

Introduction to Bordism Theory

Chi Zhang

E-mail: zhangchi2018@itp.ac.cn

Contents

1	The Pontrjagin–Thom Construction	1
2	Stable Homotopy Groups and Stable Pontrjagin–Thom Theorem	5
3	Spectrums and Generalized (Co)homology Theories	10

1 The Pontrjagin–Thom Construction

The word "manifold" means a compact, smooth manifold with or without boundary.

The *smash product* between two pointed spaces (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) with x, y_0 distinguished base points is the quotient of $X \times Y$ under the identifications $(x, y_0) \sim (x_0, y)$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y$. the smash product is usually denoted $X \wedge Y$.

One can think X and Y are subsets in $X \times Y$, identified with $X \times \{y_0\}$ and $\{x_0\} \times Y$. They intersect in $X \times Y$ at one point (x_0, y_0) , thus the set generated by the relation \sim in $X \times Y$ can be identified with the connected sum $X \vee Y$, then we have the usually seen definition of the smash product of X and Y

$$X \wedge Y = \frac{X \times Y}{X \vee Y}. \quad (1.1)$$

The smash product $X \wedge Y$ depends on the choice of base points, unless both X and Y are homogeneous.

We can think the smash product to be an analog as the tensor product in the category of abelian groups, in a suitable category of pointed topological spaces. For abelian groups A, B , $A \otimes B$ (co)represents the functor

$$\text{Bil}(A \times B; -). \quad (1.2)$$

Similarly, for pointed spaces X, Y , $X \wedge Y$ corepresents the functor

$$\text{BasePre}(X \times Y, -)$$

Definition 1.1. Given a topological space X , the *unreduced suspension* of X is defined as

$$SX = (X \times I) / \sim,$$

where the relation \sim is generated by

$$(x_1, 0) \sim (x_2, 0) \text{ and } (x_1, 1) \sim (x_2, 1), \forall x_1, x_2 \in X.$$

Definition 1.2. If X is a pointed space with base point x_0 , the *reduced suspension* of X is defined as

$$\Sigma X = (X \times I) / (X \times \{0\} \cup X \times \{1\} \cup \{x_0\} \times I)$$

If we take

Using their bare definitions, we have the useful relation between smash product and reduced suspension,

Lemma 1.1.

$$\Sigma X = S^1 \wedge X.$$

Definition 1.3. A *framing* of a submanifold Y of a manifold M is a trivialization of the normal bundle of Y . If W is a framed manifold of $M \times I$, $\dim W = \dim Y + 1$, then the two framed submanifold obtained by intersecting W with $M \times \{0\}$ and $M \times \{1\}$ are said to be *framed bordant*.

A framed submanifold defines a *collapse map* $M \rightarrow S^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, by sending the

point (p, v) in the normal bundle of Y to v and all points outside the normal bundle of Y to ∞ .

Definition 1.4. A *trivialization* of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow B$ is a specific bundle isomorphism $E \cong B \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

A *framing* of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy class of the trivializations of $E \rightarrow M$. Here two trivializations are *homotopic* means that there is a path of trivializations joining the two.

For a real vector bundle over a point, i.e., a vector space, choosing a framing is the same thing as choosing an orientation, since $\text{GL}(n; \mathbb{R})$ has only 2 components.

Definition 1.5. A *normal framing* of a submanifold V of M is a homotopy class of trivializations of the normal bundle $\nu(V)$. Two normally framed submanifold V_0, V_1 of M are said to be *normally framed bordant*, if there exist a normally framed submanifold $W \subset M \times I$ so that the intersection of W with $M \times \{0\}$ and $M \times \{1\}$ are V_0 and V_1 , with the identification $M = M \times \{0\} = M \times \{1\}$.

We let $\Omega_{k-n, M}^{\text{fr}}$ denote the bordism classes of normally framed submanifolds of M , where $k - n$ is the dimension of submanifolds, k the dimension of M , and n the codimension of submanifolds.

