

# The Future Morphological Evolution of M33 from Tidal Interactions

TREVOR J. SMITH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Steward Observatory  
933 North Cherry Avenue  
Tucson, AZ 85721-0065*

(Dated: May 2, 2020)

*Keywords:* Local Group, Stellar Disk, Spiral Galaxy, Satellite Galaxy, Galaxy Interaction

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As of 2012, at least 27 satellite galaxies are known to be associated with the Milky Way and 32 with Andromeda, the two dominant galaxies of the Local Group (McConnachie 2012). These satellite galaxies, as demonstrated in N-body simulations in Mayer et al. (2001), have likely been significantly altered by tidal interactions with their host galaxy. Strong tidal fields acting on a galaxy can have many effects such as inducing mass loss, compacting the galactic center, and altering disk structures. The processes that cause a galaxy to change its structure as a result of tidal forces are collectively referred to as tidal stirring. The visual appearance of the stellar structure in these galaxies can change dramatically; Astronomers typically refer to a change in the visual appearance of a galaxy as morphological evolution.

We define galaxies as a collection of gas, dust, and stars within a dark matter halo. Galaxies can evolve in several ways, one of which is a changing stellar population as a result of new star formation or aging. The composition of a galaxy, referring to the ratio of stars to gas and to dust, can also change by many different mechanisms. Lastly, the internal structure and shape of a galaxy can change, reflecting different internal dynamics. Morphological evolution falls into this third category. Galaxy morphology has been of interest to extra-galactic astronomers for a very long time, partly because it is the most apparent quality of a galaxy. However, galaxy morphology can be quite nuanced and reveal much information about the internal dynamics of the galaxy in question. Understanding what processes in what galaxies lead to certain morphologies is of peak interest to astronomers.


The Triangulum galaxy, hereby referred to as M33, is the third most massive galaxy of the Local Group and a satellite to the Andromeda galaxy (M31). M33 is a flocculent spiral galaxy, meaning that it is a spiral disk galaxy without well defined spiral arms. M33 is the only spiral disk galaxy in the Local Group that is a satellite to a more massive galaxy. Nearly all satellites to the Milky Way or M31 are dSphs (McConnachie 2012). Hydrodynamical simulations conducted in Semczuk et al. (2018) show that the faint two spiral arm signal and distorted (rotated) gas disk observed in M33 today can be explained by a recent close encounter with M31 (see Figure 1).

It is not obvious how the morphology of M33 will change in the future due to tidal interactions. Mayer et al. (2001) proposes that disk satellite galaxies eventually form dSphs after sufficient tidal stirring, but it is unclear whether this process is occurring in M33. Alternatively, Semczuk et al. (2018) suggests that a recent tidal interaction induced a stronger spiral arm pattern as well as a burst of star formation in M33. It is possible that another similar encounter may further strengthen a distinct spiral arm pattern.

## 2. THIS PROJECT

In this paper, we will investigate how M33s morphology will change in the future using an N-body gravitational simulation of the Local Group. We will focus on two aspects of this galaxy, the first of which is the disk shape. Analyzing the disk shape is essentially measuring how flat the galaxy is. This will allow us to place M33 on a spectrum that goes from flat spiral to elliptical galaxies. The second aspect of M33 that we would like to analyze is the presence of disk structures such as spiral arms or central bars.

We believe that this study should provide a strong prediction of what M33s morphology will become after 10 billion more years of tidal interactions with its host galaxy. With our N-body simulation we should be able to accurately predict the overall structural evolution of M33s stellar disk. Semczuk et al. (2018) points out that the excitation of



**Figure 1.** Figure 6 from [Semczuk et al. \(2018\)](#). Surface density distributions of the gas, young stars, and old stars in the simulated M33 after its interaction with M31. Demonstrates the introduction of novel morphology (spiral arms, warped disk at large radii) as a result of tidal interaction.

subtler disk features such as spiral arms may require hydrodynamical simulations that also simulate the thermal gas in M33. It is true that we may miss some of this information due to the limitations of N-body simulations, however we should be able to obtain a general classification even without these features.


