# The Set Properties Generating Geometry and Physics

# George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. Volume and the Minkowski distances/Lp norms (e.g., Euclidean distance) are derived from a set and limit-based foundation without referencing the primitives and relations of geometry. Adding the restrictions of a strict linear order on a set of n number of independent domain intervals that can be sequenced in all n-at-a-time orders limits the set to 3 intervals. Therefore, all other interval lengths have different types that are related to a distance interval length via unit-factoring, conversion ratios. The ratios and geometry proofs provide simpler derivations and new insights into the gravity, charge force, and Einstein-Planck equations and their corresponding constants. All proofs are verified in Coq.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Ruler measure and convergence	2
3.	Euclidean Volume	3
4.	Distance	4
5.	Applications to physics	6
6.	Insights and implications	10
References		19

## 1. Introduction

Mathematical (real) analysis can construct differential calculus from a set and limit-based foundation without the need to reference the primitives and relations of Euclidean geometry, like side, angle, slope, etc. But the Riemann and Lebesgue integrals and measure theory (for example, Hilbert spaces and the Lebesgue measure) use the Euclidean volume equation as a definition. And the vector norm and metric space use Euclidean distance and its properties as definitions [Gol76] [Rud76]. Here, these definitions are derived from a set and limit-based foundation without referencing the primitives and relations Euclidean geometry.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28A75, 28E15. Secondary 03E75, 51M99. Copyright © 2022 George M. Van Treeck. Creative Commons Attribution License.

"Countable volume",  $v_c$ , is defined as the cardinal of an ordered, countable set of n-tuples, where each n-tuple is a set of integer indexes into disjoint, countable, sets:  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Therefore, the cardinal,  $v_c$ , is equal to the product of the domain set cardinals,  $|x_i| : v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ .

Where a real value, v, is proportionate to the countable number of n-tuples,  $v_c$ ,  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R} : v = v_c \cdot c$ . Proving that  $\lim_{c \to 0} v_c \cdot c$  is the Euclidean volume equation provides an alternative to second derivative-based methods, like the Laplacian and tensors, for measuring the curvature of a space. And the proof allow deriving Newton's gravity and Coulomb's charge force equations without using the inverse square law or Gauss' flux divergence theorem.

Every Euclidean and non-Euclidean n-dimensional volume (n-volume) is a magnitude, v, which has a corresponding cuboid volume,  $v=d^n$ , where each domain interval has the size,  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ . And an n-volume can only be the sum of n-volumes. It will be proved that the  $L_p$  norms (Minkowski distances),  $d=(\sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n)^{1/n}$ , are instances of the sum of countable cuboid volumes. And the Minkowski distances have all the properties of a metric space. All "geometric" spaces can be reduced to functions of the sum of n-volumes.

Proving that if a set of n number of independent domain intervals/dimensions have the additional restriction of having a strict linear order that can sequenced in all n-at-a-time permutations (orders) limits the number of members in the set, implies that all additional intervals have different types and are related by unit-factoring, conversion ratios. The ratios and geometry proofs provide simpler derivations and new insights into the spacetime, gravity, charge force, and Einstein-Planck equations and their corresponding constants.

All the proofs in this article are trivial. But to ensure confidence, all the proofs have been verified using using the Coq proof verification system [Coq15]. The formal proofs are in the Coq files, "euclidrelations.v" and "threed.v," at: https://github.com/treeck/RASRGeometry.

# 2. Ruler measure and convergence

In order to compute areas and volumes, Riemann and Lebesgue integrals divide all intervals into the *same* number subintervals (infinitesimals, for example: dx, dy, dz), where the size of the infinitesimals vary with the size of the intervals. The varying size of infinitesimals makes it difficult for integrals (and differential equations) to directly express the Cartesian mappings between the  $p_x$  number of size c infinitesimals in one domain interval and the  $p_y$  number of the same size c infinitesimals in a different-sized domain interval. Further, using integrals that define Euclidean volume to derive Euclidean volume would be circular logic.

Therefore, a different tool is used here. A ruler (measuring stick) measures the size of each interval approximately as the sum of the nearest integer number, p, of whole subintervals (infinitesimals), where each infinitesimal has the same size, c. The ruler is both an inner and outer measure of an interval.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure,  $M: \forall [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \ s=b-a \land c>0 \land (p=floor(s/c) \lor p=ceiling(s/c)) \land M=\sum_{i=1}^p c=pc.$ 

Theorem 2.2. Ruler convergence:  $M = \lim_{c\to 0} pc = s$ .

