## Some Set Properties Underlying Geometry and Physics

## George. M. Van Treeck

ABSTRACT. Euclidean volume and the Minkowski distances (Manhattan, Euclidean, etc. distances) are derived from a set and limit-based foundation without referencing the primitives and relations of geometry. Sequencing a strict linearly ordered set in all n-at-a-time orders via successor/predecessor relations is proved to be a cyclic set of at most 3 members. Therefore, for each unit of an ordered, cyclic set of 3 distance dimensions there are units other types of dimensions, which implies constant geometric ratios between a unit of distance and a unit of some other type. The geometry proofs and ratios are used to derive the gravity, charge, and Planck constants, and used to provide simpler, shorter, and more rigorous derivations of some well-known classical gravity and charge equations, special and general relativity equations, and quantum physics equations. All the proofs are verified in Coq.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Ruler measure and convergence	2
3.	Volume	3
4.	Distance	3
5.	Applications to physics	7
6.	Insights and implications	14
References		17

## 1. Introduction

Mathematical analysis constructs differential calculus from a set and limit-based foundation without referencing the primitives and relations of Euclidean geometry, like straight line, angle, etc., which provides a more rigorous foundation and deeper understanding of geometry and physics. But Euclidean volume in the Riemann integral, Lebesgue integral, measure theory, and distance in the vector magnitude and metric space criteria are definitions motivated by Euclidean geometry [Gol76] [Rud76] rather than derived from a set and limit-based foundation.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28A75, 28E15. Secondary 03E75, 51M99. Copyright © 2024 George M. Van Treeck. Creative Commons Attribution License.

A simple, abstract, set-based definition of Euclidean volume is the number,  $v_c$ , of ordered combinations (n-tuples):  $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ , where  $|x_i|$  is the cardinal of a countable, disjoint set,  $x_i$ . But real analysis text books and measure theory start with the definition of volume as the product of interval sizes,  $v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i$ , where  $s_i = b_i - a_i$ ,  $[a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}$  [Gol76] [Rud76]. Where each set,  $x_i$ , is a set of size  $\kappa$  subintervals of the interval,  $[a_i, b_i]$ , it will be proved that,  $\lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c \cdot \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \cdot \kappa \Rightarrow v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i$ .

 $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = f(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|, n)$ . If f is a bijective function, then  $\exists d_c : d_c = f^{-1}(v_c, n)$  and  $v_c = f(d_c, n) = f(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|, n)$ . If f is also isomorphic, then  $\forall |x_i| : d_c = |x_1| = \dots = |x_n|$  and  $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n$ .

Where  $v_c = f(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|, n)$  is a bijective and isomorphic function, it will be proved that  $\lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c \cdot \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (\sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_i}) \cdot \kappa \Rightarrow d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n$ . d is the  $\rho$ -norm (Minkowski distance) [Min53], which will be proved to imply the metric space properties [Rud76].

Sequencing the domain sets,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , from i=1 to n, is a strict linear (total) order, where a total order is defined in terms of successor and predecessor relations [CG15]. Sequencing a set, via successor and predecessor relations, in all n-at-a-time orders, is a "symmetry" property, where every set member is either a successor or predecessor to every other set member. A strict linearly ordered and symmetric set will be proved to be a cyclic set, where  $n \leq 3$ .

Therefore, if  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  is a strict linearly ordered and symmetric set of 3 "distance" dimensions, then another dimension,  $s_4$ , must have a different type (is a member of different set). And for each unit of a distance dimension, there are units other types of dimensions, which implies constant geometric ratios between a unit of distance and a unit of other types:  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q = \cdots$ .

The geometry proofs and ratios are used to derive the gravity (G), charge  $(k_e)$ , vacuum permitivity  $(\epsilon_0)$ , and Planck (h) constants, and used to provide simpler, shorter, and more rigorous derivations of some well-known classical gravity and charge equations, special and general relativity equations, and quantum physics equations.

All the proofs in this article have been verified using using the Coq proof verification system [Coq23]. The formal proofs are in the Coq files, "euclidrelations.v" and "threed.v," at: https://github.com/treeck/RASRGeometry.

### 2. Ruler measure and convergence

A ruler (measuring stick) measures the size of each interval approximately as the sum of the nearest integer number, p, of size  $\kappa$  subintervals. The ruler is both an inner and outer measure of an interval.

Definition 2.1. Ruler measure,  $M = \sum_{i=1}^p \kappa = p\kappa$ , where  $\forall [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s = b - a \land 0 < \kappa \leq 1 \land (p = floor(s/\kappa) \lor p = ceiling(s/\kappa))$ .

Theorem 2.2. Ruler convergence:  $M = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} p\kappa = s$ .

The formal proof, " $\lim_{c\to 0}M_{eq}$ -exact\_size," is in the file, euclidrelations.v.

PROOF. (epsilon-delta proof) By definition of the floor function,  $floor(x) = max(\{y: y \leq x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}\})$ :

 $(2.1) \quad \forall \ \kappa > 0, \ p = floor(s/\kappa) \quad \wedge \quad 0 \leq |floor(s/\kappa) - s/\kappa| < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |p - s/\kappa| < 1.$ 

Multiply both sides of inequality 2.1 by  $\kappa$ :

$$(2.2) \forall \kappa > 0, |p - s/\kappa| < 1 \Rightarrow |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \forall \ \epsilon = \delta \quad \land \quad |p\kappa - s| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - 0| < \delta \quad \land \quad |p\kappa - s| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad M = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} p\kappa = s. \quad \Box$$

The following is an example of ruler convergence for the interval,  $[0,\pi]$ :  $s = \pi - 0$ , and  $p = floor(s/\kappa) \Rightarrow p \cdot \kappa = 3.1_{\kappa = 10^{-1}}, \ 3.14_{\kappa = 10^{-2}}, \ 3.141_{\kappa = 10^{-3}}, \dots, \pi_{\lim_{\kappa \to 0}}$ .

