

Some Set Properties Underlying Geometry and Physics

George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. The Euclidean volume, inner product, and Minkowski distance equations are proved to be instances of a set of n -tuples. A symmetry property is proved to limit a cyclic set to at most 3 members. Where distance is a symmetric cyclic set, higher dimensions have different types (are members of different sets), with unit-factoring ratios of a distance unit to units of other types (time, mass, and charge). The proofs and ratios are used to derive the gravity, charge, vacuum permittivity, vacuum permeability, Planck, and fine structure constants, and used to provide simple derivations of some well-known classical gravity and charge equations, special and general relativity equations, and quantum physics equations. All the proofs are verified in Rocq.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ruler measure and convergence	3
3. Volume	4
4. Distance	4
5. Applications to physics	8
6. Insights and implications	15
References	20

1. Introduction

The Riemann integral, Lebesgue integral, and Lebesgue measure define Euclidean volume as the Cartesian product of interval sizes. And analysis defines Euclidean distance, inner product, vector, and metric space, etc. [Gol76] [Rud76]

If volume and distance equations can be derived from an abstract, set-based foundation, then that foundation might explain: 1) the properties that generate those equations in a way that geometry and measure theory cannot explain, 2) show whether definitions, like metric space, are sufficient or flawed, and 3) provide new insights into both geometry and physics. In this article, volume and distance

equations are derived from a single, abstract, combinatorial foundation, a foundation of countable, ordered sets of combinations (n-tuples) and permutations of set members.

Where $|x_i|$ is the cardinal of a countable set, x_i , the countable number of n-tuples, v_c , of disjoint sets of x_i is:

$$(1.1) \quad \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset : \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

Step 1: Prove that the *only* equation implied by the countable set of n-tuples is the Euclidean volume equation and the *only* countable set implied by the Euclidean distance equation is the countable set of n-tuples:

$$(1.2) \quad \forall v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i, \quad [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad s_i = b_i - a_i.$$

A “ruler” measure of all $[a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}$ will be used to prove proposition 1.2.

Step 2: Prove that, where the *total* countable volume, v_c , is a bijective function (for each n , $\exists! d_c : v_c = f(d_c)$ and $d_c = f^{-1}(v_c)$), v_c implies specific real-valued distance equations in an Euclidean volume. Where:

$$(1.3) \quad \exists d_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\} : d_c = |x_1| = \dots = |x_n| \Leftrightarrow v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n,$$

the ruler measure will be used to prove that:

$$(1.4) \quad d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n |x_{i,j}|) \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n s_{i,j}).$$

Where the total n-volume is both the sum and subtraction of n-volumes, the domain values are \pm signed. The $n = 2$ case is the vector inner product:

$$(1.5) \quad d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i := \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}.$$

Where $v_{c_i} = d_{c_i}^n$, the ruler measure will be used to prove that:

$$(1.6) \quad d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n.$$

d is the ρ -norm (Minkowski distance) [Min53], which will be proved to imply the metric space properties [Rud76].

Step 3: Prove there are combinatorial properties that can limit a domain set to $n \leq 3$ members:

The commutative properties of multiplication of domain values (for example, $s_1 \cdot s_2 = s_2 \cdot s_1$) allow sequencing an ordered set of multiplied domain values in all $n!$ permutations. And there is no intrinsic property of a domain value that gives it a particular position of first, second, \dots , last in the multiplication sequence.

The *only* sequentially ordered set, where any member can be selected first, is a cyclic set. Sequencing a cyclic set in all $n!$ permutations, is defined, here, as a “symmetric” cyclic set, where every set member is either an *immediate* cyclic successor or an *immediate* cyclic predecessor to every other set member. A symmetric cyclic set will be proved to have $n \leq 3$ members.

If $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ is a symmetric cyclic set of 3 intervals $\subset \mathbb{R}$, then another interval $\subset \mathbb{R}$, must have a different type (s_4 is a member of a different set). If s_1 having length r is divided into μ -sized subintervals (units) and s_4 having length τ is divided into ν -sized subintervals (units), then: $r = (\mu/\nu)\tau$.

Step 4: Apply the previous results to physics:

For each unit length, r_c , of distance interval length, r , there are unit lengths: t_c of time interval length, t ; m_c of mass interval length, m ; and q_c of charge interval length, q , such that: $r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q$. For example, $r_c/t_c = c$ is the speed of light ratio.

The proofs and the 3 direct proportion ratios are used to provide simple derivations of the Newton, Gauss, and Poisson gravity equations, Coulomb and Gauss charge equations [and the constants: gravity (G), charge (k_e), vacuum permittivity (ϵ_0), and vacuum permeability (μ_0)]. They are also used to derive all the special relativity equations, the Schwarzschild time dilation and black hole metric equations pointing to a simplified method of finding solutions to Einstein's general relativity equations.

Next, algebraic manipulation of the 3 direct proportion ratios yields 3 inverse proportion ratios: $r = t_c r_c / t = m_c r_c / m = q_c r_c / q$. The direct and inverse proportion ratios are combined to derive quantitative values for the 4 quantum units: r_c , t_c , m_c , and q_c . And the Planck units and the fine structure constant, α , are each derived the 4 quantum units as the ratios of subtypes.

The combination of the direct and inverse proportion ratios are used to derive the Planck relation, the Planck constant, h , the Compton, Schrödinger, and Dirac wave equations. The inverse proportion ratios are also used to add quantum extensions to some general relativity and classical physics equations.

All the proofs in this article have been verified using using the Rocq proof verification system [Roc25]. The formal proofs are in the Rocq files, “euclidrelations.v” and “threed.v,” at: <https://github.com/treeck/RASRGeometry>.

2. Ruler measure and convergence

A ruler (measuring stick) measures the size of each interval *approximately* as the sum of the nearest integer number, p , of size κ subintervals. The ruler is both an inner and outer measure of an interval.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure, $M = \sum_{i=1}^p \kappa = p\kappa$, where $\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $s = b - a \wedge 0 < \kappa \leq 1 \wedge (p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \vee p = \text{ceiling}(s/\kappa))$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Ruler convergence:* $M = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} p\kappa = s$.

