Some Set Properties Underlying Geometry and Physics

George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. Euclidean volume and the Minkowski distances are proved to instances of a set of n-tuples. A commutative property is proved to limit distance to a set of 3 dimensions. Other compact and continuous dimensions have different types (are members of different sets), with unit-factoring ratios of a distance unit to units of other types (time, mass, and charge). The proofs and ratios are used to derive the gravity, charge, vacuum permittivity, vacuum permeability, Planck, and fine structure constants, and used to provide simple derivations of some well-known classical gravity and charge equations, special and general relativity equations, and quantum physics equations. All the proofs are verified in Coq.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

The Riemann integral, Lebesgue integral, and Lebesgue measure define Euclidean volume as the product of interval sizes, which is defined as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ n-tuples. And analysis defines Euclidean distance, inner product, and metric space, etc. [**Gol76**] [**Rud76**]. From a functional analysis perspective, each real value returned by a distance function corresponds to an n-tuple of domain values.

Both volume and distance expressed in terms of a single, abstract, combinatorial foundation, a foundation of an ordered set of combinations (n-tuples), might provide a deeper understanding of some aspects of geometry and physics.

Here, proofs using n-tuples will use the calculus method of summing a countable infinity of infinitesimal intervals $\subset \mathbb{R}$. Where $|x_i|$ is the cardinal of a countable set, x_i, the countable number of n-tuples, v_c, of disjoint sets of x_i is:

$$(1.1) \qquad \forall\, x_i \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \emptyset: \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|.$$

The first goal, in this article, is to prove that the *only* equation implied by the countable set of n-tuples is the Euclidean volume equation and the *only* countable set implied by the Euclidean distance equation is the countable set of n-tuples:

$$(1.2) \qquad \forall\, v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i, \quad [a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad s_i = b_i - a_i.$$

A "ruler" measure of all $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ will be used to prove proposition 1.2.

If $f(|x_1, \cdots, |x_n|)$ is a bijective and isomorphic function, then:

$$(1.3) \ \exists\, d_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\} : d_c = |x_1| = \cdots = |x_n| \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_c = d_c^n.$$

Where f is bijective and isomorphic, the ruler measure will be used to prove that:

$$(1.4) \quad \forall\, v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_c = d_c^n : \quad d_c^n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{c_i}^n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d^n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i^n.$$

d is the ρ-norm (Minkowski distance) [**Min53**], which will be proved to imply the metric space properties [**Rud76**]. And equation 1.4 is the basis of the inner product:

$$(1.5) \ \forall\, d_i \in \mathbb{R}, \exists\, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} : a_i b_i = d_i^2 \quad \wedge \quad d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i.$$

The commutative properties of multiplication and addition in calculating volume and distance allow sequencing an ordered set in all $n!$ permutations. And observation indicates that there is no intrinsic property of a physical dimension of distance that gives it a particular position of first, second, $\cdots$, last in a set.

An ordered set, where any member can be the first member, is a cyclic set. Sequencing a cyclic set in all $n!$ permutations, is a "symmetry" property, where every set member is either an *immediate* cyclic successor or an *immediate* cyclic predecessor to every other set member. A symmetric cyclic set will be proved to have $n \leq 3$ members.

Therefore, if $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ is a symmetric cyclic set of 3 compact and continuous "distances," then another element, s_4, must have a different type (s_4 is a member of a different set). Therefore, there are constant, unit-factoring ratios of a unit of distance, r, to the units of compact and continuous dimensions: time (t), mass (m), and charge (q), where: $r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q = \cdots$. r_c/t_c is the speed of light ratio. The quantum units are: r_c, t_c, m_c, and q_c, where the Planck units are: $l_p = r_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $t_p = t_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$, $m_p = m_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$, and $q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

The proofs and ratios are used to provide simple derivations of some well-known classical gravity and charge equations [and their associated constants: gravity (G), charge (k_e), vacuum permittivity (ε_0), and vacuum permeability (μ_0)], special and general relativity equations, and quantum physics equations (and the Planck constant, h). A much simpler ratio yielding the empirical value of the fine structure constant, α, will be derived. And the ratios are also used to add quantum effects to general relativity and classical physics equations.

All the proofs in this article have been verified using using the Coq proof verification system [**Coq23**]. The formal proofs are in the Coq files, "euclidrelations.v" and "threed.v," at: https://github.com/treeck/RASRGeometry.

2. Ruler measure and convergence

A ruler (measuring stick) measures the size of each interval *approximately* as the sum of the nearest integer number, p, of size κ subintervals. The ruler is both an inner and outer measure of an interval.

DEFINITION 2.1. Ruler measure, $M = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \kappa = p\kappa$, where $\forall [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $s = b - a \ \wedge \ 0 < \kappa \leq 1 \ \wedge \ (p = floor(s/\kappa) \ \vee \ p = ceiling(s/\kappa))$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Ruler convergence:* $M = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} p\kappa = s$.

The formal proof, "limit_c_0_M_eq_exact_size," is in the file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. (epsilon-delta proof)
By definition of the floor function, $floor(x) = max(\{y : y \leq x, \ y \in \mathbb{Z}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}\})$:

(2.1) $\ \forall \kappa > 0, \ p = floor(s/\kappa) \ \wedge \ 0 \leq |floor(s/\kappa) - s/\kappa| < 1 \ \Rightarrow \ |p - s/\kappa| < 1$.

Multiply both sides of inequality 2.1 by κ:

(2.2) $\qquad \forall \kappa > 0, \quad |p - s/\kappa| < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|$.

(2.3) $\quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \quad \wedge \quad |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta$
$\qquad \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - 0| < \delta \quad \wedge \quad |p\kappa - s| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad M = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} p\kappa = s$. $\quad \square$

The following is an example of ruler convergence for the interval, $[0, \pi]$: $s = \pi - 0$, and $p = floor(s/\kappa) \Rightarrow p \cdot \kappa = 3.1_{\kappa=10^{-1}}, \ 3.14_{\kappa=10^{-2}}, \ 3.141_{\kappa=10^{-3}}, ..., \pi_{\lim_{\kappa \to 0}}$.

LEMMA 2.3. $\forall n \geq 1, \quad 0 < \kappa < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa$.

PROOF. The formal proof , "lim_c_to_n_eq_lim_c," is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

(2.4) $\ n \geq 1 \quad \wedge \quad 0 < \kappa < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 < \kappa^n < \kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|$.