Lemma 2.3.  $\forall n \geq 1, \quad 0 < \kappa < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa.$ 

Proof. The formal proof , "lim\_c\_to\_n\_eq\_lim\_c," is in the Coq file, euclid relations.v.

$$(2.4) \quad n \ge 1 \quad \land \quad 0 < \kappa < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 < \kappa^n < \kappa \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa| = |\kappa - 0|.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \forall \ \epsilon = \delta \quad \land \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < |\kappa - 0| < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |\kappa - 0| < \delta \quad \land \quad |\kappa - \kappa^n| < \delta = \epsilon \quad := \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = 0.$$

$$(2.6) \qquad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = 0 \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa. \qquad \Box$$

#### 3. Volume

DEFINITION 3.1. A countable n-volume is the number of ordered combinations (n-tuples),  $v_c$ , of the members of n number of disjoint, countable domain sets,  $x_i$ :

(3.1) 
$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, v_c \in \{0, \mathbb{N}\}, x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}: \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i = \emptyset \land v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|.$$

Theorem 3.2. Euclidean volume,

(3.2) 
$$\forall [a_i, b_i] \in \{[a_1, b_1], \dots [a_n, b_n]\}, [v_a, v_b] \subset \mathbb{R}, s_i = b_i - a_i, v = v_b - v_a : v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Rightarrow v = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

The formal proof, "Euclidean\_volume," is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Proof.

$$(3.3) \ v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| \Leftrightarrow v_c \kappa = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|\right) \kappa \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|\right) \kappa.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to equation 3.3:

$$(3.4) \quad \exists \ v, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}: \ v_c = floor(v/\kappa) \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} v_c \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|) \kappa.$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 3.4:

$$(3.5) v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|) \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|) \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| \kappa).$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence (2.2) to  $s_i$ :

$$(3.6) \exists s_i, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : floor(s_i/\kappa) = |x_i| \Rightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (|x_i|\kappa) = s_i.$$

$$(3.7) v = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| \kappa \right) \wedge \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \left( |x_i| \kappa \right) = s_i \Rightarrow v = \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$

#### 4. Distance

DEFINITION 4.1. Countable distance,  $d_c = f(v_c, n) = f(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i|$  is bijective and isomorphic:  $v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n$ .

## 4.1. Minkowski distance ( $\rho$ -norm).

Theorem 4.2. Minkowski distance ( $\rho$ -norm):

$$v_c = \sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_i} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists d, d_i \in \mathbb{R} : d^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n.$$

 $The \ formal \ proof, \ "Minkowski\_distance," \ is \ in \ the \ Coq \ file, \ euclidrelations.v.$ 

PROOF. Apply the countable distance definition (4.1) to the assumption:

$$(4.1) \quad v_c = \prod_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_c = d_c^n \quad \land \quad v_{c_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n |x_{i_j}| = \prod_{i=1}^n d_{c_i} = d_{c_i}^n$$

$$\land \quad v_c = \sum_{j=1}^m v_{c_i} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_c^n = \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n.$$

Multiply both sides of equation 4.1 by  $\kappa$  and take the limit:

$$(4.2) d_c^n = \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c^n \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \kappa.$$

Apply lemma 2.3 to equation 4.1:

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c^n \kappa = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \kappa \quad \wedge \quad \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \kappa$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c^n \kappa^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m d_{c_i}^n \kappa^n \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (d_c \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{j=1}^m (d_{c_i} \kappa)^n.$$

Apply the ruler (2.1) and ruler convergence theorem (2.2) to equation 4.3:

$$(4.4) \quad \exists \ d, d_i : \ d_c = floor(d/\kappa), \ d = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_c \kappa$$

$$\land \quad d_{c_i} = floor(d_i/\kappa), \ d_i = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} d_{c_i} \kappa \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$d^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} (d_c \kappa)^n = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^m (d_{c_i} \kappa)^n = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^n. \quad \Box$$

**4.2.** Distance inequality. The formal proof, distance\_inequality, is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Theorem 4.3. Distance inequality

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ v_a, v_b \ge 0: \ (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \le v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Expand  $(v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n$  using the binomial expansion:

$$(4.5) \quad \forall \ v_a, v_b \ge 0: \quad v_a + v_b \le v_a + v_b + \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (v_a^{1/n})^{n-k} (v_b^{1/n})^k + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (v_a^{1/n})^k (v_b^{1/n})^{n-k} = (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n.$$

Take the  $n^{th}$  of both sides of the inequality 4.5:

$$(4.6) \ \forall \ v_a, v_b \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} : v_a + v_b \leq (v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n})^n \Rightarrow (v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \leq v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n}. \quad \ \Box$$

**4.3. Distance sum inequality.** The formal proof, distance\_sum\_inequality, is in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Theorem 4.4. Distance sum inequality

$$\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ a_i, b_i \ge 0: \ (\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

PROOF. Apply the distance inequality (4.3):

$$(4.7) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ v_a, v_b \ge 0: \quad v_a = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \quad \land \quad v_b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n \quad \land$$

$$(v_a + v_b)^{1/n} \le v_a^{1/n} + v_b^{1/n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ((\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n) + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n))^{1/n} =$$

$$(\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i^n)^{1/n}. \quad \Box$$

**4.4.** Metric Space. All Minkowski distances ( $\rho$ -norms) imply the metric space properties.

The formal proofs: triangle\_inequality, symmetry, non\_negativity, and identity\_of\_indiscernibles are in the Coq file, euclidrelations.v.

Theorem 4.5. Triangle Inequality:

$$d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_i^p)^{1/p} \implies d(u, w) \le d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

PROOF.  $\forall p \geq 1, \quad k > 1, \quad u = s_1, \quad w = s_2, \quad v = w/k$ :

$$(4.8) (u^p + w^p)^{1/p} \le ((u^p + w^p) + 2v^p)^{1/p} = ((u^p + v^p) + (v^p + w^p))^{1/p}.$$

Apply the distance inequality (4.3) to the inequality 4.8:

$$(4.9) \quad (u^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq ((u^{p} + v^{p}) + (v^{p} + w^{p}))^{1/p} \wedge (v_{a} + v_{b})^{1/n} \leq v_{a}^{1/n} + v_{b}^{1/n}$$

$$\wedge \quad v_{a} = u^{p} + v^{p} \wedge v_{b} = v^{p} + w^{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (u^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq ((u^{p} + v^{p}) + (v^{p} + w^{p}))^{1/p} \leq (u^{p} + v^{p})^{1/p} + (v^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad d(u, w) = (u^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} \leq$$

$$(u^{p} + v^{p})^{1/p} + (v^{p} + w^{p})^{1/p} = d(u, v) + d(v, w). \quad \Box$$

Theorem 4.6. Symmetry:  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \implies d(u, v) = d(v, u)$ .

PROOF. By the commutative law of addition:

(4.10) 
$$\forall p : p \ge 1$$
,  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} = (s_1^p + s_2^p)^{1/p}$   
 $\Rightarrow d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} = (v^p + u^p)^{1/p} = d(v, u)$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.7. Non-negativity:  $d(s_1, s_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^2 s_i^p)^{1/p} \implies d(u, w) \ge 0$ .

PROOF. By definition, the length of an interval is always > 0:

$$(4.11) \forall [a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], u = b_1 - a_1, v = b_2 - a_2, \Rightarrow u \ge 0, v \ge 0.$$

(4.12) 
$$p \ge 1, u, v \ge 0 \Rightarrow d(u, v) = (u^p + v^p)^{1/p} \ge 0.$$

Theorem 4.8. Identity of Indiscernibles: d(u, u) = 0.