The formal proof, “limit_c_0_M.eq_exact_size,” is in the file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. (epsilon-delta proof)

By definition of the floor function, $\text{floor}(x) = \max(\{y : y \leq x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$:

$$(2.1) \quad \forall \kappa > 0, p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \wedge 0 \leq |\text{floor}(s/\kappa) - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p - s/\kappa| < 1.$$

Multiply both sides of inequality 2.1 by κ :

$$(2.2) \quad \forall \kappa > 0, |p - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \wedge |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow |\kappa - 0| < \delta \wedge |p\kappa - s| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad M = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} p\kappa = s. \quad \square$$

The following is an example of ruler convergence for the interval, $[0, \pi]$: $s = \pi - 0$, and $p = \text{floor}(s/\kappa) \Rightarrow p \cdot \kappa = 3.1_{\kappa=10^{-1}}, 3.14_{\kappa=10^{-2}}, 3.141_{\kappa=10^{-3}}, \dots, \pi_{\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0}}$.

LEMMA 2.3. $\forall n \geq 1, 0 < \kappa < 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa$.

PROOF. The formal proof, “lim_c.to_n.eq_lim_c,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

$$(2.4) \quad n \geq 1 \wedge 0 < \kappa < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < \kappa^n < \kappa \Rightarrow |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \quad \wedge \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta \\ \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - 0| < \delta \quad \wedge \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = 0.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa. \quad \square$$

3. Volume

DEFINITION 3.1. A countable n-volume is the number of ordered combinations (n-tuples), v_c , of the members of n number of disjoint, countable domain sets, x_i :

$$(3.1) \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, v_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}, x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} : \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset \quad \wedge \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *Euclidean volume,*

$$(3.2) \quad \forall [a_i, b_i] \in \{[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_n, b_n]\}, [v_a, v_b] \subset \mathbb{R}, s_i = b_i - a_i, v = v_b - v_a : \\ v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

The formal proof, “Euclidean_volume,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF.

$$(3.3) \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow v_c \kappa = (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to equation 3.3:

$$(3.4) \quad \exists v, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : v_c = \text{floor}(v/\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa \quad \wedge \\ \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa.$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 3.4:

$$(3.5) \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa \\ \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to s_i :

$$(3.6) \quad \exists s_i, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : \text{floor}(s_i/\kappa) = |x_i| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \kappa) = s_i.$$

$$(3.7) \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \kappa) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \kappa) = s_i \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (|x_i| \kappa) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \quad \square$$

4. Distance

DEFINITION 4.1. Countable distance,

$$(4.1) \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, v_c, d_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}, x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} : \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset \quad \wedge \\ d_c = |x_1| = \dots = |x_n| \quad \wedge \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n.$$

LEMMA 4.2. *A volume is the sum of volumes,*

$$v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i, \quad v, v_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The formal proof, “sum_of_volumes,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. From the condition of this theorem:

$$(4.2) \quad v_c = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa).$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 4.2:

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_i} \right) \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \kappa \\ \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa^n) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.3:

$$(4.4) \quad \exists v, v_i : v = \text{floor}(d/\kappa), v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_c \kappa \\ \wedge v_{c_i} = \text{floor}(v_i/\kappa), v_i = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} v_{c_i} \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (d_c \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa) \\ \Leftrightarrow v = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} (d_c \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (v_{c_i} \kappa) = \sum_{j=1}^m v_i^n. \quad \square$$

4.1. Sum of volumes distance.

THEOREM 4.3. *Sum of volumes distance:*

$$v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n s_{i_j}).$$

The formal proof, “sum_of_volumes_distance,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. From lemma 4.2 and the Euclidean volume theorem 3.2:

$$(4.5) \quad v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n v_i) \quad \wedge \quad v_i = \prod_{j=1}^n s_{i_j} \\ v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m (\prod_{j=1}^n s_{i_j}). \quad \square$$

4.2. Minkowski distance (ρ -norm).

THEOREM 4.4. *Minkowski distance (ρ -norm):*

$$v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n.$$

The formal proof, “Minkowski_distance,” is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. From lemma 4.2 and the Euclidean volume theorem 3.2:

$$(4.6) \quad v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \quad \wedge \quad v_i = \prod_{j=1}^n d_i = d_i^n \\ v_c = d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^m v_{c_i} \Leftrightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n. \quad \square$$

4.3. Distance inequality. The formal proof, distance.inequality, is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.5. *Distance inequality*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, v_a, v_b \geq 0 : (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Expand $(v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n$ using the binomial expansion:

$$(4.7) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \geq 0 : v_a + v_b \leq v_a + v_b + \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (v_a^{1/n})^{n-i} (v_b^{1/n})^i + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (v_a^{1/n})^i (v_b^{1/n})^{n-i} = (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n.$$

Take the n^{th} root of both sides of the inequality 4.7:

$$(4.8) \quad \forall v_a, v_b \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} : v_a + v_b \leq (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n \Rightarrow (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

4.4. Distance sum inequality. The formal proof, distance_sum_inequality, is in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.6. *Distance sum inequality*

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i, b_i \geq 0 : (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Apply the distance inequality (4.5):

$$(4.9) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \quad v_a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \quad \wedge \quad v_b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n \quad \wedge \\ (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n) + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n))^{1/n} = \\ (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

4.5. Metric Space. All Minkowski distances (ρ -norms) imply the metric space properties. The formal proofs: triangle_inequality, symmetry, non_negativity, and identity_of_indiscernibles are in the Rocq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.7. *Triangle Inequality:*

$$d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

PROOF. $\forall p \geq 1, \quad k > 1, \quad u = s_1, \quad w = s_2, \quad v = w/k:$

$$(4.10) \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + w^p) + 2v^p)^{1/p} = ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p}.$$

Apply the distance inequality (4.5) to the inequality 4.10:

$$(4.11) \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \quad \wedge \quad (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \\ \wedge \quad v_a = u^p + v^p \quad \wedge \quad v_b = v^p + w^p \\ \Rightarrow \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \leq (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq \\ (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.8. *Symmetry:* $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = d(v, u).$

PROOF. By the commutative law of addition:

$$(4.12) \quad \forall p : p \geq 1, \quad d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} = (s_1^p + s_2^p)^{1/p} \\ \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} = (v^p + u^p)^{1/p} = d(v, u). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.9. *Non-negativity:* $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \geq 0.$

PROOF. By definition, the length of an interval is always ≥ 0 :

$$(4.13) \quad \forall [a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \quad u = b_1 - a_1, \quad v = b_2 - a_2, \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \geq 0, \quad v \geq 0.$$

$$(4.14) \quad p \geq 1, \quad u, v \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \geq 0. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.10. *Identity of Indiscernibles:* $d(u, u) = 0.$

PROOF. From the non-negativity property (4.9):

$$(4.15) \quad d(u, w) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(u, v) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(v, w) \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \exists d(u, w) = d(u, v) = d(v, w) = 0.$$

$$(4.16) \quad d(u, w) = d(v, w) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u = v.$$

$$(4.17) \quad d(u, v) = 0 \quad \wedge \quad u = v \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, u) = 0. \quad \square$$

4.6. Set properties limiting a set to at most 3 members. The following definitions and proof use first order logic. A Horn clause-like expression is used, here, to make the proof easier to read. By convention, the proof goal is on the left side and supporting facts are on the right side of the implication sign (\leftarrow). The formal proofs in the Rocq file `threed.v` are:

Lemmas: `adj111`, `adj122`, `adj212`, `adj123`, `adj133`, `adj233`, `adj213`, `adj313`, `adj323`, and `not_all_mutually_adjacent_gt_3`.