(2.5) $\quad \forall \epsilon = \delta \quad \wedge \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta$
$\qquad \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - 0| < \delta \quad \wedge \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = 0$.

(2.6) $\quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa$. $\quad \square$

3. Volume

DEFINITION 3.1. A countable n-volume is the number of ordered combinations (n-tuples), v_c, of the members of n number of disjoint, countable domain sets, x_i:

(3.1) $\ \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \ v_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}, \ x_i \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\} : \ \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \emptyset \ \wedge \ v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Euclidean volume,*

(3.2) $\ \forall [a_i, b_i] \in \{[a_1, b_1], \cdots [a_n, b_n]\}, \ [v_a, v_b] \subset \mathbb{R}, \ s_i = b_i - a_i, \ v = v_b - v_a :$
$$v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i.$$

The formal proof, "Euclidean_volume," is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Proof.

(3.3) $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow v_c\kappa = (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|)\kappa \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c\kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|)\kappa.$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to equation 3.3:

(3.4) $\exists\, v, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : v_c = floor(v/\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c\kappa \quad \wedge$

$$\lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c\kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|)\kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|)\kappa.$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 3.4:

(3.5) $v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|)\kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa$

$$\Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|)\kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|\kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to s_i:

(3.6) $\exists\, s_i, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : floor(s_i/\kappa) = |x_i| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(|x_i|\kappa) = s_i.$

(3.7) $v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|\kappa) \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(|x_i|\kappa) = s_i$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(|x_i|\kappa) = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \quad \square$$

4. Distance

Definition 4.1. Countable distance,

(4.1) $\exists\, n \in \mathbb{N},\ v_c, d_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\},\ x_i \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\} : \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset \quad \wedge$

$$d_c = |x_1| = \cdots = |x_n| \quad \wedge \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n.$$

4.1. Minkowski distance (ρ-norm).

Theorem 4.2. *Minkowski distance (ρ-norm):*

$$v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n, \quad d, d_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The formal proof, "Minkowski_distance," is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Proof. Apply the countable distance definition (4.1) to the assumption:

(4.2) $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n \quad \wedge \quad v_{c_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n |x_{i_j}| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_{c_i} = d_{c_i}^n$

$$\wedge \quad v_c = \sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_i} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_c^n = \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 4.2 by κ and take the limit:

(4.3) $d_c^n = \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c^n \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \kappa.$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 4.2:

(4.4) $\lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c^n \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa$

$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c^n \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \kappa^n \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(d_c\kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (d_{c_i}\kappa)^n.$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.4:

(4.5) $\exists\, d, d_i : d_c = floor(d/\kappa),\ d = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c\kappa$

$$\wedge \quad d_{c_i} = floor(d_i/\kappa),\ d_i = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_{c_i}\kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(d_c\kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (d_{c_i}\kappa)^n \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$d^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0}(d_c\kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (d_{c_i}\kappa)^n = \sum_{j=1}^m d_i^n. \quad \square$$

4.2. Distance inequality. The formal proof, distance_inequality, is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.3. *Distance inequality*

$$\forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}, \; v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \; (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Expand $(v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n$ using the binomial expansion:

(4.6) $\quad \forall\, v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \quad v_a + v_b \leq v_a + v_b +$

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (v_a^{1/n})^{n-k} (v_b^{1/n})^k + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (v_a^{1/n})^k (v_b^{1/n})^{n-k} = (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n.$$

Take the nth root of both sides of the inequality 4.6:

(4.7) $\quad \forall\, v_a, v_b \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} : v_a + v_b \leq (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n \Rightarrow (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$ $\quad\square$

4.3. Distance sum inequality. The formal proof, distance_sum_inequality, is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.4. *Distance sum inequality*

$$\forall\, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \; a_i, b_i \geq 0 : \; (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m}(a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Apply the distance inequality (4.3):

(4.8) $\quad \forall\, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \; v_a, v_b \geq 0 : \quad v_a = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n \quad \wedge \quad v_b = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n \quad \wedge$

$$(v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n) + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n))^{1/n} =$$

$$(\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m}(a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n}. \quad \square$$

4.4. Metric Space. All Minkowski distances (ρ-norms) imply the metric space properties. The formal proofs: triangle_inequality, symmetry, non_negativity, and identity_of_indiscernibles are in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

THEOREM 4.5. *Triangle Inequality:*
$d(s_1, s_2) = (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w).$

PROOF. $\forall\, p \geq 1, \quad k > 1, \quad u = s_1, \quad w = s_2, \quad v = w/k:$

(4.9) $\quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + w^p) + 2v^p)^{1/p} = ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p}.$

Apply the distance inequality (4.3) to the inequality 4.9:

(4.10) $\quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \; \wedge \; (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}$

$$\wedge \quad v_a = u^p + v^p \quad \wedge \quad v_b = v^p + w^p$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p} \leq (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \leq$$

$$(u^p + v^p)^{1/p} + (v^p + w^p)^{1/p} = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.6. *Symmetry:* $d(s_1, s_2) = (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = d(v, u).$

PROOF. By the commutative law of addition:

(4.11) $\quad \forall\, p : p \geq 1, \quad d(s_1, s_2) = (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} = (s_1^p + s_2^p)^{1/p}$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} = (v^p + u^p)^{1/p} = d(v, u). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4.7. *Non-negativity:* $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) \geq 0.$

PROOF.   By definition, the length of an interval is always ≥ 0:

(4.12) $\forall [a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \quad u = b_1 - a_1, \ v = b_2 - a_2, \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \geq 0, \ v \geq 0.$

(4.13) $p \geq 1, \ u, v \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \geq 0.$ □

THEOREM 4.8. *Identity of Indiscernibles:* $d(u, u) = 0.$

PROOF.   From the non-negativity property (4.7):

(4.14) $d(u, w) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(u, v) \geq 0 \quad \wedge \quad d(v, w) \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists \, d(u, w) = d(u, v) = d(v, w) = 0.$$

(4.15) $d(u, w) = d(v, w) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u = v.$

(4.16) $d(u, v) = 0 \quad \wedge \quad u = v \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(u, u) = 0.$ □

