

The Set Properties Generating Geometry and Physics

George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. Volume and the Minkowski distances/Lp norms (e.g., Euclidean distance) are derived from a set and limit-based foundation without referencing the primitives of geometry. Sequencing a strict linearly ordered set in all n-at-a-time permutations via successor/predecessor relations is a cyclic set of at most 3 members. Therefore, all other interval lengths have different types from a 3-dimensional distance interval length, where the lengths are related via constant type-conversion ratios. The ratios and set proofs provide simpler derivations of the spacetime, Lorentz, Newton's gravity, Coulomb's charge force, and Planck-Einstein equations and corresponding constants. All proofs are verified in Coq.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ruler measure and convergence	2
3. Volume	3
4. Distance	4
5. Applications to physics	6
6. Insights and implications	10
References	13

1. Introduction

Mathematical (real) analysis can construct differential calculus from a set and limit-based foundation without the need to reference the primitives and relations of Euclidean geometry, like straight line, angle, slope, etc. But the Riemann and Lebesgue integrals and measure theory (for example, Hilbert spaces and the Lebesgue measure) define Euclidean volume as the product of interval lengths. The dot product and vector norm are motivated Euclidean geometry. And the axioms of metric space model the properties of Euclidean distance. [Gol76] [Rud76] Here, volume and distance are derived from a set and limit-based foundation without the need to reference the primitives and relations of Euclidean geometry.

The ordered set of combinations, the Cartesian product n-tuples, does not require geometry for motivation, for example, all ordered combinations (n-tuples) of the members of the sets, $x_1 = \{\text{red, green}\}$ with $x_2 = \{\text{yellow, blue}\}$ is the set of 2-tuples, $x_1 \times x_2 = \{(\text{red, yellow}), (\text{red, blue}), (\text{green, yellow}), (\text{green, blue})\}$. We could call the cardinal of the set of 2-tuples, $|x_1| \times |x_2| = 2 \times 2 = 4$, its 2-volume.

Generalizing, the countable n-volume, $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$, where $|x_i|$ is the cardinal of the countable, disjoint set, x_i . But, where $|x_i|$ is number of partitions of $[a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $s_i = b_i - a_i$, it will be proved that the equality constraint only allows Euclidean volume, $v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i$ to be an instance. Generalizations to allow both Euclidean and non-Euclidean volumes are: $v_c = a_c \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ and $v = a \prod_{i=1}^n s_i$.

Partitioning of a set of n-tuples into disjoint subsets, results in an n-volume that is the sum of subset n-volumes: $v_c = \sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_j} = \sum_{j=1}^m (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_{i_j}|)$, where $x_{i_j} \in \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\} = x_i$ and $\cap_{j=1}^m x_{i_j} = \emptyset$. Again, $v = \sum_{j=1}^m (\prod_{i=1}^n s_{i_j})$ is an instance of $v_c = \sum_{j=1}^m (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_{i_j}|)$. **Note** that the $n = 2$ case is the dot product.

Where the cardinal of each domain set is an inverse function of an n-volume, it will be proved that: $\forall x, x_i : v_c = |x|^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n \Rightarrow v = d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n$, where d is the L_p norm (Minkowski distance). Generalizations to allow both Euclidean and non-Euclidean distances are: $v_c = |x|^n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{c_i} |x_i|^n$ and $d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i s_i^n$.

The dimensions of physical space can be sequenced in any order. But sequencing of a set, $i = 1$ to n , is a strict linear (total) order that set theory defines in terms of successor and predecessor functions. Sequencing a strict linear order in all n-at-a-time orders requires the “symmetry” property of every set member being either a successor or predecessor to every other set member. It will be proved that a strict linearly ordered and “symmetric” set is a cyclic set, where $n \leq 3$.

Therefore, an additional element cannot be in a set of 3 linearly ordered and symmetric “distance” elements and has a different type (is a member of a different set). And relating the size of one set element to the size of another set element having a different type requires a constant, type (unit-factoring), conversion ratio. The ratios combined with the set proofs provide simpler derivations of the spacetime, Lorentz, Newton’s gravity, Coulomb’s charge force, and Planck-Einstein equations and corresponding constants. Impacts on Einstein’s field equations are also shown.

All the proofs in this article are trivial. But to ensure confidence, all the proofs have been verified using the Coq proof verification system [Coq23]. The formal proofs are in the Coq files, “euclidrelations.v” and “threed.v,” at: <https://github.com/treeck/RASRGeometry>.