Definition 1.6. If $E \rightarrow B$ is any vector bundle over a CW-complex B with metric then the *Thom space* denoted as $\text{Th}(E)$ of $E \rightarrow B$ is the quotient $D(E)/S(E)$, where $D(E)$ denotes the unit disk bundle of E and $S(E) \subset D(E)$ denotes the unit sphere bundle.

Lemma 1.2. 1. If $E \rightarrow B$ is a vector bundle, then the Thom space of $E \oplus \epsilon$ is the reduced suspension of the Thom space of E , that is,

$$\text{Th}(E \oplus \epsilon) \cong \Sigma \text{Th}(E). \quad (1.3)$$

2. A vector bundle morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & E' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

preserving the metric on each fiber induces a map of Thom spaces $\text{Th}(E) \rightarrow \text{Th}(E')$.

Proof. Note that there exists an $O(n)$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$D^{n+1} \rightarrow D^n \times I, \quad (1.4)$$

which can be intuitively interpreted as deforming a closed ball into a solid cylinder. This homeomorphism induces a homeomorphism

$$S^n \rightarrow S^{n-1} \times \cup D^n \times \{0, 1\}$$

by restricting to the boundary of D^{n+1} .

Fiberwisely, the homeomorphism (1.4) induces a homeomorphism between the spaces

$D(E \oplus \epsilon)/S(E \oplus \epsilon)$ and

$$(D(E) \times I)/(S(E) \times I \cup D(E) \times \{0, 1\}).$$

By Definition 1.2, $D(E \oplus \epsilon)/S(E \oplus \epsilon)$ is homeomorphic to the reduced suspension of $D(E)/S(E)$.

The second statement is clear. \square

The group homomorphism $G_n \rightarrow O(n)$ induces an action of G_n on \mathbb{R}^n . We can use this induced action to form the universal vector bundle over BG_n

$$\begin{array}{c} EG_n \times_{G_n} \mathbb{R}^n \\ \downarrow \\ BG_n \end{array}.$$

Let us denote this universal vector bundle by $V_n \rightarrow BG_n$. The unit sphere and disk bundles of this vector bundles are defined, by our assumption that G_n maps to $O(n)$ ¹.

Functoriality gives vector bundle morphisms (which are linear injections on fibers)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_n & \longrightarrow & V_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG_n & \longrightarrow & BG_{n+1}. \end{array}$$

¹ Any real vector bundle, over a paracompact base space, has a metric by the existence of local trivializations and partitions of unity. What does that have to do with whether G_n maps to $O(n)$ or not?

Let

$$MG_n := \text{Th}(V_n). \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.3. The fiberwise injection $V_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}$ extends to a metric preserving bundle map $V_n \oplus \epsilon \rightarrow V_{n+1}$ which is an isomorphism on each fiber, and hence defines a map

$$k_n : SMG_n \rightarrow MG_{n+1}.$$

Thus $\mathbf{MG} = \{ MG_n, k_n \}$ is a spectrum, called the *Thom spectrum*.

Moreover, the bordism group $\Omega_n^G(X)$ is isomorphic to $H_n(X; \mathbf{MG})$.

Proof. First we show that $\{MG_n\}$ form a spectrum. We are given the diagram for all n

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_n & \longrightarrow & G_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ O(n) & \hookrightarrow & O(n+1). \end{array}$$

Since the inclusion $O(n) \hookrightarrow O(n+1)$ is of the form

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

the pullback of the bundle $V_{n+1} \rightarrow BG_{n+1}$ along the map $BG_n \rightarrow BG_{n+1}$ is isomorphic to the bundle $V_n \oplus \epsilon \rightarrow BG_n$. Thus we have a morphism of vector bundles that

is an isomorphism when restricted to each fiber

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_n \oplus \epsilon & \longrightarrow & V_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG_n & \longrightarrow & BG_{n+1} \end{array} .$$

By Lemma 1.2, this vector bundle morphism induces maps between Thom spaces

$$\mathrm{Th}(V_n \oplus \epsilon) \cong \Sigma \mathrm{Th}(V_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}(V_{n+1}),$$

which we take as structure maps

$$k_n : \Sigma MG_n \rightarrow MG_{n+1}.$$

Now we have shown that the Thom spectrum \mathbf{MG} is indeed a spectrum.

