

Title

Authors

1 Koornwinder Polynomials

1.1 Properties and Basic Results of Koornwinder Polynomials

From [2, Eq. (2.1)], the sequence of Koornwinder polynomials $\{K_n\}$ can be built from the Legendre polynomials L_n by

$$K_n(s) := -(1+s) \frac{d}{ds} + (n^2 + n + 1)L_n(s), \quad s \in [-1, 1], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.1)$$

Furthermore, we reproduce from [1, Prop. 3.1] some simple properties that $\{K_n\}$ satisfy.

Proposition 1.1. *The polynomial K_n defined in (1.1) is of degree n and admits the following expansion in terms of the Legendre polynomials:*

$$K_n(s) = - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (2j+1)L_j(s) + (n^2 + 1)L_n(s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \quad (1.2)$$

and the following normalization property holds:

$$K_n(1) = 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, the sequence given by

$$\{\mathcal{K}_n := (K_n, K_n(1)) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \quad (1.4)$$

forms an orthogonal basis of the product space

$$\mathcal{E} := L^2([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.5)$$

where \mathcal{E} is endowed with the following inner product:

$$\langle (f, a), (g, b) \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f(s)g(s) ds + ab, \quad (f, a), (g, b) \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover $\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{K}_n}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}} \right\}$ forms a Hilbert basis of \mathcal{E} where the norm $\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{K}_n induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ possesses the following analytic expression:

$$\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \sqrt{\frac{(n^2 + 1)((n+1)^2 + 1)}{2n + 1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.7)$$

Suppose that Π_N is the N -dimensional standard projection into $\text{span}\{\mathcal{K}_n : n \leq N\} \subset \mathcal{E}$. It will be relevant to discuss when we have convergence of $[\Pi_N u]^D$ for $u \in \mathcal{E}$. In particular, we will focus on uniform convergence. We define for $f \in L^2([-1, 1], \mathbb{R})$ the following:

$$a_n(f) := \frac{2n+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f(x) L_n(x) dx. \quad (1.8)$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $g \in C^2([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ and denote $\psi = (g, g(0)) \in \mathcal{E}$. Then the series*

$$[\Pi_N \psi]^D = \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\langle \psi, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} \mathcal{K}_n \quad (1.9)$$

converges uniformly to g .

Proof. It is easy to show based on (1.2) we have for $\theta \in [-1, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(\theta)| &\leq (n^2 + 1)|L_n(\theta)| + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (2j+1)|L_j(\theta)| \\ &\leq (n^2 + 1) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (2j+1) \\ &= 2n^2 + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

i.e., $\|K_n\|_{\infty} \leq 2n^2 + 1$.

By the definition of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$ and the Koornwinder polynomials, we have that for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f(x) K_n(x) dx + f(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[- \int_{-1}^1 f(x) (1+x) L'_n(x) dx + (n^2 + n + 1) \int_{-1}^1 f(x) L_n(x) dx \right] + f(1). \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

If we use integration by parts, we find that

$$- \int_{-1}^1 f(x) (1+x) L'_n(x) dx = -2f(1) + \int_{-1}^1 f'(x) (1+x) L_n(x) dx + \int_{-1}^1 f(x) L_n(x) dx. \quad (1.12)$$

Applying (1.12) to (1.11) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f'(x) (1+x) L_n(x) dx + \frac{n^2 + n + 2}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f(x) L_n(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f'(x) (1+x) L_n(x) dx + \frac{n^2 + n + 2}{2n+1} a_n(f). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

We can also note that by applying the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-1}^1 f'(x)(1+x)L_n(x) dx \right| &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \left(\int_{-1}^1 (1+x) dx \right)^{1/2} \|L_n\|_{L^2} \\ &= \frac{4\|f'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{6n+3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Furthermore, from [4, Thm. 2.1] we have

$$|a_n(f)| \leq \frac{V_1}{n - \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n}}, \quad (1.15)$$

where $V_1 := \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f''(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx < \infty$. Thus,

$$|\langle \psi, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \frac{2\|f'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{6n+3}} + V_1 \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{n^2 + n + 2}{\sqrt{n}(4n^2 + 1)}, \quad (1.16)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\langle \psi, \mathcal{K}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2} \|K_n\|_\infty &\leq \left[\frac{2\|f'\|_\infty}{\sqrt{6n+3}} + V_1 \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{n^2 + n + 2}{\sqrt{n}(4n^2 + 1)} \right] \times \left[\frac{2n+1}{(n^2+1)((n+1)^2+1)} \right] \times [2n^2+1] \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

By the Weierstrass M-test, the series (1.9) converges uniformly.

