant, hence, the ratio containing the reduced Planck unit,  $q_p$ :  $\alpha = q_e^2/q_p^2$ .

The quantum unit,  $q_c$ , appears naturally in the derivation of  $k_e$ , where  $\varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e$ . Therefore, a better definition to describe particle interaction with a charge (electromagnetic) wave is:  $\alpha = q_e^2/q_c^2$ , where the current CODATA value would be divided by  $2\pi$ .

- (iv) Other fine structure constants can also be expressed more simply as the ratios of two subtypes of fields, for example, an electron gravity coupling constant can be expressed as the ratio of a stationary electron mass to a quantum mass unit:  $\alpha_m = m_e^2/m_p^2$  or  $\alpha_m = m_e^2/m_c^2$ .

- (18) Special and general relativity assume covariance, which states that the laws of physics are invariant in every coordinate frame of reference [Ein15]. Where the infinitesimal volume around every coordinate point is Euclidean-like, the ratios are constant, which causes the same laws of physics at every coordinate frame of reference.

- (a) The ratio-based derivations of the spacetime equations, in this article (5.6), do not rely on the Lorentz transformations or Einsteins' postulates [Ein15]. The derivations do not even require the notion of light.
  - (b) The ratio-based derivations are also valid for spacemass and space-charge.
  - (c) The special relativity time dilation equation 5.23 was derived from the distance-to-time ratio,  $r = (r_c/t_c)t$ , and combined with the distance-to-mass ratio,  $r = (r_c/m_c)m$ , (5.9) yielded Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation and black hole metric equations (5.30).
- (19) The derivation of Schrödinger (5.15) and Dirac wave equations (5.16), in this article, differs from other derivations:
- (a) Other derivations are based on the Hamiltonian (energy-momentum) operator, which is defined rather than derived [Sch20] [FLS10]. In contrast, the derivations, in this article, rely on the ratio-derived Planck (energy-frequency) relation (5.40).
  - (b) The derivations here are more rigorous because the energy-momentum term,  $\hbar^2/2m$ , was derived, in this article, from the Planck relation (5.53), where the Planck relation was also rigorously derived (5.11). Other derivations assume (define) the energy-momentum relation as:  $(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p})/2m = \hbar^2/2m$ . But the derivation, in this article, shows that the reduced Planck constant is only valid if the equations contain compensating  $\pi$  based terms. In Schrödinger's equation, the compensating  $2\pi$  terms:  $\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 = -(2\pi)^2/r^2\Psi(r,t)$  and  $\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t = (i\,2\pi/t)\Psi(r,t)$ . And in Dirac's equation, the compensating  $\pi$  term:  $A_0 = \pi(c_q/c)((1/t) + (1/r))$ . Finding solutions to Schrödinger's equation would be simpler if the full Planck constant is used because it would reduce the complexity of  $\Psi(r,t)$ .
- (20) The quantum extensions to: Schwarzschild's time dilation (5.69) black hole metric (5.33), Newton's gravity force (5.73), and Coulomb's charge force (5.76) make quantifiable predictions:
- (a) The gravitation and charge forces peak at finite amounts as  $r \rightarrow 0$ :  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} F = c^2 m_1 m_2 / k_m$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} F = c^2 q_1 q_2 k_m / k_q^2$ . Finite maximum gravity and charge forces: 1) allows radioactivity, finite sloped energy well walls, and possibly black hole evaporation; 2) eliminates the problem of forces going to infinity as  $r \rightarrow 0$ .
  - (b) The Schwarzschild metric, gravity, and charge equations reduce to the classic equations, where the distance between masses and charges is sufficiently large or the masses and charges sufficiently large that the quantum effect is not measurable. **Note** that  $G$ ,  $k_e$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $\mu_0$ , and  $\kappa$  (Einstein's constant, which contains  $G$ ) are not valid, where the quantum effects becomes measurable.
  - (c) And the covariant tensor components, in Einstein's field equations, that had the units  $1/\text{distance}^2$ , will now have the more complex units,  $1/\sqrt{(\text{distance}^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}$ .
  - (d)  $1/\sqrt{(\text{distance}^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}$  implies that as distance  $\rightarrow 0$ , spacetime curvature peaks at a finite amount, which predicts that black holes probably have sizes  $> 0$  (are probably not singularities). The big

bang might not have originated from a singularity.

## References

- [AL99] S. Antoci and A. Loinger, *An english translation of k. schwarzschild's 1916 article: On the gravitational field of a mass point according to einstein's theory* (1999). <https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/9905030>. ↑10, 18
- [BF92] F. W. Byron and R. W. Fuller, *Mathematics of classical and quantum physics (dover books on physics)*, Dover Publications, 1992. ↑16
- [CG15] W. Conradie and V. Goranko, *Logic and discrete mathematics*, Wiley, 2015. ↑17
- [COD22] CODATA, *Fine structure constant*, NIST, 2022. [https://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?alpha|search\\_for=fine+structure](https://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?alpha|search_for=fine+structure). ↑19
- [Dir57] P.A.M. Dirac, *The principles of quantum mechanics*, Snowball Publishing, 1957. ↑14
- [Ein15] A. Einstein, *Relativity, the special and general theory*, Princeton University Press, 2015. ↑11, 19, 20
- [FLS10] R. Feynman, R. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The feynman lectures on physics*, California Institute of Technology, 2010. ↑9, 18, 20
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, *Methods of real analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. ↑1, 2, 16
- [Jai11] M.C. Jain, *Quantum mechanics: A textbook for undergraduates*, PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi, India, 2011. ↑11, 12, 13, 14
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, *Euclid's elements*, 1998. <http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html>. ↑15, 16
- [Lee10] J. M. Lee, *Axiomatic geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 2010. ↑15
- [Min53] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der zahlen*, Chelsea, 1953. reprint. ↑2, 16
- [New19] I. Newton, *The mathematical principles of natural philosophy*, Patristic Publishing, 2019. reprint. ↑8
- [Roc25] Rocq, *Rocq proof assistant*, 2025. <https://rocq-prover.org/docs>. ↑2
- [Rud76] W. Rudin, *Principles of mathematical analysis*, McGraw Hill Education, 1976. ↑1, 2, 16
- [Sch16] K. Schwarzschild, *Über das gravitationsfeld eines massenpunktes nach der einsteinschen theorie*, Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften **7** (1916), 198–196. <https://archive.org/stream/sitzungsberichte1916deutsch#page/188/mode/2up>. ↑10, 18
- [Sch20] E. Schrödinger, *Collected papers on wave mechanics*, Minkowski Institute Press, 2020. ↑13, 17, 20
- [Wey52] H. Weyl, *Space-time-matter*, Dover Publications Inc, 1952. ↑1, 11, 15, 16, 18

GEORGE VAN TREECK, 668 WESTLINE DR., ALAMEDA, CA 94501