The formal proof, "limit\_c\_0\_M\_eq\_exact\_size," in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Proof. (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function,  $floor(x) = max(\{y : y \le x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$ :

 $(2.1) \quad \forall \; c>0, \; p=floor(s/c) \; \; \wedge \; \; 0 \leq |floor(s/c)-s/c|<1 \; \; \Rightarrow \; \; |p-s/c|<1.$ 

Multiply both sides of inequality 2.1 by c:

$$(2.2) \forall c > 0, |p - s/c| < 1 \Rightarrow |pc - s| < |c| = |c - 0|.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \quad \land \quad |pc - s| < |c - 0| < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |c - 0| < \delta \quad \land \quad |pc - s| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad M = \lim_{c \to 0} pc = s. \quad \Box$$

The following is an example of ruler convergence for the interval,  $[0,\pi]$ :  $s=\pi-0$ , and  $p=floor(s/c)\Rightarrow p\cdot c=3.1_{c=10^{-1}},\ 3.14_{c=10^{-2}},\ 3.141_{c=10^{-3}},...,\pi_{\lim_{c\to 0}}$ .

LEMMA 2.3.  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,  $0 < c < 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{c \to 0} c^n = \lim_{c \to 0} c$ .

PROOF. The formal proof , "lim\_c\_to\_n\_eq\_lim\_c," is in the Coq file, euclid relations.v.

$$(2.4) n \ge 1 \land 0 < c < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < c^n < c \Rightarrow |c - c^n| < |c| = |c - 0|.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \forall \ \epsilon = \delta \quad \land \quad |c - c^n| < |c - 0| < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |c - 0| < \delta \quad \land \quad |c - c^n| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad \lim_{c \to 0} c^n = 0.$$

$$(2.6) \qquad \lim_{c \to 0} c^n = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{c \to 0} c = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{c \to 0} c^n = \lim_{c \to 0} c. \qquad \Box$$

#### 3. Euclidean Volume

Definition 3.1. Countable volume,  $v_c$  is the number of Cartesian product mappings (n-tuples) between the members of n number of disjoint, countable domain sets:

$$\exists n, v_c \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n : \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset$$

Theorem 3.2. Euclidean volume,  $v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i$ , where v is the size of the range interval and  $s_i$  is the size of a domain interval, is the case of the number of n-tuples,  $v_c$ , times an interval size, c.

$$v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \implies v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i, \ v = v_a - v_b, \ s_i = b_i - a_i.$$

The formal proof, "Euclidean\_volume," is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Proof.

Use the ruler (2.1) to partition each of the domain intervals,  $[a_i, b_i]$ , into a set,  $x_i$ , containing  $|x_i|$  number of size c subintervals and apply ruler convergence (2.2):

$$(3.1) \ \forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ i \in [1, n], \ c > 0 \ \land \ floor(s_i/c) = |x_i| \ \Rightarrow \ s_i = \lim_{c \to 0} (|x_i| \cdot c).$$

$$(3.2) s_i = \lim_{c \to 0} (|x_i| \cdot c) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \prod_{i=1}^n s_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \lim_{c \to 0} (|x_i| \cdot c).$$

(3.3) 
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lim_{c \to 0} (|x_i| \cdot c) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i = \lim_{c \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|) \cdot c^n.$$
 Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 3.3:

(3.4) 
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} = \lim_{c \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|) \cdot c^{n} \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{c \to 0} c^{n} = \lim_{c \to 0} c$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} = \lim_{c \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|) \cdot c.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2):

$$(3.5) \exists v \in \mathbb{R} : v_c = floor(v/c) \Leftrightarrow v = \lim_{c \to 0} v_c \cdot c.$$

Apply the definition of the countable volume (3.1):

$$(3.6) v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{c \to 0} v_c \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot c.$$

Combine equations 3.5, 3.6, and 3.4:

(3.7) 
$$v = \lim_{c \to 0} v_c \cdot c \quad \land \quad \lim_{c \to 0} v_c \cdot c = \lim_{c \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot c \quad \land$$

$$\lim_{c \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot c = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i. \quad \Box$$

### 4. Distance

### 4.1. Countable cuboid volume.

Definition 4.1. The countable cuboid volume,  $d_c^n$ , is the sum of m number of sets of countable cuboid volumes.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad d_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\} \quad \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x_1, \cdots, x_m \in X, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^m x_i = \emptyset :$$
$$d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n.$$

