

# NUMERICAL METHODS-LECTURE III: BELLMAN EQUATIONS: THEORY

Trevor Gallen

# PRELIMINARIES

- ▶ I assume you have seen Bellman equations before
- ▶ Seeing Bellman equations before is unnecessary
- ▶ I will introduce as if you have forgotten everything
- ▶ I leave the technical requirements to chapters 4 and 9 of Stokey & Lucas with Prescott, (RMED).
- ▶ Most of the problems we write down will be well-conditioned
- ▶ This class : DP math :: rocket engineering : physics

# PRELIMINARIES

- ▶ I assume you have seen Bellman equations before
- ▶ Seeing Bellman equations before is unnecessary
- ▶ I will introduce as if you have forgotten everything
- ▶ I leave the technical requirements to chapters 4 and 9 of Stokey & Lucas with Prescott, (RMED).
- ▶ Most of the problems we write down will be well-conditioned
- ▶ This class : DP math :: rocket engineering : physics
  - ▶ No need to know theory, but can blow up in your face

# PRELIMINARIES

- ▶ I assume you have seen Bellman equations before
- ▶ Seeing Bellman equations before is unnecessary
- ▶ I will introduce as if you have forgotten everything
- ▶ I leave the technical requirements to chapters 4 and 9 of Stokey & Lucas with Prescott, (RMED).
- ▶ Most of the problems we write down will be well-conditioned
- ▶ This class : DP math :: rocket engineering : physics
  - ▶ No need to know theory, but can blow up in your face
- ▶ With that shallow warning, we'll be learning Monkey Bellmans

# SEQUENCE PROBLEM

- We can formulate a number of very interesting problems as:

$$\max_{\{x_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(x_t, x_{t+1})$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_{t+1} \in \Gamma(x_t), \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$x_0 \in X \text{ is given.}$$

# SEQUENCE PROBLEM

- ▶ We can formulate a number of very interesting problems as:

$$\max_{\{x_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(x_t, x_{t+1})$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_{t+1} \in \Gamma(x_t), \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$x_0 \in X \text{ is given.}$$

- ▶ Too abstract for an engineer. What is this saying? Translate it.

## SEQUENCE PROBLEM: PIECES

- ▶  $F(\cdot, \cdot)$  is something we're trying to maximize
- ▶  $x_{t+1}$  is the thing we can control
- ▶  $F$  is influenced by:
  - ▶ Something we control right now:  $x_{t+1}$
  - ▶ Something that we don't control right now:  $x_t$
  - ▶ But yesterday, we controlled it (except in first period)
- ▶ Our ability to control  $x_{t+1}$  is governed by  $x_t$  (via  $\Gamma$ )

## GENERALITY? EXAMPLE 1: NCG

We get utility from consumption. Consumption is constrained by capital.

$$\max \text{ NPV of } U(c_t) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Ak_t^\alpha = c_t + i_t, \quad k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)k_t + i_t$$

- ▶  $U(c_t)$  is something we're trying to maximize
- ▶  $k_{t+1}$  is the thing we can control
- ▶  $F$  is influenced by:
  - ▶ Something we control right now:  $k_{t+1}$  (via LOM,  $c_t$ )
  - ▶ Something that we don't control right now:  $k_t$
- ▶ Our ability to control  $k_{t+1}$  is governed by  $k_t$ , which gives our budget constraint



## GENERALITY? EXAMPLE 2: MCCALL'S MODEL-I

- ▶ Search for job
- ▶ Each period, one offer  $w$  from bounded wage distribution with CDF  $F(w)$
- ▶ Can reject, get  $c$
- ▶ Can accept, get  $w$  forever
- ▶ Utility is equal to whatever income you get ( $c$  or  $w$ ).