DEFINITION 4.11. Immediate Cyclic Successor of m is n :

$$(4.18) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \\ \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1) \vee (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

DEFINITION 4.12. Immediate Cyclic Predecessor of m is n :

$$(4.19) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \\ \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}) \vee (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

DEFINITION 4.13. Adjacent: Member m is sequentially adjacent to member n if the immediate cyclic successor of m is n or the immediate cyclic predecessor of m is n . Notionally:

$$(4.20) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \\ \text{Adjacent}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(m, n, \text{setsize}) \vee \text{Predecessor}(m, n, \text{setsize}).$$

DEFINITION 4.14. Symmetric (every set member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

$$(4.21) \quad \forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{\text{setsize}}\} : \quad \text{Adjacent}(m, n, \text{setsize}).$$

THEOREM 4.15. *A cyclic and symmetric set is limited to at most 3 members.*

PROOF.

Every member is adjacent to every other member, where $\text{setsize} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 1, 1) \leftarrow (m = \text{setsize} \wedge n = 1).$$

$$(4.23) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, 2) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.24) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(2, 1, 2) \leftarrow (n = \text{setsize} \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(4.25) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.26) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(2, 1, 3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

$$(4.27) \quad \text{Adjacent}(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(3, 1, 3) \leftarrow (n = \text{setsize} \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(4.28) \quad \text{Adjacent}(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.29) \quad \text{Adjacent}(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow \text{Successor}(2, 3, 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

$$(4.30) \quad \text{Adjacent}(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(3, 2, 3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

Member 2 is the only immediate successor of member 1 for all $\text{setsize} \geq 3$, which implies member 3 is not (\neg) an immediate successor of member 1 for all $\text{setsize} \geq 3$:

$$(4.31) \quad \neg \text{Successor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} \geq 3) \\ \leftarrow \text{Successor}(1, 2, \text{setsize} \geq 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq \text{setsize}).$$

Member $n = \text{setsize} > 3$ is the only immediate predecessor of member 1, which implies member 3 is not (\neg) an immediate predecessor of member 1 for all $\text{setsize} > 3$:

$$(4.32) \quad \neg \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} \geq 3) \\ \leftarrow \text{Predecessor}(1, \text{setsize}, \text{setsize} > 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = \text{setsize} > 3).$$

For all $\text{setsize} > 3$, some elements are not (\neg) sequentially adjacent to every other element (not symmetric):

$$(4.33) \quad \neg \text{Adjacent}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \\ \leftarrow \neg \text{Successor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3) \wedge \neg \text{Predecessor}(1, 3, \text{setsize} > 3). \quad \square$$

The Symmetric goal matches Adjacent goals 4.22 and fails for all “setsize” greater than three.

5. Applications to physics

From the volume proof (3.2), two disjoint distance intervals, $[0, r_1]$ and $[0, r_2]$, define a 2-volume. From the Minkowski distance proof (4.4), $\exists r : r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2$. And from the 3D proof (4.15), for some non-distance type, $\tau : \tau \in \{t \text{ (time)}, m \text{ (mass)}, q \text{ (charge)}, \dots\}$, there exist constant, unit-factoring ratios, μ, ν_1, ν_2 :

$$(5.1) \quad \forall r, r_1, r_2 : r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = \mu\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_1 = \nu_1\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_2 = \nu_2\tau \\ \Rightarrow (\mu\tau)^2 = (\nu_1\tau)^2 + (\nu_2\tau)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu \geq \nu_1 \quad \wedge \quad \mu \geq \nu_2.$$

μ is the maximum-possible ($\mu \geq \nu_1, \nu_2$), constant, unit-factoring ratio, where:

$$(5.2) \quad \mu \in \{r_c/t_c, r_c/m_c, r_c/q_c, \dots\} : r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q = \dots$$

5.1. Derivation of the constant, G , and the gravity laws of Newton, Gauss, and Poisson. From equation 5.2:

$$(5.3) \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/m_c)m/r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = ((r_c/m_c)c^2)m/r^2 = Gm/r^2,$$

where Newton’s constant, $G = (r_c/m_c)c^2$, conforms to the SI units: $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$.

Newton’s law follows from multiplying both sides of equation 5.3 by m :

$$(5.4) \quad r/t^2 = Gm/r^2 \Leftrightarrow F := mr/t^2 = Gm^2/r^2.$$

$$(5.5) \quad F = Gm^2/r^2 \wedge \forall m \in \mathbb{R} : \exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : m_1 m_2 = m^2 \Rightarrow F = Gm_1 m_2 / r^2.$$

Gauss’s flux divergence, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}$ and Poisson’s curl per unit mass, $\nabla^2 \Phi(r, t)$ are measures of acceleration, r/t^2 . Again, starting with equation 5.3 and using ρ as the mass field density (Gauss’s flux divergence) on a sphere having the surface area $4\pi r^2$ yields the differential forms:

$$(5.6) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{r}, t) = r/t^2 \quad \wedge \quad r/t^2 = (-Gm/r^2)(4\pi/4\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = m/4\pi r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{r}, t) = -4\pi G\rho.$$

5.2. Derivation of Coulomb's charge constant, k_e and charge force.

$$(5.7) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R} : \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/q_c)q \\ \Rightarrow \quad \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 = ((q_c/r_c)r)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = 1.$$

$$(5.8) \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m = ct \\ \Rightarrow \quad mr = (m_c/r_c)rct = (m_c/r_c)(ct)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1.$$

$$(5.9) \quad ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1 \quad \wedge \quad (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = 1 \\ \Rightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2.$$

$$(5.10) \quad r_c/t_c = c \quad \wedge \quad F = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad F = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2 / r^2 = k_e q_1 q_2 / r^2,$$

where Coulomb's constant, $k_e = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))(r_c/q_c)^2$, conforms to the SI units: $N \cdot m^2 \cdot C^{-2}$.