4.5. Set properties limiting a set to at most 3 members. The following definitions and proof use first order logic. A Horn clause-like expression is used, here, to make the proof easier to read. By convention, the proof goal is on the left side and supporting facts are on the right side of the implication sign ($\leftarrow$). The formal proofs in the Coq file threed.v are:

```
Lemmas: adj111, adj122, adj212, adj123, adj133, adj233, adj213,
adj313, adj323, and not_all_mutually_adjacent_gt_3.
```

DEFINITION 4.9. Immediate Cyclic Successor of m is n:

(4.17) $\forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_{setsize}\}$:

$Successor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = setsize \wedge n = 1) \vee (n = m + 1 \leq setsize).$

DEFINITION 4.10. Immediate Cyclic Predecessor of m is n:

(4.18) $\forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_{setsize}\}$:

$Predecessor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = setsize) \vee (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$

DEFINITION 4.11. Adjacent: Member m is sequentially adjacent to member n if the immediate cyclic successor of m is n or the immediate cyclic predecessor of m is n. Notionally:

(4.19) $\forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_{setsize}\}$:

$Adjacent(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow Successor(m, n, setsize) \vee Predecessor(m, n, setsize).$

DEFINITION 4.12. Symmetric (every set member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

(4.20) $\forall x_m, x_n \in \{x_1, \cdots, x_{setsize}\}$: $Adjacent(m, n, setsize).$

THEOREM 4.13. *A cyclic and symmetric set is limited to at most 3 members.*

PROOF.
Every member is adjacent to every other member, where $setsize \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$(4.21) \quad Adjacent(1,1,1) \leftarrow Successor(1,1,1) \leftarrow (m = setsize \wedge n = 1).$$

$$(4.22) \quad Adjacent(1,2,2) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,2) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq setsize).$$

$$(4.23) \quad Adjacent(2,1,2) \leftarrow Successor(2,1,2) \leftarrow (n = setsize \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(4.24) \quad Adjacent(1,2,3) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq setsize).$$

$$(4.25) \quad Adjacent(2,1,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(2,1,3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

$$(4.26) \quad Adjacent(3,1,3) \leftarrow Successor(3,1,3) \leftarrow (n = setsize \wedge m = 1).$$

$$(4.27) \quad Adjacent(1,3,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(1,3,3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = setsize).$$

$$(4.28) \quad Adjacent(2,3,3) \leftarrow Successor(2,3,3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq setsize).$$

$$(4.29) \quad Adjacent(3,2,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(3,2,3) \leftarrow (n = m - 1 \geq 1).$$

Member 2 is the only immediate successor of member 1 for all $setsize \geq 3$, which implies member 3 is not ($\neg$) an immediate successor of member 1 for all $setsize \geq 3$:

$$(4.30) \quad \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize \geq 3)$$
$$\leftarrow Successor(1, 2, setsize \geq 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 \leq setsize).$$

Member $n = setsize > 3$ is the only immediate predecessor of member 1, which implies member 3 is not ($\neg$) an immediate predecessor of member 1 for all $setsize > 3$:

$$(4.31) \quad \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize \geq 3)$$
$$\leftarrow Predecessor(1, setsize, setsize > 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \wedge n = setsize > 3).$$

For all $setsize > 3$, some elements are not ($\neg$) sequentially adjacent to every other element (not symmetric):

$$(4.32) \quad \neg Adjacent(1, 3, setsize > 3)$$
$$\leftarrow \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize > 3) \wedge \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize > 3). \quad \square$$

The Symmetric goal matches Adjacent goals 4.21 and fails for all "setsize" greater than three.

5. Applications to physics

From the volume proof (3.2), two disjoint distance intervals, $[0, r_1]$ and $[0, r_2]$, define a 2-volume. From the Minkowski distance proof (4.2), $\exists\, r : r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2$. And from the 3D proof (4.13), for some non-distance type, $\tau : \tau \in \{t\ (time),\ m\ (mass),\ q\ (charge), \cdots \}$, there exist constant, unit-factoring ratios, μ, ν_1, ν_2:

$$(5.1) \quad \forall\, r, r_1, r_2 : r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = \mu\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_1 = \nu_1\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_2 = \nu_2\tau$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad (\mu\tau)^2 = (\nu_1\tau)^2 + (\nu_2\tau)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu \geq \nu_1 \quad \wedge \quad \mu \geq \nu_2.$$

μ is the maximum-possible ($\mu \geq \nu_1, \nu_2$), constant, unit-factoring ratio, where:

$$(5.2) \quad \mu \in \{r_c/t_c,\ r_c/m_c,\ r_c/q_c, \cdots \} : r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q = \cdots.$$

5.1. Derivation of the constant G, and the gravity laws of Newton, Gauss, and Poisson. From equation 5.2:

$$(5.3) \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/m_c)m/r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = ((r_c/m_c)c^2)m/r^2 = Gm/r^2,$$

where Newton's constant, $G = (r_c/m_c)c^2$, conforms to the SI units: $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$.

Newton's law follows from multiplying both sides of equation 5.3 by m:

$$(5.4) \quad r/t^2 = Gm/r^2 \quad \wedge \quad \forall\, m \in \mathbb{R}: \exists\, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}: m_1 m_2 = m^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}: F := mr/t^2 = Gm^2/r^2 = Gm_1 m_2/r^2.$$

Gauss's flux divergence, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}$ and Poisson's curl per unit mass, $\nabla^2\Phi(r,t)$ are measures of acceleration, r/t^2. Again, starting with equation 5.3 and using ρ as the mass field density (Gauss's flux divergence) on a sphere having the surface area $4\pi r^2$ yields the differential forms of:

$$(5.5) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2\Phi(\overrightarrow{r},t) = r/t^2 \quad \wedge \quad r/t^2 = (-Gm/r^2)(4\pi/4\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = m/4\pi r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2\Phi(\overrightarrow{r},t) = -4\pi G\rho.$$

5.2. Derivation of Coulomb's charge constant, k_e and charge force.

$$(5.6) \quad \forall\, q \in \mathbb{R}: \exists\, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}: q_1 q_2 = q^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/q_c)q$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}: q_1 q_2 = q^2 = ((q_c/r_c)r)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = 1.$$

$$(5.7) \quad r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m = ct$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad mr = (m_c/r_c)rct = (m_c/r_c)(ct)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1.$$

$$(5.8) \quad ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1 \quad \wedge \quad (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2.$$

$$(5.9) \quad r_c/t_c = c \quad \wedge \quad F = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad F = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = k_e q_1 q_2/r^2,$$

where Coulomb's constant, $k_e = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))(r_c/q_c)^2$, conforms to the SI units: $N \cdot m^2 \cdot C^{-2}$.