2. Ruler measure and convergence

Derivatives and integrals use a 1-1 correspondence between the infinitesimals of each interval, where the size of the infinitesimals in each interval are proportionate to the size of the containing interval, which precludes using derivatives and integrals to directly express many-to-many (Cartesian product) mappings between same-sized, size κ , infinitesimals in different-sized intervals. Further, using tools that define Euclidean volume and distance precludes using those tools to derive Euclidean volume and distance.

Therefore, a different tool is used here. A ruler (measuring stick) measures the size of each interval *approximately* as the sum of the nearest integer number, p , of whole subintervals (infinitesimals), where each infinitesimal has the *same* size, κ , across all intervals. The ruler is both an inner and outer measure of an interval.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure, M : $\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, s = b - a \wedge \kappa > 0 \wedge (p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \vee p = \text{ceiling}(s/\kappa)) \wedge M = \sum_{i=1}^p \kappa = p\kappa$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Ruler convergence*: $M = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} p\kappa = s$.

The formal proof, “limit_c_0_M_eq_exact_size,” is in the file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function, $\text{floor}(x) = \max(\{y : y \leq x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$:

$$(2.1) \quad \forall \kappa > 0, p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \wedge 0 \leq |\text{floor}(s/\kappa) - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p - s/\kappa| < 1.$$

Multiply both sides of inequality 2.1 by κ :

$$(2.2) \quad \forall \kappa > 0, |p - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \forall \epsilon = \delta \wedge |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow |\kappa - 0| < \delta \wedge |p\kappa - s| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad M = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} p\kappa = s. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following is an example of ruler convergence for the interval, $[0, \pi]$: $s = \pi - 0$, and $p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \Rightarrow p \cdot \kappa = 3.1_{\kappa=10^{-1}}, 3.14_{\kappa=10^{-2}}, 3.141_{\kappa=10^{-3}}, \dots, \pi_{\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0}}$.

LEMMA 2.3. $\forall n \geq 1, 0 < \kappa < 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa$.

PROOF. The formal proof, “lim_c_to_n_eq_lim_c,” is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

$$(2.4) \quad n \geq 1 \wedge 0 < \kappa < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < \kappa^n < \kappa \Rightarrow |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \forall \epsilon = \delta \wedge |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow |\kappa - 0| < \delta \wedge |\kappa - \kappa^n| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = 0 \wedge \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa. \quad \square$$

3. Volume

DEFINITION 3.1. An n -volume is the number of ordered combinations (n -tuples), v_c , of the members of n number of disjoint, countable domain sets, x_i :

$$(3.1) \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, a_c, v_c, \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}, x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset : v_c = a_c \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Euclidean volume*, $v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i$, is the “flat” n -volume case, $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$, where each countable set, x_i , is the set of partitions of an interval, $[a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \forall [a_i, b_i] \in \{[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_n, b_n]\}, [v_a, v_b] \subset \mathbb{R}, s_i = b_i - a_i, v = v_a - v_b, \\ v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Rightarrow v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i. \end{aligned}$$

The formal proof, “Euclidean_volume,” is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF.

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow v_c \cdot \kappa = (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot \kappa \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \cdot \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot \kappa. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to v :

$$(3.4) \quad \exists v, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : v_c = \text{floor}(v/\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \cdot \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot \kappa.$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 3.4:

$$(3.5) \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot \kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to s_i :

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \exists s_i, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : \text{floor}(s_i/\kappa) = |x_i| &\Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \cdot \kappa) = s_i \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot \kappa) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot \kappa) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot \kappa) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \\ \Rightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Distance

4.1. Metric distance.

DEFINITION 4.1. The metric distance, $|x|$, is where each domain set cardinal is an inverse function of an n-volume:

$$(4.1) \quad \forall x, x_i : |x|^n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{c_i} |x_i|^n.$$

4.2. Minkowski distance (L_p norm).

THEOREM 4.2. *The Minkowski distance (L_p norm) is the “flat” metric distance (4.1) case, $|x|^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n$.*

$$|x|^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists d, s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{R} : \quad d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

The formal proof, “Minkowski_distance,” is in the Coq file, *euclidrelations.v*.