5.3. Vacuum permittivity, ε_0 , and Gauss's law for electric fields. From equation 5.2:

$$(5.11) \quad r = (r_c/q_c)q \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/t_c) = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/q_c)q/r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = ((r_c/q_c)/c^2)q/r^2,$$

And where ρ is the charge field density on the sphere surface area, $4\pi r^2$:

$$(5.12) \quad r/t^2 = (((r_c/q_c)/c^2)q/r^2)(4\pi/4\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = q/4\pi r^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = 4\pi((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 5.12 by $(m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)$ and use the derivation of k_e in equations 5.9 and 5.10:

$$(5.13) \quad r/t^2 = 4\pi((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho \quad \wedge \quad k_e = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad (m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)r/t^2 = 4\pi(m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho = 4\pi k_e \rho.$$

Gauss's electric flux divergence, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$ is a measure of force per charge:

$$(5.14) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} := (m_c/q_c)r/t^2 \quad \wedge \quad (m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)r/t^2 = 4\pi k_e \rho \quad \wedge \quad \varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e \\ \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} := (m_c/q_c)r/t^2 = (m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)r/t^2 = 4\pi k_e \rho = \rho/\varepsilon_0.$$

5.4. Space-time-mass-charge equations. From equation 5.1:

$$(5.15) \quad \forall r, r', r_v, \mu, \nu : r^2 = r'^2 + r_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = \mu\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_v = \nu\tau \\ \Rightarrow \quad r' = \sqrt{(\mu\tau)^2 - (\nu\tau)^2} = \mu\tau\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Rest frame distance, r' , contracts relative to stationary frame distance, r , as $\nu \rightarrow \mu$:

$$(5.16) \quad r' = \mu\tau\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \wedge \quad \mu\tau = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Stationary frame type, τ , dilates relative to the rest frame type, τ' , as $\nu \rightarrow \mu$:

$$(5.17) \quad \mu\tau = r'/\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \wedge \quad r' = \mu\tau' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau = \tau'/\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Where τ is type, time, the space-like flat Minkowski spacetime event interval is:

$$(5.18) \quad dr^2 = dr'^2 + dr_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad dr_v^2 = dr_1^2 + dr_2^2 + dr_3^2 \quad \wedge \quad d(\mu\tau) = dr \\ \Rightarrow \quad dr'^2 = d(\mu\tau)^2 - dr_1^2 - dr_2^2 - dr_3^2.$$

5.5. Derivation of Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation and black hole metric. [Che10] From equations 5.16 and 5.2:

$$(5.19) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2}.$$

Where v_{escape} is the escape velocity:

$$(5.20) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2}.$$

$$(5.21) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \rightarrow c} \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2} \\ = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2}.$$

Combining equation 5.21 with the derivation of G (5.4):

$$(5.22) \quad (r_c/m_c)c^2 = G \quad \wedge \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$$

Combining equation 5.22 with equation 5.17 yields Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation:

$$(5.23) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} \\ \Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$$

Schwarzschild defined the black hole event horizon radius, $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$.

$$(5.24) \quad r_s = 2Gm/c^2 \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}.$$

From equations 5.16 and 5.24:

$$(5.25) \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad \sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} = r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}.$$

Using the time-like spacetime interval, where ds^2 is negative:

$$(5.26) \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r} \quad \wedge \quad ds^2 = dr'^2 - dr^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}dr')^2 - (dr/\sqrt{1 - r_s/r})^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dr'^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2.$$

$$(5.27) \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dr'^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 \quad \wedge \quad dr' = d(ct) \quad \wedge \quad c = 1 \\ \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2.$$

Translating from 2D to 4D yields Schwarzschild's black hole metric:

$$(5.28) \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 = f(r, t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) = f(r, t, \theta, \phi).$$

5.6. Simplifying Einstein's general relativity (field) equation. Simplification step 1) Use the unit-factoring ratios to define functions returning values for each component of the metric, $g_{\nu,\mu}$, in Einstein's field equations [Ein15] [Wey52]: All functions derived from the ratios are valid metrics, for example, the previous Schwarzschild black hole metric derivation using the unit-factoring ratios (5.5).

Simplification step 2) Express the EFE as 2D tensors: As shown in equation 5.28, the Schwarzschild metric was first derived as a 2D metric and then expanded to a 4D metric. Further, the 4D flat spacetime interval equation (5.18) is an instance of the 2D equation, $dr'^2 = d(ct)^2 - dr_v^2$, where dr_v^2 is the magnitude of a 3-dimensional vector.

The 2D metric tensor allows using the much simpler 2D Ricci curvature and scalar curvature. And the 2D tensors reduce the number of independent equations to solve.

Simplification step 3) One simple method to translate from 2D to 4D is to use spherical coordinates, where r and t remain unchanged and two added dimensions are the angles, ϕ , and θ . For example, the 2D Schwarzschild metric was translated to 4D using this method in equation 5.28.

5.7. 3 fundamental direct proportion ratios. c_t , c_m , and c_q :

$$(5.29) \quad c_t = r_c/t_c \approx 2.99792458 \cdot 10^8 m \ s^{-1}.$$

$$(5.30) \quad G = (r_c/m_c)c_t^2 = c_m c_t^2 \Rightarrow c_m = r_c/m_c \approx 7.4261602691 \cdot 10^{-28} m \ kg^{-1}.$$

$$(5.31) \quad k_e = (c_t^2/c_m)(r_c/q_c)^2 \Rightarrow c_q = r_c/q_c \approx 8.6175172023 \cdot 10^{-18} m \ C^{-1}.$$

5.8. 3 fundamental inverse proportion ratios. k_t , k_m , and k_q :

$$(5.32) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/m = r_c/m_c \Rightarrow (r/t)/(r/m) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/m_c) \Rightarrow \\ (mr)/(tr) = (m_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) \Rightarrow mr = m_c r_c = k_m, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.$$

$$(5.33) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/q = r_c/q_c \Rightarrow (r/t)/(r/q) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/q_c) \Rightarrow \\ (qr)/(tr) = (q_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) \Rightarrow qr = q_c r_c = k_q, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.$$

5.9. Planck relation and constant, h . [Jai11] Applying both the direct proportion ratio (5.29), and inverse proportion ratio (5.32):

$$(5.34) \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad m = k_m/r \Rightarrow m(ct)^2 = (k_m/r)r^2 = k_m r.$$

$$(5.35) \quad m(ct)^2 = k_m r \quad \wedge \quad r/t = r_c/t_c = c \\ \Rightarrow E := mc^2 = k_m r/t^2 = (k_m(r/t)) (1/t) = (k_m c)(1/t) = hf,$$

where the Planck constant, $h = k_m c$, and the frequency, $f = 1/t$.