5.3. Vacuum permittivity, ε_0, and Gauss's law for electric fields. From equation 5.2:

$$(5.10) \quad r = (r_c/q_c)q \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/t_c) = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/q_c)q/r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = ((r_c/q_c)/c^2)q/r^2,$$

And where ρ is the charge field density on the sphere surface area, $4\pi r^2$:

$$(5.11) \quad r/t^2 = (((r_c/q_c)/c^2)q/r^2)(4\pi/4\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = q/4\pi r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = 4\pi((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho.$$

Multiply both sides by of equation 5.11 by $(m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)$ and use the derivation of k_e in equations 5.8 and 5.9:

$$(5.12) \quad r/t^2 = 4\pi((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho \quad \wedge \quad k_e = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad (m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)r/t^2 = 4\pi(m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho = 4\pi k_e\rho.$$

Gauss's electric flux divergence, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$ is a measure of force per charge:

$$(5.13) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} := (m_c/q_c)r/t^2 \quad \wedge \quad (m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)r/t^2 = 4\pi k_e\rho \quad \wedge \quad \varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} := (m_c/q_c)r/t^2 = (m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)r/t^2 = 4\pi k_e\rho = \rho/\varepsilon_0.$$

5.4. Space-time-mass-charge equations. From equation 5.1:

$$(5.14) \quad \forall\, r, r', r_v, \mu, \nu : \ r^2 = r'^2 + r_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad r = \mu\tau \quad \wedge \quad r_v = \nu\tau$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r' = \sqrt{(\mu\tau)^2 - (\nu\tau)^2} = \mu\tau\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Rest frame distance, r', contracts relative to stationary frame distance, r, as $\nu \to \mu$:

$$(5.15) \quad r' = \mu\tau\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \wedge \quad \mu\tau = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Stationary frame type, τ, dilates relative to the rest frame type, τ', as $\nu \to \mu$:

$$(5.16) \quad \mu\tau = r'/\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \wedge \quad r' = \mu\tau' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau = \tau'/\sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Where τ is type, time, the space-like flat Minkowski spacetime event interval is:

$$(5.17) \quad \mathrm{d}r^2 = \mathrm{d}r'^2 + \mathrm{d}r_v^2 \quad \wedge \quad \mathrm{d}r_v^2 = \mathrm{d}r_1^2 + \mathrm{d}r_2^2 + \mathrm{d}r_3^2 \quad \wedge \quad \mathrm{d}(\mu\tau) = \mathrm{d}r$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{d}r'^2 = \mathrm{d}(\mu\tau)^2 - \mathrm{d}r_1^2 - \mathrm{d}r_2^2 - \mathrm{d}r_3^2.$$

5.5. Derivation of Schwarzchild's gravitational time dilation and black hole metric. [Che10] From equations 5.15 and 5.2:

$$(5.18) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \quad \wedge \quad r = (r_c/m_c)m$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2}.$$

Where v_{escape} is the escape velocity:

$$(5.19) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2}.$$

$$(5.20) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \to c}\sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2}.$$

Combining equation 5.20 with the derivation of G (5.4):

$$(5.21) \quad (r_c/m_c)c^2 = G \quad \wedge \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$$

Combining equation 5.21 with equation 5.16 yields Schwarzchild's gravitational time dilation:

$$(5.22) \quad \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$$

Schwarzchild defined the black hole event horizon radius, $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$.

$$(5.23) \qquad r_s = 2Gm/c^2 \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}.$$

From equations 5.15 and 5.23:

$$(5.24) \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \wedge \quad \sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} = r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}.$$

Using the time-like spacetime interval, where ds^2 is negative:

$$(5.25) \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r} \quad \wedge \quad ds^2 = dr'^2 - dr^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}\,dr')^2 - (dr/\sqrt{1 - r_s/r})^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dr'^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2.$$

$$(5.26) \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dr'^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 \quad \wedge \quad dr' = d(ct) \quad \wedge \quad c = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2.$$

Translating from 2D to 4D yields Schwarzchild's black hole metric:

$$(5.27) \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 = f(r,t)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad ds^2 = (1 - r_s/r)dt^2 - (1 - r_s/r)^{-1}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2) = f(r,t,\theta,\phi).$$

5.6. Simplifying Einstein's general relativity (field) equation. Simplification step 1) Use the unit-factoring ratios to define functions returning values for each component of the metric, $g_{\nu,\mu}$, in Einstein's field equations [**Ein15**] [**Wey52**]: All functions derived from the ratios are valid metrics, for example, the previous Schwarzchild black hole metric derivation using the unit-factoring ratios (5.5).

Simplification step 2) Express the EFE as 2D tensors: As shown in equation 5.27, the Schwarzchild metric was first derived as a 2D metric and then expanded to a 4D metric. Further, the 4D flat spacetime interval equation (5.17) is an instance of the 2D equation, $dr'^2 = d(ct)^2 - dr_v^2$, where dr_v^2 is the magnitude of a 3-dimensional vector.

The 2D metric tensor allows using the much simpler 2D Ricci curvature and scalar curvature. And the 2D tensors reduce the number of independent equations to solve.

Simplification step 3) One simple method to translate from 2D to 4D is to use spherical coordinates, where r and t remain unchanged and two added dimensions are the angles, ϕ, and θ. For example, the 2D Schwarzchild metric was translated to 4D using this method in equation 5.27.