PROOF. From the non-negativity property (4.7):

(4.13)  $d(u, w) \ge 0 \quad \land \quad d(u, v) \ge 0 \quad \land \quad d(v, w) \ge 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow \quad \exists \ d(u, w) = d(u, v) = d(v, w) = 0.$$

$$(4.14) d(u,w) = d(v,w) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v.$$

$$(4.15) d(u,v) = 0 \wedge u = v \Rightarrow d(u,u) = 0.$$

## 4.5. Set properties limiting a set to at most 3 members.

DEFINITION 4.9. Totally ordered set:

$$\forall i \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ i \in [1, n-1], \ \forall x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\},$$

$$successor \ x_i = x_{i+1} \ \land \ predecessor \ x_{i+1} = x_i.$$

Definition 4.10. Symmetry (every set member is sequentially adjacent to every other member):

$$\forall i, j, n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x_i, x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, successor x_i = x_j \Leftrightarrow predecessor x_j = x_i.$$

Theorem 4.11. A strict linearly ordered and symmetric set is a cyclic set.

$$i = n \land j = 1 \Rightarrow successor x_n = x_1 \land predecessor x_1 = x_n.$$

The formal proof, "ordered\_symmetric\_is\_cyclic," is in the Coq file, threed.v.

PROOF. A total order (4.9) assigns a unique label to each set member and assigns unique successors and predecessors for all set members except for the successor of  $x_n$  and the predecessor of  $x_1$ . Therefore, the only member that can be a successor of  $x_n$ , without creating a contradiction, is  $x_1$ . And the only member that can be a predecessor of  $x_1$ , without creating a contradiction, is  $x_n$ . Applying the symmetry property (4.10):

$$(4.16) i = n \land j = 1 \land successor x_i = x_j \Rightarrow successor x_n = x_1.$$

Applying the definition of the symmetry property (4.10) to conclusion 4.16:

(4.17) successor 
$$x_i = x_j \Rightarrow predecessor x_j = x_i \Rightarrow predecessor x_1 = x_n$$
.

Theorem 4.12. An ordered and symmetric set is limited to at most 3 members.

The formal proofs in the Coq file threed.v are:

Lemmas: adj111, adj122, adj212, adj123, adj133, adj233, adj213, adj313, adj323, and not\_all\_mutually\_adjacent\_gt\_3.

The following proof uses Horn clauses (a subset of first order logic), which makes it clear which facts satisfy a proof goal.

PROOF.

It was proved that an ordered and symmetric set is a cyclic set (4.11).

Definition 4.13. (Cyclic) Successor of m is n:

 $(4.18) \ Successor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = setsize \land n = 1) \lor (n = m + 1 \le setsize).$ 

Definition 4.14. (Cyclic) Predecessor of m is n:

$$(4.19) \quad Predecessor(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow (m = 1 \land n = setsize) \lor (n = m - 1 \ge 1).$$

DEFINITION 4.15. Adjacent: member m is sequentially adjacent to member n if the successor of m is n or the predecessor of m is n. Notionally: (4.20)

 $Adjacent(m, n, setsize) \leftarrow Successor(m, n, setsize) \lor Predecessor(m, n, setsize).$ 

Every member is adjacent to every other member, where  $setsize \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ :

- $(4.21) \qquad \textit{Adjacent}(1,1,1) \leftarrow Successor(1,1,1) \leftarrow (m = setsize \land n = 1).$
- $(4.22) \qquad Adjacent(1,2,2) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,2) \leftarrow (n=m+1 \leq setsize).$
- $(4.23) \qquad Adjacent(2,1,2) \leftarrow Successor(2,1,2) \leftarrow (n = setsize \land m = 1).$
- $(4.24) \qquad Adjacent(1,2,3) \leftarrow Successor(1,2,3) \leftarrow (n=m+1 \leq setsize).$
- $(4.25) \qquad Adjacent(2,1,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(2,1,3) \leftarrow (n=m-1 \geq 1).$
- $(4.26) \qquad Adjacent(3,1,3) \leftarrow Successor(3,1,3) \leftarrow (n = setsize \land m = 1).$
- $(4.27) \qquad Adjacent(1,3,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(1,3,3) \leftarrow (m=1 \land n=setsize).$
- $(4.28) \qquad Adjacent(2,3,3) \leftarrow Successor(2,3,3) \leftarrow (n=m+1 \leq setsize).$
- $(4.29) \qquad Adjacent(3,2,3) \leftarrow Predecessor(3,2,3) \leftarrow (n=m-1 \geq 1).$

Member 2 is the only successor of member 1 for all  $setsize \geq 3$ , which implies member 3 is not ( $\neg$ ) a successor of member 1 for all  $setsize \geq 3$ : (4.30)  $\neg Successor(1, 3, setsize > 3)$ 

$$\leftarrow Successor(1, 2, set size > 3) \leftarrow (n = m + 1 < set size).$$

Member n = setsize > 3 is the only predecessor of member 1, which implies member 3 is not  $(\neg)$  a predecessor of member 1 for all setsize > 3:  $(4.31) \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize \ge 3)$ 

$$\leftarrow Predecessor(1, set size, set size > 3) \leftarrow (m = 1 \land n = set size > 3).$$

For all  $setsize \geq 3$ , some elements are not  $(\neg)$  sequentially adjacent to every other element (not symmetric):

$$(4.32) \quad \neg Adjacent(1, 3, setsize > 3) \\ \leftarrow \neg Successor(1, 3, setsize > 3) \land \neg Predecessor(1, 3, setsize > 3). \quad \Box$$

## 5. Applications to physics

From the volume proof (3.2), two disjoint distance intervals,  $[0, r_1]$  and  $[0, r_2]$ , define a 2-volume. From the Minkowski distance proof (4.2),  $\exists r : r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2$ . And from the 3D proof (4.12), for some non-distance type,  $\tau : \tau \in \{t \ (time), \ m \ (mass), \ q \ (charge), \dots \}$ , there exist constant, unit-factoring ratios,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$ :

(5.1) 
$$\forall r, r_1, r_2 : r^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \quad \land \quad r = \mu \tau \quad \land \quad r_1 = \nu_1 \tau \quad \land \quad r_2 = \nu_2 \tau$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad (\mu \tau)^2 = (\nu_1 \tau)^2 + (\nu_2 \tau)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu \ge \nu_1 \quad \land \quad \mu \ge \nu_2.$ 

 $\mu$  is the maximum-possible ( $\mu \geq \nu_1, \nu_2$ ), constant, unit-factoring ratio, where:

(5.2) 
$$\mu \in \{r_c/t_c, r_c/m_c, r_c/q_c, \dots\}: r = (r_c/t_c)t = (r_c/m_c)m = (r_c/q_c)q = \dots$$

# 5.1. Derivation of the constant G, and the gravity laws of Newton, Gauss, and Poisson. From equation 5.2:

(5.3) 
$$r = (r_c/m_c)m \quad \land \quad r = (r_c/t_c) = ct \quad \Rightarrow \quad r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/m_c)m/r^2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad r/t^2 = ((r_c/m_c)c^2)m/r^2 = Gm/r^2,$ 

where Newton's constant,  $G = (r_c/m_c)c^2$ , conforms to the SI units:  $m^3 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot s^{-2}$ . Newton's law follows from multiplying both sides equation 5.3 by m:

(5.4) 
$$r/t^2 = Gm^2/r^2 \quad \land \quad \forall \ m \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \ m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : \ m_1m_2 = m^2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad \exists \ m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : \ F := mr/t^2 = Gm^2/r^2 = Gm_1m_2/r^2.$ 

Again, starting with equation 5.3 and using  $\rho$  as the mass field density (Gauss's flux divergence) on a sphere having the surface area  $4\pi r^2$  yields the differential forms of Gauss's flux divergence,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}$  and Poisson's curl per unit mass,  $\nabla^2 \Phi(r,t)$ :

(5.5) 
$$\mathbf{g} = \nabla \Phi(r, t) := r/t^2 = (-Gm/r^2)(4\pi/4\pi) \quad \wedge \quad \rho = m/4\pi r^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} = \nabla^2 \Phi(\overrightarrow{r}, t) = -4\pi G \rho.$$

## 5.2. Derivation of Coulomb's charge constant, $k_e$ and charge force.

(5.6) 
$$\forall q \in \mathbb{R} : \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 \land r = (r_c/q_c)q$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \exists q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R} : q_1 q_2 = q^2 = ((q_c/r_c)r)^2 \Rightarrow (r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = 1.$ 

(5.7) 
$$r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct \implies mr = (m_c/r_c)(ct)^2 \implies ((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1.$$

(5.8) 
$$((r_c/m_c)/c^2)mr/t^2 = 1$$
  $\wedge$   $(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $F := mr/t^2 = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2.$ 

(5.9) 
$$r_c/t_c = c \quad \land \quad F = ((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \quad F = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))(r_c/q_c)^2 q_1 q_2/r^2 = k_e q_1 q_2/r^2,$$

where Coulomb's constant,  $k_e = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))(r_c/q_c)^2$ , conforms to the SI units:  $N \cdot m^2 \cdot C^{-2}$ .

5.3. Vacuum permitivity,  $\varepsilon_0$ , and Gauss's law for electric fields. From equation 5.2:

(5.10) 
$$r = (r_c/q_c)q \wedge r = (r_c/t_c) = ct \Rightarrow r/(ct)^2 = (r_c/q_c)q/r^2$$
  
  $\Rightarrow r/t^2 = ((r_c/q_c)/c^2)q/r^2,$ 

Using  $\rho$  as the charge (electric) field density (Gauss's flux divergence) on a sphere having the surface area  $4\pi r^2$  in equation 5.10 yields the differential form of Gauss's flux divergence,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}$ :

(5.11) 
$$r/t^2 = (((r_c/q_c)/c^2)q/r^2)(4\pi/4\pi) \quad \land \quad \rho = q/4\pi r^2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} := r/t^2 = 4\pi ((r_c/q_c)c^2)\rho.$ 

Multiply both sides of equation 5.11 by  $(m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c)$  and apply the derivation of  $k_e$  (5.9) in equation 5.8 and the of vacuum permitivity,  $\varepsilon_0 = 1/4\pi k_e$ :

(5.12) 
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = ((m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c))\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} = ((m_c/r_c)(r_c/q_c))4\pi((r_c/q_c)/c^2)\rho$$
$$= 4\pi((m_c/r_c)c^2)(r_c/q_c)^2\rho = 4\pi k_e\rho = \rho/\varepsilon_0,$$

which is the Gauss's law for electric fields that is used in Maxwell's equations.

## **5.4.** Space-time-mass-charge equations. Form equation 5.1:

(5.13) 
$$\forall r, r', r_v, \mu, \nu : r^2 = r'^2 + r_v^2 \wedge r = \mu \tau \wedge r_v = \nu \tau$$
  

$$\Rightarrow r' = \sqrt{(\mu \tau)^2 - (\nu \tau)^2} = \mu \tau \sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Rest frame distance, r', contracts relative to stationary frame distance, r, as  $\nu \to \mu$ :

(5.14) 
$$r' = \mu \tau \sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \land \quad \mu \tau = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad r' = r \sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Stationary frame type,  $\tau$ , dilates relative to the rest frame type,  $\tau'$ , as  $\nu \to \mu$ :

(5.15) 
$$\mu \tau = r' / \sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2} \quad \land \quad r' = \mu \tau' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau = \tau' / \sqrt{1 - (\nu/\mu)^2}.$$

Where  $\tau$  is type, time, the space-like flat Minkowski spacetime event interval is:

(5.16) 
$$dr^2 = dr'^2 + dr_v^2 \wedge dr_v^2 = dr_1^2 + dr_2^2 + dr_3^2 \wedge d(\mu\tau) = dr$$
  

$$\Rightarrow dr'^2 = d(\mu\tau)^2 - dr_1^2 - dr_2^2 - dr_3^2.$$

5.5. Simplifying Einstein's general relativity (field) equation. In this subsection, the previous spacetime equations and ratios are used to simplify finding solutions to Einstein's field (general relativity) equation (EFE) is outlined. As an example, a simplified derivation of the Schwarzschild metric is in the next subsection.

Einstein was motivated by Gaussian curvature, hence the terms,  $\mathbf{G}_{\mu,\nu}$ , and  $g_{\mu,\nu}$ , in the EFE,  $\mathbf{G}_{\mu,\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu,\nu} = k\mathbf{T}_{\mu,\nu}$ , [Ein15] [Wey52]. Also, the  $4\pi G$  in Einstein's constant,  $k = 8\pi G/c^4 = (2/c^4)4\pi G$ , and energy density in  $\mathbf{T}_{\mu,\nu}$  were motivated by Gauss's gravity law. But, Gaussian curvature cannot be extended beyond 3 dimensions. Therefore, the much more complex 4-dimensional (4D) Ricci curvature,  $\mathbf{R}$ , and scalar curvature, R, are used, where  $\mathbf{G}_{\mu,\nu} = \mathbf{R}_{\mu,\nu} - g_{\mu,\nu}R/2$ .

Simplification step 1) Express the EFE as 2D tensors: The 4D flat spacetime interval equation (5.16) is an instance of the 2D equation,  $\mathrm{d}r'^2 = \mathrm{d}(ct)^2 - \mathrm{d}r_v^2$ , where  $\mathrm{d}r_v^2$  is the magnitude of a 3-dimensional vector. Generalizing to curved spacetime:  $\mathrm{d}r'^2 = g_{0,0}\mathrm{d}(ct)^2 - g_{1_v,1_v}\mathrm{d}r_v^2$ , where  $g_{1_v,1_v}\mathrm{d}r_v^2 = g_{1,1}\mathrm{d}r_1^2 + g_{2,2}\mathrm{d}r_2^2 + g_{3,3}\mathrm{d}r_3^2$ , and the  $g_{\mu,\nu}$  terms are functions returning scalar values.

