Anticipated expenses

I will need a desktop ($\sim\$1,000$) for code development and small scale simulations. For high performance computing needs, I will apply for a cluster allocation through the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which has no cost. The only software that I will need, Python and Pylith (Aagaard et al., 2013), are free and open source. Additional expenses will include travel for conferences ($\sim\$3,000$) and publication fees ($\sim\$2,000$). In total, I do not expect my research expenses to significantly exceed \$6,000.

References

Aagaard, B. T., Knepley, M. G., and Williams, C. A. (2013). A domain decomposition approach to implementing fault slip in finite-element models of quasi-static and dynamic crustal deformation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 118(6):3059–3079.

Barbot, S., Fialko, Y., and Bock, Y. (2009). Postseismic deformation due to the Mw 6.0 2004 Parkfield earthquake: Stress-driven creep on a fault with spatially variable rate-and-state friction parameters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 114:1–26.

Brune, J. N., Henyey, T. L., and Roy, R. F. (1969). Heat flow, stress, and rate of slip along the San Andreas Fault, California. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 74(15):3821–3827.

Byerlee, J. (1978). Friction of rocks. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 116:615–626.

Carpenter, B. M., Marone, C., and Saffer, D. M. (2011). Weakness of the San Andreas Fault revealed by samples from the active fault zone. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(4):251–254.

Du, Y., Aydin, A., and Segall, P. (1992). Comparison of various inversion techniques as applied to the determination of a geophysical deformation model for the 1983 Borah Peak earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 82(4):1840–1866.

Field, E. H., Arrowsmith, R. J., Biasi, G. P., Bird, P., Dawson, T. E., Felzer, K. R., Jackson, D. D., Johnson, K. M., Jordan, T. H., Madden, C., Michael, A. J., Milner, K. R., Page, M. T., Parsons, T., Powers, P. M., Shaw, B. E., Thatcher, W. R., Weldon, R. J., and Zeng, Y. (2014). Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast, version 3 (UCERF3) -The time-independent model. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 104(3):1122–1180.

Fukuda, J. and Johnson, K. M. (2008). A fully Bayesian inversion for spatial distribution of fault slip with objective smoothing. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 98(3):1128–1146.

Johanson, I. A., Fielding, E. J., Rolandone, F., and Bürgmann, R. (2006). Coseismic and postseismic slip of the 2004 Parkfield earthquake from space-geodetic data. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(4 B):269–282.

Johnson, K. M., Bürgmann, R., and Larson, K. (2006). Factional properties on the San Andreas fault near Parkfield, California, inferred from models of afterslip following the 2004 earthquake. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(4 B):321–338.

Johnson, K. M., Fukuda, J., and Segall, P. (2012). Challenging the rate-state asperity model: Afterslip following the 2011 M9 Tohoku-oki, Japan, earthquake. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(20):1–5.

Kanamori, H. and Anderson, D. L. (1975). Theoretical basis of some empirical relations in seismology. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 65(5):1073–1095.

Langbein, J., Murray, J. R., and Snyder, H. A. (2006). Coseismic and initial postseismic deformation from the 2004 Parkfield, California, earthquake, observed by global positioning system, electronic distance meter, creepmeters, and borehole strainmeters. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(4 B):304–320.

Lawson, C. L. and Hanson, R. J. (1995). Solving least squares problems. *SIAM classics in applied mathematics*, 15:337.

Minson, S. E., Simons, M., and Beck, J. L. (2013). Bayesian inversion for finite fault earthquake source models I-theory and algorithm. *Geophysical Journal International*, 194(3):1701–1726.

Murray, J. and Langbein, J. (2006). Slip on the San Andreas fault at Parkfield, California, over two earthquake cycles, and the implications for seismic hazard. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(4 B):283–303.

Okada, Y. (1992). Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half space. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 82(2):1018–1040.

Page, M. T., Custódio, S., Archuleta, R. J., and Carlson, J. M. (2009). Constraining earthquake source inversions with GPS data: 1. Resolution-based removal of artifacts. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 114:1–13.

Shearer, P. M., Prieto, G. a., and Hauksson, E. (2006). Comprehensive analysis of earthquake source spectra in southern California. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111:1–21.

Simpson, R. W., Barall, M., Langbein, J., Murray, J. R., and Rymer, M. J. (2006). San Andreas fault geometry in the Parkfield, California, region. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, 96(4 B):28–37.

