```
##Week 3 ##
```

we almay ortinal iteals

Ly addition subvings that an closed under scaling

Properties of ideals

- · trivial, proper, improper
- · generators: + finited commented

~> principle

Example 1: Ris any ring

trivial iteal: I= 40%

improper iten: I=R

Proposition: Suppose I is an iteal in a ring with unity

Then TFAE:

OI is improper, is. I=R

@I contains a unit je there is a unit we I (with multiplicative incres)

(3) 1 E I

proof (0=) (0) I=R=> 1 eI which is a whit

@=> 3 Suppose you have a unit

then u has an inverse clement u-1 ER

I idul => 1=10-1:10 EI

(3-> () Suppose 16 I, time my ref, the rer!

and rilet became I ideal

=> I=R

Corrolary 1 (Roy's salvess come lary)

Suppose Fi, a field on IsFi, on ideal

then I is trivial or improper

Complan 2: Suppose Fire field

then every homomorphism d:F-R when Ri, (nontrivial) ring

is injective

proof: Kerld) is a iteal so it wither trivial (=) injustice)

or Ker(d)=F (b/c \$(1=)=12) so usit happen

```
Suppose R ; a commutative ving (w/1)
      Let ACR be any subset
      Then the items generated by A is the smallest items in A their contains A
    Notational options:
             1: (V)
           1: <A>>
            3: RA
         Gian an item ISR, we say:
        · I is generated by A if I=(A)
        · I is finitely generated if I = (A) for some finite set A
        · I is principle if I = ({a})=(a) for an element weA
     1) (A)= { = r; a; : r; eR, a; eA}
Example 1: In I all items ISI are of the form
                                               I=(n)=n7L={nk: keZ}
 Example: in Z[x]
                (7) = { Jb(x): b(x) = S(x) = JS(x)
                (2,x)={2pa)+xq(x), da, (cyes[v]}
 Example 2 (cont.) (2,6) = { 2kx 6m: km e72 } = {2(kx 3m): km e72}
          claim (2,x) is not as principle jobal (=> not every jobal in 2[x] is principle)
       Find chak (2,x)={2p(x)+xq(x):p(x),q(x) \( Z \( Z x \) \}
                                                               = { f(x) \in \( \text{X}\) \( 
                      quick corequence. (2,x) is proper, 10(2,x)
           How suppose (2,x) is principle (2,x)=(q(x))
                                  than () 2 = (2, x)= (g(x)) => 2= p(x) g(x) for some p(x)
```

Generators for ideals:

```
Using begree, this implies play good on constant
         glates or glast 2
     If g(a)=±1 are units (so (g(a))=R) so no
       gw= ±1
   Then XE(2,x) = (g(x)) => x=p(x)g(x)=p(x)(x))
       so not possible
        = (2,x) is not principle
 Prime and Musimal items
 There Form ideal ICR
          properties of I are proportion of BYI
  Recall: For each iteal IER we have a "natural projection"
                   T: R> P/T
                      - 1- ++ I = { ++1: 16 I }
    Remembe: arI=bi [ 63 a-be I
Example: 0
     ISR is proper ( NI is nontrivial
Example (3: In 72, look at ideal (12)=1272
     recalling libers in I am principle; of the form (n) for NEZ
         2 (m) c(n) es a divider m
              ℤ=(1)=(-1)
                                          1/127 = (1127/)=(5122)=(7112)
                                             (2+122) (3+127)=(9+127)
                                    (81127) = (4+1271)
                                                        (6+1272)
                                               (0+1271: {01127}
                (0) = {0}
      FACT Lattic isomorphism theorem for rings
       1. There is a bijection Liberty JEI in Ry + Eidenly I in B/I/
                             7 1 7 (1)= (1) x (1)
```