The 2D metric tensor allows using the 2D Gaussian curvature,  $K = det(\mathbf{H})$ , in the Einstein tensor,  $\mathbf{G}_{\mu,\nu}$ , such that  $\mathbf{G}_{\mu,\nu} = \mathbf{H} - g_{\mu,\nu}K$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the 2 × 2 Hessian matrix:

(5.17) 
$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial t^2} & \frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial t \partial r} \\ \frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial t \partial r} & \frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial r^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(5.18) 
$$K = \det(\mathbf{H}) = \frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial r^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 g_{i,i}}{\partial t \partial r}\right)^2.$$

The 2D Gaussian curvature, K, is much simpler to calculate than the 4D Ricci curvature,  $\mathbf{R}_{\mu,\nu}$ , and scalar, R. And the 2D tensors reduce the number of independent equations to solve.

Step 2) Use the ratios to define relations: All functions derived from the ratios,  $r = (r_c/t_c)t = ct$  and  $r = (r_c/m_c)m$  (and  $r = (r_c/q_c)q$  for cases using charge), where the units balance on both sides of the 2D EFE, are valid solutions. For example,  $r^3/r^3 = (r_c/m_c)mc^2t^2/rc^2t^2 = Gm/rc^2 = f(r,t,m)$ .

Step 3) Translate the 2D solutions to the 4D EFE solutions by expanding the spatial component,  $g_{1_v,1_v} dr_v^2$  to  $g_{1,1} dr_1^2 + g_{2,2} dr_2^2 + g_{3,3} dr_3^2$ . One simple method is to use spherical coordinates, where:  $g_{1_v,1_v} dr_1^2 = g_{1,1} dr_v^2$ , and  $g_{2,2} dr_2^2 + g_{3,3} dr_3^2 = r^2 (d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$ .

The  $\mathbf{T}_{0,0}$  component in the 4D stress-energy tensor is set to the  $\mathbf{T}_{0,0}$  component in the 2D energy-stress tensor and  $\mathbf{T}_{1,1}$  component in the 4D stress-energy tensor is set to the 2D  $\mathbf{T}_{1,1}$  component. And so on.

5.6. Derivation of Schwarzchild's gravitational time dilation and black hole metric. [Che10] From equations 5.14 and 5.2:

(5.19) 
$$\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)(r/r)} \wedge r = (r_c/m_c)m$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2}.$$

(5.20) 
$$\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - ((r_c/m_c)m)v^2/rc^2} \wedge KE = mv^2/2 = mv_{escape}^2$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2}.$$

(5.21) 
$$\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \lim_{v_{escape} \to c} \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mv_{escape}^2/rc^2}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2}.$ 

Combining equation 5.21 with the derivation of G (5.4):

(5.22) 
$$(r_c/m_c)c^2 = G$$
  $\wedge$   $\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2(r_c/m_c)mc^2/rc^2}$   
 $\Rightarrow \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$ 

Combining equation 5.22 with equation 5.15 yields Schwarzchild's gravitational time dilation:

(5.23) 
$$\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \quad \land \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$ 

Schwarzchild defined the black hole event horizon radius,  $r_s := 2Gm/c^2$ .

(5.24) 
$$r_s = 2Gm/c^2 \wedge t' = t\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2} \Rightarrow t' = t\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}.$$

From equations 5.14 and 5.22:

(5.25) 
$$r' = r\sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} \quad \land \quad \sqrt{1 - (v/c)^2} = \sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad r' = r\sqrt{1 - 2Gm/rc^2}.$ 

From equation 5.13:

(5.26) 
$$r_v^2 = r^2 - r'^2 \Rightarrow r_v \propto r/r' = r/r\sqrt{1 - r_s/r} = 1/\sqrt{1 - r_s/r}$$

(5.27) 
$$ds^{2} = (\sqrt{1 - r_{s}/r}d(ct))^{2} - (dr_{v}/\sqrt{1 - r_{s}/r})^{2} \wedge c = 1 \wedge dr_{v} \propto dr$$
$$\Rightarrow ds^{2} = (1 - r_{s}/r)dt^{2} - (1 - r_{s}/r)^{-1}dr^{2}.$$

Expanding to 4D spherical coordinates yields Schwarzchild's black hole metric:

(5.28) 
$$ds^{2} = (1 - r_{s}/r)dt^{2} - (1 - r_{s}/r)^{-1}dr^{2} = f(r, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow ds^{2} = (1 - r_{s}/r)dt^{2} - (1 - r_{s}/r)^{-1}dr^{2} - r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) = f(r, t, \theta, \phi).$$

**5.7.** Derivation of Einstein's gravitational lens. [Che10] The deflection of light (work), which was derived using the ratios in equation 5.22, is:

$$(5.29) s = 2Gm/rc^2.$$

And there are two deflections: 1) deflection as the light approaches a mass, and 2) deflection as light passes the same mass. Therefore, the total deflection is doubled:

$$(5.30) s = 2Gm/rc^2 \Rightarrow s_{total} = 2s = 4Gm/rc^2.$$

## **5.8.** 3 fundamental direct proportion ratios. $c_t$ , $c_m$ , and $c_q$ :

(5.31) 
$$c_t = r_c/t_c \approx 2.99792458 \cdot 10^8 m \ s^{-1}.$$

$$(5.32) \ G = (r_c/m_c)c_t^2 = c_m c_t^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_m = r_c/m_c \approx 7.4261602691 \cdot 10^{-28} m \ kg^{-1}.$$

$$(5.33) \quad k_e = (c_t^2/c_m)(r_c/q_c)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_q = r_c/q_c \approx 8.6175172023 \cdot 10^{-18} m \ C^{-1}.$$

## **5.9.** 3 fundamental inverse proportion ratios. $k_t$ , $k_m$ , and $k_q$ :

$$(5.34) \quad r/t = r_c/t_c, \quad r/m = r_c/m_c \quad \Rightarrow \quad (r/t)/(r/m) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/m_c) \quad \Rightarrow \\ (mr)/(tr) = (m_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) \quad \Rightarrow \quad mr = m_c r_c = k_m, \quad tr = t_c r_c = k_t.$$

(5.35) 
$$r/t = r_c/t_c$$
,  $r/q = r_c/q_c \Rightarrow (r/t)/(r/q) = (r_c/t_c)/(r_c/q_c) \Rightarrow (qr)/(tr) = (q_c r_c)/(t_c r_c) \Rightarrow qr = q_c r_c = k_a$ ,  $tr = t_c r_c = k_t$ .

**5.10. Planck relation and constant,** h. [Jail1] Applying both the direct proportion (5.31),  $r/t = r_c/t_c = c$ , and inverse proportion (5.34),  $mr = m_c r_c = k_m$ , ratios:

$$(5.36) \ m(ct)^2 = mr^2 \ \land \ m = m_c r_c / r = k_m / r \ \Rightarrow \ m(ct)^2 = (k_m / r) r^2 = k_m r.$$

(5.37) 
$$m(ct)^2 = k_m r$$
  $\wedge$   $r/t = r_c/t_c = c$   
 $\Rightarrow$   $E := mc^2 = k_m r/t^2 = (k_m(r/t)) (1/t) = (k_m c)(1/t) = hf$ , where the Planck constant,  $h = k_m c$ , and the frequency,  $f = 1/t$ .

