Problems3and4

October 23, 2024

 \bullet This code can be found at: https://github.com/trentbellinger/Math-156/tree/main/Homework%203

0.1 Problem 3

```
[1]: import numpy as np
    import pandas as pd
    # Sigmoid function
    def compute_sigmoid(z):
        return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-z))
    # Loss function
    def compute_loss(X, y, w):
        return -np.sum((1 - y) * np.log(compute_sigmoid(X @ w)) + y * np.log(1 -
      # Mini-Batch SGD
    def get_weights(X, y, batch_size, fixed_learning_rate, max_iterations):
        num_samples, num_features = X.shape
        weights = np.random.randn(num_features) # initialize weights with Gaussian_
      \rightarrow distribution
        # Training with mini-batch SGD
        for iteration in range(max_iterations):
            for start in range(0, num_samples, batch_size):
                end = min(start + batch_size, num_samples)
                X_batch = X[start:end]
                y_batch = y[start:end]
                gradient = (compute_sigmoid(X_batch @ weights) - y_batch) @ X_batch
                weights -= fixed_learning_rate * gradient
        return weights
    def predict_new(X, weights):
```

```
return (compute_sigmoid(X @ weights) >= 0.5).astype(int)
```

0.2 Problem 4

0.2.1 Problem 4(a)

0.2.2 Problem 4(b)

0.2.3 Problem 4(c)

```
[4]: print("Number of benign in training:", np.sum(y_train == 0))
    print("Number of malignant in training:", np.sum(y_train == 1))
    print("Number of benign in validation:", np.sum(y_val == 0))
    print("Number of malignant in validation:", np.sum(y_val == 1))
```

```
Number of benign in training: 250
Number of malignant in training: 148
Number of benign in validation: 36
Number of malignant in validation: 21
```

0.2.4 Problem 4(d)

```
[5]: weights = get_weights(X_train, y_train, fixed_learning_rate=0.0001, 

⇒batch_size=10, max_iterations=100000)
```

0.2.5 Problem 4(e)

Accuracy: 0.956140350877193

Precision: 0.975

Recall: 0.9069767441860465 F1-Score: 0.9397590361445783

0.2.6 Problem 4(f)

The model has an accuracy of 0.956, so it correctly classifies 95.6% of the breast cancer. The recall is relatively low in comparison to the other measures, which indicates that the model is not as good at correctly predicting malignant breast cancer. This is not good, because the model should not miss potentially deadly predictions of malignant cancer. Overall, the model performs very well, even with the mini-batch gradient descent, which was used to reduce computational intensiveness.