PROOF. Apply the ruler (2.1):

$$(4.2) \quad \exists d, s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{R} : |x| = \text{floor}(d/\kappa) \quad \wedge \quad |x_i| = \text{floor}(s_i/\kappa).$$

Apply the ruler convergence (2.2):

$$(4.3) \quad |x_i| = \text{floor}(s_i/\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad s_i = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} |x_i| \cdot \kappa.$$

$$(4.4) \quad |x|^n = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} |x|^n \cdot \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i| \cdot \kappa)^n).$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 4.4 and substitute equation 4.3:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} d^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i| \cdot \kappa)^n) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa \\ \Rightarrow \quad d^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i| \cdot \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i| \cdot \kappa)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Apply equation 4.3 to equation 4.5:

$$(4.6) \quad d^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^m (|x_i| \cdot \kappa)^n \quad \wedge \quad s_i = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} |x_i| \cdot \kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n.$$

$$(4.7) \quad d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^n)^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

4.3. Distance inequality. Proving that all Minkowski distances (L_p norms) satisfy the metric space triangle inequality requires another inequality. The formal proof, `distance_inequality`, is in the Coq file, `euclidrelations.v`.

THEOREM 4.3. *Distance inequality*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \quad (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Expand the n -volume, $(v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n$, using the binomial expansion:

$$(4.8) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \quad v_a + v_b \leq v_a + v_b + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (v_a^{1/n})^{n-i} (v_b^{1/n})^i + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (v_a^{1/n})^i (v_b^{1/n})^{n-i} = (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n.$$

Take the n^{th} root of both sides of the inequality:

$$(4.9) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} : v_a + v_b \leq (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n \Rightarrow (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

4.4. Distance sum inequality. The formal proof, `distance_sum_inequality`, is in the Coq file, `euclidrelations.v`.

THEOREM 4.4. *Distance sum inequality*

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_i, b_i \geq 0 : \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Apply the distance inequality (4.3):

$$(4.10) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \quad v_a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \quad \wedge \quad v_b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n \quad \wedge \\ (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n) + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n))^{1/n} = \\ (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

4.5. Metric Space. All Minkowski distances (L_p norms) have the properties of metric space.

The formal proofs: `triangle_inequality`, `symmetry`, `non_negativity`, and `identity_of_indiscernibles` are in the Coq file, `euclidrelations.v`.

THEOREM 4.5. *Triangle Inequality:*

$$d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

PROOF. $\forall p \geq 1, \quad k > 1, \quad u = s_1, \quad w = s_2, \quad v = w/k$:

$$(4.11) \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + w^p) + 2v^p)^{1/p} = ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p}.$$

Apply the distance inequality (4.3) to the inequality 4.11:

$$(4.12) \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \quad \wedge \quad (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \\ \wedge \quad v_a = u^p + v^p \quad \wedge \quad v_b = v^p + w^p \\ \Rightarrow \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \leq (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq \\ (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.6. *Symmetry:* $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = d(v, u).$

PROOF. By the commutative law of addition:

$$(4.13) \quad \forall p : p \geq 1, \quad d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} = (s_1^p + s_2^p)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} = (v^p + u^p)^{1/p} = d(v, u). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.7. *Non-negativity:* $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \Rightarrow d(u, w) \geq 0$.

PROOF. By definition, the length of an interval is always ≥ 0 :

$$(4.14) \quad \forall [a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \quad u = b_1 - a_1, v = b_2 - a_2, \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \geq 0, v \geq 0.$$

$$(4.15) \quad p \geq 1, \quad u, v \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \geq 0. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.8. *Identity of Indiscernibles:* $d(u, u) = 0$.

PROOF. From the non-negativity property (4.7):

$$(4.16) \quad d(u, w) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(u, v) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(v, w) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \exists d(u, w) = d(u, v) = d(v, w) = 0.$$

$$(4.17) \quad d(u, w) = d(v, w) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u = v.$$

$$(4.18) \quad d(u, v) = 0 \quad \wedge \quad u = v \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, u) = 0. \quad \square$$

5. Applications to physics

5.1. The properties limiting a set to at most 3 members.

DEFINITION 5.1. Totally ordered set:

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ i \in [1, n-1], \ \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \\ \text{successor } x_i = x_{i+1} \quad \wedge \quad \text{predecessor } x_{i+1} = x_i.$$

DEFINITION 5.2. Symmetry (every set member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

$$\forall i \ j \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall x_i \ x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad \text{successor } x_i = x_j \Leftrightarrow \text{predecessor } x_j = x_i.$$

THEOREM 5.3. *A strict linearly ordered and symmetric set is a cyclic set.*

$$i = n \quad \wedge \quad j = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{successor } x_n = x_1 \quad \wedge \quad \text{predecessor } x_1 = x_n.$$

The formal proof, “ordered_symmetric_is_cyclic,” is in the Coq file, `threed.v`.