Sun, J., Johnson, K. M., Cao, Z., Shen, Z., Bürgmann, R., and Xu, X. (2011). Mechanical constraints on inversion of coseismic geodetic data for fault slip and geometry: Example from InSAR observation of the 6 October 2008 M w 6.3 Dangxiong-Yangyi (Tibet) earthquake. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 116(1):1–20.

Wallace, R. E. (1951). Geometry of Shearing Stress and Relation to Faulting. *The Journal of Geology*, 59(2):118–130.

Yabuki, T. and Matsuura, M. (1992). Geodetic Data Inversion Using a Bayesian Information Criterion for Spatial-Distribution of Fault Slip. *Geophysical Journal International*, 109(2):363–375.

Zoback, M. D., Zoback, M. L., Mount, V. S., Suppe, J., Eaton, J. P., Healy, J. H., Oppenheimer, D., Reasenberg, P., Jones, L., Raleigh, C. B., Wong, G., Scotti, O., and Wentworth, C. (1987). New Evidence on the State of Stress of the San Andreas Fault System Linked. 238(4830):1105–1111.

Trever T. Hines
Resumé

Contact Information

Earth and Environmental Sciences
University of Michigan
2534 CC Little Bldg
1100 North University Ave
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1005
Phone: 217-766-4445
hinest@umich.edu

Citizenship: United States; social security: XXX-XX-3001

Research Opportunity

RO 16-15: Novel crustal deformation models for characterizing earthquake hazard and its uncertainties in the western U.S.

I became aware of the Mendenhall research fellowship through my colleagues who have spoken highly of the program. I become aware of this particular project after communicating with potential advisor Sarah Minson.

Education

PhD candidate, *University of Michigan, Ann Arbor*   2012-present
   Geophysics (certificate in Computational Discovery and Engineering)
   GPA: 3.92 / 4.00
   Advisor: Eric A. Hetland
   Expected graduation: Spring 2017
   Thesis: Postseismic and interseismic signature of viscoelasticity in the
       lower crust and upper mantle

BS, *University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign*   2008 - 2012
   Geology (minor in Natural Resource Conservation)
   GPA: 3.67 / 4.00

Research Positions

Ecological modeling intern, *Smithsonian Environmental Research Center*   Summer 2011
   • Created statistical models to describe spatial variability in blue crab
     populations throughout Chesapeake Bay

Crop science research assistant, *University of Illinois*   2010 - 2011

Teaching Experience

Michigan Math and Science Scholars instructor, *University of Michigan*   Summer 2015
- Co-taught a summer course for high school students on the mathematics of natural hazard

Graduate student instructor, *University of Michigan*   Fall 2014 and 2015
- Led labs for EARTH 468, Data Analysis and Model Estimation

Publications

Hines, T. T., and E. A. Hetland (submitted to J. Geophys. Res.), Rheologic constraints on the upper mantle from five years of postseismic deformation following the El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake.

Hines, T. T., and E. A. Hetland (2016), Rapid and simultaneous estimation of fault slip and heterogeneous lithospheric viscosity from post-seismic deformation. Geophys. J. Int. 204, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggv477.

Hines, T. T., and E. A. Hetland (2013), Bias in estimates of lithosphere viscosity from interseismic deformation. Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, doi:10.1002/grl.50839.

Abstracts

Hines, T. T. and E. A. Hetland (2015 invited speaker), Kalman filter based estimation of lithospheric viscosity and fault slip from postseismic deformation: application to the 2010 El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake. American Geophysical Union 2015 Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.

Hines, T. T. and E. A. Hetland (2015), Inversion of postseismic deformation for lithospheric viscosity and fault slip. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics: Geosciences 2015 meeting, Stanford CA.

Hines, T. T. and E. A. Hetland (2014), Direct inversion of postseismic deformation for lithospheric viscosity structure and fault slip. American Geophysical Union 2014 Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.

Hines, T. T. and E. A. Hetland (2014), Determination of lithosphere rheology from interseismic deformation and implications for fault stress accumulation. Seismological Society of America 2014 Annual Meeting, Anchorage, AK.

Hines, T. T. and E. A. Hetland (2013), Bias in estimates of lithosphere viscosity from interseismic deformation. American Geophysical Union 2013 Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.

Hines, T. T. and E. A. Hetland (2013), Evaluating geodetic constraints on the strength of the lithosphere. Michigan Geophysical Union, Ann Arbor, MI.

