

right

More updated to-do: - come up with environmental test cases (spreadsheet)

1 Environmental Impacts

1.1 Environmental Influence on Hyphal Tip Extension (ν)

Environmental impacts are introduced to the decomposition model through ν , the hyphal tip elongation rate. This elongation occurs at tip of the hyphae via vesicles known as Spitzenkorper, but the mechanism of this extension remains unknown [?]. As described in Gervais et al. 1999, "cell turgor pressure corresponds to an overpressure which allows the cell morphology, elongation, division and hence the biomass evolution" [?]. In fungi, cell turgor itself is respondent to water potential gradients rather than to active transport within the cell, making it very environmentally dependent [?]. Thus, we have reason to examine environmental impacts on fungal decomposition rates by varying ν outside of baselines for given species in set locations.

This brings us to the issue of determining ν based on environmental factors. Experimental data from Maynard et al. 2019 shows the relationship between ν and the environmental parameters of water potential and temperature [?]. Water potential as a parameter will take into account both moisture content to fungi as well as the availability of that moisture as dictated by the soil composition.

1.2 Estimating ν For Various Environments

When considering a sampling of decomposition rates in various environment types, we must determine how to estimate temperate and water potentials that ground fungi would experience. In the case of determining ν , this requires finding projected temperature and water potential.

Amongst existing biome classification models, Whitaker’s scheme [?] is perhaps the simplest, being particularly trait-based. Whitaker’s scheme provides a layout of biomes based on mean annual temperature and mean annual precipitation. However, modern classification of biomes has drifted away from using these traits as definitive biome identifiers [?]. These traits alone do not define all features of concern to fungal growth, such as soil composition for example. Thus, we can use a combination of Whitaker’s scheme and additional profiling of the scheme to examine the advantages and disadvantages of different species in different environments. We will sample within the temperature range of Whitaker’s scheme: -15° to 30° C of mean annual temperature.

Although water potentials can be approximated based on predictive models, the measure is best found experimentally from soil samples [?]. Ranges for moisture potential of these environments have been found experimentally from a variety of studies is shown in the following table. Note that these potentials are for various seasons, as we are aiming to compare discrete environmental conditions rather than create a complete span of environmental conditions.

¹Measurements taken November through January at 100 cm soil depth.

Biome/ Environment	Specific Location	Water Potential [MPa]
Dessert (Arid)	Sonoran Desrt, USA	-4.0 to -5.0 MPa [?]
Grasslands/shrublands (Semi-Arid)	Grasslands, central Argentina ¹	-1.4 to -5.0 [?]
Temperate Forest	Sal Forests, central Himalayas	-0.44 (Fall) to -1.81 (Summer) [?]
Boreal Forest (Arboreal)	Pine Forests, central Himalayas	-0.83 (Fall) to -3.36 (Summer) [?]
Tropical Rain Forest	Tropical Karst Forests	

Given these estimates, we can have a probable example combination of temperature and moisture potential in various environments. Note that these are not wholly representative configurations, but rather examples to provide insight into how fungal species with specific traits may respond in discrete and distinct conditions likely to exist.

We can then find ν using experimental data from Maynard et al 2019. **** (talk about continuous data technique thingy in the extra methods info sheet)

1.3 Other Responses to Temperature and Water Potential

1.4 Responses to Other Environmental Parameters

****this whole part is chaos*** The mean soil profile distributions by percentage is [?] ²:

Biome/ Environment	Sand [%]	Silt[%]	Clay[%]
Dessert (Arid)	43.21	33.67	23.05
Grasslands/shrublands (Semi-Arid)	45.14	34.71	20.15
Temperate Forest	45.42	34.97	19.59
Boreal Forest (Arboreal)	50.01	32.77	17.22
Tropical Rain Forest	42.42	27.05	30.53

To define soil characteristics of various sample biomes within Whitaker's scheme, we can refer to the sampling documented in , which describes soil properties found in the top 30 cm.

Thus, we will use the two aforementioned schemes to provide us with a more focused selection of the following environments, whose properties will be expanded upon:

- Arid: We will focus on the arid biome of an arid dry desert. We will anticipate
- Semi-arid: We will focus on the semi-arid biome of a semi-arid.
- Temperate: We will focus on a temperate climate of temperate forests
- Arboreal
- Tropical rain forests

²Note that here we neglect uncertainties for these percentages, as we need only a general estimate of the biome.

2 Interactions

2.1 Niches

Evidence has pointed towards the development of niches in fungal communities; in particular, niches for slow growing but more resilient species of fungi vs quicker-growing but more sensitive material [?]. In addition, there are specialized lignin-consuming and slow growing strains [?].

3 Model Parameters

Here are the model parameters for the coupled decomposition and growth model for *Armillaria gallica* located at 30.465247 degrees latitude and -89.040298 degree longitude secreting cellobiohydrolase (Cel7A) to decompose hardwood holocellulose [?] [?]:

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units	Source and Specification
Half-Saturation constant ³	K_e	7	$\frac{g_{enzyme}}{L_{litter}}$	[?] Enzyme
Holocellulose carbon ⁴	$1 - LCI^5$	0.709	N/A	[?]
Hyphal tip elongation rate	ν	0.250	$\frac{mm}{day}$	[?] Species, ψ , T
Temperature	T	25	$^{\circ}C$	[?] Specie's habitat
Water potential	ψ	-0.5	MPa	[?]
Enzyme biomass ratio ⁶	r	0.437	$\frac{g}{g}$	[?] Species
Hyphal death rate	γ_1	0.15	day^{-1}	[?]
Anastomosis coefficient	μ	0.3	$\frac{mm}{day}$	[?]
Branching rate	α_1	1.2372	day^{-1}	[?]
Intercept of S_M function ⁷	α_2	0.311	N/A	[?]
Slope of S_M function	λ	0.345	N/A	[?]
Soil moisture coefficient	S_M	0.4149	N/A	[?] ψ
Soil temperature coefficient	S_T	1	N/A	[?] T
Rate constant ⁸	G	10	$g * mm^{-1} * day^{-1}$	[?] ⁹

³Also called Michaelis Constant.

⁴We assume that all carbon compounds excluding lignin are holocellulose.

⁵Where LCI is the lignocellulose index.

⁶Proportion of specific enzyme biomass to total enzyme biomass.

⁷The intercept of soil moisture effect on decay rate.

⁸Proportionality constant between maximum rate of decomposition and enzyme biomass.

⁹Emprically derived.