Now we show how to establish the isomorphism

$$\Omega_n^G(X) \rightarrow \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}(X_+ \wedge MG_l).$$

We now show how to construct the forward collapse map

$$c : \Omega_n^G(X) \rightarrow \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}(X_+ \wedge MG_l). \quad (1.6)$$

Choose $[W, f, \gamma_G] \in \Omega_n^G(X)$. If we set l large enough, we can do the embedding $W \hookrightarrow S^{n+l}$. Let $\nu(W)$ be the normal bundle of W in S^{n+l} and $D \subset \nu(W) \subset S^{n+l}$ be the disk bundle of the normal bundle. Let $\gamma_{G_l} : W \rightarrow BG_l$ be the component of the map $\gamma_G : W \rightarrow BG$, $\gamma_{G_l}^*(V_l)$ is isomorphic to $\nu(W)$, since the normal bundle can be reduced to a G_l -vector bundle, by definition. Then the map

$$D = D(\nu(W)) \rightarrow D(V_l) \rightarrow MG_l \quad (1.7)$$

is well defined, and we define the map

$$h : S^{n+l} \rightarrow MG_l \quad (1.8)$$

by taking everything outside D to the base point of MG_l , and in D being the map (1.7). And similarly, we define a map $\tilde{f} : S^{n+l} \rightarrow X$ by

$$\tilde{f}(p) = \begin{cases} \infty, & p \notin W, \\ f(p), & p \in W. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

Then we compose the map

$$\tilde{f} \times h : S^{n+l} \rightarrow X \times MG_l$$

with the quotient

$$X \times MG_l \rightarrow X_+ \wedge MG_l$$

to give a map

$$\tilde{f} \wedge h : S^{n+l} \rightarrow X$$

. Thus we have constructed a map

$$c : \Omega_n^G(X) \rightarrow \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}(X_+ \wedge MG_l)$$

$$[W, f, \gamma_G] \mapsto \tilde{f} \wedge h.$$

The verification of the well-definedness of c is tedious.

Next we define the reverse of the collapse map c . A key observations is that $X \times BG_l$ avoids the base points of X_+ and MG_l , thus $X \times BG_l \subset X_+ \wedge MG_l$ is regular. Given a map

$$\alpha : S^{n+l} \rightarrow X_+ \wedge MG_l,$$

we can homotope it to a map α' by transversality, such that

$$W := (\alpha')^{-1}(X \times BG_l)$$

is smooth in S^{n+l} . Moreover, $X \times BG_l$ has a tubular neighborhood in $X_+ \times MG_l$, which is isomorphic to the pullback bundle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pr}_2^*(V_l) & \longrightarrow & V_l \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times BG_l & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & BG_l \end{array}$$

and the pullback of $\text{pr}_2^*(V_l)$ along α' is the normal bundle with G_l structure of W in S^{n+l} . Finally, the composite of the map $\alpha' : W \rightarrow X \times BG_l$ and the projection $\text{pr}_1 : X \times BG_l \rightarrow X$ is the desired singular manifold $W \rightarrow X$. \square

Theorem 1.4 (Thom-Pontrjagin). For any smooth compact k -manifold M there is an isomorphism

$$c : \Omega_{k-n, M}^{\text{fr}} \rightarrow [M, S^n], k \geq n.$$

The forward map is the Thom-Pontrjagin collapse, and the inverse map is the inverse image of a regular value.

2 Stable Homotopy Groups and Stable Pontrjagin–Thom Theorem

Proof. For $V \subset M$ a $k - n$ dimensional submanifold, choose a tubular neighborhood $V \subset U \subset M$, which is isomorphic to the normal bundle $\nu(V)$ of the embedding $V \hookrightarrow M$. Thus V defines a map $\phi : M \rightarrow S^n$

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} v, & x = (p, v) \in \nu(V), \\ \infty, & x \notin \nu(V) \end{cases}$$

where we use the identification $S^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$. It is independent of the choice of V and the tubular neighborhood U . If $V_0, V_1 \subset M$ are framed bordant via $X \subset M \times I$, we can choose a tubular neighborhood of X in $M \times I$, and construct collapse map

$$M \times I \rightarrow S^n$$

which is a homotopy of collapse maps.