$$(5.36) \quad k_m = m_c r_c = h/c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \ kg \ m.$$

$$(5.37) \quad k_t = t_c r_c = k_m c_m/c_t \approx 5.4749346710 \cdot 10^{-78} \ s \ m.$$

$$(5.38) \quad k_q = q_c r_c = k_t c_t/c_q \approx 1.9046601056 \cdot 10^{-52} \ C \ m.$$

5.10. Compton wavelength. [Jai11] From equations 5.32 and 5.35:

$$(5.39) \quad mr = k_m \quad \wedge \quad h = k_m c \Rightarrow r = k_m/m = (k_m/m)(c/c) = h/mc.$$

5.11. 4 quantum units. Distance (r_c), time (t_c), mass (m_c), and charge (q_c):

$$(5.40) \quad r_c = \sqrt{r_c^2} = \sqrt{c_t k_t} = \sqrt{c_m k_m} = \sqrt{c_q k_q} \approx 4.0513505432 \cdot 10^{-35} \text{ m.}$$

$$(5.41) \quad t_c = r_c/c_t \approx 1.3513850782 \cdot 10^{-43} \text{ s.}$$

$$(5.42) \quad m_c = r_c/c_m \approx 5.4555118613 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ kg.}$$

$$(5.43) \quad q_c = r_c/c_q \approx 4.7012967286 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ C.}$$

5.12. Subtype ratios. The ratio of two subtypes of direct proportion ratio constants, $(x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_1})/(x_{\tau_2}/x_{\tau_2}) = (x_{\tau_1}/x_{\tau_1})(x_{\tau_2}/x_{\tau_2}) = 1$, for all cases. The ratio of two subtypes of inverse proportion ratios, $(x_{\tau_1}x_{\tau_1})/(x_{\tau_2}x_{\tau_2}) = x_{\tau_1}^2/x_{\tau_2}^2 \geq 0$:

$$\text{Planck length, } r_p: r_c^2/r_p^2 = 2\pi \Rightarrow r_p = r_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 1.6162550244 \cdot 10^{-35} \text{ m.}$$

$$\text{Planck time, } t_p: t_c^2/t_p^2 = 2\pi \Rightarrow t_p = t_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 5.3912464472 \cdot 10^{-44} \text{ s.}$$

$$\text{Planck mass, } m_p: m_c^2/m_p^2 = 2\pi \Rightarrow m_p = m_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 2.176434343 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ kg.}$$

$$\text{Planck charge, } q_p: q_c^2/q_p^2 = 2\pi \Rightarrow q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 1.875546038 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{ C.}$$

Where q_e is the elementary (electron) charge ($1.60217663 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ C}$), the fine structure constant, α , is also the ratio of two inverse proportion ratios:

$$(5.44) \quad q_c^2/q_e^2 = 2\pi/\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha = 2\pi q_e^2/q_c^2 = q_e^2/(q_c/\sqrt{2\pi})^2 = q_e^2/q_p^2 \approx 0.0072973526.$$

5.13. Schrödinger's equation. Start with the previously derived Planck relation 5.35 and multiply the kinetic energy component by mc/mc :

$$(5.45) \quad h/t = mc^2 \Rightarrow \exists V(r, t) : h/t = h/2t + V(r, t) \Rightarrow h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r, t).$$

And from the distance-to-time (speed of light) ratio (5.29):

$$(5.46) \quad h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r, t) \wedge r = ct \Rightarrow h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r, t).$$

$$(5.47) \quad h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r, t) \wedge h/t = mc^2 \Rightarrow h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r, t).$$

$$(5.48) \quad h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r, t) \wedge r = ct \Rightarrow h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t).$$

Replace the Planck constant in equation 5.48 with the reduced Planck constant:

$$(5.49) \quad h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t) \wedge \hbar = h/2\pi \Rightarrow 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t).$$

Multiply both sides of equation 5.49 by a function, $\Psi(r, t)$.

$$(5.50) \quad 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r, t) \\ \Rightarrow (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r, t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r, t) + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t).$$

$$(5.51) \quad (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r, t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r, t) + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t) \wedge \\ \forall \Psi(r, t) : \partial^2\Psi(r, t)/\partial r^2 = (-(2\pi)^2/r^2)\Psi(r, t) \wedge \partial\Psi(r, t)/\partial t = (i 2\pi/t)\Psi(r, t) \\ \Rightarrow i\hbar\partial\Psi(r, t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r, t)/\partial r^2 + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t),$$

which is Schrödinger's equation in one dimension of space.

$$(5.52) \quad i\hbar\partial\Psi(r, t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r, t)/\partial r^2 + V(r, t)\Psi(r, t) \wedge \|\vec{r}\| = r \\ \Rightarrow \exists \vec{r} : i\hbar\partial\Psi(\vec{r}, t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(\vec{r}, t)/\partial \vec{r}^2 + V(\vec{r}, t)\Psi(\vec{r}, t),$$

which is Schrödinger's equation in three dimensions of space.

5.14. Dirac's wave equation. Using the derived Planck relation 5.35:

$$(5.53) \quad mc^2 = h/t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists V(r, t) : mc^2/2 + V(r, t) = h/t \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2h/t - 2V(r, t) = mc^2.$$

$$(5.54) \quad \forall V(r, t) : V(r, t) = h/t \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad 2h/t - 2V(r, t) = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2h/t - 2hc/r = mc^2.$$

Use the charge ratio, c_q , and time ratio, $c_t = c$ to multiply each term on the left side of equation 5.54 by 1:

$$(5.55) \quad qc_q/r = qc_q/ct = 1 \quad \wedge \quad 2h/t - 2hc/r = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2h(-qc_q/c)/t^2 - 2h((-qc_q/c)/r^2)c = mc^2.$$

where a negative sign is added to q to indicate an attractive force between an electron and a nucleus.