PROOF. A total order (5.1) assigns a unique label to each set member and assigns unique successors and predecessors for all set members except for the successor of x_n and the predecessor of x_1 . Therefore, the only member that can be a successor of x_n , without creating a contradiction, is x_1 . And the only member that can be a predecessor of x_1 , without creating a contradiction, is x_n . Applying the symmetry property (5.2):

$$(5.1) \quad i = n \quad \wedge \quad j = 1 \quad \wedge \quad \text{successor } x_i = x_j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{successor } x_n = x_1.$$

Applying the definition of the symmetry property (5.2) to conclusion 5.1:

$$(5.2) \quad \text{successor } x_i = x_j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{predecessor } x_j = x_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{predecessor } x_1 = x_n. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 5.4. *An ordered and symmetric set is limited to at most 3 members.*

The formal proofs in the Coq file `threed.v` are:

Lemmas: `adj111`, `adj122`, `adj212`, `adj123`, `adj133`, `adj233`, `adj213`, `adj313`, `adj323`, and `not_all_mutually_adjacent_gt_3`.

The following proof uses Horn clauses (a subset of first order logic), which makes it clear which facts satisfy a proof goal.

PROOF.

It was proved that an ordered and symmetric set is a cyclic set (5.3).

DEFINITION 5.5. (Cyclic) Successor of m is n :

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1) \vee (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

DEFINITION 5.6. (Cyclic) Predecessor of m is n :

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}) \vee (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

DEFINITION 5.7. Adjacent: member m is sequentially adjacent to member n if the successor of m is n or the predecessor of m is n . Notionally:

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Adjacent}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \vee \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}).$$

Prove that every member is adjacent to every other member, where $\text{setsize} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1).$$

$$(5.7) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(5.8) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow (n = \text{setsize} \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(5.9) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(5.10) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

$$(5.11) \quad \text{Adjacent}(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow (n = \text{setsize} \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(5.12) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}).$$

$$(5.13) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(5.14) \quad \text{Adjacent}(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

Member 2 is the only successor of member 1 for all $\text{setsize} > 3$, which implies member 3 is not (\neg) a successor of member 1 for all $\text{setsize} > 3$:

$$(5.15) \quad \neg \text{Successor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \\ \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, \text{setsize} > 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

Member $n = \text{setsize} > 3$ is the only predecessor of member 1, which implies member 3 is not (\neg) a predecessor of member 1 for all $\text{setsize} > 3$:

$$(5.16) \quad \neg \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \\ \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(1, \text{setsize}, \text{setsize} > 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize} > 3).$$

For all $\text{setsize} > 3$, some elements are not (\neg) sequentially adjacent to every other element (not symmetric):

$$(5.17) \quad \neg \text{Adjacent}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \\ \leftarrow \neg \text{Successor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \wedge \neg \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3). \quad \square$$

From the 3D proof (5.4), the interval lengths: t (time), m (mass), and q (charge) have different types (are from different sets) from a 3-dimensional interval length, r , that can be related via constant, unit-factoring, conversion ratios:

$$(5.18) \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct = (r_c/m_G)m = (r_c/q_C)q,$$

5.2. Spacetime and Lorentz equations. From the Euclidean volume proof (3.2), two disjoint intervals, $[0, r]$ and $[0, r']$, defines an Euclidean 2-space. From the Minkowski distance proof (4.2), the interval lengths, r and r' , are inverse functions of 2 cuboid 2-volumes. Either $r' \geq r$ or $r \geq r'$ can be chosen. $r \geq r'$ is used here.