Answering the question of M33s future evolution is valuable not only in understanding M33, but also all the other satellite galaxies of the local group. It is important to determine whether or not M33 will become more like the majority of satellites that are dSphs and dIrrs. If M33 remains a disk galaxy, then we know that these galaxies could not have been like current day M33 in the past. Alternatively, if tidal stirring rearranges M33 into a more spheroidal galaxy, then this implies that many of the dSphs we see today may have been disk in the past.

### 3. METHODOLOGY

The simulation data that we will be using comes from the collisionless N-body simulation conducted in [van der Marel et al. \(2012\)](#). An N-body simulation refers to any numerical integration of a gravitational system of 3 or more massive bodies. In these simulations, the massive bodies are many particles that represent either the stellar or dark matter that make up the three most massive Local Group galaxies. The collisionless aspect of these simulations simply means that the only way that these particles interact is through gravity—no other physics required.

Our approach to studying the evolving morphology of M33 is to use the method which has always defined galactic morphology: visual inspection. Using simulation data, however, gives us much flexibility in how we approach this. For example, we can rotate our virtual representation of the galaxy and view it from multiple perspectives. We will do this in much the same way as demonstrated in Figure 1. We will also overlay constant density contours to aid our visual inspection. To measure how elliptical or spheroidal-like M33 will become, we will measure its axial ratio. Both of these methods are depicted in Figure 2.

The first step in our analysis is to define a coordinate system centered at M33s center of mass in the time-step of interest, with the z axis normal to the disk (or parallel to the minor elliptical axis). We will first calculate the center of mass using the usual formula  $\vec{R} = (1/M) \sum_i m_i \vec{r}_i$ , where  $M$  is the total mass of all the particles and  $m_i$  and  $\vec{r}_i$  are the mass and position of an individual particle. In this calculation we will only use stellar particles and exclude all



**Figure 2.** Examples of 2D density plots. Simulated M31 is shown face-on (left) and edge-on (right) relative to its disk. Face-on perspective features overlaid contours of constant density, which help distinguish low contrast disk structure. Edge-on perspective is fit with an ellipse. Measuring the ratio of minor to major axes of the ellipse is equivalent to measuring the axial ratio of the M31 disk.


dark matter particles. This calculation will cease to be accurate later in the simulation when M33 has lost a significant portion of its mass. The particles that are no longer bound to M33 will continue to influence the calculation of its center of mass. To compensate for this, we will use an iterative process to locate the center of mass. With every iteration, particles outside a shrinking distance from the previous center of mass will be discounted and a new center of mass will be calculated. The process will continue until the location converges. Once we center our coordinate system on the center of mass, we will calculate the direction of M33s overall angular momentum  $\vec{L} = \sum_i \vec{r}_i \times \vec{p}_i$  and rotate the galaxy so that it is now parallel to the z-axis.

Once we are in the correct coordinate system, we can create our density plots. Since all stellar particles of M33 in this simulation are of the same mass, we do not need to calculate any densities. A 2D histogram that counts the number of particles in each bin, normalized, will give us an accurate density plot. In this coordinate system, an x vs. y plot will give us a face-on perspective and allow us to visually inspect for any spiral structure. Contours of constant density could help us identify spiral arm signals that may not otherwise be distinct. Our next step would be to measure M33s axial ratio, and we will attempt to do this using two methods. The first involve manually fitting an ellipse to the edge-on 2D density plot (x vs. z or y vs. z), as demonstrated in Figure ?? . The axial ratio would then be calculated from the major and minor axes of this best fit ellipse. The second method will be to obtain the major and minor axes by measuring the spread of the 1D density profiles along these two axes. The latter method is more quantitative, but mass loss may introduce error. We are considering using the inter-quartile range (the distance between the 25th and 75th percentiles) as our axes lengths, as this measure of spread is robust to outliers and therefore compensates for particles no longer gravitationally bound to M33.


We predict that M33 will evolve into an elliptical or spheroidal galaxy. M33 is the only spiral galaxy in the Local Group that is a satellite to a larger galaxy. While this could mean that M33 is wholly unique, it more likely means that tidal interactions quickly evolve spiral galaxies into other morphologies. As mentioned in section 1, the vast majority of satellites to Andromeda and the Milky Way are dSphs (McConnachie 2012). If we see this change in M33, it suggests that many of these galaxies could have been similar to M33 in the past.