# 4.2. Minkowski distance ( $L_p$ norm).

The formal proof, "Minkowski\_distance," is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.2. Every Minkowski distance  $(L_p \text{ norm})$  is an instance of the countable cuboid volume (4.1).

$$d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists \ d, s_1, \cdots, s_m \in \mathbb{R} : \quad d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Apply the ruler (2.1):

$$(4.1) \exists d, s_1, \cdots, s_m \in \mathbb{R} : d_c = floor(d/c) \land |x_i| = floor(s_i/c).$$

Apply the ruler convergence (2.2):

$$(4.2) \ d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n \Rightarrow d^n = \lim_{c \to 0} (d_c \cdot c)^n = \lim_{c \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i| \cdot c)^n = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n.$$

(4.3) 
$$d^{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}^{n} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d = (\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}^{n})^{1/n}.$$

**4.3. Distance inequality.** Proving that all Minkowski distances ( $L_p$  norms) satisfy the metric space triangle inequality requires another inequality. The formal proof, distance inequality, is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Theorem 4.3. Distance inequality

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ v_a, v_b \ge 0: \ (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \le v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Expand the n-volume,  $(v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n$ , using the binomial expansion:

$$(4.4) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \ge 0: \quad v_a + v_b \le (v_a + v_b + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (v_a^{1/n})^{n-k} (v_b^{1/n})^k + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (v_a^{1/n})^k (v_b^{1/n})^{n-k}) = (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n.$$

Take the  $n^{th}$  root of both sides of the inequality:

$$(4.5) \ \forall \ v_a, v_b \ge 0, n \in \mathbb{N} : v_a + v_b \le (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n \Rightarrow (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \le v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}. \quad \Box$$

**4.4. Distance sum inequality.** The formal proof, distance\_sum\_inequality, is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Theorem 4.4. Distance sum inequality

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ a_i, b_i \ge 0: \ (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Apply the distance inequality (4.3):

(4.6) 
$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, v_a, v_b \ge 0 : v_a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \wedge v_b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n \wedge (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \le v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \Rightarrow ((\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n) + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n))^{1/n} = (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}. \square$$

**4.5.** Metric Space. All Minkowski distances  $(L_p \text{ norms})$  have the properties of metric space.

The formal proofs: triangle\_inequality, symmetry, non\_negativity, and identity\_of\_indiscernibles are in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Theorem 4.5. Triangle Inequality:

$$d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} \implies d(u, w) \le d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

Proof.  $\forall p \geq 1, \quad k > 1, \quad u = s_1, \quad w = s_2, \quad v = w/k$ :

$$(4.7) (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \le ((u^p + w^p) + 2v^p)^{1/p} = ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p}.$$

Apply the distance inequality (4.3) to the inequality 4.7:

$$(4.8) \quad (u^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq ((u^{p} + v^{p}) + (v^{p} + w^{p}))^{1/p} \wedge (v_{a} + v_{b})^{1/n} \leq v_{a}^{1/n} + v_{b}^{1/n}$$

$$\wedge \quad v_{a} = u^{p} + v^{p} \wedge v_{b} = v^{p} + w^{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (u^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq ((u^{p} + v^{p}) + (v^{p} + w^{p}))^{1/p} \leq (u^{p} + v^{p})^{1/p} + (v^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = (u^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq (u^{p} + v^{p})^{1/p} \leq (u^{p} + v^{p})^{1/p} + (v^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \quad \Box$$

THEOREM 4.6. Symmetry:  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} \implies d(u, v) = d(v, u)$ .

PROOF. By the commutative law of addition:

(4.9) 
$$\forall p : p \ge 1$$
,  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} = (s_1^p + s_2^p)^{1/p}$   
 $\Rightarrow d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} = (v^p + u^p)^{1/p} = d(v, u)$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.7. Non-negativity:  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \implies d(u, w) \ge 0$ .

PROOF. By definition, the length of an interval is always  $\geq 0$ :

$$(4.10) \forall [a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], u = b_1 - a_1, v = b_2 - a_2, \Rightarrow u \ge 0, v \ge 0.$$

(4.11) 
$$p \ge 1, u, v \ge 0 \implies d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \ge 0.$$

Theorem 4.8. Identity of Indiscernibles: d(u, u) = 0.