(5.19)

$$\forall r \geq r' \exists r_v \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 = r'^2 + r_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad \exists r_c, t_c, c, v \in \mathbb{R} : r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \\ \wedge \quad r_v = vt \quad \Rightarrow \quad (ct)^2 = r'^2 + (vt)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = \sqrt{(ct)^2 - (vt)^2} = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

Local (proper) distance, r' , contracts relative to coordinate distance, r , as $v \rightarrow c$:

$$(5.20) \quad r' = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad ct = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

From equation 5.19, coordinate time, t , dilates relative to local time, t' , as $v \rightarrow c$:

$$(5.21) \quad ct = r'/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad r' = ct' \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = t'/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

Using $r^2 = r'^2 + r_v^2$ from equation 5.19, where r_v is a 3-dimensional distance, one form of the flat Minkowski's spacetime event interval is:

$$(5.22) \quad dr^2 = dr'^2 + dr_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad dr_v^2 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 \quad \wedge \quad d(ct) = dr \\ \Rightarrow \quad dr'^2 = d(ct)^2 - dx_1^2 - dx_2^2 - dx_3^2.$$

The Lorentz transformations follow from equation 5.20 and Galilean transformation:

$$(5.23) \quad r' = r/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad r = r' + vt \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = (r - vt)/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

$$(5.24) \quad r' = (r - vt)/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad r' = ct' \\ \Rightarrow \quad t' = (t - (vt/c))/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} = (t - (vr/c^2))/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}.$$

5.3. Einstein's field (general relativity) equations. The spacetime interval equation (5.22) is the "flat" case of $ds^2 = \sum_{i=0}^3 g_{i,i} dx_i^2$, where the metric tensor is: $g_{\mu,\nu} = \text{diag}(g_{0,0}, g_{1,1}, g_{2,2}, g_{3,3})$ [Ein15] [Wey52]. However, the 4-D spacetime interval equation is derived from the 2-D equation, $ds^2 = \sum_{i=0}^1 g_{i,i} dx_i^2$, where x_1 is a 3-dimensional distance. Each infinitesimal spacetime distance along the Levi-Civita connection between two point-masses is Euclidean-like. In that specific case, the 4×4 metric tensor, $g_{\mu,\nu}$, can be replaced by a 2×2 metric tensor, $g_{u,v} = \text{diag}(g_{0,0}, g_{1,1})$, which reduces the number of field equations and unknowns.

The Gaussian curvature of a surface is limited to, at most, 3-D. Einstein created a Gaussian-like curvature, $G_{\mu,\nu}$, induced by $g_{\mu,\nu}$, in 4-D via the Ricci tensor, $\mathbf{R}_{\mu,\nu}$, and it's scalar curvature, R , where $G_{\mu,\nu} = \mathbf{R}_{\mu,\nu} - (1/2)Rg_{\mu,\nu}$ [Wey52]. Where the 2×2 metric tensor can be used, the 2-D Gaussian curvature can be used, which eliminates the need for the complicated calculations of the Ricci tensor and its scalar curvature.

Further, the sum of two tensors is a tensor, $T_{\mu,\nu} : G_{\mu,\nu} + g_{\mu,\nu} = kT_{\mu,\nu}$, where Einstein defined the conversion factor as $k = 8\pi G/c^4$ [Wey52]. k and Newton's gravitational constant, G , were defined (conjectured) rather than derived.

5.4. Newton's gravity force equation and constant. From equation 5.18:

$$(5.25) \quad \forall m_1 m_2 = m^2 = (m_G/r_c)^2 r^2 \Rightarrow (r_c/m_G)^2 m_1 m_2 / r^2 = 1.$$

From equation 5.21, if r is the proper distance, then $r = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$:

$$(5.26) \quad r = ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad mr = ((m_G/r_c)r)(ct\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}) \\ \Rightarrow mr = (m_G/r_c)(ct)^2(1 - (v/c)^2) = (m_G/r_c)(ct)^2 - (vt)^2.$$

$$(5.27) \quad mr = (m_G/r_c)(ct)^2 - (vt)^2 \Rightarrow ((r_c/m_G)/(c^2 - v^2))mr/t^2 = 1.$$

$$(5.28) \quad ((r_c/m_G)/(c^2 - v^2))mr/t^2 = 1 \quad \wedge \quad (r_c/m_G)^2 m_1 m_2 / r^2 = 1 \\ \Rightarrow F := mr/t^2 = ((r_c/m_G)(c^2 - v^2))m_1 m_2 / r^2 = Gm_1 m_2 / r^2,$$

where $G = ((r_c/m_G)(c^2 - v^2))$, has the SI units: $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$. G is Newton's constant, where $v = 0$.