#### 4. RESULTS

Figures 3 and 4 compare our simulated M33 at current day and 11.5 Gyr in the future. Figure 3 shows 2D density plots of the stellar disk face-on, with density contours overlaid. While M33 had no strong spiral arm signature to begin with, we can see clearly that no spirals or bar have formed in the disk as a result of tidal interactions. The disk also appears to be truncated relative to its initial size. It is also possible that much of the scattered particles beyond 8-10




**Figure 3.** 2D Density plots of our simulated M33 at current day (left) and 11.5 Gyr from now (right). Position within the plane of the disk is shown by the X and Y axes, while the color depicts stellar density in logarithmic scale. No spiral arm pattern can be observed here, but M33 is shown to become much more compact.



**Figure 4.** 2D Density plots of our simulated M33 at current day (left) and 11.5 Gyr from now (right). Position is shown by location along the X and Z axes, where the Z-axis is perpendicular to M33s "disk." Color depicts density in logarithmic scale. Ellipses shown are fitted to the disk profile to demonstrate axial ratios. Future simulated M33 shows very little trace of a disk at all, and appears to have become very spheroidal.

kpc are no longer gravitationally bound, causing evolved M33 to be even more compact than it appears. The galactic center of the evolved M33 also appears significantly denser.

Figure 4 shows density plots similar to Figure 3 but from an edge-on perspective relative to the stellar disk. We wanted to view display this perspective as well to visually gauge the axial ratio of the disk, so ellipses were visually fit to the disk profile and overlaid onto these plots. Our simulated version of current day M33 displays a very neat, flat disk with no central bulge. The evolved M33 has lost all trace of a disk structure, and is now very elliptical in nature. Comparing the evolved M33s face-on (Figure 3) and edge-on (Figure 4) perspectives yields very little difference.



**Figure 5.** 1D normalized density histograms of simulated M33 at current day (left) and 11.5 Gyr in the future (right). Top row shows density projected into the y-axis (parallel to disk), while bottom shows density on the z-axis (perpendicular to disk). Red line segments show the extent of the IQR for each 1D density profile. Throughout the simulation, the y-axis/disk distribution becomes more compact, while the z-axis/bulge distribution spreads out. X-axis distributions are not displayed, but show no significant difference to those of the y-axis.

Figure 5 graphically displays our attempt to quantitatively measure the change in M33s axial ratio. We chose 2 axes, one parallel to the plane of the disk and one normal to it, and projected two different 1D density profiles onto these axes. We then measured the IQR of these distributions, and took the ratio to obtain an approximate axial ratio. Our measured axial ratios were .144 for the initial density profile and .615 for the final. This many-fold increase in axial ratio supports the conclusion that M33 evolved into an elliptical-type galaxy.

## 5. DISCUSSION

In this study we have analyzed the future evolution of M33 using an N-body simulation of the Local Group, and shown that M33 will evolve from a disk galaxy to a more compact elliptical one. This matches our hypothesis drawn from previous simulations of tidal stirring (Mayer et al. 2001) and the statistical insight that there are no other observed disk satellite galaxies in the Local Group. We did not observe the excitation of any spiral disk features such as those found by Semiczuk et al. (2018), but these two results are not necessarily contradictory, considering that their results were the result of a single strong tidal interaction while we observed the result of many over a longer period of time. Our result is useful for interpreting the current day observed galaxy population of the Local Group. We predict that future M33 will be similar to the more ubiquitous dSphs satellites of the Local Group; conversely, this means that many of these other satellites may have been disk galaxies in the past.

## REFERENCES

- Mayer, L., Governato, F., Colpi, M., et al. 2001, The Astrophysical Journal, 559, 754, doi: [10.1086/322356](https://doi.org/10.1086/322356)
- McConnachie, A. W. 2012, AJ, 144, 4, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/144/1/4](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/144/1/4)
- Semiczuk, M., Łokas, E. L., Salomon, J.-B., Athanassoula, E., & D’Onghia, E. 2018, The Astrophysical Journal, 864, 34, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aad4ae](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad4ae)
- van der Marel, R. P., Besla, G., Cox, T. J., Sohn, S. T., & Anderson, J. 2012, ApJ, 753, 9, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/753/1/9](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/1/9)