Conversely, if we are given a map

$$f : M \rightarrow S^n$$

we define the corresponding class in $\Omega_{k-n,M}^{fr}$ to be

$$f^{-1}(p), p \in S^n,$$

where $p \in S^n$ is a regular value of f and different from ∞ . \square

Theorem 2.1 (Hopf). Let M be a closed connected manifold of dimension n .

1. If M is orientable, then there is an isomorphism

$$[M, S^n] \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

given by the integer degree.

2. If M is not orientable, then there is an isomorphism

$$[M, S^n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

given by the mod 2 degree.

Definition 2.1 (stable homotopy groups). The k -th stable homotopy group of a based² space X is the colimit

$$\pi_k^s(X) := \operatorname{colim}_{q \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{k+q}(\Sigma^q(X)) = [S^{k+q}, \Sigma^q(X)].$$

In particular, we call the k -th stable homotopy group of S^0 the *stable k -stem* and denote it as

$$\pi_k^s := \pi_k^s(S^0)$$

² What if X is unbased?

If we take M in Theorem 1.4 to be S^{n+l} , the isomorphism becomes

$$\Omega_{l, S^{n+l}}^{fr} \cong [S^{n+l}, S^n] = \pi_{n+l}(S^n).$$

If we can take $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we have an isomorphism between l th framed bordism group in S^∞ and stable l -stem. Which indeed can be done, by the celebrated Freudenthal Suspension Theorem:

Theorem 2.2 (Freudenthal). Suppose that X is an $(n-1)$ -connected space, $n \geq 2$. Then the suspension homomorphism

$$\Sigma : \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(\Sigma X)$$

is an isomorphism if $k < 2n-1$ and an epimorphism if $k = 2n-1$.

Definition 2.2. A *stable tangential framing* of a k -dimensional manifold V is an equivalence class of trivializations of

$$TV \oplus \epsilon^n,$$

where ϵ^n is the trivial bundle $V \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Two trivializations $t_1 : TV \oplus \epsilon^{n_1} \cong \epsilon^{k+n_1}$ and $t_2 : TV \oplus \epsilon^{n_2} \cong \epsilon^{k+n_2}$ are equivalent iff they are homotopic in a stable sense, that is, they are considered equivalent iff there exists some large enough N greater than n_1 and n_2 such that

$$t_1 \oplus \text{id}_{\epsilon^{N-n_1}} : TV \oplus \epsilon^{n_1} \oplus \epsilon^{N-n_1} \cong \epsilon^{k+N}$$

and

$$t_2 \oplus \text{id}_{\epsilon^{N-n_2}} : TV \oplus \epsilon^{n_2} \oplus \epsilon^{N-n_2} \cong \epsilon^{k+N}$$

are homotopic.

Similarly, a *stable normal framing* of a submanifold ν is an equivalent class of trivializations of $\nu \oplus \epsilon^n$ and a *stable framing* of a vector bundle E is an equivalence class of trivializations of $E \oplus \epsilon^n$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $V^k \subset S^n$ be a closed oriented normally framed submanifold of S^n . Then

1. A normal framing $\gamma : \nu \cong \epsilon^{n-k}$ induces a trivialization

$$\bar{\gamma} : TV \oplus \epsilon^{n-k+1} \cong \epsilon^{n+1}.$$

2. A trivialization $\bar{\gamma} : TV \oplus \epsilon \cong \epsilon^{k+1}$ induces a trivialization

$$\nu \oplus \epsilon^{k+1} \cong \epsilon^{n+1}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. The inclusion $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has a trivial 1-dimensional normal bundle, which can be framed by choosing the outward unit normal as a basis ³ This shows that the tangent bundle of S^n is stably trivial

$$TS^n \oplus \epsilon \cong \epsilon^{n+1}, \quad (2.2)$$

since $T\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is canonically trivialized.