5.5. Coulomb's charge force and constant. From equation 5.18:

$$(5.29) \quad r = (r_c/m_G)m = (r_c/q_C)q_1 \Rightarrow m = (m_G/q_C)q_1.$$

Substituting equations 5.29 and 5.18 into equation 5.28, where $v = 0$:

$$(5.30) \quad m = (m_G/q_C)q_1 \quad \wedge \quad r_c/t_c = c \quad \wedge \quad F = ((r_c/m_G)c^2)m_1 m_2 / r^2 \\ \Rightarrow F = (m_G/q_C)(r_c/q_C)(r_c/t_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2.$$

$$(5.31) \quad a_G = r_c/t_c^2 \quad \wedge \quad F = (m_G/q_C)(r_c/q_C)(r_c/t_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 \\ \Rightarrow F = (m_G a_G)(r_c/q_C)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = k_e q_1 q_2 / r^2,$$

where $v = 0$, $k_e = (m_G a_G)(r_c/q_C)^2$, has the SI units: $N \cdot m^2 \cdot C^{-2}$. And where $|v| > 0$, $F = (m_G/q_C)(r_c/q_C)(c^2 - v^2)q_1 q_2 / r^2$.

5.6. Work and Planck-Einstein equations: From the ratios 5.18:

$$(5.32) \quad r = (r_c/m_G)m \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \wedge \quad m_p = (t/t_c)^2 m_G \\ \Rightarrow mr = (m_G/r_c)r^2 = (m_G/r_c)(r_c/t_c)^2 t^2 = (t/t_c)^2 m_G r_c = m_p r_c = k_W \\ \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \text{ kg } m,$$

where r is the displacement (Compton wavelength) of the mass, m .

$$(5.33) \quad m(ct)^2 = mr^2 \quad \wedge \quad mr = k_W \quad \Rightarrow \quad m(ct)^2 = k_W r.$$

$$(5.34) \quad m(ct)^2 = k_W r \Rightarrow E = mc^2 = k_W r/t^2 = k_W (r/t)(1/t) = (k_W c)(1/t) = hf,$$

where the Planck constant $h = k_W c$ and the frequency $f = 1/t$. The relativistic Planck-Einstein equation is: $m(c^2 - v^2) = hf$.

6. Insights and implications

- (1) $v = a \prod_{i=1}^n$ allows non-Euclidean volume to be a function of Euclidean volume. $d = (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i s_i^n)^{1/n}$ allows non-Euclidean distance to be a function of Minkowski distance. Therefore, proving that Euclidean volume and the Minkowski distance are instances of the same abstract, set-based definition of n-volume provides a unifying set and limit-based foundation under all volume and distance without pointing to geometry.
- (2) $v = \sum_{j=1}^m (\prod_{i=1}^n s_{ij})$, where $n = 2$ is the **dot product** case:
 - (a) Orthogonal (linear independence) is the instance of the ordered set of combinations (n-tuples) of disjoint sets, $x_1 \times x_2$, where, $x_1 \cap x_2 = \emptyset$ and $x_1 \times x_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_{ij}|)$, where $\cap_{i=1}^n x_{ij} = \emptyset$. Congruence (parallel) is the instance of $\forall j \neq k : x_{ij}, x_{ik} \in x_i$. A point is the instance of a set member. “Betweenness” and straight line are the instances of a strict linearly ordered set. This places an abstract, set and limit-based foundation under Euclidean geometry, axiomatic geometry, and vector analysis:
 - (i) All Euclidean proofs of the Pythagorean theorem require the geometric primitives of straight line, area, congruent, and orthogonal (perpendicular, right angle) [Loo68].
 - (ii) Axiomatic geometry proofs apply logic to some minimal set of geometric primitives and relations (without the need for physical translation and rotation that is used in Euclidean proofs). [Lee10]. The most abstract proof and minimal set applies first-order logic to the 3 symbols; point, betweenness, and congruence [TG99].
 - (iii) Weyl constructed the definition of vector by modeling point as a real value, and a scalar times the point value being another point, where all the points “between” define a straight line. Two straight lines are congruent if they are both the result of scalars times the same origin point value and otherwise linearly independent (orthogonal). [Wey52]
 - (b) Further, Euclidean geometry, axiomatic geometry, and vector analysis have failed to expose the properties that can limit a geometry to 3 dimensions. But the set foundation provided here proved that a 3 dimensional geometry is an instance of a strict linearly ordered and symmetric set (5.3).
- (3) Euclid’s proof that Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points equate Euclidean distance to a straight line, where it is assumed that the straight line length is the smallest distance [Joy98]. And proofs that a straight line is the smallest distance equate the straight line to the Euclidean distance.