5.7. 3 fundamental direct proportion ratios. c_t, c_m, and c_q:

$$(5.28) \qquad c_t = r_c/t_c \approx 2.99792458 \cdot 10^8 m\ s^{-1}.$$

$$(5.29) \quad G = (r_c/m_c)c_t^2 = c_m c_t^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_m = r_c/m_c \approx 7.4261602691 \cdot 10^{-28} m\ kg^{-1}.$$

$$(5.30) \quad k_e = (c_t^2/c_m)(r_c/q_c)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_q = r_c/q_c \approx 8.6175172023 \cdot 10^{-18} m\ C^{-1}.$$

5.8. 3 fundamental inverse proportion ratios. k_t, k_m, and k_q:

$$(5.31) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/m = r_c/m_c \quad \Rightarrow \quad (r/t)/(r/m) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/m_c) \quad \Rightarrow$$
$$(mr)/(tr) = (m_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) \quad \Rightarrow \quad mr = m_c r_c = k_m, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.$$

$$(5.32) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/q = r_c/q_c \quad \Rightarrow \quad (r/t)/(r/q) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/q_c) \quad \Rightarrow$$
$$(qr)/(tr) = (q_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) \quad \Rightarrow \quad qr = q_c r_c = k_q, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.$$

5.9. Planck relation and constant, h. [Jai11]
Applying both the direct proportion ratio (5.28), and inverse proportion ratio (5.31):

$$(5.33) \qquad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad m = k_m/r \quad \Rightarrow \quad m(ct)^2 = (k_m/r)r^2 = k_m r.$$

$$(5.34) \quad m(ct)^2 = k_m r \quad \wedge \quad r/t = r_c/t_c = c$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad E := mc^2 = k_m r/t^2 = (k_m(r/t))\,(1/t) = (k_m c)(1/t) = hf,$$

where the Planck constant, $h = k_m c$, and the frequency, $f = 1/t$.

$$(5.35) \qquad k_m = m_c r_c = h/c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \ kg \ m.$$

$$(5.36) \qquad k_t = t_c r_c = k_m c_m/c_t \approx 5.4749346710 \cdot 10^{-78} \ s \ m.$$

$$(5.37) \qquad k_q = q_c r_c = k_t c_t/c_q \approx 1.9046601056 \cdot 10^{-52} \ C \ m.$$

5.10. Compton wavelength. [Jai11]
From equations 5.31 and 5.34:

$$(5.38) \qquad mr = k_m \quad \wedge \quad h = k_m c \quad \Rightarrow \quad r = k_m/m = (k_m/m)(c/c) = h/mc.$$

5.11. 4 quantum units.
Distance (r_c), time (t_c), mass (m_c), and charge (q_c):

$$(5.39) \qquad r_c = \sqrt{r_c^2} = \sqrt{c_t k_t} = \sqrt{c_m k_m} = \sqrt{c_q k_q} \approx 4.0513505432 \cdot 10^{-35} \ m.$$

$$(5.40) \qquad t_c = r_c/c_t \approx 1.3513850782 \cdot 10^{-43} \ s.$$

$$(5.41) \qquad m_c = r_c/c_m \approx 5.4555118613 \cdot 10^{-8} \ kg.$$

$$(5.42) \qquad q_c = r_c/c_q \approx 4.7012967286 \cdot 10^{-18} \ C.$$

Planck length, $l_p = r_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Planck time, $t_p = t_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Planck mass, $m_p = m_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Planck charge, $q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

5.12. Fine structure constant.
The fine structure constant, α, is the ratio of two types of charge fields: 1) the *stationary* elementary particle charge field, F_e, and 2) the *moving* elementary charge (electromagnetic) wave field (the reduced Planck charge unit), F_p. From the ratio-derived Coulomb's law equation 5.8:

$$(5.43) \quad \exists\, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha = \frac{F_e}{F_p} = \frac{k_e q_e^2/r^2}{k_e q_p^2/r^2} = q_e^2/q_p^2 = q_e^2/(q_c/\sqrt{2\pi})^2 \approx 0.0072973526.$$

5.13. Schrödenger's equation. Start with the previously derived Planck relation 5.34 and multiply the kinetic energy component by mc/mc:

(5.44) $h/t = mc^2 \Rightarrow \exists V(r,t) : h/t = h/2t + V(r,t) \Rightarrow h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r,t).$

And from the distance-to-time (speed of light) ratio (5.28):

(5.45) $\quad h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r,t) \ \wedge \ r = ct \ \Rightarrow \ h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r,t).$

(5.46) $h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r,t) \ \wedge \ h/t = mc^2 \ \Rightarrow \ h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r,t).$

(5.47) $\quad h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r,t) \ \wedge \ r = ct \ \Rightarrow \ h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t).$

Replace the Planck constant in equation 5.47 with the reduced Planck constant:

(5.48) $h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t) \ \wedge \ \hbar = h/2\pi \ \Rightarrow \ 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t).$

Multiply both sides of equation 5.48 by a function, $\Psi(r,t)$.

(5.49) $\quad 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t)$
$$\Rightarrow \quad (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r,t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r,t) + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t).$$

(5.50) $\quad (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r,t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r,t) + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t) \quad \wedge$
$\forall \, \Psi(r,t): \ \partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 = (-(2\pi)^2/r^2)\Psi(r,t) \quad \wedge \quad \partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t = (i\, 2\pi/t)\Psi(r,t)$
$$\Rightarrow \quad i\hbar\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t),$$

which is Schrödenger's equation in one dimension of space.

(5.51) $\quad -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t) = i\hbar\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t \quad \wedge \quad ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}|| = r$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists \, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} : \ i\hbar\partial\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)/\partial t = -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)/\partial\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}^2 + V(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t),$$

which is Schrödenger's equation in three dimensions of space.

5.14. Dirac's wave equation. Using the derived Planck relation 5.34:

(5.52) $\quad mc^2 = h/t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists \, V(r,t) : \ mc^2/2 + V(r,t) = h/t$
$$\Rightarrow \quad 2h/t - 2V(r,t) = mc^2.$$

(5.53) $\quad \forall \, V(r,t) : \ V(r,t) = h/t \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad 2h/t - 2V(r,t) = mc^2$
$$\Rightarrow \quad 2h/t - 2hc/r = mc^2.$$

Use the charge ratio, c_q, and time ratio, $c_t = c$ to multiply each term on the left side of equation 5.53 by 1:

(5.54) $\quad qc_q/r = qc_q/ct = 1 \quad \wedge \quad 2h/t - 2hc/r = mc^2$
$$\Rightarrow \quad 2h(-qc_q/c)/t^2 - 2h((-qc_q/c)/r^2)c = mc^2.$$

where a negative sign is added to q to indicate an attractive force between an electron and a nucleus.