Note also that (1.9) is simply the functional part of the Koornwinder expansion of ψ in \mathcal{H} . So the series converges in $L^2([-1, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ to $\psi^D = f$. Therefore, since the series converges uniformly, it must converge uniformly to f . \square

It will also be necessary to prove certain properties of the series of Koornwinder polynomials

$$S_N(x) := \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{K_n}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \quad (1.18)$$

If we were to denote $\psi = (0, 1) \in L^2([-1, 1]) \times \mathbb{R}$, then S_N would simply be the functional part of $\Pi_N \psi$. The following lemma allows us to express S_N in terms of Legendre Polynomials.

Lemma 1.1. *The functions S_N defined in (1.18) can be expressed as*

$$S_N(x) = \frac{1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \sum_{n=0}^N (2n+1)L_n, \quad x \in [-1, 1]. \quad (1.19)$$

Proof. Using (1.2), we can show that for $m \leq N \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 S_N(x) L_m(x) dx &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} \int_{-1}^1 K_n(x) L_m(x) dx \\ &= \|L_m\|_{L^2([-1,1])}^2 \left[(m^2 + 1) \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_m\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} - (2m + 1) \sum_{k=m+1}^N \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_k\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

and so

$$S_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\frac{n^2 + 1}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} - (2n + 1) \sum_{m=n+1}^N \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_m\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} \right] L_n(x). \quad (1.21)$$

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{2n + 1}{(n^2 + 1)((n + 1)^2 + 1)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\frac{1}{n^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{(n + 1)^2 + 1} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(N + 1)^2 + 1} \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=n+1}^N \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_m\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} &= \sum_{m=0}^N \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_m\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} - \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{K}_m\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n + 1)^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{(N + 1)^2 + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

Applying (1.23) to (1.21) gives

$$\begin{aligned} S_N(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\frac{n^2 + 1}{\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2} - (2n + 1) \left(\frac{1}{(n + 1)^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{(N + 1)^2 + 1} \right) \right] L_n(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\frac{2n + 1}{(n + 1)^2 + 1} - \frac{2n + 1}{(n + 1)^2 + 1} + \frac{2n + 1}{(N + 1)^2 + 1} \right] L_n(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{2n + 1}{(N + 1)^2 + 1} L_n(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

□

Now that we have this expression, we can prove the properties of S_N that will be useful when showing the main result.

Proposition 1.3. *For the functions S_N defined in (1.18), we have that*

$$|S_N(x)| < 1, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1]. \quad (1.25)$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in (-1, 1). \quad (1.26)$$

Proof. It is known that

$$|L_n(x)| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1], \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.27)$$

Thus for $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |S_N(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \sum_{n=0}^N (2n+1) |L_n(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \sum_{n=0}^N (2n+1) \\ &= \frac{N^2 + 1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \\ &< 1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

From [3, Thm. 61], we also have that for $n \geq 1$ and $x \in (-1, 1)$

$$|L_n(x)| < \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n(1-x^2)}}. \quad (1.29)$$

Then for $x \in (-1, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |S_N(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^N (2n+1) |L_n(x)| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \left[1 + 3 \sum_{n=1}^N n \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2n(1-x^2)}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(N+1)^2 + 1} \left[1 + 3 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2(1-x^2)}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{n} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.30)$$

We can note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{n} &\leq \int_1^{N+1} \sqrt{x} \, dx \\ &= \frac{2}{3} (N+1)^{3/2} - \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.31)$$

So

$$|S_N(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)^2+1} \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{1-x^2}} \left((N+1)^{3/2} - 1 \right) \right], \quad (1.32)$$

where the right-hand side converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$. Thus $S_N(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $x \in (-1, 1)$. \square

2 Pointwise Convergence of Galerkin Solutions in X

2.1 The Space X

2.2 Pointwise Convergence

It will be helpful to prove a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *There is $C > 0$ such that for any $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

$$\|T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_X \leq C\|u(s) - u_N(s)\|_X, \quad (2.1)$$

where $t \in [0, T]$ and $s \in [0, t]$.