Using the calculus of variations for a shortest distance proof would result in circular logic due to the Euclidean assumptions in the definition of the integral.

The set-based proofs provide a shortest distance proof without using the notion of a straight line. All real-valued instances of “flat” countable n-volumes are Minkowski distances (4.2). And in flat 2-space, the Minkowski distances are constrained to a range from Manhattan distance

(the largest distance, where $n = 1$), $d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^1)^{1/1} = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i$, to Euclidean distance (the smallest distance, where $n = 2$), $d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2)^{1/2}$.

- (4) The case of intersecting distance sets requires generalizing a countable distance (4.1) from: $|x| = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n)^{1/n}$ to:

$$|x| = (\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^n - |x_i \cap (\bigcup_{j=1, i \neq j}^n x_j)|)^{1/n}.$$

Distance measures are used in shortest path and least cost path search algorithms with various applications, including machine learning. For example, intersecting domain sets allow neural networks to generalize a response across the intersecting domain sets, x_i and x_j .

- (5) Compare the distance sum inequality (4.4),

$$(6.1) \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n},$$

used to prove that all Minkowski distances satisfy the metric space triangle inequality property (4.5), to Minkowski's sum inequality:

$$(6.2) \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n)^n)^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}$$

[Min53]. Note the difference in the left side of each equation:

$$(6.3) \quad \forall n > 1, \quad 0 < a_i^n, b_i^n < 1: \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} > (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n)^n)^{1/n}.$$

$$(6.4) \quad \forall n > 1, \quad a_i^n, b_i^n \geq 1: \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} < (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n)^n)^{1/n}.$$

The distance sum inequality is a more fundamental inequality because its proof does not require the convexity and various inequality theorems required to prove the Minkowski sum inequality. And the distance sum inequality is derived from the definitions of volume and distance, which makes it more directly related to geometry.

- (6) From the 3D proof (5.4), more intervals than the 3 dimensions of distance intervals must have different types with lengths that are related to a 3-dimensional distance interval length, r , via constant, unit-factoring, conversion ratios (both direct and inverse proportion ratios). The direct proportion ratios for time, mass, and charge are: $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct = (r_c/m_G)m = (r_c/q_C)q$. An inverse proportion ratio is the work ratio: $m_p r_c = k_W$. In SI units:

$$c_t = r_c/t_c \approx 2.99792458 \cdot 10^8 m \, s^{-1}.$$

$$c_m = r_c/m_G \approx 7.4261602691 \cdot 10^{-28} m \, kg^{-1}.$$

$$c_q = r_c/q_C \approx 8.6175172023 \cdot 10^{-18} m \, C^{-1}.$$

$$k_W = m_p r_c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} kg \, m.$$

- (7) The derivations in this article show that the spacetime, gravity force, charge force, and Planck-Einstein equations all depend on time being proportionate to distance: $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct$. For example, from the derivation of Newton's gravity equation (5.26), where $v = 0$: $G = (r_c/m_G)c^2$. Likewise, from the derivation of Coulomb's charge force equation (5.31) the constant, where $v = 0$: $k_e = (m_G/q_C)(r_c/q_C)c^2$. And from the derivation of the Planck constant (5.34): $h = (m_p r_c)c = k_W c$.
- (8) The ratios make all distance, wavelength, time, frequency, mass, charge, etc. sizes relative to each other. There are no absolute sizes > 0 – no Planck-like distance, time, etc.
- (9) The derivations of the spacetime equations and Lorentz transformations, here (5.2), differ from other derivations.