(5.38)  $k_m = m_c r_c = h/c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \ kg \ m.$ 

(5.38) 
$$k_m = m_c r_c = h/c \approx 2.2102190943 \cdot 10^{-42} \ kg \ m.$$

(5.39) 
$$k_t = t_c r_c = k_m / (c_t / c_m) \approx 5.4749346710 \cdot 10^{-78} \text{ s m.}$$

(5.40) 
$$k_q = q_c r_c = (c_t/c_q)k_t \approx 1.9046601056 \cdot 10^{-52} C m.$$

# **5.11.** 4 quantum units. Distance $(r_c)$ , time $(t_c)$ , mass $(m_c)$ , and charge $(q_c)$ :

(5.41) 
$$r_c = \sqrt{r_c^2} = \sqrt{c_t k_t} = \sqrt{c_m k_m} = \sqrt{c_q k_q} \approx 4.0513505432 \cdot 10^{-35} m.$$

(5.42) 
$$t_c = r_c/c_t \approx 1.3513850782 \cdot 10^{-43} \text{ s.}$$

(5.43) 
$$m_c = r_c/c_m \approx 5.4555118613 \cdot 10^{-8} \ kg.$$

(5.44) 
$$q_c = r_c/c_q \approx 4.7012967286 \cdot 10^{-18} C.$$

Planck length = 
$$r_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$$
, time =  $t_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , mass =  $m_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , charge =  $q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ .

## **5.12.** Compton wavelength. [Jai11] From equations 5.34 and 5.37:

## $(5.45) mr = k_m \wedge h = k_m c \Rightarrow r = k_m/m = (k_m/m)(c/c) = h/mc.$

**5.13. Schrödenger's equation.** Start with the previously derived Planck relation 5.37 and multiply the kinetic energy component by mc/mc:

$$(5.46) \ \ h/t = mc^2 \ \Rightarrow \ \exists V(r,t) : h/t = h/2t + V(r,t) \ \Rightarrow \ h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r,t).$$

And from the distance-to-time (speed of light) ratio (5.31):

$$(5.47) h/t = hmc/2mct + V(r,t) \wedge r = ct \Rightarrow h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r,t).$$

(5.48) 
$$h/t = hmc^2/2mcr + V(r,t) \wedge h/t = mc^2 \Rightarrow h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r,t).$$

$$(5.49) h/t = h^2/2mcrt + V(r,t) \wedge r = ct \Rightarrow h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t).$$

Translate to the reduced Planck constant:

(5.50) 
$$h/t = h^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t) \wedge \hbar = h/2\pi \Rightarrow 2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t)$$
. Multiply both sides by any real-valued function,  $\Psi(r,t)$ .

(5.51)  $2\pi\hbar/t = (2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2 + V(r,t)$  $\Rightarrow (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r,t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r,t) + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t).$ 

$$\begin{split} (5.52) \quad & (2\pi\hbar/t)\Psi(r,t) = ((2\pi)^2\hbar^2/2mr^2)\Psi(r,t) + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t) \quad \wedge \\ \forall \ & \Psi(r,t): \ \partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 = (-(2\pi)^2/r^2)\Psi(r,t) \quad \wedge \quad \partial \Psi(r,t)/\partial t = (i\ 2\pi/t)\Psi(r,t) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t) = i\hbar\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t, \end{split}$$

which is Schrödenger's equation in one dimension of space.

$$(5.53) - (\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 + V(r,t)\Psi(r,t) = i\hbar\partial\Psi(r,t)/\partial t \wedge ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}|| = r$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}: -(\hbar^2/2m)\partial^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)/\partial \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}^2 + V(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = i\hbar\partial\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t)/\partial t,$$
which is Schrödenger's equation in three dimensions of space.

**5.14.** Dirac's wave equation. Using the derived Planck relation 5.37:

(5.54) 
$$mc^2 = h/t \implies \exists V(r,t) : mc^2/2 + V(r,t) = h/t \implies 2h/t - 2V(r,t) = mc^2.$$

$$(5.55) \quad \forall \ V(r,t): \ V(r,t) = h/t \quad \wedge \quad r = ct \quad \wedge \quad 2h/t - 2V(r,t) = mc^2 \\ \Rightarrow \quad 2h/t - 2hc/r = mc^2.$$

Applying the charge ratio,  $c_q$ , (5.32) to multiply each term on the left side of equation 5.55 by 1:

(5.56) 
$$q(r_c/q_c)c/r = qc_qc/r = qc_q/t = 1 \quad \land \quad 2h/t - \gamma_0 2hc/r = mc^2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \quad 2h(-qc_q)/t^2 - 2h(-qc_q)c/rt = mc^2.$ 

where a negative sign is added to q to indicate an attractive force between an electron and a nucleus.

(5.57) 
$$2h(-qc_q)/t^2 - 2h(-qc_q)c/rt = mc^2 \wedge r = ct$$
  
 $\Rightarrow 2h(-qc_q)/t^2 - 2h(-qc_q)c^2/r^2 = mc^2.$ 

Applying a quantum amplitude equation in complex form to equation 5.57:

(5.58) 
$$A_0 = c_q((1/t)) + i(c/r)$$
  $\wedge 2h(-qc_q)/t^2 - 2h(-qc_q)c^2/r^2 = mc^2$   
 $\Rightarrow 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$ 

Translating equation 5.58 to moving coordinates via the Lorentz factor,  $\gamma_0 = 1/\sqrt{1-(v/c)^2}$ :

$$(5.59) \quad 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2.$$

Multiplying both sides of equation 5.59 by  $\Psi(r,t)$ :

$$(5.60) \quad \gamma_0 2h\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c = mc^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r,t) = mc^2\Psi(r,t).$$

Applying the vectors to equation 5.60:

$$(5.61) \quad \gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \gamma_0 i2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial r)c\Psi(r,t) = mc^2\Psi(r,t) \wedge ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}|| = r \quad \wedge \quad ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}}|| = A_0 \quad \wedge \quad ||\overrightarrow{\gamma}|| = \gamma_0 \quad \wedge \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}}, \overrightarrow{\gamma} :$$

$$\gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot i2h(\partial(-q\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}})/\partial r)c\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = mc^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t).$$

Adding a  $\frac{1}{2}$  angular rotation (spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of  $\pi$  to equation 5.58 allows substituting the reduced Planck constant,  $\hbar = h/2\pi$ , into equation 5.61, which yields Dirac's wave equation:

$$(5.62)$$

$$\gamma_0 2h(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot i2h(\partial(-q\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}})/\partial r)c\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = mc^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) \wedge A_0 = \pi c_q((1/t) + (c/r))$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_0 \hbar(\partial(-qA_0)/\partial t)\Psi(r,t) - \overrightarrow{\gamma} \cdot i\hbar(\partial(-q\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}})/\partial r)c\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t) = mc^2\Psi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}},t).$$