Applying a quantum amplitude equation in complex form to equation 5.55:

(5.55)
$$A_0 = (c_q/c)((1/t)) + i(1/r)) \quad \wedge \quad 2h(-qc_q/c)/t^2 - 2h((-qc_q/c)/r^2)c = mc^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Translating equation 5.55 to moving coordinates via the Lorentz factor, $\gamma_0 = 1/\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}$:

(5.56) $2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Multiplying both sides of equation 5.56 by $\Psi(r,t)$:

(5.57) $\gamma_0 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r,t) = mc^2\Psi(r,t).$$

Applying the vectors to equation 5.57:

(5.58) $\gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r,t) = mc^2\Psi(r,t) \wedge$
$$||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}|| = r \quad \wedge \quad ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}}|| = A_0 \quad \wedge \quad ||\overrightarrow{\gamma}|| = \gamma_0 \quad \wedge \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}}, \overrightarrow{\gamma} :$$
$$\gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot i2h(\partial(-q\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}})/\partial r)c\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = mc^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t).$$

Adding a $\frac{1}{2}$ angular rotation (spin-$\frac{1}{2}$) of π to equation 5.55 allows substituting the reduced Planck constant, $\hbar = h/2\pi$, into equation 5.58, which yields Dirac's wave equation:

(5.59) $\gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot i2h(\partial(-q\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}})/\partial r)c\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = mc^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)$
$$\wedge\ A_0 = \pi(c_q/c)((1/t) + (1/r))$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 \hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot i\hbar(\partial(-q\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}})/\partial r)c\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = mc^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t).$$

5.15. Total mass. The total mass of a particle is $m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2}$, where m_0 is the rest mass and m_{ke} is the kinetic energy-equivalent mass. Applying both the direct (5.28) and inverse proportion ratios (5.31):

(5.60) $m_0 = r/(r_c/m_c) = r/c_m \quad \wedge \quad m_{ke} = (m_c r_c)/r = k_m/r \quad \wedge$
$$m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.$$

5.16. Quantum extension to general relativity. The simplest way to demonstrate how to add quantum physics to general relativity is by extending the Schwarzchild's black hole metric (5.5). Start by changing equation 5.18 in the Schwarzchild derivation:

(5.61) $\sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \quad \wedge \quad r = \sqrt{(c_m m)^2 + (k_m/m)^2} = Q_m$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1-Q_m v^2/rc^2}.$$

(5.62) $\sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1-Q_m v^2/rc^2} \quad \wedge \quad KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1-2Q_m v_{escape}^2/rc^2}.$$

$$(5.63) \quad \sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \to c} \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m v_{escape}^2/rc^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m c^2/rc^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r}.$$

Combining equation 5.63 with equation 5.16 yields Schwarzschild's gravitational time dilation with a quantum mass effect:

$$(5.64) \quad \sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r} \quad \wedge \quad t' = t\sqrt{1-(v^2/c^2)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Q_m/r}.$$

Schwarzschild defined the black hole event horizon radius, $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$. The radius with the quantum extension is $r_s := 2Q_m$. At this point the exact same equations 5.23 through 5.27 yield what looks like the same Schwarzschild black hole metric.

5.17. Quantum extension to Newton's gravity force. The quantum mass effect is easier to understand in the context Newton's gravity equation than in general relativity, because the metric equations and solutions in the EFEs are much more complex. From equation 5.2:

$$(5.65) \quad m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} = 1 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.$$

$$(5.66) \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad (m/r)(r^2/t^2 = (m/r)(c^2 m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2})$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = c^2 m^2/(r\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}) = c^2 m^2/\sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}.$$

$$(5.67) \quad F = c^2 m^2/\sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2} \quad \wedge \quad \forall\, m \in \mathbb{R},\ \exists\, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : m_1 m_2 = m^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad F = c^2 m_1 m_2/\sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}.$$

5.18. Quantum extension to Coulomb's force.

$$(5.68) \quad q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2) = 1 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r^2/(ct)^2 = q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2).$$

$$(5.69) \quad \forall\, q \in \mathbb{R} : \exists\, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 \quad \wedge \quad r^2/t^2 = c^2 q^2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists\, q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : r^2/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2/((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2/(r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)).$$

$$(5.70) \quad r/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2/(r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2)) \quad \wedge \quad m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad F := mr/t^2 = c^2 q_1 q_2 \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}/(r((r/c_q)^2 + (k_q/r)^2))$$
$$= c^2 q_1 q_2 \sqrt{(r^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}/((r^4/c_q^2) + k_q^2).$$

6. Insights and implications

(1) The ruler measure (2.1) and convergence theorem (2.2) are tools for proving that a real-valued (and possibly a complex-valued) equation is the only instance of an abstract, countable set relation.

(2) Combinatorics, the ordered combinations of countable, disjoint sets (n-tuples), generates both Euclidean volume (3.2) and the Minkowski distances (4.2), which includes Manhattan and Euclidean distances, without relying on the geometric primitives and relations in Euclidean geometry [**Joy98**], axiomatic geometry [**Lee10**], and vector analysis [**Wey52**].

(3) It was proved that Minkowski distances have the metric space properties (4.4). But the Minkowski distances (Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance, etc.) were proved to be the *only* distance functions implied by the countable set of n-tuples. Therefore, the definition of metric space as the criteria for a distance measure is not be sufficiently restrictive to be useful for most applied geometry and physics.

(4) Note that the basis of the inner product follows immediately from the $n = 2$ case of the Minkowski distance (the Euclidean distance):

$$(6.1) \quad \forall\, d_i \in \mathbb{R},\ \exists\, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R} : a_i b_i = d_i^2 \quad \wedge \quad d^2 = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^2 = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i.$$

If a_i and b_i can have negative values indicating negative-sized intervals (direction), then the inner product vector space is also derived from the same combinatorial (n-tuple-based) definition of distance (4.1).

(5) An area for further study is how to use only set operation-based modifications to the n-tuple equation, $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|$, to generate specific elliptic and hyperbolic geometries.

(6) Euclid's proof that Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points equate Euclidean distance to a straight line, where it is assumed that the straight line length is the smallest distance [**Joy98**]. And analytic proofs that the straight line length is the smallest distance equate the straight line length to Euclidean distance.

   Without using the notion of a straight line: All distance measures derived from the set-based countable distance (4.1) are Minkowski distances (4.2). For all 2-volumes, all Minkowski distances are limited to $n \in \{1, 2\}$: $n = 1$ is the Manhattan (largest monotonic) distance case, $d = \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i$. $n = 2$ is the Euclidean (smallest) distance case, $d = (\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_i^2)^{1/2}$. For the case, $n \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq n \leq 2$: d decreases monotonically as n goes from 1 to 2.

(7) The left side of the distance sum inequality (4.4),

$$(6.2) \quad (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m}(a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n},$$

differs from the left side of Minkowski's sum inequality [**Min53**]:

$$(6.3) \quad (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{m}(a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n} \leq (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

The two inequalities are only the same where $n = 1$.

