

# Notes

Trey Plante

December 1, 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The type theory</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	UIP Layer . . . . .	1
1.2	Cubical Layer . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Equational Theory</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Algorithmic Definitions</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 The type theory

The type theory is a two-level type theory, meaning it contains two notions of equality.

### 1.1 UIP Layer

### 1.2 Cubical Layer

The cubical type theory is parameterized by a rich cofibration logic with the interval structure being that of a distributive lattice.  
cofibration specification ...

**Definition 1.1.** Partial types are defined by the following rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_l}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \rightarrow A} \text{ form} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{in}_\psi(f) : [\alpha] \rightarrow A} \text{ intro} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : [\alpha] \rightarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out}_\psi(f) : A} \text{ elim} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : [\alpha] \rightarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out}_\psi(f) \equiv a} \text{ comp} \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.2.** Extension types are defined by the following rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_l \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi \text{ cof} \quad \Gamma \vdash t : [\psi] \rightarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash A[\psi \rightarrow t]} \text{ form}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi \mathbf{cof} \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash t \equiv a}{\Gamma \vdash \text{in}_\psi(a) : A[\psi \rightarrow t]} \text{ intro} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A[\psi \rightarrow t]}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out}_\psi(a) : A} \text{ elim} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A[\psi \rightarrow t] \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out}_\psi(a) \equiv t} \text{ comp1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{out}_\psi(\text{in}_\psi(a)) \equiv a} \text{ comp2}
\end{array}$$

**Definition 1.3.** The elimination form of disjunctions is introduced as follows:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_l \quad \Gamma \vdash_\Psi \alpha \vee \beta \quad \Gamma, \alpha \vdash e_1 : A \quad \Gamma, \beta \vdash e_2 : A \quad \Gamma, \alpha, \beta \vdash e \equiv f : A}{\Gamma \vdash [e_1, e_2]_{\alpha, \beta} : A} \text{ intro}$$

## 2 Equational Theory

**Definition 2.1.** The equational theory of disjunctions is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash [e_1, e_2]_{\alpha, \beta} \equiv e_1} \text{ comp1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \beta}{\Gamma \vdash [e_1, e_2]_{\alpha, \beta} \equiv e_2} \text{ comp2} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma_\Psi \vdash \alpha \vee \beta \quad \Gamma, \alpha \vdash e \equiv f : A \quad \Gamma, \beta \vdash e \equiv f : A}{\Gamma \vdash e \equiv f : A} \text{ ext} \quad \frac{\Gamma_\Psi \vdash \alpha \vee \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash e : A}{e \equiv [e, e]_{\alpha, \beta} : A} \text{ eta} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \alpha \Leftrightarrow \gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash \beta \Leftrightarrow \delta \quad \Gamma, \alpha \vdash e_1 \equiv f_1 : A \quad \Gamma, \beta \vdash e_2 \equiv f_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash [e_1, e_2]_{\alpha, \beta} \equiv [f_1, f_2]_{\gamma, \delta} : A} \text{ cong}
\end{array}$$

## 3 Algorithmic Definitions

We present an algorithmic procedure for deciding the judgments of the type theory.

**Definition 3.1.** Head reduction is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma \triangleright (\lambda x. e) f \rightarrow e[f/x] \\
\Gamma \triangleright ef \rightarrow e'f \quad \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright e \rightarrow e' \\
\Gamma \triangleright [\alpha \rightarrow e, \beta \rightarrow f] \rightarrow e \quad \text{if } \Gamma \vdash \alpha \\
\Gamma \triangleright [\alpha \rightarrow e, \beta \rightarrow e] \rightarrow f \quad \text{if } \Gamma \vdash \beta \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_\psi(a) \rightarrow t \quad \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright a \uparrow A[\psi \rightarrow t] \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \psi \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_\psi(\text{in}(a)) \rightarrow a \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_\psi(a) \rightarrow a' \quad \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright a \rightarrow a' \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_{[\alpha]}(\text{in}(a)) \rightarrow a \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_{[\alpha]}(a) \rightarrow a' \quad \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright a \rightarrow a'
\end{array}$$

**Definition 3.2.** Term equivalence is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Gamma \triangleright M \Leftrightarrow N : A[\psi \rightarrow t] \quad \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_\psi(M) \Leftrightarrow \text{out}_\psi(N) : A \\
\Gamma \triangleright M \Leftrightarrow N : [\alpha] \rightarrow A \quad \text{if } \Gamma, \alpha \triangleright \text{out}_{[\alpha]}(M) \Leftrightarrow \text{out}_{[\alpha]}(N) : A \\
\Gamma \triangleright M \Leftrightarrow N : (x : \mathbb{I}) \rightarrow A \quad \text{if } \Gamma, x : \mathbb{I} \triangleright Mx \Leftrightarrow Nx : A(x) \\
\Gamma \triangleright M \Leftrightarrow N : (x : \mathbf{cof}) \rightarrow A \quad \text{if } \Gamma, x : \mathbf{cof} \triangleright Mx \Leftrightarrow Nx : A(x)
\end{array}$$

**Definition 3.3.** Neutral equivalence is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma \triangleright [\alpha \rightarrow p_1, \beta \rightarrow p_2] \leftrightarrow q \uparrow A & \text{if } \Gamma, \alpha \triangleright p_1 \Leftrightarrow q : A \text{ and } \Gamma, \beta \triangleright p_2 \Leftrightarrow q : A \\
\Gamma \triangleright p \leftrightarrow [\alpha \rightarrow q_1, \beta \rightarrow q_2] \uparrow A & \text{if } \Gamma, \alpha \triangleright p \Leftrightarrow q_1 : A \text{ and } \Gamma, \beta \triangleright p \Leftrightarrow q_2 : A \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_\psi(p) \Leftrightarrow \text{out}_\psi(q) \uparrow A & \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright p \leftrightarrow q \uparrow A[\psi \rightarrow t] \\
\Gamma \triangleright \text{out}_{[\alpha]}(p) \Leftrightarrow \text{out}_\psi(q) \uparrow A & \text{if } \Gamma \triangleright p \leftrightarrow q \uparrow [\alpha] \rightarrow A
\end{array}$$