Choose a split (always exists) of the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow TV \longrightarrow TS^n|_V \longrightarrow \nu \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have the (non-canonical) decomposition

$$TS^n|_V \cong TV \oplus \nu \cong TV \oplus \epsilon^{n-k}.$$

ν is trivial since V is normally framed. After direct summing ϵ and using the stable trivialization of TS^n (2.2), we get

$$\epsilon^{n+1} \cong TS^n|_V \oplus \epsilon \cong TV \oplus \nu \oplus \epsilon \cong TV \oplus \epsilon^{n-k+1},$$

which shows normal framing determines a tangential framing.

Conversely, if we are given a stable tangential framing $TV \oplus \epsilon \cong \epsilon^{k+1}$, we can plug this into the last equation and

$$\epsilon^{n+1} \cong TS^n|_V \oplus \epsilon \cong TV \oplus \nu \oplus \epsilon \cong \nu \oplus \epsilon^{k+1}$$

showing stable tangential framing induces a stable normal framing. \square

³ Countable noun. Plural form bases.

Definition 2.3 ([Fre]). An *isotopy* of embeddings $Y \hookrightarrow S^n$ is a **smooth** map

$$I \times Y \rightarrow S^n \quad (2.3)$$

so that the restriction to $\{t\} \times Y$ is an embedding for all $t \in I$. In other words, an isotopy of embeddings is a path of embeddings.

Theorem 2.4. There is a 1-1 correspondence between stable tangential framings and stable normal framings of a manifold V . More precisely:

1. Let $i : V \hookrightarrow S^n$ be an embedding. A stable framing of TV determines stable framing of $\nu(i)$ and conversely.
2. Let $i_1 : V \hookrightarrow S^{n_1}$ and $i_2 : V \hookrightarrow S^{n_2}$ be embeddings. For n large enough There exists a canonical identification

$$\nu(i_1) \oplus \epsilon^{n-n_1} \cong \nu(i_2) \oplus \epsilon^{n-n_2}.$$

A stable framing of $\nu(i_1)$ determines one of $\nu(i_2)$ and vice versa.

Proof. In Lemma 2.3, we studied the special case when the submanifold V is normally framed. The more general case when the submanifold is **stably normally framed** is quite similar, since is some l such that

$$\nu(i) \oplus TV \oplus \epsilon^l \cong \epsilon^{n+l},$$

and by the associativity of \oplus we can prove claim 1.

Claim 2.5. We can choose n large enough so that any two embeddings of V in S^n are isotopic.

Admitting the claim, we conclude that

Corollary 2.6. For n large enough, any self-isotopy is isotopic to the constant isotopy.

For the embeddings $i_1 : V \hookrightarrow S^{n_1}$ and $i_2 : V \hookrightarrow S^{n_2}$, we consider the embeddings $V \xrightarrow{i_1} S^{n_1} \xrightarrow{j_1} S^n$ and $V \xrightarrow{i_2} S^{n_2} \xrightarrow{j_2} S^n$, where j_1, j_2 are equatorial embeddings. Since n large enough, $j_1 \circ i_1$ and $j_2 \circ i_2$ are isotopic, by the last Claim. Then the normal bundles $\nu(j_1 \circ i_1)$ and $\nu(j_2 \circ i_2)$ in S^n are isomorphic. And

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(j_1 \circ i_1) &\cong \nu(i_1) \oplus \epsilon^{n-n_1}, \\ \nu(j_2 \circ i_2) &\cong \nu(i_2) \oplus \epsilon^{n-n_2}, \end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$\nu(i_1) \oplus \epsilon^{n-n_1} \cong \nu(i_2) \oplus \epsilon^{n-n_2}.$$

The isomorphism is canonical, since the isotopy from $j_1 \circ i_1$ to $j_2 \circ i_2$ is isotopic to the constant isotopy, by the last corollary. \square

Definition 2.4. Two real vector bundles E, F over V are called *stably equivalent* if there exist non-negative integers i, j so that $E \oplus \epsilon^i$ and $F \oplus \epsilon^j$ are isomorphic.