PROOF. From the non-negativity property (4.7):

$$(4.12) \quad d(u,w) \ge 0 \quad \land \quad d(u,v) \ge 0 \quad \land \quad d(v,w) \ge 0$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists \ d(u,w) = d(u,v) = d(v,w) = 0.$$

$$(4.13) d(u, w) = d(v, w) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v.$$

$$(4.14) d(u,v) = 0 \wedge u = v \Rightarrow d(u,u) = 0.$$

## 5. Applications to physics

**5.1.** At most 3 dimensions of physical space. The following two proofs are in the physics section because limiting the domain intervals/dimensions to a strict linearly ordered set that can be sequenced in all n-at-a-time orders, is an additional restriction on volume and distance used to explain why physical space is limited to a cyclic set of 3 dimensions.

Definition 5.1. Strict linearly ordered set:

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ i \in [1, n-1], \ \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

$$successor \ x_i = x_{i+1} \ \land \ predecessor \ x_{i+1} = x_i.$$

Definition 5.2. Symmetry (every set member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

$$\forall i \ j \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall x_i \ x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \ successor \ x_i = x_j \ \Leftrightarrow \ predecessor \ x_j = x_i.$$

Theorem 5.3. A strict linearly ordered and symmetric set is a cyclic set.

$$i=n \ \land \ j=1 \ \Rightarrow \ successor \ x_n=x_1 \ \land \ predecessor \ x_1=x_n.$$

The formal proof, "ordered\_symmetric\_is\_cyclic," is in the Coq file, threed.v.

PROOF. A total order (5.1) defines unique successors and predecessors for all set members except for the successor of  $x_n$  and the predecessor of  $x_1$ . Therefore, the only member that can be a successor of  $x_n$ , without creating a contradiction, is  $x_1$ . And the only member that can be a predecessor of  $x_1$ , without creating a contradiction, is  $x_n$ . Applying the symmetry property (5.2):

(5.1) 
$$i = n \land j = 1 \land successor x_i = x_j \Rightarrow successor x_n = x_1.$$

Applying the definition of the symmetry property (5.2) to conclusion 5.1:

(5.2) successor 
$$x_i = x_j \Rightarrow predecessor x_j = x_i \Rightarrow predecessor x_1 = x_n$$
.

Theorem 5.4. An ordered and symmetric set is limited to at most 3 members.

The formal proofs in the Coq file threed.v are:

Lemmas: adj111, adj122, adj212, adj123, adj133, adj233, adj213, adj313, adj323, and not\_all\_mutually\_adjacent\_gt\_3.

The following proof uses Horn clauses (a subset of first order logic), which makes it clear which facts satisfy a proof goal.

Proof.

It was proved that an ordered and symmetric set is a cyclic set (5.3).

Definition 5.5. Successor of m is n:

(5.3)  $Successor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = setsize \land n = 1) \lor (n = m + 1 \le setsize).$ 

Definition 5.6. Predecessor of m is n:

$$(5.4) \quad Predecessor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = 1 \land n = setsize) \lor (n = m - 1 \ge 1).$$

DEFINITION 5.7. Adjacent: member m is sequentially adjacent to member n if the successor of m is n or the predecessor of m is n. Notionally: (5.5)

 $Adjacent(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow Successor(m, n, setsize) \vee Predecessor(m, n, setsize).$ 

Prove that every member is adjacent to every other member, where  $setsize \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ :

$$(5.6) Adjacent(1,1,1) \leftarrow Successor(1,1,1) \leftarrow (m = setsize \land n = 1).$$

$$(5.7) Adjacent(1,2,2) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,2) \leftarrow (n=m+1 \leq setsize).$$

$$(5.8) Adjacent(2,1,2) \leftarrow Successor(2,1,2) \leftarrow (n = setsize \land m = 1).$$

$$(5.9) Adjacent(1,2,3) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,3) \leftarrow (n=m+1 \leq setsize).$$

$$(5.10) Adjacent(2,1,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(2,1,3) \leftarrow (n=m-1 \ge 1).$$

$$(5.11) Adjacent(3,1,3) \leftarrow Successor(3,1,3) \leftarrow (n = setsize \land m = 1).$$

$$(5.12) Adjacent(1,3,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(1,3,3) \leftarrow (m=1 \land n=setsize).$$

$$(5.13) Adjacent(2,3,3) \leftarrow Successor(2,3,3) \leftarrow (n=m+1 \leq setsize).$$

$$(5.14) Adjacent(3,2,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(3,2,3) \leftarrow (n=m-1 > 1).$$

Must prove that for all setsize > 3, there exist non-adjacent members. For example, the first and third members are not  $(\neg)$  adjacent:

(5.15) 
$$\forall setsize > 3: \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize > 3) \\ \leftarrow Successor(1, 2, setsize > 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \le setsize).$$

That is, member 2 is the only successor of member 1 for all setsize > 3, which implies member 3 is not a successor of member 1 for all setsize > 3.