- (a) The derivations, here, are much shorter and simpler.
 - (b) The derivations of the spacetime equations, here, do not rely on the Lorentz transformations or Einsteins' postulates [Ein15]. The derivations do not even require the notion of light.
 - (c) The derivations, here, rely only on geometry: the Euclidean volume proof (3.2), the Minkowski distances proof (4.2), and the 3D proof (5.4), which provides the insight that the geometry of physical space creates: 1) a maximum speed, c ; 2) the spacetime equations; and 3) the Lorentz transformations.
 - (d) The distance-to-mass ratio, $r = (r_c/m_G)m$, and distance-to-charge ratio, $r = (r_c/q_C)q$, can replace the distance-to-time ratio, $r = (r_c/t_c)t$, to derive spacemass and spacecharge equations.
- (10) Applying the ratios to derive Newton's gravity force (5.4) and Coulomb's charge force (5.5) equations provide:
- (a) Derivations that do not assume the inverse square law or Gauss's flux divergence theorem. **Note:** the components of the Ricci and metric tensors in Einstein's field equations have the units, $1/\text{distance}^2$ [Wey52], which is an assumption of the inverse square law.
 - (b) These are the first derivations to show that the inverse square law and the property of force as mass times acceleration are the result of the conversion ratios, $r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_G)m$.
 - (c) Using Occam's razor, those versions of constants like: Gauss's gravity and charge constants, vacuum magnetic permeability, etc. that contain the value 4π might be incorrect because those constants are based on the less parsimonious assumption that the inverse square law is due to Gauss's flux divergence on a sphere having the surface area, $4\pi r^2$.
 - (d) Using the Lorentz factor, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$, the relativistic gravity and charge force equations can be written as:
 $F = (r_c/m_G)(c^2 - v^2)m_1m_2/r^2 = Gm_1m_2/r^2\gamma^2$ (5.26) and
 $F = (m_G/q_C)(r_c/q_C)(c^2 - v^2)q_1q_2/r^2 = k_e q_1q_2/r^2\gamma^2$ (5.31).
- (i) Einstein's gravity constant, $k = 8\pi G/c^4$ [Wey52], is only valid when the local velocity is 0. Otherwise, $k = 8\pi(r_G/m_G)(c^2 - v^2)/c^4$. As $v \rightarrow c \Rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$, implies a universe expanding faster than predicted by a constant k and also predicts an accelerating expansion.
 - (ii) From the spacetime equation 5.19, the distance r is a constant in the coordinate frame of reference, where $r = ct$. In Newton's gravity and Coulomb's charge equations, r , is in the local frame of reference. Therefore, $r' = ct$ is in the coordinate frame of reference, where $r'^2 = r^2 + r_v^2 \Rightarrow r^2 = r'^2 - r_v^2 \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r'^2 - r_v^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (r'/t)^2 - (r_v/t)^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} c^2 - v^2 = 0$. Therefore, the inverse square law and flux divergence are approximations that only holds where $r \gg 0$. The inverse square law not holding at very small distances reduces the mass density of black holes (increases the radius) and might allow black hole evaporation.

- (11) There is no constant ratio converting a constant state value to continuously varying distance, time, mass, and charge values. Therefore, the spin states of two quantum entangled particles and the polarization states of two quantum entangled photons are independent of the amount of distance between the particles and independent of time (no [zero] time). This might indicate a “point” (measure 0 [no] length) of time, where a particle has either: both states (Schrödinger’s cat), or a third state, or no state.
- (12) A strict linearly ordered and symmetric set being cyclic set of at most 3 members (5.4), is the simplest explanation for observing only 3 dimensions of physical space.
 - (a) If there are higher dimensions of ordered and symmetric geometric space, then there is a set of at most three members (5.4), each member being an ordered and symmetric set of 3 dimensions (three 3-dimensional balls).
 - (b) Each of 3 ordered and symmetric dimensions of space can have at most 3 sequentially ordered and symmetric state values, for example, an ordered and symmetric set of 3 vector orientations, $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, per dimension of space.

References

- [Coq23] Coq, *Coq proof assistant*, 2023. <https://coq.inria.fr/documentation>. ↑2
- [Ein15] A. Einstein, *Relativity, the special and general theory*, Princeton University Press, 2015. ↑8, 12
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, *Methods of real analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. ↑1
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, *Euclid’s elements*, 1998. <http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html>. ↑10
- [Lee10] J. M. Lee, *Axiomatic geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 2010. ↑10
- [Loo68] E. S. Loomis, *The pythagorean proposition*, NCTM, 1968. ↑10
- [Min53] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der zahlen*, Chelsea, 1953. reprint. ↑11
- [Rud76] W. Rudin, *Principles of mathematical analysis*, McGraw Hill Education, 1976. ↑1
- [TG99] A. Tarski and S. Givant, *Tarski’s system of geometry*, The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic **5** (1999), no. 2, 175–214. ↑10
- [Wey52] H. Weyl, *Space-time-matter*, Dover Publications Inc, 1952. ↑8, 10, 12

GEORGE VAN TREECK, 668 WESTLINE DR., ALAMEDA, CA 94501