It is easy to show that the stable equivalence is an equivalence relation. Since every smooth compact manifold V can be embedded into S^n for some n via $i : V \rightarrow S^n$, we can take the stable equivalence class of the normal bundle $\nu(i)$ of the embedding i . The second part of the last theorem says this class is a well-defined invariant of the compact manifold V independent of the embedding, and we call it the *stable normal bundle* of V .

Corollary 2.7 (stable Pontrjagin-Thom [DK]). The stable k -stem is isomorphic to the stably tangentially framed bordism classes of stably tangentially framed k dimensional oriented closed manifolds, that is,

$$\Omega_k^{\text{fr}} \cong \pi_k^s. \quad (2.4)$$

The corollary above has given a bordism description of the stable stems π_k^s . Generally, if X is an arbitrary space, the stable homotopy groups $\pi_k^s(X)$ can be given a bordism description also. In this case one needs to introduce the structure of a map from the manifold to X . Sometimes people call a map from a manifold to X to be a *singular manifold in X* .

Definition 2.5. Let $V_i, i = 1, 2$ be two stably framed k -manifolds and $g_i : V_i \rightarrow X, i = 1, 2$ two maps. We say V_1 is *stably framed bordant to V_2 over X* if there exists a stably framed bordism W from V_1 to V_2 and a map

$$G : W \rightarrow X$$

extending g_1 and g_2 .

Let X_+ denote $X \amalg \text{pt}$, the union of X with a disjoint base point. Let $\Omega_k^{\text{fr}}(X)$ denote the stably framed bordism classes of stably framed k -manifolds over X .

Since $S^0 = \text{pt} \amalg \text{pt} = \text{pt}_+$, we can restate Corollary 2.7 in the form

$$\Omega_k^{\text{fr}}(\text{pt}) \cong \pi_k^s(\text{pt}_+). \quad (2.5)$$

The right hand side makes sense, since every manifold maps uniquely into pt , then a manifold is equivalent to the concept of a singular manifold in pt . The stably framed bordism classes of stably framed manifolds are just the stably framed bordism classes of stably framed singular manifolds in pt .

More generally, we can generalize Equation (2.5) to any topological space X .

Theorem 2.8 (general stable Pontrjagin-Thom).

$$\Omega_k^{\text{fr}}(X) \cong \pi_k^s(X_+). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 1.4. The forward map sending a class of framed k -dimensional manifold to a stable homotopy class is defined via the embedding $W \hookrightarrow S^{k+l}$, provided l large enough. Choose the normal bundle $\nu(W)$, one can define the corresponding collapse maps $S^{n+k} \rightarrow X$ and $S^{n+k} \rightarrow S^l$. Conversely, if we are given a class $[f] : S^{n+l} \rightarrow X_+ \wedge S^l$, take the inverse image of $X \times \{x\}$, where x is the regular map of \tilde{f} , and \tilde{f} is the smooth map representing $[f]$ obtained by transversality. \square

3 Spectrums and Generalized (Co)homology Theories

The notion of a spectrum measures "stable" phenomena, that is, phenomena which are preserved by suspending.

Definition 3.1. A *spectrum* is a sequence of pairs $\{K_n, k_n\}$ where the K_n are based spaces and $k_n : \Sigma K_n \rightarrow K_{n+1}$ are basepoint preserving maps, where ΣK_n denotes the (reduced) suspension of K_n

Example. The sphere spectrum

$$S = \{S^n, k_n : \Sigma S^n \cong S^{n+1}\}.$$

Fix a model for $K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$, there exists a sequence of homotopy equivalences

$$h_n : K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \rightarrow \Omega K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1).$$

Then h_n defines a map

$$k_n : \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, n+1)$$

via adjunction. In this way we obtain the *Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum*

$$K(\mathbb{Z}) := \{K(\mathbb{Z}, n), k_n\}.$$

Ordinary homology and cohomology are derived from the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum, as the next theorem indicates.