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_X^2 &= \|T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &\quad + \left| [T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))]^D(-\tau) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Note that for the first term on the right-hand side of (2.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq Me^{\omega(t-s)}\|\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq Me^{\omega T}\|\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= Me^{\omega T} \left| f([u(s)]^D(-\tau)) - f([u_N(s)]^D(-\tau)) \right| \\ &\leq LMe^{\omega T} |[u(s)]^D(-\tau) - [u_N(s)]^D(-\tau)| \\ &\leq LMe^{\omega T}\|u(s) - u_N(s)\|_X. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (2.2), we consider first the case when $t-s \geq \tau$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| [T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))]^D(-\tau) \right| &\leq \|T_N(t-s-\tau)\Pi(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq Me^{\omega T}\|\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq LMe^{\omega T}\|u(s) - u_N(s)\|_X. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Now consider the case when $t - s < \tau$. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
| [T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))]^D(-\tau) | &= | [\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))^D(t-s-\tau) | \\
&= | f([u(s)]^D(-\tau)) - f([u_N(s)]^D(-\tau)) | \cdot | S_N^\tau(t-s-\tau) | \\
&\leq L | [u(s)]^D(-\tau) - [u_N(s)]^D(-\tau) | \\
&\leq L \|u(s) - u_N(s)\|_X.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

If we define

$$C := \max\{L, LMe^{\omega T}\} \tag{2.6}$$

and apply (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) to (2.2), then we get that

$$\|T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_X \leq C \|u(s) - u_N(s)\|_X. \tag{2.7}$$

□

We introduce the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned}
r_N(t) &:= \|u(t) - u_N(t)\|_X, \\
\epsilon_N(t) &:= \|T(t)u_0 - T_N(t)\Pi_N u_0\|_X, \\
d_N(t, s) &:= \|(T(t-s) - T_N(t-s)\Pi_N)\mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_X.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

One can apply the variation-of-constants formula and the above definitions to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
r_N(t) &\leq \epsilon_N(t) + \int_0^t d_N(t, s) \, ds + \int_0^t \|T_N(t-s)\Pi_N(\mathcal{F}(u(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)))\|_X \, ds \\
&\leq \epsilon_N(t) + \int_0^t d_N(t, s) \, ds + C \int_0^t r_N(s) \, ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Applying Grönwall's inequality to (2.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
r_N(t) &\leq \left[\epsilon_N(t) + \int_0^t d_N(t, s) \, ds \right] + \int_0^t C e^{C(t-s)} \left[\epsilon_N(s) + \int_0^s d_N(s, r) \, dr \right] \, ds \\
&\leq \left[\epsilon_N(t) + \int_0^t d_N(t, s) \, ds \right] + C e^{CT} \int_0^t \left[\epsilon_N(s) + \int_0^s d_N(s, r) \, dr \right] \, ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We wish to show that $r_N(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed $t \in [0, T]$. To this end, we show that each term on the right-hand side of (2.10) converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $t \in [0, T]$ fixed. The following propositions will show this.

Proposition 2.1. *For fixed $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\epsilon_N(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \int_0^t \epsilon_N(s) \, ds \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.11}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. From the definition of the X norm, we have that

$$\epsilon_N(t)^2 = \|T(t)u_0 - T_N(t)\Pi_N u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + |[T(t)u_0]^D(-\tau) - [T_N(t)\Pi_N u_0]^D(-\tau)|^2. \quad (2.12)$$

The first term on the right-hand side converges uniformly to 0 by the Trotter-Kato theorem. For the second case, we again consider the case when $t \geq \tau$. Here we can apply the Trotter-Kato theorem again to $\|T(t-\tau)u_0 - T_N(t-\tau)\Pi_N u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ to get the term converges to zero. When $t < \tau$, the second term becomes

$$|u_0^D(t-\tau) - [\Pi_N u_0]^D(t-\tau)|^2 \quad (2.13)$$

which converges to 0 uniformly by (1.2). This gives that $\epsilon_N(t) \rightarrow 0$.

To show the other convergence, note that $\epsilon_N(s)$ converges pointwisely to 0 on $[0, t]$. Furthermore, we may uniformly bound $\epsilon_N(s)$ by again observing the equality (2.12) and applying the uniform bounds on $\|T_N(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and on $[\Pi_N u_0]^D$. Then by the Bounded Convergence Theorem, we have $\int_0^t \epsilon_N(s) ds \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proposition 2.2. For fixed $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_0^t d_N(t, s) ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \int_0^t \int_0^s d_N(s, r) dr ds \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.14)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We can again apply the definition of $\|\cdot\|_X$ to get that

$$\begin{aligned} d_N^2(t, s) = & \| (T(t-s) - T_N(t-s)\Pi_N) \mathcal{F}(u(s)) \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ & + |[T(t-s)\mathcal{F}(u(s))]^D(-\tau) - [T_N(t-s)\Pi_N \mathcal{F}(u(s))]^D(-\tau)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