Applying a quantum amplitude equation in complex form to equation 5.56:

$$(5.56) \quad A_0 = (c_q/c)((1/t)) + i(1/r) \quad \wedge \quad 2h(-qc_q/c)/t^2 - 2h((-qc_q/c)/r^2)c = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Translating equation 5.56 to moving coordinates via the Lorentz factor, $\gamma_0 = 1/\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2}$:

$$(5.57) \quad 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Multiplying both sides of equation 5.57 by $\Psi(r, t)$:

$$(5.58) \quad \gamma_0 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r, t) = mc^2\Psi(r, t).$$

Applying the vectors to equation 5.58:

$$(5.59) \quad \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r, t) = mc^2\Psi(r, t) \wedge \\ \|\vec{r}\| = r \quad \wedge \quad \|\vec{A}\| = A_0 \quad \wedge \quad \|\vec{\gamma}\| = \gamma_0 \quad \wedge \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \vec{r}, \vec{A}, \vec{\gamma} : \\ \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \vec{\gamma} \cdot i2h(\partial(-q\vec{A})/\partial r)c\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = mc^2\Psi(\vec{r}, t).$$

Adding a $\frac{1}{2}$ angular rotation (spin- $\frac{1}{2}$) of π to equation 5.56 allows substituting the reduced Planck constant, $\hbar = h/2\pi$, into equation 5.59, which yields Dirac's wave equation:

$$(5.60) \quad \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \vec{\gamma} \cdot i2h(\partial(-q\vec{A})/\partial r)c\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = mc^2\Psi(\vec{r}, t) \\ \wedge A_0 = \pi(c_q/c)((1/t) + (1/r)) \\ \Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 \hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r, t) - \vec{\gamma} \cdot i\hbar(\partial(-q\vec{A})/\partial r)c\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = mc^2\Psi(\vec{r}, t).$$

5.15. Total mass. The total mass of a particle is $m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2}$, where m_0 is the rest mass and m_{ke} is the kinetic energy-equivalent mass. Applying both the direct (5.29) and inverse proportion ratios (5.32):

$$(5.61) \quad m_0 = r/(r_c/m_c) = r/c_m \quad \wedge \quad m_{ke} = (m_c r_c)/r = k_m/r \quad \wedge$$

$$m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.$$

5.16. Quantum extension to general relativity. The simplest way to demonstrate how to add quantum physics to general relativity is by extending the Schwarzschild's black hole metric (5.5). Start by changing equation 5.19 in the Schwarzschild derivation:

$$(5.62) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \quad \wedge \quad r = \sqrt{(c_m m)^2 + (k_m/m)^2} = Q_m$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - Q_m v^2/r c^2}.$$

$$(5.63) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - Q_m v^2/r c^2} \quad \wedge \quad KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m v_{escape}^2/r c^2}.$$

$$(5.64) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \rightarrow c} \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m v_{escape}^2/r c^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m c^2/r c^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r}.$$

Combining equation 5.64 with equation 5.17 yields Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation with a quantum mass effect:

$$(5.65) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r} \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r}.$$

Schwarzschild defined the black hole event horizon radius, $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$. The radius with the quantum extension is $r_s := 2Q_m$. At this point the exact same equations 5.24 through 5.28 yield what looks like the same Schwarzschild black hole metric.

5.17. Quantum extension to Newton's gravity force. The quantum mass effect is easier to understand in the context Newton's gravity equation than in general relativity, because the metric equations and solutions in the EFEs are much more complex. From equation 5.2:

$$(5.66) \quad m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} = 1 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.$$

$$(5.67) \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (m/r)(r^2/t^2) = (m/r)(c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = c^2 m^2/(r\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}) = c^2 m^2/\sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}.$$

$$(5.68) \quad F = c^2 m^2 / \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2} \quad \wedge \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{R}, \exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : m_1 m_2 = m^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad F = c^2 m_1 m_2 / \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}.$$

5.18. Quantum extension to Coulomb's force.

$$(5.69) \quad q^2 / ((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) = 1 \quad \wedge \quad r^2 / (ct)^2 = 1 \\ \Rightarrow \quad r^2 / (ct)^2 = q^2 / ((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) \\ \Rightarrow \quad r^2 / t^2 = c^2 q^2 / ((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2).$$

$$(5.70) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R} : \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 \quad \wedge \quad r^2 / t^2 = c^2 q^2 / ((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) \\ \Rightarrow \quad \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : r^2 / t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2 / ((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) \\ \Rightarrow \quad r / t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2 / (r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)).$$

$$(5.71) \quad r / t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2 / (r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)) \quad \wedge \quad m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} \\ \Rightarrow \quad F := mr / t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2 \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} / (r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)) \\ = c^2 q_1 q_2 \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2} / ((r^4/c_q^2) + k_q^2).$$

6. Insights and implications

- (1) The ruler measure (2.1) and convergence theorem (2.2) were shown to be useful tools for proving the bidirectional implication that a real-valued equation is the only instance of an abstract, countable set relation and that set relation is the only instance of that same equation.
- (2) Combinatorics, the ordered combinations of countable, disjoint sets (n-tuples), generates both Euclidean volume (3.2) and the Minkowski distances (4.4), which includes Manhattan and Euclidean distances, without relying on the geometric primitives and relations in Euclidean geometry [Joy98], axiomatic geometry [Lee10], and vector analysis [Wey52].
- (3) Every Euclidean and non-Euclidean n-volume $v \in \mathbb{R}$ has a corresponding value $d = f^{-1}(v)$, which implies every Euclidean and non-Euclidean distance measure has a corresponding Minkowski distance (4.4). And it was proved that Minkowski distances have the metric space properties (4.5).
- (4) Distance is the inverse function of the (Euclidean or non-Euclidean) total volume. The axiomatic definitions of Euclidean vector space \subset inner product space \subset normed vector space \subset metric space \subset topological space, all ignore this intimate (bijective) relation between distance and total volume. Distance as the inverse function of the total volume:
 - (a) is simpler and more concise than the various “space” definitions;
 - (b) satisfies the intuitive notion of distance better than the various “space” definitions.
- (5) Euclid's proof that Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points equate Euclidean distance to a straight line, where it is assumed that the straight line length is the smallest distance [Joy98]. And analytic proofs that the straight line length is the smallest distance equate the straight line length to Euclidean distance.

Without using the notion of a straight line: All distance measures in an Euclidean volume have corresponding Minkowski distances (4.4). For

all 2-volumes, all Minkowski distances are limited to $n \in \{1, 2\}$: $n = 1$ is the Manhattan (largest monotonic) distance case, $d = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i$. $n = 2$ is the Euclidean (smallest) distance case, $d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2)^{1/2}$. For the case, $n \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq n \leq 2$: d decreases monotonically as n goes from 1 to 2.

- (6) The left side of the distance sum inequality (4.6),

$$(6.1) \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n},$$

differs from the left side of Minkowski's sum inequality [Min53]:

$$(6.2) \quad (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

The two inequalities are only the same where $n = 1$.