(5.16) 
$$\forall setsize > 3: \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize > 3)$$
  
 $\leftarrow Predecessor(1, setsize, setsize > 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \land n = setsize > 3).$ 

That is, member n = set size > 3 is the only predecessor of member 1, which implies member 3 is not a predecessor of member 1 for all set size > 3.

$$(5.17) \quad \forall \ setsize > 3: \quad \neg Adjacent(1,3,setsize > 3) \\ \leftarrow \neg Successor(1,3,setsize > 3) \land \neg Predecessor(1,3,setsize > 3). \quad \Box$$

That is, for all setsize > 3, some elements are not sequentially adjacent to every other element (not symmetric).

Therefore, more than 3 sequentially ordered dimensions/intervals must have a different type and be related to the 3 geometric distance and volume dimensions via unit-factoring, conversion constants (both direct and inverse proportionate constants). For example,  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct$  and  $r = (m_p r_p)/m_0 = k_{mr}/m_0$ .

**5.2.** Spacetime geometry. The many other derivations of the spacetime equations assume, without proof, Einstein's two postulates: 1) the laws of physics are the same in every inertial frame of reference and 2) the speed of light is a constant in every inertial frame of reference. Here, the properties of physical space also generate the spacetime equations.

From the Minkowski distance proof (4.2), every Minkowski distance, r, in 2-space is a function of two geometric distances,  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , one from each dimension (independent frame of reference), where:  $r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2$ . And from the 3D proof (5.4), if  $r_i$ , are Euclidean distances in 3-space, then a higher dimension interval size, t, must have a different type and related to physical distance, r, via unit-factoring, conversion ratio,  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct$ :

$$(5.18) \ r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \quad \wedge \quad \exists \ r_c, t_c, c \in \mathbb{N} : (r_c/t_c)t = ct = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad (ct)^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2.$$

(5.19) 
$$r_1^2 = (ct)^2 - r_2^2 \quad \land \quad v = r_2/t \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_1 = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

Distance contraction by using ct = r from equation 5.19:

(5.20) 
$$r_1 = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \land \quad ct = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad r_1 = r\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

Time dilation by dividing both sides of equation 5.19 by c:

(5.21) 
$$r_1 = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \land \quad t' = r_1/c \quad \Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are Euclidean distances, then by the previous set-based proof (5.4), the Euclidean distances are limited to at most 3 dimensions. Using the (-+++) form of Minkowski's flat spacetime [**Bru17**], the size of the "event separation" interval,  $r_2$ , is:

(5.22) 
$$r_0^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \wedge r_0^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \wedge \exists r_c, t_c, c \in \mathbb{N} : (r_c/t_c)t = ct = r_1$$
  

$$\Rightarrow r_2^2 = -(ct)^2 + r_0^2 = -(ct)^2 + (x^2 + y^2 + z^2).$$

**5.3.** Newton's gravity force equation.  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , are the sizes of two independent mass intervals, where each size c component of a mass interval exerts a force on each size c component of the other mass interval. If  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the number of size c components in each mass interval, then the total force, F, is equal to the total number of forces,  $p_1 \cdot p_2$ , and proportionate to the size, c, of each component. Applying the ruler (2.1) and volume proof (3.2), where the force, F, is defined as the rest mass,  $m_0$ , times acceleration, a:

(5.23) 
$$p_1 = floor(m_1/c) \land p_2 = floor(m_2/c) \land F := m_0 a \propto (p_1 \cdot p_2)c$$
  

$$\Rightarrow F := m_0 a \propto \lim_{c \to 0} (p_1 \cdot p_2)c = \lim_{c \to 0} (p_1 \cdot p_2)c^2 = \lim_{c \to 0} p_1 c \cdot p_2 c = m_1 m_2,$$

(5.24) 
$$F := m_0 a := m_0 r / t^2 \propto m_1 m_2 \wedge m_0 = m_1 \Rightarrow r \propto m_2 \Rightarrow \exists m_G, r_G \in \mathbb{R} : r = (dr/dm) m_2 = (r_G/m_G) m_2,$$

where: r is Euclidean distance, t is time, and  $r_G/m_G$  is a unit-factoring ratio.