For fixed t and s , the first term of the right-hand side converges to zero. For $t-s \geq \tau$ the second term will similarly converge to 0. For $t-s < \tau$, the second term will become

$$|0 - [\Pi_N \mathcal{F}(u(s))]^D(t-s-\tau)| = |f([u(s)]^D(-\tau))| \cdot |S_N(t-s-\tau)|, \quad (2.16)$$

which converges a.e. to 0 by (1.26). So for fixed t , $d_N(t, s)$ converges a.e. to 0 for $s \in [0, t]$. Furthermore, we can uniformly bound $d_N(t, s)$ by (1.25). Thus by the Bounded Convergence Theorem, we have $\int_0^t d_N(t, s) ds \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The second convergence follows by the observations that $\int_0^t d_N(\cdot, r) dr$ converges pointwise to 0 by our earlier work and can uniformly bounded on $[0, t]$. This allows us to apply the Bounded Convergence Theorem to get that $\int_0^t \int_0^s d_N(s, r) dr ds \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

We may now state our final result.

Theorem 2.3. For $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_N(t)\|_X = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Apply propositions (2.1) and (2.2) to the inequality in (2.10). \square

3 Uniform Equicontinuity of Galerkin Solutions

3.1 Initial Lemmas

Lemma 3.1. *The following convergences hold:*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [u_N(\cdot)]^D(-\tau) = [u(\cdot)]^D(-\tau) \text{ with respect to } L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_N(\cdot)) = \mathcal{F}(u(\cdot)) \text{ with respect to } L^1([0, T]; \mathcal{H}). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |[u_N(s)]^D(-\tau) - [u(s)]^D(-\tau)|^2 ds &\leq \sum_{k=0}^m \int_{-\tau}^0 |[u_N(k\tau)]^D(\theta) - [u(k\tau)]^D(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_{-\tau}^0 |[u_N(T)]^D(\theta) - [u(T)]^D(\theta)|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[u_N(\cdot)]^D(-\tau) - [u(\cdot)]^D(-\tau)\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^m \|[u_N(k\tau)]^D - [u(k\tau)]^D\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\quad + \|[u_N(T)]^D - [u(T)]^D\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

It has been shown that $\|[u_N(t)]^D - [u(t)]^D\|_{L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any $t \in [0, T]$. This gives that the right side of (3.4) converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and thus the left side of (3.4) also converges to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (3.1).

To prove the other convergence, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} ds &= \int_0^T |f([u_N(s)]^D(-\tau)) - f([u(s)]^D(-\tau))| ds \\ &\leq L \int_0^T |[u_N(s)]^D(-\tau) - [u(s)]^D(-\tau)| ds \\ &= L \|[u_N(\cdot)]^D(-\tau) - [u(\cdot)]^D(-\tau)\|_{L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Noting that $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in $L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$ and applying (3.1) proves that (3.2) holds. \square

3.2 Uniform Equicontinuity

Theorem 3.1. *The sequence of functions $\{u_N\}_{N=0}^\infty$, where*

$$u_N : [0, T] \mapsto \mathcal{H}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (3.6)$$

is uniformly equicontinuous.

Proof. Suppose $t_0, t_1 \in [0, T]$ and $t_0 \leq t_1$. Denote $\delta := t_1 - t_0$. Applying the variation-of-constants formula, we have that for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_N(t_0) - u_N(t_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \underbrace{\|(T_N(t_0) - T_N(t_0 + \delta))\Pi_N u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{I}(\delta, N)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| \int_0^{t_0} [T_N(t_0 - s) - T_N(t_0 + \delta - s)]\Pi_N \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) \, ds \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{II}(\delta, N)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\left\| \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + \delta} T_N(t_0 + \delta - s)\Pi_N \mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) \, ds \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{III}(\delta, N)}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

We show that for each of these terms, the dependence on δ and N can be separated.

I. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{I}(\delta, N) &= \|T_N(t_0)(I - T_N(\delta))\Pi_N u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq M e^{\omega t_0} \|(I - T_N(\delta))\Pi_N u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&= M e^{\omega t_0} \|(\Pi_N - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N)u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq M e^{\omega t_0} \|(I - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N)u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \|(I - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N)u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} [\|(I - T(\delta))u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|(T(\delta) - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N)u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}] \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \left[\|(I - T(\delta))u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(T(t) - T_N(t)\Pi_N)u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right]. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Now define the following functions:

$$\text{I}^*(\delta) := M e^{\omega T} \times \|(I - T(\delta))u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\text{I}^{**}(N) := M e^{\omega T} \times \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(T(t) - T_N(t)\Pi_N)u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{3.10}$$

Note that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \text{I}^*(\delta) = 0$ by the continuity of $T(t)$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{I}^{**}(N) = 0$ by the Trotter-Kato theorem.

II. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(\delta, N) &\leq \int_0^{t_0} \|(T_N(t_0 - s) - T_N(t_0 + \delta - s))\Pi_N \mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \int_0^{t_0} \|(I - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N) \mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \left[\underbrace{\int_0^{t_0} \|(I - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds}_A \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \underbrace{\int_0^{t_0} \|(I - T_N(\delta)\Pi_N)(\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s)))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds}_B \right]. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

From here, we can note that

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\leq \int_0^T \|(I - T(\delta)) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds + \int_0^T \|(T(\delta) + T_N(\delta)) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|(I - T(\delta)) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds + \int_0^T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(T(t) + T_N(t)) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds, \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where both of these terms can easily be shown to converge to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. Namely, we can apply the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. Also note that

$$B \leq (1 + M e^{\omega T}) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds, \tag{3.13}$$

where the right-hand side converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by (3.2). Now we set

$$\Pi^*(\delta) := M e^{\omega T} \int_0^T \|(I - T(\delta)) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{**}(N) &:= M e^{\omega T} \left[\int_0^T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|(T(t) + T_N(t)) \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 + M e^{\omega T}) \int_0^T \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \right]. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

III. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{III}(\delta, N) &\leq \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} \|T_N(t_0 + \delta - s) \Pi_N \mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} \|\mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\delta} \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \right] \\
&\leq M e^{\omega T} \left[\delta \times \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{F}(u(t))\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \int_0^T \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Note that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{F}(u(t))\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is finite since $\|\mathcal{F}(u(t))\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a continuous function. Now let

$$\text{III}^*(\delta) := M e^{\omega T} \delta \times \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{F}(u(t))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\text{III}^{**}(N) := M e^{\omega T} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{F}(u_N(s)) - \mathcal{F}(u(s))\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, ds. \tag{3.18}$$

Clearly $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \text{III}^*(\delta) = 0$. Also from (3.2) we have that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{III}^{**}(N) = 0$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_N(t_0) - u_N(t_1)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \text{I}(\delta, N) + \text{II}(\delta, N) + \text{III}(\delta, N) \\
&\leq [\text{I}^*(\delta) + \text{II}^*(\delta) + \text{III}^*(\delta)] + [\text{I}^{**}(N) + \text{II}^{**}(N) + \text{III}^{**}(N)].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. We wish to choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|u_n(t) - u_n(t')\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \epsilon$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t, t' \in [0, T]$ with $|t - t'| < \delta$. Choosing δ^* small enough so that $\text{I}^*(\delta^*) + \text{II}^*(\delta^*) + \text{III}^*(\delta^*) < \epsilon/2$ and N large enough such that $\text{I}^{**}(N) + \text{II}^{**}(N) + \text{III}^{**}(N) < \epsilon/2$, we get that

$$\|u_n(t) - u_n(t')\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \epsilon, \tag{3.20}$$

where $|t - t'| < \delta^*$ and $n \geq N$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that are less than N , we pick $\delta_n > 0$ such that $\|u_n(t) - u_n(t')\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \epsilon$ for $|t - t'| < \delta_n$. This is possible since u_n is uniformly continuous on $[0, T]$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta^*, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{N-1}\}$. Then δ satisfies the challenge from ϵ . This proves uniform equicontinuity. \square

References

- [1] Mickaël D. Chekroun, Michael Ghil, Honghu Liu, and Shouhong Wang. Low-dimensional galerkin approximations of nonlinear delay differential equations. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 36(8):4133–4177, 2016.

- [2] Tom H. Koornwinder. Orthogonal polynomials with weight function $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta + M\delta(x+1) + N\delta(x-1)$. *Canadian mathematical bulletin*, 27(2):205–214, 1984.
- [3] Earl D. Rainville. *Special functions*. Macmillan, New York, 1971.
- [4] Haiyong Wang and Shuhuang Xiang. On the convergence rates of legendre approximation. *Mathematics of Computation*, 81(278):861–877, 2012.