# ECON 352 - INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN GOODS AND ASSETS (See Williamson Ch. 16)

Trevor S. Gallen

#### Introduction

- ► We have a model with nominal prices, discussed New Keynesian model, etc.
- ▶ But so far our model has just been of one country, no trade
- Now we'll model a "small open economy," an economy that trades but isn't big enough to affect prices in other countries
- ► We'll discuss what drives "current account surpluses," savings above investment

#### Current Account

▶ Rep. consumer has usual present-value budget constraint:

$$C + \frac{C'}{1+r} = Y - T + \frac{Y' - T'}{1+r}$$

Where private savings is thus:

$$S^p = Y - T - C$$

► Government's present-value budget constraint is:

$$G + \frac{G'}{1+r} = T + \frac{T'}{1+r}$$

And government savings is:

$$S^G = T - G$$

If we shut down investment, all savings is the current account:

$$CA = S - I = (S^P + S^G) - 0 = (Y - T - C) + (T - G) = Y - C - G$$

▶ If we had investment (which we'll ignore) it would have been:

$$CA = Y - C - G - I$$

#### COMBINE CONSUMER & GOVT

► Adding up the consume and govt budget constraints to get the national present-value budget constraint:


$$C + \frac{C'}{1+r} + G + \frac{G'}{1+r} = Y + \frac{Y'}{1+r}$$

▶ Just like we would have had Y = C + G + I, now we have:

$$Y = C + G + CA$$
$$Y' + (1 + r)CA = C' + G'$$

- So the CA acts like national savings.
- $\blacktriangleright$  We'll generally ignore C vs G, combine into C+G
- Graphing the national budget constraint, we get:

#### NATIONAL PV BUDGET CONSTRAINT



#### NATIONAL SAVINGS-I

- Current account is national savings (when we exclude investment)
- Note that this problem looks just like Chapter 9's household intertemporal problem
- Current account is just like savings, so we can analyze it in same way!

#### NATIONAL SAVINGS-II

- Five predictions about national savings excluding investment (current account)
  - 1. Current account surplus rises with an increase in current income (smoothing!)
  - 2. Current account surplus falls with an increase in future income (smoothing!)
  - Tax changes, holding constant govt spending, should not effect current account surplus (no change in BC, no change in allocation!)
  - If current account surplus is less than zero (dissaving), then an increase in the interest rate increases CA (inc & sub same direction)
  - 5. If a current account surplus is greater than zero (savings) then an increase in the interest rate has an ambiguous effect on current account (conflicting inc and sub effects)

#### CREDIT MARKET IMPERFECTIONS AND DEFAULT

- ▶ So far, debt (current account deficits) aren't such a big deal
- ▶ But national default is a big deal!
- ► Think about limited commitment, in which a country can walk away from its debts
- Letting B be debt, the budget constraint is:

$$C + G = Y + \frac{B'}{1+r} - B$$

► And the future budget constraint:

$$C' + G' = Y' - B'$$

► Where B' is the newly-issued debt in the first period (income then, debt next period)

#### CREDIT MARKET IMPERFECTIONS AND DEFAULT-II

► Combining the budget constraints, we get:

$$C + \frac{C'}{1+r} + G + \frac{G'}{1+r} = Y + \frac{Y'}{1+r} - B$$

► And the current account in the first period is the change in indebtedness (net new resources from abroad):

$$CA = B - \frac{B'}{1+r}$$

- ▶ We live in a world of limited commitment, like in Chapter 10: countries can walk away from debt.
- ► Can't post collateral, but can be punished (pursued in debt markets, for instance), call this penalty *v*, like collateral:

$$-B' \leq \nu$$

#### CREDIT MARKET IMPERFECTIONS AND DEFAULT-III

▶ We have the non-default constraint on debt:

$$-B' \leq \nu$$

▶ Which, plugged into the first period budget constraint, gives:

$$C+G\leq Y-B+\frac{\nu}{1+r}$$

- Country has a choice of default: if default, don't pay B, but get locked out of debt markets (B'=0) and suffer penalty ( $\nu$ )
- Graph out two problems: intetermporal b.c's with and without default

#### CREDIT MARKET IMPERFECTIONS AND DEFAULT-III

▶ We have the non-default constraint on debt:

$$-B' \leq \nu$$

▶ Which, plugged into the first period budget constraint, gives:


$$C+G\leq Y-B+\frac{\nu}{1+r}$$

- Country has a choice of default: if default, don't pay B, but get locked out of debt markets (B'=0) and suffer penalty ( $\nu$ )
- Graph out two problems: intetermporal b.c's with and without default

#### DEFAULT OPTIMAL

- Two choices, default or don't
- Assume country would have borrowed maximum amount if it doesn't default, so B' = v
- In that case,  $(C+G)_1 = Y B + \frac{v}{1+r}$ , and  $(C+G)_2 = Y' v$  (pay v because B' = v)
- ▶ Or we could default:  $(C + G)_1 = Y$  (pay off no debt), and  $(C + G)_2 = Y' v$  (now pay v b/c default)
- ► Obviously default is optimal here


#### DEFAULT



#### DEFAULT NOT OPTIMAL

- Two choices, default or don't
- ▶ Say v is very high, so can borrow a lot, and Y is low, so want to borrow
- ▶ In that case, default gets:  $(C+G)_1 = Y$ ,  $(C+G)_2 = Y'-v$
- And no default gets:  $(C+G)_1 = (Y-B+v/(1+r))$  and  $(C+G)_2 = Y'-v$
- ► Key is if *v* is big, shifts out budget constraint and makes us happier