- (a) The distance sum inequality (4.6) is a more fundamental inequality because the proof does not require the convexity and Hölder's inequality assumptions of the Minkowski sum inequality proof [Min53].
 - (b) The Minkowski sum inequality term, $\forall n > 1 : ((a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n}$, is **not** a Minkowski distance spanning the n -volume, $a_i^n + b_i^n$. But the distance sum inequality term, $(a_i^n + b_i^n)^{1/n}$, is the Minkowski distance spanning the n -volume, $a_i^n + b_i^n$, which makes it directly related to geometry (for example, the metric space triangle inequality was derived from the $m = 1$ case for all $n \geq 1$ (4.7)).
- (7) Combinatorics, the sequencing through an ordered set to yield all $n!$ permutations of its members (without jumping around) was proved to be a cyclic set having $n \leq 3$ members (4.15). Higher dimensions must have different types (members of different sets), for example, types/dimensions of time, mass, and charge.
- (a) The vector inner product space (which includes Riemann and pseudo-Riemann spaces) can only be extended beyond 3 dimensions if and only if the higher dimensions have non-distance types, for example, time.
 - (b) But order and symmetry probably limit the number of fundamental types to a very small number. For example, temperature, measured in Kelvins, is not a true type because temperature is more correctly a measure of (kinetic or electromagnetic) energy which is a function of distance, time, mass, and charge. The magnetic field might be a pseudo (fictitious) field that is also a function of distance, time, mass, and charge, for example, vacuum magnetic permeability: $\mu_0 = 4\pi m_e r_e / q_e^2$. Likewise, one should not immediately assume the strong force field, weak force field, etc. are types. For example, as will be discussed later, quantum effects might allow radioactivity without a weak force.
 - (c) Each of 3 cyclic and symmetric dimensions of space can have at most 3 cyclic and symmetric state values, for example, a cyclic and symmetric set of 3 vector orientations, $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, per dimension of space and at most 3 spin states per plane, etc.
 - (d) If the states are not ordered (a bag of states), then a state value is undetermined until observed (like Schrödinger's poisoned cat being both alive and dead until the box is opened). That is, for a bag of states, there is no "axiom of choice", an axiom often used in math

proofs that allows selecting a particular set element (in this case, selecting a particular state).

- (e) A discrete value has measure 0 (no size). The ratio of a time or distance interval length to zero is undefined (infinite), which is the reason quantum entangled particles change discrete state values with no time delay and independent of distance.
- (f) For each unit of a 3-dimensional, compact and continuous distance, there are units of other compact and continuous types of elements (5.7): $c_t = r_c/t_c$, $c_m = r_c/m_c$, $c_q = r_c/q_c \Leftrightarrow$ the inverse proportion ratios (5.8): $k_t = r_c t_c$, $k_m = r_c m_c$, $k_t = r_c q_c$, where the combination of the direct and inverse ratios implies the quantum units (5.11): r_c , t_c , m_c , q_c . These ratios and quantum units were shown to be the basis of much physics:
 - (i) Special and general relativity assume covariance, which states that the laws of physics are invariant in every frame of reference [Ein15]. Covariance is the result of the same unit-factoring ratios in every frame of reference because these ratios exist in every infinitesimal Euclidean-like volume around each point on a Riemann and pseudo-Riemann surface.
 - (A) The ratio-based derivations of the spacetime equations, in this article (5.4), do not rely on the Lorentz transformations or Einsteins' postulates [Ein15]. The derivations do not even require the notion of light. The ratio-based derivations of the spacetime equations are also valid for spacemass and spacecharge.
 - (B) For example, the special relativity time dilation equation 5.17 was derived from the ratio, $r = (r_c/t_c)t$, and combined with the ratio, $r = (r_c/m_c)m$, (5.7) yielded Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation and black hole metric equations (5.24).
 - (ii) The gravity, G (5.4), charge k_e (5.10), and Planck h (5.35) constants were all derived directly from the ratios. And vacuum permittivity, ε_0 and vacuum permeability, μ_0 , are both defined in terms of $k_e = c_q^2 c_t^2 / c_m$: $\varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e = c_m/4\pi c_q^2 c_t^2$ and $\mu_0 := 1/c_t^2 \varepsilon_0 = 4\pi k_e / c_t^2 = 4\pi c_q^2 / c_m$. Therefore, G , k_e , ε_0 , μ_0 , and h are **not** "fundamental" constants.
 - (iii) The derivation of the Compton wavelength equation, $r = h/mc$, (5.10) shows that the computation of the wavelength, r , is overly complex (because it assumes the Planck constant is a fundamental constant) and can be simplified to $r = k_m/m$.
 - (iv) Using the quantum units, r_c and t_c : $r_c/t_c^2 \approx 2.2184088232 \cdot 10^{51} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, which suggests a maximum acceleration for masses.
 - (v) ε_0 and μ_0 are both derived from ratio-derived k_e . Using k_e or the ratios instead ε_0 and μ_0 in various constants and equations would show the shared characteristics that unify areas of physics.
 - (vi) Two subtypes are related via the ratios of two inverse proportion ratios (5.12). For example:

- (A) The quantum charge and reduced Planck charge units are related via the ratio: $q_c^2/q_p^2 = 2\pi \Rightarrow q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$.
- (B) The CODATA electron coupling version of the fine structure constant, α is defined as: $\alpha = q_e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c$ [COD22].
 - However, the derivation of α , in this article (5.12), is much simpler because it is the ratio of two subtypes of charge ratio constants: elementary (electron) charge ratio constant, q_e^2 and charge wave (Planck) ratio constant, q_p^2 : $\alpha = 2\pi q_e^2/q_c^2 = q_e^2/q_p^2 \approx 0.0072973526$, which is the empirical CODATA value [COD22].
 - The fine structure constant assumed a relationship to the reduced Planck constant, hence, the ratio containing the reduced Planck unit, q_p : $\alpha = q_e^2/q_p^2$. If a different constant were used, then value of the constant, α , would change accordingly. A better definition of α is: $\alpha = q_e^2/q_c^2$, which would require dividing the current CODATA value by 2π .
 - Other fine structure constants can also be expressed more simply as the ratios of two subtypes of fields, for example, the electron gravity coupling constant can be expressed as the ratio of a stationary elementary particle gravity ratio constant to a gravity wave ratio constant: $\alpha_m = m_e^2/m_p^2$.
- (vii) G , k_e , ϵ_0 , and h all depend on the speed of light ratio, c_t : $G = c_m c_t^2$, $k_e = (c_q^2/c_m) c_t^2$, $\epsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e = 1/(4\pi(c_q^2/c_m) c_t^2)$, and $h = k_m c_t$.
- (viii) The simplification of μ_0 into the quantum units shows two interesting relationships:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.3) \quad \mu_0 &:= \frac{1}{c_t^2 \epsilon_0} = \frac{4\pi k_e}{c_t^2} = 4\pi \frac{c_q^2}{c_m} = 4\pi \frac{(r_c/q_c)^2}{r_c/m_c} = 4\pi \frac{m_c r_c}{q_c^2} = 4\pi \frac{k_m}{q_c^2} \\
 &\approx 4\pi \frac{2.2102190930 \cdot 10^{-42}}{2.2102190930 \cdot 10^{-35}} \approx 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ kg m C}^{-2} = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ H m}^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (A) The first time $k_m = m_c r_c$ appears is in the derivation of the Planck relation and Planck constant, $h = k_m c$ (5.9), the second time in the Compton wavelength, $r = k_m/m$ (5.10). And now, k_m appearing in the definition of μ_0 is further evidence that k_m is a fundamental ratio and that h , k_e , ϵ_0 , and μ_0 are **not** fundamental constants.
- (B) At least the first 10 significant digits of k_m and q_c^2 being equal is not a coincidence. The term, 10^{-7} , is an artifact of the relative scales of the units of measurement.
- (ix) The combination of direct and inverse proportion ratios was shown to create the particle-wave equations: Planck relation (5.9), Compton wavelength (5.39), Schrödinger (5.13), and Dirac equations (5.14).