(5.25) 
$$m_0 = m_1 \wedge r = (m_G/r_G)m_2 \wedge F = m_0r/t^2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow F = m_0r/t^2 = (r_G/m_G)m_1m_2/t^2.$ 

Using the local frame of reference,  $r = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$ , and v = 0:

(5.26) 
$$r = ct \quad \land \quad F = (r_G/m_G)m_1m_2/t^2 \quad \Rightarrow$$
  
$$F = ((r_G/m_G)c^2m_1m_2/r^2 = Gm_1m_2/r^2,$$

where the constant,  $G = (r_G/m_G)c^2$ , is compatible with the SI units:  $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$ . And where |v| > 0,  $F = (r_G/m_G)(c^2 - v^2)q_1q_2/r^2$ .

**5.4.** Coulomb's charge force.  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , are the sizes of two independent charge intervals, where each size c component of a charge interval exerts a force on each size c component of the other charge interval. If  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the number of size c components in each charge interval, then the total force, F, is equal to the total number of forces,  $p_1 \cdot p_2$ , and proportionate to the size, c, of each component. Applying the ruler (2.1) and volume proof (3.2), where the force, F, is defined as the rest mass,  $m_0$ , times acceleration, a:

(5.27) 
$$p_1 = floor(q_1/c) \land p_2 = floor(q_2/c) \land F \propto (p_1 \cdot p_2)c$$
  
 $\Rightarrow F := m_0 a \propto \lim_{c \to 0} (p_1 \cdot p_2)c = \lim_{c \to 0} (p_1 \cdot p_2)c^2 = \lim_{c \to 0} p_1 c \cdot p_2 c = q_1 q_2,$ 

(5.28) 
$$F := m_0 a := m_0 r / t^2 \propto q_1 q_2 \wedge m_0 = (m_G / r_G) (r_C / q_C) q_1 = (m_G r_C / q_C r_G) q_1 \Rightarrow r \propto q_2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \exists q_C, r_C \in \mathbb{R} : r = (\mathrm{d}r / \mathrm{d}q) q_2 = (r_C / q_C) q_2,$ 

where: r is Euclidean distance, t is time,  $m_G/q_C$  and  $r_C/q_C$  are unit-factoring ratios.

(5.29) 
$$m_0 = (m_G r_C/q_C r_G)q_1 \wedge r = (r_C/q_C)q_2 \wedge F = m_0 r/t^2$$
  

$$\Rightarrow F = m_0 r/t^2 = (m_G/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2 q_1 q_2/t^2.$$

Using the local frame of reference,  $r = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$ , and v = 0:

(5.30) 
$$r = ct = (r_c/t_c)t$$
  $\wedge$   $a_G = r_c/t_c^2$   $\wedge$   $F = (m_G/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2 q_1 q_2/t^2$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $F = ((m_G/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2 (r_c/t_c)^2) q_1 q_2/r^2 = (m_G a_G)(r_C/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = k_e q_1 q_2/r^2,$ 

where the predicted charge constant,  $k_e = (m_G a_G)(r_c/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2$ , is compatible with the SI units:  $N \cdot m^2 \cdot C^{-2}$ .

And where |v| > 0,  $F = ((m_G/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2)(c^2 - v^2)q_1q_2/r^2$ .

**5.5. Einstein-Planck and energy-charge equations:** Combining the ratio (constant first derivative) equations:  $m_0 = (m_p/r_p)r$  and  $r/t = r_c/t_c = c \Rightarrow m_0(ct)^2 = (m_p/r_p)r \cdot r^2$ . Dividing both sides by  $t^2$ :  $E_0 = m_0c^2 = (m_p/r_p)r \cdot (r/t)^2 = (m_p/r_p)r \cdot (r_c/t_c)^2 = (m_pr_c/r_pt_c)c \cdot r = (m_pr_cc) \cdot (r/(r_pt_c)) = h \cdot \nu$ , which is the Einstein-Planck equation, where the Planck constant is,  $h = m_pr_cc$ , and  $\nu = r/(r_pt_c)$  is the frequency in cycles per second.  $h/c = k_W = m_pr_c$ , where  $r_c$  is the work displacement (Compton wavelength) of a particle with the rest mass,  $m_p$ .