元(5)(一) 〕

```
2. The is a bijection of "Inttices" i.e. it as parts in charge, intersections
       Maximal ideals
        Def: A proper item! I'SR is maximal it it it's maximal amount proper items (ordered by inclusion) i.e.
           if I is an ideal with I EJSR then either J=I or J=R
in ILX] Last time: J= (2,x) is a proper itent
                (3~15/m I=(x)={xp(x): p(x) < V[x]}
                                               pry 262= (7'x)
           Definitely (x) & (2, x) & TL[x]
                                                       but 24 (x)
                   => (x) $(1,x) $ 7(6)
                                                757
                                                  (1,1)
                                                   (x) Not maximal
        Theorem: A proper item! ISR is maximul
        proof: ICR is musimal (=) only ideals in R that contain I am I and R
                         = latter 7,0 thm the only steady in the quotient my on I/I= triv.
                         and BI
                            R/I is a field
      Ex (1) (wort.) (1) 572 (x) is not maximal (=) 72(2)/(x) is not a field
          iten: try to involve first isomorphism theorem
               Then = (x)={x.p(x):p(x) < Z[L]} = {f(x) < Z[L]:f(0)=0}
                                               = Ker (eva)
                                 E(V) H E(O) IMAGES IT
                      when ev: 7L[x] > 7L
               => 2[0] = 2[0] / = inlev.)=72

Not a field ::
```

```
Exercísic: 4: ZCJ-72/12
            fu) >> f(0)+27/
    clain: Ker(4)=(2,x)
           im(b): 11/22 = 71/27 Fell
          so (Lix) is maximal
   but Z is an injegral domain
  Theorem: Improve ICR is a proper iteal
      then I is prime
           R/I is an integral domain
  proof: R/I integral domain (=) if (a+I)(b+I)=0 then a+I=0+I .. V+I=U+I
                                ab+ I = 0+ I
                      SifabeI , then either all or beI
                       ← I is prime
    Pef: A proper iteal is prime if whenever abeI, then at I or be I
   Observations / facts:
       ( All maximal iteals are prime
      1) Every proper iteal is continued in a maximal iteal
                     (need: Zorn's lemma)
      3 In Z: all the prine ideals are maximal
   Intertion for ideals: subspaces of a vector space
          special case: principle ideals (r) = {cr: ceR}
                                         "span of "
     Claim: risa unit when by is improper/(r)=R
     (3) rica unit => show is some clament VER 1.7. N=1
             1=4x f(x) => (x)= B
      (E) Suppose (r)=R
           then le(r) => 1=cr for some ceR
                  =) r is a unit
```

```
Ex: in 2 (all items are principle)
      (6) = { ..., -6,0,6, ...}
        Claim: they is not a prime iteal
      Pro. F: 2,34(6) has 2.3=66(6)
      Proof: Look at 74/672 not a bomain
            b/ 2,3 +0 bm 3.3 =0
      (x)={...,-1,9,2,4,...}=2Z
         claim: (2) (14 prime that
         proof: Look at 2/274 " a field (some integral domain)
                  =) (2) is maximal (this prime)
         proof: Suppose use (2) for some ab & 7/
               so 2/ ub (=) ab= 2k for som kETL
               => 2/4 or 2/6
                = a. (2) .. Le(2) => (2) is prime
       note that in I (1) = The (neither man or prince)
                       (0)= {o} (prime but not marrial)
                72/303 = 72 (int. domain but not a field)
      Ex 3: 1R[x]
           (5) = {5 t(x): t(x) e (K(x))} = K(x)
           (x) = {x f(x): f(x) e | R[x]}
              = { q(x) < |R[x]: q(x): (,x) < ,x x ... }
                = 4 q(a) = 1R(a): q(0)=0}
       Claim: x is a prime item
         proof 1: Suppose (a) glatek) too som IRCATER(A), glat
              => flag (x) =x h(x) for your he |RLI]
        x=0: f(0).g(0)=0 => 10 f(0)=0 or g(0)=0
            1. fe(x) .. ge(x)
       proof: R[x]/(x)
               find home. $: |R[] > S
```

~ Ke(4)= (x)