THE EFFECT OF ROLE-BASED MOCKS ON TEST COUPLING IN INTERPRETED LANGUAGES

CHRISTIAN TREPPO



To Test A Mocking Bird

Master of Science (MSc)
Web Technologies
School Of Computing
National College of Ireland

August 3, 2014 – version 0.1

Christian Treppo: The Effect Of Role-Based Mocks on Test Coupling in Interpreted Languages, To Test A Mocking Bird, © August 3, 2014 [August 3, 2014 at 12:48 – role–mock version 0.1]

If you just learn a single trick, Scout, you'll get along a lot better with all kinds of folks. You never really understand a person until you consider things from his point of view... Until you climb inside of his skin and walk around in it.

— Atticus Finch in *To Kill A Mockingbird* (1962)

Explicit Interfaces – sometimes also called Protocols – are a common feature of dynamically and statically typed, compiled languages. At compile time, an object's method signatures are checked against the signatures defined in the interface to ensure type compliance. Such an object's client object can then use any instance implementing this protocol with the type safety, the compiler provides. Programming to an interface instead of programming to concrete implementations is a practice, that decreases coupling between components and therefore increases maintainability. In the test driven development it is the foundation for the use of Mock Objects, that stand in for a collaborator and implement the same interface, to isolate the object under test from all other parts of the system it is connected to. Mock Objects are an effective tool to drive software design, as they can stand in for objects, that are not even implemented yet, and lead to the discovery of new objects and their interfaces.

In interpreted languages, that are compiled with a Just–In–Time compiler at runtime, strict type safety with interfaces is not possible. Nevertheless it is common practice – often referred to as Duck Typing – to program to a collaborator's interface, even though it is an implicitly and not externally defined interface. Mock Objects in the tests then also implement that same interface. The absence of static type checking can lead to the problem, that the Mock Object's interface and the real object's interface diverge without noticing – the tests would still pass as they use the Mock Object and really test the wrong behavior. This can be hard to debug and make the tests unreliable.

By implementing explicitly defined Protocols in a testing environment, that Mock Objects and concrete objects are checked against, this divergence could be avoided, making tests and mock objects more reliable.

Put your acknowledgments here.

Many thanks to everybody who already sent me a postcard!
Regarding the typography and other help, many thanks go to Marco
Kuhlmann, Philipp Lehman, Lothar Schlesier, Jim Young, Lorenzo Pantieri
and Enrico Gregorio¹, Jörg Sommer, Joachim Köstler, Daniel Gottschlag,

and Enrico Gregorio¹, Jörg Sommer, Joachim Köstler, Daniel Gottschlag, Denis Aydin, Paride Legovini, Steffen Prochnow, Nicolas Repp, Hinrich Harms, Roland Winkler, Jörg Weber, and the whole Lagovinity for support, ideas and some great software.

Regarding LyX: The LyX port was intially done by *Nicholas Mariette* in March 2009 and continued by *Ivo Pletikosić* in 2011. Thank you very much for your work and the contributions to the original style.

¹ Members of GuIT (Gruppo Italiano Utilizzatori di TEX e LATEX)

CONTENTS

BIBLIOGRAPHY

13

```
1 LITERATURE REVIEW
  1.1 Introduction
  1.2 Coupling Measurement in Object-Oriented Programming
  1.3 Maintainability
  1.4 Testing With Mocks
      Conclusion
2 BACKGROUND
  2.1 Introduction
   2.2 Problem Definition
                            3
  2.3 Ruby
       Testing With Rspec-Mocks
                                  3
  2.4
       Conclusion
3 SYSTEM MODEL
  3.1 Introduction
                      5
  3.2 Requirements For Role-Based Mocks
                                          5
  3.3 Design And Implementation
  3.4 Conclusion
4 RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS
                               7
  4.1 Introduction
  4.2 Methodology
  4.3 Analysis
  4.4 Conclusion
5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK
A APPENDIX TEST
                     11
```

LIST	OF	FIGURES	.		
LIST	OF	TABLES			
LIST	ING	S			
ACRO	ONY	MS			

LITERATURE REVIEW

- 1.1 INTRODUCTION
- 1.2 COUPLING MEASUREMENT IN OBJECT-ORIENTED PROGRAM-
- 1.3 MAINTAINABILITY
- 1.4 TESTING WITH MOCKS
- 1.5 CONCLUSION

BACKGROUND

- 2.1 INTRODUCTION
- 2.2 PROBLEM DEFINITION
- 2.3 RUBY
- 2.4 TESTING WITH RSPEC-MOCKS
- 2.5 CONCLUSION

3

SYSTEM MODEL

- 3.1 INTRODUCTION
- 3.2 REQUIREMENTS FOR ROLE-BASED MOCKS
- 3.3 DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION
- 3.4 CONCLUSION

4

RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

- 4.1 INTRODUCTION
- 4.2 METHODOLOGY
- 4.3 ANALYSIS
- 4.4 CONCLUSION

A

APPENDIX TEST

Hitz, M. and Montazeri, B. (1995), Measuring coupling and cohesion in object-oriented systems, *in* 'Proceedings of the International Symposium on Applied Corporate Computing', Vol. 50, pp. 75–76.

COLOPHON

This document was typeset using the typographical look-and-feel classicthesis developed by André Miede. The style was inspired by Robert Bringhurst's seminal book on typography "*The Elements of Typographic Style*". classicthesis is available for both Later and Late

http://code.google.com/p/classicthesis/

Happy users of classicthesis usually send a real postcard to the author, a collection of postcards received so far is featured here:

http://postcards.miede.de/

DECLARATION

I hereby certify, that this material which I now submit for assessment leading to the award of Master of Science in Web Technology is entirely my own work and has not been taken from the work of others—save and to the extent that such work has been cited and acknowledged within the text of my work.

Dublin, August 3, 2014	
	Christian Treppo