#### DEFAULT NOT OPTIMAL



#### Making Sense of Default vs Not

▶ If limited commitment holds, we have the budget constraints:

$$C + G = Y - B + \frac{\nu}{1+r}$$
$$C' + G' = Y - \nu$$

- Total consumption in future is always same no matter what (either pay back  $\nu$  or lose  $\nu$  b/c didn't pay back)
- So, we default only based on what default does to today's consumption (does nothing to tomorrow). We compare consumption under no default against consumption under default:

$$Y - B + \frac{\nu}{1+r} < Y$$

▶ Which says we default if and only if:


$$B > \frac{\nu}{1+r}$$

► When debt is higher, should default, when pain of default higher, don't default

#### IS CURRENT ACCOUNT DEFICIT BAD?

- ► Borrowing seems bad
- But it has its uses (particularly when investing, but also when smoothing)
- ► Let's see if the U.S. uses its current account deficit to smooth consumption (when GDP low, is CA also low?)

## CURRENT ACCOUNT NEGATIVELY CORRELATED WITH GDP??



#### IS CURRENT ACCOUNT DEFICIT BAD-II

▶ Should think about total savings, which includes investment!

#### CURRENT ACCOUNT VS INVESTMENT




#### Greece and Sovereign Default

- ► In 2001, Greece abandoned the Drachma and began using the Euro
- Before that, its debt traded at a higher interest rate (lower price for lenders) than Germany's
- These differences are likely due to a fear of default (explicit or via inflation)
- But 2008 and after, Greece was in a bad situation, and the possibility it would default spiked: interest rates followed that spike
- Let's take a look!

#### Greece and Sovereign Default

- ▶ In 2001, Greece abandoned the Drachma and began using the Euro
- Before that, its debt traded at a higher interest rate (lower price for lenders) than Germany's
- These differences are likely due to a fear of default (explicit or via inflation)
- But 2008 and after, Greece was in a bad situation, and the possibility it would default spiked: interest rates followed that spike
- Let's take a look!

#### GREECE AND SOVEREIGN DEFAULT




### PRODUCTION, INVESTMENT, AND THE CURRENT ACCOUNT

- ▶ So far our model of savings=current account is embarassing!
- ▶ There are ways to save other than trade...investment!
- Getting back to GDP:

$$Y = C + I + G + NX$$

- World real interest rate is  $r^*$ ,  $Y^d$  shifts until it intersects  $Y^s$  at  $r^*$
- ▶ So if the r that would cause  $Y^d = Y^s$  if no trade is too low, you export
- Let's take a look!

### A SMALL OPEN ECONOMY MODEL WITH PRODUCTION




Possibly easier to think of  $r^*$  as determining quantity  $Y^d$ , and slope is domestic

### EXPERIMENT 1: EFFECTS OF AN INCREASE IN THE WORLD REAL INTEREST RATE

- Our model is the same as before, except now, rather than  $Y^s = Y^d$  determining r, world r determines the point at which  $Y^d$  intersects  $Y^s$
- ightharpoonup Take the case in which  $r^*$  rises
- ▶ This is a shift out in demand: overall Y increases
- ► I decreases (higher MPK required) but C may rise or fall (substitution pushes down, income pushes up)

#### AN INCREASE IN THE WORLD REAL INTEREST RATE




Possibly easier to think of  $r^*$  as determining quantity  $Y^d$ , and slope is domestic

### EXPERIMENT 2: EFFECTS OF GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURE ON THE CURRENT ACCOUNT

- ► Suppose there's an increase in *G* (temporary)
- Negative income effect shifts labor (and thus output supply) out
- ▶ Government demand shifts output demand out, but r stays fixed (world interest rate) so Y<sup>d</sup>
- ➤ *Y*<sup>d</sup> increases, but *r* does not, so no investment or consumption crowding-out (unlike in Ch. 11!)
- ► Here, if *Y* increases by less than *G*, so overall income increases. Net exports decline.

### A TEMPORARY INCREASE IN GOVERNMENT SPENDING




Possibly easier to think of  $r^*$  as determining quantity  $Y^d$ , and slope is domestic

### EXPERIMENT 3: EFFECTS OF INCREASE IN TFP TODAY

- ► Previously, TFP increased labor demand, wages, employment, and output, and decreased the interest rate
- ▶ But now *r* can't fall (we are small part of global)
- Now, when z increases, output supply and demand both shift out
- Output supply increases the current account surplus
- ▶ More income means increased consumption. Interest rates stay same, so investment constant, *C* increases


#### AN INCREASE IN THE CURRENT TFP



### EXPERIMENT 3: EFFECTS OF INCREASE IN TFP IN FUTURE

- ► Firm knows increase in future TFP
- So output demand increases
- But end output demand fixed, so only crowd-out: current account surplus falls
- ► A (true) optimistic boom should reduce current account surplus
- ► You see this in the "dot-com" boom

#### AN INCREASE IN THE CURRENT TFP



#### SUMMARY

- Trade changes our equilibrium a bit
- ► Now *r* is fixed
- ▶ Domestic Y<sup>d</sup> is still relevant, it determines current account deficit and surplus
- $\triangleright$  Even though total  $Y^d$  is set by r
- Some analyses change: now, govt doesn't crowd out investment, TFP increases don't change interest rates, etc.