Theorem 3.1. For any space X ,

1. $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}(X_+ \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, l))$
2. $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} [\Sigma^l(X_+), K(\mathbb{Z}, n+l)]$

Definition 3.2. Let $K = \{K_n, k_n\}$ be a spectrum. Define the (*unreduced*) *homology and cohomology with coefficients in the spectrum K* to be the functor taking a space X to the abelian group

$$H_n(X; K) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}(X_+ \wedge K_l)$$

and

$$H^n(X; K) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} [\Sigma^l(X_+), K_{n+l}]_0,$$

and the *reduced homology and cohomology with coefficients in the spectrum K* to be the functor taking a space X to the abelian group

$$\tilde{H}_n(X; K) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}(X \wedge K_l)$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^n(X; K) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} [\Sigma^l(X), K_{n+l}]_0,$$

and the *homology and cohomology of a pair with coefficients in the spectrum K* to be

the functor taking a space X to the abelian group

$$H_n(X, A; K) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+l}((X_+/A_+) \wedge K_l)$$

and

$$H^n(X, A; K) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} [\Sigma^l(X_+/A_+), K_{n+l}]_0,$$

Example. By Definition 2.1, the n th stable homotopy group of a space X is

$$\pi_n^s(X) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+q}(\Sigma^q X).$$

Then by Claim ??

$$\Sigma^q(X) = \Sigma(\cdots \Sigma(X)) = S^1 \wedge (\cdots (S^1 \wedge X)) = S^q \wedge X,$$

since in our case \wedge is associative[Sma]. Thus we have

$$\pi_n^s(X) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n+q}(X \wedge S^q) =: \tilde{H}_n(X; S),$$

which says the stable homotopy groups $\pi_n^s(X)$ of X are the reduced homology $\tilde{H}_n(X; S)$ of X with coefficients in the sphere spectrum S .

By Theorem 2.8, the stably framed bordism group $\Omega_n^{\text{fr}}(X)$ satisfies

$$\Omega_n^{\text{fr}}(X) \cong \pi_n^s(X_+) = \tilde{H}_n(X_+; S) = H_n(X; S),$$

which says it is an unreduced homology theory.

Note that $H_n(\text{pt}; K)$ can be non-zero for $n \neq 0$, for example, $H_n(\text{pt}; S) = \pi_n^s$. The groups $H_n(\text{pt}; K)$ are called the *coefficients* of the spectrum K .

Definition 3.3. Given a \mathbf{G} -structure, define the n th \mathbf{G} -bordism group of a space X to be the \mathbf{G} -bordism classes of n -dimensional closed manifolds mapping to X with stable \mathbf{G} -structures on the normal bundle of an embedding of the manifold in a sphere. Denote this abelian group by

$$\Omega_n^{\mathbf{G}}(X).$$

Thus, a represent of an element in $\Omega_n^{\mathbf{G}}(X)$ has the data $(W, f; \gamma)$, where W is an n -dimensional closed manifold and $f : W \rightarrow X$ an continuous map. $\gamma_G : W \rightarrow BG$ is a map such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\gamma_G} & BG \\ & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow \\ & & BO \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\gamma : W \rightarrow BO$ is the classifying map of the stable normal bundle.

Does there exists a spectrum \mathbf{K} for each structure \mathbf{G} so that

$$\Omega_n^{\mathbf{G}}(X) = H_n(X; \mathbf{K}) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} (X_+ \wedge K_q)?$$

The answer is **yes** and the spectra for bordism theories are called *Thom spectra* \mathbf{MG} .

In particular, one can define **G**-cobordism by taking

$$H^n(X; \mathbf{MG}) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} [\Sigma^q X_+; MG_{n+q}]_0.$$

References

- [DK] James Davis and Paul Kirk. *Lecture Notes in Algebraic Topology*, volume 35 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society.
- [Fre] Daniel S Freed. Bordism: Old and New.
- [Sma] Smash product in nLab.