The equations seem to agree with the physical observations of particle-wave duality. But consider the moral about the four blind men experiencing an elephant for the first time: The first man feels the tail and says, “An elephant is a rope.” The

second man feels the leg and says, “You must be feeling a branch, because I feel a large tree trunk.” The third man feels the body of the elephant and says, “You are feeling a tree in front of a wall.” The fourth man feels the trunk that wraps around his arm and screams, “Run for your lives it’s giant snake!” A particle-wave electron is as insightful as a rope-tree-wall-snake elephant. Particle and wave properties are aspects of something we cannot, yet, fully comprehend.

- (x) The Planck relation has been a hypothesized law. In this article, the Planck relation was rigorously derived from the ratios (5.9).
- (8) The derivation of Schrödinger (5.13) and Dirac wave equations (5.14), in this article, differs from other derivations:
 - (a) Other derivations are based on the Hamiltonian (energy-momentum) operator, which is defined rather than derived. In contrast, the derivations, in this article, rely on the ratio-derived Planck (energy-frequency) relation.
 - (b) The derivations here are more rigorous because:
 - (i) The energy-momentum term, $\hbar^2/2m$, was derived, in this article, from the Planck relation (5.48), where the Planck relation was also rigorously derived (5.9). Other derivations **incorrectly** assume (define) the energy-momentum relation as: $(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p})/2m = \hbar^2/2m$. But the more rigorous derivation, in this article, shows that the reduced Planck constant is only valid if the equations contain compensating π -based terms. For example, in Schrödinger’s equation, the compensating 2π terms: $\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 = -(2\pi)^2/r^2\Psi(r,t)$ and $\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t = (i\ 2\pi/t)\Psi(r,t)$. And in Dirac’s equation, the compensating π term: $A_0 = \pi(c_q/c)((1/t) + (1/r))$. Finding solutions to Schrödinger’s equation would be simpler if the full Planck constant is used because it would reduce the complexity of $\Psi(r,t)$.
 - (ii) Other derivations assume the probability distribution, $\Psi(r,t)$, has mean value, where values closer to the mean are more probable. The derivation here does not need such assumptions. Instead the properties of $\Psi(r,t)$, are determined by the aforementioned partial derivative constraints.
- (9) The quantum extensions to: Schwarzschild’s time dilation 5.65 black hole metric (5.28), Newton’s gravity force (5.68), and Coulomb’s charge force (5.71) make quantifiable predictions:
 - (a) Schwarzschild defined the black hole event horizon radius, $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$, where $2Gm/c^2 = 2(c_m c^2)m/c^2 = 2c_m m$. The event horizon radius with the quantum extension is $r_s := 2Q_m = 2/\sqrt{(c_m m)^2 + (k_m/m)^2}$. Where the mass is sufficiently large that the quantum effect, k_m/m , is not measurable, the two equations are the same.
 - (b) Understanding the quantum effect, where the mass is constant, and distance varies, is easiest to understand using the quantum extensions to Newton’s gravity and Coulomb’s charge equations. Both equations reduce to the classic equations, where the distance between masses

is sufficiently large that the quantum effect is not measurable. Note that Newton’s gravitational constant, G , and Coulomb’s constant, k_e , are not valid, where the distance, r , is sufficiently small that the quantum effects becomes measurable.

- (c) The gravitation and charge forces peak at a finite amounts as $r \rightarrow 0$: $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} F = c^2 m_1 m_2 / k_m$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} F = c^2 q_1 q_2 k_m / k_g^2$. Finite maximum gravity and charge forces allows radioactivity, finite sloped energy well walls, and possibly black hole evaporation.
- (d) Einstein’s constant (which contains G) is no longer valid, where the distance is sufficiently small that the quantum effects becomes measurable. And the covariant components that had the units $1/\text{distance}^2$, will now have the more complex units, $1/\sqrt{(\text{distance}^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}$.
- (e) Spacetime curvature peaks at a finite amount as $r \rightarrow 0$, which indicates that black holes probably have sizes > 0 (black holes are probably not singularities).

References

- [Che10] T.P. Cheng, *Relativity, gravitation and cosmology: A basic introduction*, Oxford Master Series in Physics, OUP Oxford, 2010. ↑10
- [COD22] CODATA, *Fine structure constant*, NIST, 2022. https://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?alph|search_for=fine+structure. ↑18
- [Ein15] A. Einstein, *Relativity, the special and general theory*, Princeton University Press, 2015. ↑11, 17
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, *Methods of real analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. ↑1
- [Jai11] M.C. Jain, *Quantum mechanics: A textbook for undergraduates*, PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi, India, 2011. ↑11
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, *Euclid’s elements*, 1998. <http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html>. ↑15
- [Lee10] J. M. Lee, *Axiomatic geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 2010. ↑15
- [Min53] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der zahlen*, Chelsea, 1953. reprint. ↑2, 16
- [Roc25] Rocq, *Rocq proof assistant*, 2025. <https://rocq-prover.org/docs>. ↑3
- [Rud76] W. Rudin, *Principles of mathematical analysis*, McGraw Hill Education, 1976. ↑1, 2
- [Wey52] H. Weyl, *Space-time-matter*, Dover Publications Inc, 1952. ↑11, 15

GEORGE VAN TREECK, 668 WESTLINE DR., ALAMEDA, CA 94501