   (a) The distance sum inequality (4.4) is a more fundamental inequality because the proof does not require the convexity and Hölder's inequality assumptions of the Minkowski sum inequality proof [**Min53**].

   (b) The Minkowski sum inequality term, $\forall\, n > 1 : ((a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n}$, is **not** a Minkowski distance spanning the n-volume, $a_i^n + b_i^n$. But the distance sum inequality term, $(a_i^n + b_i^n)^{1/n}$, is the Minkowski

distance spanning the n-volume, $a_i^n + b_i^n$, which makes it directly
related to geometry (for example, the metric space triangle inequality
was derived from the $m = 1$ case for all $n \geq 1$ (4.5)).

(8) The commutative properties of multiplication and addition in calculating
volume and distance allow sequencing an ordered set in all $n!$ permuta-
tions. Sequencing a cyclic set in all $n!$ permutations is a symmetric cyclic
set that was proved to have $n \leq 3$ members (4.13). A symmetric syclic
set is a simpler and more logically rigorous hypothesis for observing only
3 dimensions of physical space than additional parallel dimensions that
cannot be detected or are rolled up into infinitesimal balls.

(a) Higher order dimensions must have different types (members of dif-
ferent sets), for example, types/dimensions of time, mass, and charge.
But order and symmetry probably limit the number of fundamental
types to a very small number. For example, temperature, measured
in Kelvins, is not a true type because temperature is more correctly
a measure of (kinetic or electromagnetic) energy which is a func-
tion distance, time, mass, and charge. The magnetic field might be
a pseudo (fictitious) field that is also a function of distance, time.
mass, charge, and spin. Likewise, one should not immediately as-
sume the strong force field, weak force field, etc. are types. For
example, quantum effects might allow radioactivity without a weak
force.

(b) The definition of inner product can only be extended beyond 3 dimen-
sions if and only if the higher dimensions have types different from
distance, for example, time as in Minkowki's spacetime interval.

(c) Each of 3 cyclic and symmetric dimensions of space can have at
most 3 cyclic and symmetric state values, for example, a cyclic and
symmetric set of 3 vector orientations, $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, per dimension of
space and at most 3 spin states per plane, etc.

(d) If the states are not ordered (a bag of states), then a state value is
undetermined until observed (like Schrödenger's poisoned cat being
both alive and dead until the box is opened). That is, for a bag of
states, there is no "axiom of choice", an axiom often used in math
proofs that allows selecting a particular set element (in this case,
selecting a particular state).

(e) A discrete value has measure 0 (no size). The ratio of a non-zero time
or distance interval length to zero is undefined (infinite), which is the
reason quantum entangled particles change discrete state values at
the same time and change independent of distance.

(f) For each unit of a 3-dimensional, compact and continuous distance,
unit there are units of other compact and continuous types of el-
ements (5.7): $c_t = r_c/t_c$, $c_m = r_c/m_c$, $c_q = r_c/q_c \Leftrightarrow$ the inverse
proportion ratios (5.8): $k_t = r_c t_c$, $k_m = r_c m_c$, $k_t = r_c q_c$, where
the combination of the direct and inverse ratios implies the quantum
units (5.11): r_c, t_c, m_c, q_c. These ratios and quantum units were
shown to be the basis of much physics:

(i) The gravity, G (5.4), charge k_e (5.9), and Planck h (5.34) con-
stants were all derived directly from the ratios. And vacuum

permittivity, ε_0 and vacuum permeability, μ_0, are both defined in terms of $k_e = c_q^2 c_t^2 / c_m$: $\varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e = c_m/4\pi c_q^2 c_t^2$ and $\mu_0 := 1/c_t^2 \varepsilon_0 = 4\pi c_q^2/c_m$. Therefore, G, k_e, ε_0, μ_0, and h are **not** "fundamental" constants. The fine structure constant, α, was also derived as a ratio, $\alpha = q_e^2/q_p^2 = q_e^2/(q_c/\sqrt{2\pi})^2$ (5.43).

 (ii) The derivation of the Compton wavelength equation, $r = h/mc$, (5.10) shows that the computation of the wavelength, r, is overly complex (because it assumes the Planck constant is a fundamental constant) and can be simplified to $r = k_m/m$.

(iii) Planck length, $l_p = r_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Planck time, $t_p = t_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Planck mass, $m_p = m_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Planck charge, $q_p = q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$. The quantum units, r_c, t_c, m_c, and q_c are more fundamental than the Planck units because the quantum units combine to directly yield the empirical values of G, k_e, ε_0, μ_0, and h.

(iv) G, k_e, ε_0, and h all depend on the speed of light ratio, c_t: $G = c_m c_t^2$, $k_e = (c_q^2/c_m)c_t^2$, $\varepsilon_0 := 1/4\pi k_e = 1/(4\pi(c_q^2/c_m)c_t^2)$, and $h = k_m c_t$.

 (v) Using the quantum units, r_c and t_c: $r_c/t_c^2 \approx 2.2184088232 \cdot 10^{51}$ m s^{-2}, which suggests a maximum acceleration constant for both gravity and charge.

(vi) k_e is directly derived from the ratios without additional constants, like 4π (5.9). And ε_0 and μ_0 were both derived from k_e. And, as shown in this article, the fine structure constant, α was derived more simply in terms of k_e (5.43) than ε_0. Other definitions of constants defined in terms of ε_0 and μ_0 might be better defined in terms of k_e.

(vii) The CODATA electron coupling version of the fine structure constant, α is defined as: $\alpha = q_e^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0\hbar c$ [**COD22**].
However, the derivation of α, in this article (5.43), is much simpler because it is the ratio of the *stationary* elementary particle charge field, F_e to the *moving* elementary charge (electromagnetic) wave field, F_p, which yields the more parsimonious equation: $\alpha = q_e^2/q_p^2 \approx 0.0072973526$, the CODATA value [**COD22**], where q_p is the Planck charge unit.
Using $\alpha = q_e^2/q_c^2$ instead would be more natural but would require dividing the current CODATA value by 2π.
Other fine structure constants can expressed more simply as the ratios of two fields, for example, the electron gravity coupling constant can be expressed as the ratio of a stationary elementary particle gravity field to a moving elementary gravity field: $\alpha_m = F_e/F_p = m_e^2/m_p^2$ (or $\alpha_m = F_e/F_c = m_e^2/m_c^2$).