**5.15. Total mass.** The total mass of a particle is  $m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2}$ , where  $m_0$  is the rest mass and  $m_{ke}$  is the kinetic energy-equivalent mass. Applying both the direct (5.31) and inverse proportion ratios (5.34):

(5.63)  

$$m_0 = r/(r_c/m_c) = r/c_m \wedge m_{ke} = (m_c r_c)/r = k_m/r \wedge m = \sqrt{m_0^2 + m_{ke}^2}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow m = \sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.$ 

**5.16.** Quantum extension to Newton's gravity force. The quantum mass effect is easier to understand in the context Newton's gravity equation than in general relativity, because the metric equations and solutions in the EFEs are much more complex. From equation 5.2:

(5.64) 
$$m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2} = 1$$
  $\wedge$   $r^2/(ct)^2 = 1$   $\Rightarrow$   $r^2/(ct)^2 = m/\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}.$ 

(5.65) 
$$r^2/(ct)^2 = m/(\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2})$$
  
 $\Rightarrow mr^2/(ct)^2 = m^2/(\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}).$ 

(5.66) 
$$mr^2/(ct)^2 = m^2/(\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}) \wedge$$
  
 $\forall m \in \mathbb{R}, \exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} : m_1m_2 = m^2$   
 $\Rightarrow F := mr/t^2 = c^2 m_1 m_2/(r\sqrt{(r/c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2}).$ 

#### 5.17. Quantum extension to Coulomb's charge force.

(5.67) 
$$F := mr/t^2 = c^2 m q_1 q_2 / (r((c_m)^2 + (k_m/r)^2)).$$

**5.18.** Unifying general relativity and quantum physics. In Einstein's constant,  $k = 8\pi G/c^4$ , G is Newton's gravity constant. But as shown in the quantum extension to Newton's gravity equation, at very small distances, where quantum effects are measurable, (5.66), the constant, G, is replaced by multiple ratio constants. Also, the components of the metric,  $g_{\nu,\mu}$ , etc. would need to changed similar to how it was done in the quantum extension to Newton's gravity equation.

### 6. Insights and implications

- (1) Combinatorics, the ordered combinations of countable, disjoint sets (n-tuples), generates both Euclidean volume (3.2) and the Minkowski distances (4.2), which includes Manhattan and Euclidean distances.
- (2) Deriving Euclidean volume (3.2) and the Minkowski distances (4.2) from the same abstract, countable set of n-tuples (3.1) provides a single, unifying set and limit-based foundation under Euclidean geometry without relying on the geometric primitives and relations in Euclidean geometry [Joy98], axiomatic geometry [Lee10], and vector analysis [Wey52].
- (3) The definition of a metric space [Rud76] ignores the intimate relation between distance and volume. A sufficient definition is: a distance measure is an inverse (bijective), isomorphic function of a volume equal to the sum of bijective, isomorphic functions of volumes (4.1). This definition has the metric space properties. Distance as a function having the metric space properties allows functions that do not have an intuitive geometric interpretation. The definition, here, excludes such functions.
- (4) Euclid's proof that Euclidean distance is the smallest distance between two distinct points equate Euclidean distance to a straight line, where it is assumed that the straight line length is the smallest distance [Joy98]. And analytic proofs that the straight line length is the smallest distance equate the straight line length to Euclidean distance.

Without using the notion of a straight line: All distance measures (bijective, isomorphic functions of n-volumes) derived from Euclidean 2-volumes (areas) are Minkowski distances (4.2), where  $n \in \{1,2\}$ : n=1 is the Manhattan (largest monotonic) distance case,  $d = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i$ . n=2 is the Euclidean (smallest) distance case,  $d = (\sum_{i=1}^m s_i^2)^{1/2}$ . For the case,  $n \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le n \le 2$ : d decreases monotonically as n goes from 1 to 2.

(5) The left side of the distance sum inequality (4.4),

(6.1) 
$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i^n + b_i^n))^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n},$$

differs from the left side of Minkowski's sum inequality [Min53]:

(6.2) 
$$(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n} \le (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^n)^{1/n} + (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i^n)^{1/n}.$$

The two inequalities are only the same where n = 1.

- (a) The distance sum inequality (4.4) is a more fundamental inequality because the proof does not require the convexity and Hölder's inequality assumptions of the Minkowski sum inequality proof [Min53].
- (b) The Minkowski sum inequality term,  $\forall n > 1 : ((a_i^n + b_i^n)^{\mathbf{n}})^{1/n}$ , is **not** a Minkowski distance spanning the n-volume,  $a_i^n + b_i^n$ . But the distance sum inequality term,  $(a_i^n + b_i^n)^{1/n}$ , is the Minkowski distance spanning the n-volume,  $a_i^n + b_i^n$ , which makes it directly related to geometry (for example, the metric space triangle inequality was derived from the m = 1 case for all n > 1 (4.5)).
- (6) Combinatorics, all n-at-time permutations of an ordered and symmetric set, limits the set to 3 members (4.12). This set-based, first-order logic proof is a simpler and more logically rigorous hypothesis for observing only 3 dimensions of physical space than parallel dimensions that cannot be detected or extra dimensions rolled up into infinitesimal balls that are too small to detect.
  - (a) Higher order dimensions must have different types (members of different sets), for example, types/dimensions of time, mass, and charge. Order and symmetry probably limit the number of fundamental types/dimensions to a very small number. For example, temperature, measured in Kelvins, is not a true dimension because temperature is more correctly a measure of frequency or momentum, where entropy is a drop in frequency or momentum. An oscillation in charge at a position causes a spacetime ripple that does not require a magnetic field to propagate. The magnetic force might be a pseudo (fictitious) force that is a function of distance, time. charge, and spin. Likewise, one should not immediately assume other fundamental types/dimensions that would correspond to the strong force, weak force, etc. For example, quantum effects might allow radioactivity without a weak force.
  - (b) Each of 3 ordered and symmetric dimensions of space can have at most 3 sequentially ordered and symmetric state values, for example, an ordered and symmetric set of 3 vector orientations, {-1,0,1}, per dimension of space and at most 3 spin states per plane, etc. If the states are not sequentially ordered (a bag of states), then a state value is undetermined until observed (like Schrödenger's poisoned cat being both alive and dead until the box is opened). That is, for a bag of states, there is no "axiom of choice", an axiom often used in math proofs that allows selecting a particular set element (state).
  - (c) For each 3-dimensional distance dimension unit there are units of other types of dimensions, which implies constant geometric ratios between a unit of distance and a unit of other types (5.8):  $c_t = r_c/t_c$ ,  $c_m = r_c/m_c$ ,  $c_q = r_c/q_c \Leftrightarrow$  the inverse proportion ratios (5.9):  $k_t = r_c t_c$ ,  $k_m = r_c m_c$ ,  $k_t = r_c q_c$ , where the combination of the direct and

inverse ratios implies the quantum units (5.11):  $r_c$ ,  $t_c$ ,  $m_c$ ,  $q_c$ . These ratios and quantum units were shown to be the basis of most physics:

- (i) The gravity, G (5.4), charge  $k_e$  (5.9), vacuum permitivity,  $\varepsilon_0$ , and Planck h (5.37) constants were all derived from the ratios. Therefore, G,  $k_e$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$ , and h are **not** "fundamental" constants.
- (ii) Planck length =  $r_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , time =  $t_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , mass =  $m_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ , and charge =  $q_c/\sqrt{2\pi}$ .
- (iii) The inverse square law for gravity (5.3) and charge (5.6) were shown to be a result of the direct proportion ratios.
- (iv) The proofs and constant ratios are the basis of relativity theory.
  - (A) From equation 5.1, there is always a maximum ratio (for example, the speed of light,  $c_t = r_c/t_c$ ).
  - (B) Special and general relativity assume covariance, which states that the laws of physics are invariant in every frame of reference. Covariance is the result of the same geometric ratios in every frame of reference. For example, the special relativity time dilation equation 5.15 was derived from the ratio,  $c_t = r_c/t_c$  (the speed of light), and combined with the ratio,  $c_m = r_c/m_c$ , (5.8) yielded Schwarzchild's general relativity gravitational time dilation equation (5.24).
- (v) The combination of direct and inverse proportion ratios was shown to create the particle-wave equations: Planck relation (5.10), Compton wavelength (5.45), Schrödenger (5.13), and Dirac equations (5.14).
- (vi) G,  $k_e$ , and h all depend on the speed of light ratio,  $c_t$ :  $G = c_m c_t^2$ ,  $k_e = (c_q^2/c_m)c_t^2$ , and  $h = k_m c_t$ .
- (vii)  $k_e = (c_q^2/c_m)\dot{c}_t^2 = ((m_c/r_c)(r_c/t_c)^2)c_q^2 = (m_c(r_c/t_c^2))c_q^2$ , where the term,  $r_c/t_c^2$ , suggests a maximum acceleration constant, which agrees with the MOND theories of gravity.
- (7) The derivations of the spacetime equations, in this article (5.4), differ from other derivations:
  - (a) The derivations, here, do not rely on the Lorentz transformations or Einsteins' postulates [Ein15]. The derivations do not even require the notion of light.
  - (b) The same derivations are also valid for spacemass and spacecharge.
  - (c) The derivations, here, rely only on the Minkowski distances proof (4.1), and the 3D proof (4.12), which provides the insight that the properties of physical space creates maximum ratios, the spacetime equations, and 3 dimensions of distance.
- (8) The derivation Schrödenger's equation (5.13) and Dirac's equation (5.14), in this article, differs from other derivations:
  - (a) Other derivations are based on the Hamiltonian (energy-momentum) operator. In contrast, the derivations here rely on the Planck (energy-frequency) relation.
  - (b) The derivations here are more rigorous because:
    - (i) The derivations here start with a foundation of geometric ratios to derive the Planck constant (5.10), whereas other derivations

- assume that the Planck constant is a fundamental constant.
- (ii) The energy-momentum term,  $h^2/2m$ , was derived from the Planck relation, where the Planck relation had also been rigorously derived. Other derivations **incorrectly** assume (define) the energy-momentum relation as:  $(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p})/2m = \hbar^2/2m$ . The reduced Planck constant is only valid if the partial derivatives of the probability distribution function,  $\Psi(r,t)$ , contains compensating  $2\pi$  terms:  $\partial^2 \Psi(r,t)/\partial r^2 = (-(2\pi)^2/r^2)\Psi(r,t)$  and  $\partial \Psi(r,t)/\partial t = (i 2\pi/t)\Psi(r,t)$ . Finding solutions to Schrödenger's equation would be simpler if the full Planck constant is used because it would reduce the complexity of  $\Psi(r,t)$ .
- (iii) Other derivations assume the probability distribution has a mean value, where values closer to the mean are more probable.

  The derivation here makes no such assumptions.
- (9) The quantum extensions to Newton's gravity force (5.66) and Coulomb's charge force (5.67) make quantifiable predictions. Specifically:  $\lim_{r\to 0} F = 0$ , and **both** the gravity and charge forces peak at the quantum length:  $r_c = \sqrt{r_c^2} = \sqrt{c_t k_t} = \sqrt{c_m k_m} = \sqrt{c_q k_q} \approx 4.0513505432 \cdot 10^{-35} \ m \ (5.41)$ .
  - (a) Newton's gravitational constant, G, and Coulomb's constant,  $k_e$ , are not valid, where the distance, r, is sufficiently small that the quantum effects start to become measurable.
  - (b) Gravitational time dilation peaks at  $r_c$ .
  - (c) Black holes have measurable sizes > 0 (are not singularities).
  - (d) The finite gravity-charge well allows radioactivity, quantum tunneling, and possibly black hole evaporation.
  - (e) As the kinetic energy (temperature) decreases, more particles will stay within their gravity-charge well distance,  $r_c$ , allowing superconductivity and Bose-Einstein condensates.
- (10) A discrete value cannot be mapped to continuously varying values. Therefore, the discrete spin states of two quantum entangled particles and the polarization states of two quantum entangled photons are independent of continuously varying distance and time interval lengths.

#### References

- [CG15] W. Conradie and V. Goranko, Logic and discrete mathematics, Wiley, 2015. ↑2
- [Che10] T.P. Cheng, Relativity, gravitation and cosmology: A basic introduction, Oxford Master Series in Physics, OUP Oxford, 2010. ↑9, 10
- $[Coq23] \ Coq, \ \textit{Coq proof assistant}, \ 2023. \ \texttt{https://coq.inria.fr/documentation}. \ \ \uparrow 2$
- [Ein15] A. Einstein, Relativity, the special and general theory, Princeton University Press, 2015. †9, 16
- [Gol76] R. R. Goldberg, Methods of real analysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1976.  $\uparrow 1,\, 2$
- [Jai11] M.C. Jain, Quantum mechanics: A textbook for undergraduates, PHI Learning Private Limited, New Delhi, India, 2011. ↑11
- [Joy98] D. E. Joyce, Euclid's elements, 1998. http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~djoyce/java/elements/elements.html. ↑14
- [Lee10] J. M. Lee, Axiomatic geometry, American Mathematical Society, 2010. †14
- [Min53] H. Minkowski, Geometrie der zahlen, Chelsea, 1953. reprint. ↑2, 15
- [Rud76] W. Rudin, Principles of mathematical analysis, McGraw Hill Education, 1976. ↑1, 2, 14
- [Wey52] H. Weyl, Space-time-matter, Dover Publications Inc, 1952. ↑9, 14