Likewise, for charge,  $r = (r_C/q_C)q = (r_p/m_p)m \Rightarrow m = (m_p/r_p)(r_C/q_C)q \Rightarrow E_0 = m_0c^2 = (m_p/r_p)(r_C/q_C)qc^2 = (m_pr_cc(r_C/r_p)) \cdot (q/(q_Ct_c)) = h(r_C/r_p) \cdot \nu$ , where the frequency is,  $\nu = q/(q_Ct_c)$ .

# 6. Insights and implications

- (1) The Euclidean volume proof (3.2) shows that "flat" space is where the ratio of a range infinitesimal size to a neighbor range infinitesimal size is one and the domain infinitesimals (for example: dt, dm, dq, dx, dy, dz, etc.) are all the same size, c, independent of the corresponding domain interval sizes (for example, [0,t], [0,m], [0,q], [0,x],  $\cdots$ ). Whereas, the infinitesimal sizes in second derivative-based measures of curvature vary with their corresponding interval sizes.
- (2) All geometric distance functions are functions of the sum of cuboid n-volumes, which are the Minkowski distances (4.2). And it was proved that the Minkowski distances have the properties of metric space (4.5). Therefore, if the definition of a complete metric space allows functions that are not a function of the sum of n-volumes, then the definition of a complete metric space is not a sufficient filter to obtain only geometric distances.
- (3) Euclid's proof that Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points equate Euclidean distance to a straight line (equation), where it is assumed that the straight line length is the smallest distance [Joy98]. And proofs that a straight line (equation) is the smallest distance have equated the straight line to the Euclidean distance.

All geometric distance functions are functions of the sum of cuboid n-volumes, which are the Minkowski distances (4.2),  $d=(\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n)^{1/n}$ . If m represents the number of domain intervals, one interval from each dimension, then  $1 \leq n \leq m$ . And  $m=2 \Rightarrow 1 \leq n \leq 2$ , which constrains all Minkowski distances to a range from Manhattan distance (the largest distance) to Euclidean distance (the smallest distance) in Euclidean (flat) 2-space.

- (4) Hilbert spaces allow fractional dimensions (fractals), which is the case of intersecting domain sets and requires generalizing the countable volume definition (3.1),  $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ , to:  $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n (|x_i| |x_i \cap (\bigcup_{j=1, i \neq j}^n x_j)|)$ .
- (5) Compare the distance sum inequality (4.4),

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n},$$

used to prove that all Minkowski distances satisfy the metric space triangle inequality property (4.5), to Minkowski's sum inequality:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i^n + b_i^n)^n\right)^{1/n} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n\right)^{1/n} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n\right)^{1/n}.$$

Note the exponent difference in the left side of the two inequalities:

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n}$$
 vs.  $(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n}$ .

Minkowski's sum inequality proof assumes: convexity and the  $L_p$  space inequalities (for example, Hölder's inequality or Mahler's inequality) or the triangle inequality. In contrast, the distance (sum) inequality is a more fundamental inequality that does not require the assumptions of the Minkowski sum inequality.

(6) The derivations of the spacetime, gravity force, charge force, and Einstein-Planck equations expose a unit-factoring conversion ratio (constant first derivative) principle:  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct$ ,  $r = (r_G/m_G)m$ , and  $r = (r_C/q_C)q$ .

The gravity constant, G, the charge constant,  $k_e$ , and the Planck constant, h were all derived from these constant ratios.