## GENERALITY? EXAMPLE 2: MCCALL'S MODEL

$$\max \text{ NPV of } U(c_t) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c_t = \begin{cases} w & \text{if accept } w \\ c & \text{if not accepted} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{t+1} &= w && \text{if accept } w \\ w_{t+1} &\sim F(w) && \text{if not accepted} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶  $U(c_t)$  is something we're trying to maximize
- ▶ We control if  $w$  or  $c$
- ▶  $F$  is influenced by:
  - ▶ Our choice of  $c$  vs.  $w$  if not yet chosen (memoryless)
  - ▶ Our past choice of  $w$  if accepted (no choice)
- ▶ Next  $w$  is influenced by past  $w$  (sometimes)

## GENERALITY? EXAMPLE 3: FIRM INVESTMENT

Firm wants to maximize profit given  $A$  and  $K$ , with profits:

$$a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 100\}$$

$$Pr(A_{t+1} = a_i | A_t) \text{ given}$$

$$\pi_t = \begin{cases} -(A_t - K_t)^2 & \text{if no change} \\ -(A_t - K_t^*)^2 - C & \text{if change} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} K_{t+1} = K_t & \text{if no change} \\ K_{t+1} = K_t^* & \text{if change} \end{cases}$$

Where if change,  $K_t^*$  is chosen from the range of  $a_i$ .

# THE BELLMAN EQUATION - I

- ▶ Starting with a sequence problem:

$$\max_{\{x_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(x_t, x_{t+1})$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_{t+1} \in \Gamma(x_t), \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$x_0 \in X \text{ is given.}$$

- ▶ We can define an equation:

$$V(x) = \max_{y \in \Gamma(x)} \{F(x, y) + \beta V(y)\}$$

- ▶ Call  $x$  the state,  $y$  the control,  $V$  the value function

## THE BELLMAN EQUATION - II

$$V(x) = \max_{y \in \Gamma(x)} \{F(x, y) + \beta V(y)\}$$

- ▶ What are doing?
  - ▶ Want to maximize current and future  $F$ 's through choice of all future  $x$ 's
  - ▶ Simplify the problem: today, and the problem you wake up with tomorrow
  - ▶ Tomorrow, you maximize today, and the next day's problem
  - ▶ Define everything recursively: the NPV of happiness if you wake up with  $x$  and maximize is utility today plus the NPV of happiness when you wake up with whatever you have left tomorrow
- ▶ Call  $x$  our state, the thing we wake up with
- ▶ Call  $y$  our control, the thing we control
- ▶ Call  $\Gamma$  our law of motion

## THE BELLMAN EQUATION - III

$$V(x_t) = \max_{\{y_s\}_{s=t}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} F(x_s, y_s)$$

Break the first part out:

$$V(x_t) = \max_{\{y_s\}_{s=t}^{\infty}} \left[ \beta^{t-t} F(x_t, y_t) + \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} F(x_s, y_s) \right]$$

Break up the optimization and take out a  $\beta$ :

$$V(x_t) = \max_{y_t} \left[ F(x_t, y_t) + \beta \max_{\{y_s\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t-1} F(x_s, y_s) \right]$$

## THE BELLMAN EQUATION - VI

$$V(x_t) = \max_{y_t} \left[ F(x_t, y_t) + \beta \max_{\{y_s\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t-1} F(x_s, y_s) \right]$$

Notice that if we replaced  $t$  with  $t + 1$  in the definition, we would have:

$$V(x_{t+1}) = \max_{\{y_s\}_{s=t+1}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t+1}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t-1} F(x_s, y_s)$$

Plugging this in:

$$V(x_t) = \max_{y_t} [F(x_t, y_t) + \beta V(x_{t+1})]$$

Given an  $x_t$ , just need a  $y_t$ , and whatever the value of all the future decisions we make will be.

## SUMMING UP

- ▶ Bellmans are a flexible way of describing a large number of dynamic problems
- ▶ We can write down problems easily
- ▶ Now we want to numerically solve them
- ▶ Next up: value function iteration (extremely easy and intuitive ways to solve)