(viii) The unit-factoring ratios are the basis of relativity theory.
   (A) From equation 5.1, there is always a maximum ratio (for example, the speed of light, $r = (r_c/t_c)t$).
   (B) Special and general relativity assume covariance, which states that the laws of physics are invariant in every frame of reference [**Ein15**]. Covariance is the result of the same unit-factoring ratios in every frame of reference.

> For example, the special relativity time dilation equation 5.16 was derived from the ratio, $r = (r_c/t_c)t$, and combined with the ratio, $r = (r_c/m_c)m$, (5.7) yielded Schwarzchild's general relativity gravitational time dilation and black hole metric equations (5.23).

(ix) The combination of direct and inverse proportion ratios was shown to create the particle-wave equations: Planck relation (5.9), Compton wavelength (5.38), Schrödenger (5.13), and Dirac equations (5.14).

The equations describe the observations of particle-wave duality. But consider the moral about the four blind men experiencing an elephant for the first time: The first man feels the tail and says, "An elephant is a rope." The second man feels the leg and says, "You must be feeling a branch, because I feel a large tree trunk." The third man feels the body of the elephant and says, "You are feeling a tree in front of a wall." The fourth man feels the trunk that wraps around his arm and screams, "Run for your lives it's giant snake!" A particle-wave is as insightful as a rope-tree-wall-snake.

(x) The derivations of the quantum physics equations show that, with the exception of where spin $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ is used, the reduced Planck constant is an unnecessary complication.

(9) The derivations of the spacetime equations, in this article (5.4), differ from other derivations:

(a) The derivations, here, do not rely on the Lorentz transformations or Einsteins' postulates [**Ein15**]. The derivations do not even require the notion of light.

(b) The same derivations are also valid for spacemass and spacecharge.

(c) The derivations, here, rely only on the volume proof (3.2), Minkowski distances proof (4.1), and the 3D proof (4.13), which provides the insight that the properties of physical space creates constant maximum ratios, the spacetime equations, and 3 dimensions of distance.

(10) The derivation of Schrödenger's equation (5.13) and Dirac's equation (5.14), in this article, differs from other derivations:

(a) Other derivations are based on the Hamiltonian (energy-momentum) operator. In contrast, the derivations, in this article, rely on the Planck (energy-frequency) relation.

(b) The derivations here are more rigorous because:

(i) The energy-momentum term, $h^2/2m$, was derived, in this article, from the Planck relation (5.47), where the Planck relation was also rigorously derived (5.9). Other derivations **incorrectly** assume (define) the energy-momentum relation as: $(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p})/2m = \hbar^2/2m$. But the more rigorous derivation, in this article, shows that the reduced Planck constant is only valid if the partial derivatives of the probability distribution function, $\Psi(r,t)$, contains compensating 2π terms: $\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 = (-(2\pi)^2/r^2)\Psi(r,t)$ and $\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t = (i\,2\pi/t)\Psi(r,t)$. Finding solutions to Schrödenger's equation would be simpler if the full

Planck constant is used because it would reduce the complexity of $\Psi(r,t)$.

(ii) Other derivations assume the probability distribution has a mean value, where values closer to the mean are more probable. The derivation here makes no such assumptions.

(11) The quantum extensions to: Schwarzchild's time dilation 5.64 black hole metric (5.27), Newton's gravity force (5.67), and Coulomb's charge force (5.70) make quantifiable predictions:

(a) Schwarzchild defined the black hole event horizon radius, $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$, where $2Gm/c^2 = 2(c_m c^2)m/c^2 = 2c_m m$. The event horizon radius with the quantum extension is $r_s := 2Q_m = 2/\sqrt{(c_m m)^2 + (k_m/m)^2}$. Where the mass is sufficiently large that the quantum effect, $(k_m/m)^2$, is not measurable, the two equations are the same.

(b) Understanding the quantum effect, where the mass is constant, and distance varies, is easiest to understand using the quantum extensions to Newton's gravity and Coulomb's charge equations. Both equations reduce to the classic equations, where the distance between masses is sufficiently large that the quantum effect is not measurable. But, Newton's gravitational constant, G, and Coulomb's constant, k_e, are not valid, where the distance, r, is sufficiently small that the quantum effects becomes measurable.

(c) The gravitation and charge forces peak at a finite amounts as $r \to 0$: $\lim_{r \to 0} F = c^2 m_1 m_2 / k_m$ and $\lim_{r \to 0} F = c^2 q_1 q_2 k_m / k_q^2$. Finite maximum gravity charge forces allows radioactivity, quantum tunneling, and possibly black hole evaporation.

(d) Einstein's constant (which contains G) is no longer valid, where the distance is sufficiently small that the quantum effects becomes measurable. And the covariant components that had the units $1/distance^2$, will now have the more complex units, $1/\sqrt{(distance^4/c_m^2) + k_m^2}$.

(e) Spacetime curvature peaks at a finite amount, which indicates that black holes have sizes > 0 (black holes are not singularities).

References

[Che10] T.P. Cheng, *Relativity, gravitation and cosmology: A basic introduction*, Oxford Master Series in Physics, OUP Oxford, 2010. ↑9

[COD22] CODATA, *Fine struccture constant*, NIST, 2022. https://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?alph|search_for=fine+structure. ↑17

[Coq23] Coq, *Coq proof assistant*, 2023. https://coq.inria.fr/documentation. ↑2

[Ein15] A. Einstein, *Relativity, the special and general theory*, Princeton University Press, 2015. ↑10, 17, 18

[Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, *Methods of real analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, 1976. ↑1

[Jai11] M.C. Jain, *Quantum mechanics: A textbook for undergraduates*, PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi, India, 2011. ↑11

[Joy98] D. E. Joyce, *Euclid's elements*, 1998. http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html. ↑15

[Lee10] J. M. Lee, *Axiomatic geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 2010. ↑15

[Min53] H. Minkowski, *Geometrie der zahlen*, Chelsea, 1953. reprint. ↑2, 15

[Rud76] W. Rudin, *Principles of mathematical analysis*, McGraw Hill Education, 1976. ↑1, 2

[Wey52] H. Weyl, *Space-time-matter*, Dover Publications Inc, 1952. ↑10, 15

GEORGE VAN TREECK, 668 WESTLINE DR., ALAMEDA, CA 94501