Workshop Attendance

CIG Crustal Deformation Modeling Workshop, *Stanford University*   Summer 2014
- One week course on using Pylith, a finite element program for modeling lithosphere dynamics

InSAR: An introduction to ISCE and GIAnT, *UNAVCO*   Summer 2014
- Three day course on processing Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) data

Field Work

Wasatch-Uinta Summer Field Camp, *University of Illinois*   Summer 2012
- Six week field mapping course in the Wasatch and Uinta mountains in Utah

The Geology of County Clare, Western Eire, *University of Illinois*   Spring 2012
- Two week course studying the sedimentology, paleoecology and evolution of a sedimentary basin with an emphasis on hydrocarbon exploration

Honors / Involvement

Best Graduate Student Instructor Award, University of Michigan   2015
Seismological Society of America, member   2013-present
American Geophysical Union, member   2013-present
Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, member   2012-present
Outstanding Senior Award, University of Illinois   2012

References

Eric Hetland
          email: ehetland@umich.edu; phone: 734-615-3177

Jeroen Ritsema
          email: jritsema@umich.edu; phone: 734-615-6405

Larry Ruff
          email: ruff@umich.edu; phone: 734-763-9301

Abstracts of Manuscripts

Bias in estimates of lithosphere viscosity from interseismic deformation:

The estimation of uniform viscosities representing the lower crust and uppermost mantle from postseismic or interseismic deformation (i.e., apparent viscosities) is inherently biased with respect to a depth dependence of the viscosities within each layer. Estimates are biased toward a more viscous lower crust or a less viscous lithospheric mantle, depending on the relative geometric mean viscosities of the two layers. When there is a low-viscosity shear zone beneath the fault, apparent viscosities are close to that of the shear zone immediately after the earthquake, although the apparent viscosities increase significantly during the later interseismic period. Inferences made from interseismic deformation that the lower crust is more viscous than the upper mantle may be entirely consistent with depth-dependent viscosity profiles that have a significant increase in viscosity from the lowermost crust to the uppermost mantle.

Rapid and simultaneous estimation of fault slip and heterogeneous lithospheric viscosity from post-seismic deformation:

Post-seismic deformation is commonly attributed to viscoelastic relaxation and/or afterslip, although discerning between the two driving mechanisms can be difficult. A major complication in modeling post-seismic deformation is that forward models can be computationally expensive, making it difficult to adequately search model space to find the optimal fault slip distribution and lithospheric viscosity structure that can explain observable post-seismic deformation. We propose an inverse method which uses coseismic and early post-seismic deformation to rapidly and simultaneously estimate a fault slip history and an arbitrarily discretized viscosity structure of the lithosphere. Our method is based on an approximation which is applicable to the early post-seismic period and expresses surface deformation resulting from viscoelastic relaxation as a linearized function with respect to lithospheric fluidity. We demonstrate this approximation using two-dimensional earthquake models. We validate the approximation and our inverse method using two three-dimensional synthetic tests. The success of our synthetic tests suggests that our method is capable of distinguishing the mechanisms driving early post-seismic deformation and recovering an effective viscosity structure of the lithosphere.

Rheologic constraints on the upper mantle from five years of postseismic deformation following the El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake:

Five years of postseismic deformation following the Mw7.2 El Mayor-Cucapah earthquake reveals transient deformation that decays back to its pre-earthquake trend after about three years at epicentral distances greater than $\sim$200 km. At closer distances, the rapid transience decays to a sustained rate which exceeds its pre-earthquake trend. We attempt to determine the mechanisms driving this deformation, where we consider afterslip at seismogenic depths and viscoelastic relaxation in the lower crust and upper mantle as candidate mechanisms. We find that early, rapid, near-field deformation can be explained with afterslip on the fault that ruptured coseismically, while the later, sustained, near-field deformation can be explained with either continued afterslip in an effectively elastic lower crust, or lower crustal viscoelastic relaxation with a steady-state viscosity of $\sim$10^{19} Pa s. The trend in far-field deformation is best explained

with a transient viscosity of $\sim 10^{18}$ Pa s in the upper mantle. We argue that a transient rheology in the mantle is preferable over a Maxwell rheology because it better predicts the decay in postseismic deformation, and also because it does not conflict with the generally higher, steady-state viscosities inferred from studies of geophysical processes occurring over longer time-scales.