- (7) The derivations in this article show that the spacetime, gravity force, charge force, and Einstein-Planck equations all depend on time being proportionate to distance:  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct$ . For example, from the derivation of Newton's gravity equation (5.26), where v = 0:  $G = (r_G/m_G)c^2$ . Likewise, from the derivation of Coulomb's charge force equation (5.30) the constant, where v = 0:  $k_e = (m_G/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2c^2$ . And from the derivation of the Planck constant (5.5),  $h = (m_p r_c)c$ .
- (8) The derivation of the Planck-Einstein equation (5.5) shows that the Planck constant, h, is the product of two fundamental constants, the work constant,  $k_W$ , and the speed of light constant, c:  $h = k_W c$ , where  $k_W = m_p r_c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \ kg \cdot m$ .  $r_c$  is the work displacement (Compton wavelength) of a particle having the rest mass,  $m_p$ . For example, using the rest mass of an electron,  $m_{e^-} \approx 9.1093837 \cdot 10^{-31} \ kg \Rightarrow r_c = k_W/m_{e^-} \approx 2.426310239 \cdot 10^{-12} m$ , which is the accepted value of the electron Compton wavelength. **Note:** the mass-displacement equation,  $k_W = m_p r_c$ , is analogous to the light frequency-wave equation:  $c = f\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength and f is the frequency. The mass-displacement equation simplifies the many physics equations that contain both c and d. The mass-displacement equation says that a larger mass will have a smaller displacement, which makes those simplified equations more intuitive.
- (9) A state is represented by a constant value. And a constant value, by definition, cannot vary with distance and time interval lengths. Therefore, the spin states (spin directions) of two quantum entangled electrons and the polarization states of two quantum entangled photons are independent of the amount of distance and time between the entangled particles.
- (10) Applying the ruler (2.1) and volume proof (3.2) to the Cartesian product of same-sized, infinitesimal mass forces and charge forces to derive Newton's gravity force (5.3) and Coulomb's charge force (5.4) equations provide some firsts and some insights into physics:
  - (a) These are the first derivations to not assume the inverse square law or Gauss's divergence theorem.
  - (b) These are the first derivations to show that the definition of force,  $F := m_0 a$ , containing acceleration,  $a = r/t^2$ , where r is a distance that is proportionate to time, t, generates the inverse square law.
  - (c) Using Occam's razor, those versions of constants like: charge, vacuum magnetic permeability, etc. that contain the value  $4\pi$  might be incorrect because those constants are based on the less parsimonious assumption that the inverse square law is due to Gauss's flux divergence on a sphere having the surface area,  $4\pi r^2$ .
  - (d) The derived spacetime relativistic equations for gravity and charge force are:  $F = (r_G/m_G)(c^2 v^2)m_1m_2/r^2$  (5.26) and  $F = ((m_G/r_G)(r_C/q_C)^2)(c^2 v^2)q_1q_2/r^2$  (5.30). Therefore,  $v \to c \Rightarrow F \to 0$ .
- (11) It was proved that sequencing through a set of n members, having a strict linear order, in all n-at-time permutations, a symmetric set, is a cyclic set with at most 3 members (5.3).

- (a) Using Occam's razor, a strict linear order and symmetry is the most parsimonious explanation for only observing 3 dimensions of physical distance and volume.
- (b) If there are higher dimensions of ordered and symmetric geometric space, then there is a set of at most three members (5.4), each member being an ordered and symmetric set of 3 dimensions (three balls).
- (c) Each dimension of discrete physical states can have at most 3 ordered and symmetric discrete state values of the same type, which allows  $3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 27$  possible combinations of discrete values of the same type per 3-dimensional ball, for example, vector orientation values: -1, 0, 1 per dimension in the ball.
- (d) The strict linear order and symmetry of the 3 dimensions of physical space and 3 vector orientations per dimension is what makes physical space chiral (allows mirror images and clockwise/counter-clockwise rotations).
- (e) Each of the 3 possible ordered and symmetric dimensions of discrete physical states could contain an unordered collection (bag) of discrete state values. Bags are non-deterministic. For example, every time an unordered binary state is "pulled" from a bag, there is a 50 percent chance of getting one of the binary values.
- (12) It was shown that some fundamental geometry (volume and the Minkowski distances/ $L_p$  norms) and physics (gravity force and charge force) are derived from the combinatorial (Cartesian product) mappings between the infinitesimals of real-valued domain intervals. The proofs and derivations in this article show that the ruler (2.1) is a tool to directly express and solve such combinatorial relations that occur often in geometry, probability, physics, etc. that, in some cases, might be difficult to directly express with differential equations and integrals.

#### References

- [Bru17] P. Bruskiewich, A very simple introduction to special relativity: Part two four vectors, the lorentz transformation and group velocity (the new mathematics for the millions book 38), Pythagoras Publishing, 2017. ↑8
- [CG15] W. Conradie and V. Goranko, Logic and discrete mathematics, Wiley, 2015. ↑
- [Coq15] Coq, Coq proof assistant, 2015. https://coq.inria.fr/documentation. \( \gamma \)
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, Methods of real analysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. †1
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, Euclid's elements, 1998. http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html. \phi10
- [Rud76] W. Rudin, Principles of mathematical analysis, McGraw Hill Education, 1976. †1

George Van Treeck, 668 Westline Dr., Alameda, CA 94501