

# Assignment of Algebra II

Zhang Chi (201828001207022)  
zhangchi2018@itp.ac.cn

May 6, 2021

## Exercise 1

Given functors  $\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{E}$ , if two of  $F, G$  and  $GF$  are equivalences of categories, then so is the third one.

*Proof.* Assume that  $F$  and  $G$  are equivalences of categories, we want to show that  $GF$  is also an equivalence. By assumption, there are functors  $H : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and  $I : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} &\simeq HF, \\ FH &\simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}} &\simeq IG, \\ GI &\simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{E}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then we compose (2) with  $H, F$ , we get

$$HIGF \simeq HF \simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

Similarly compose (1) with  $G, I$ , we have

$$GFHI \simeq GI \simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{E}},$$

which shows that  $GF : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is an equivalence.

Now suppose that  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  and  $GF : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  are equivalences of categories. So there are functors  $H : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and  $K : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} &\simeq KGF, \\ GFK &\simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{E}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and (1) hold. We already have  $G(FK) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{E}}$ , *id est*,  $G$  has a right inverse  $FK$ , what left to us is to show that  $FK$  is also a right inverse of  $G$ . By composing  $H$  to (3) and using 1, we have

$$H \simeq KGFH \simeq KG.$$

Thus,

$$(FK)G = F(KG) \simeq FH \simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}},$$

showing that  $G : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is also an equivalence.

Finally, if we are given  $G : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  and  $GF : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  being equivalences of categories, then (2) and (3) hold. By composing (3) with  $I$ , we have

$$I \simeq IGFK \simeq FK.$$

So

$$F(KG) = (FK)G \simeq IG \simeq \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}},$$

showing that  $KG$  is a quasi-inverse of  $F$ , completing the proof. □

## Exercise 2

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be the following category

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ x \rightleftarrows y \curvearrowleft \end{array}.$$

Let  $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be the functor determined by the object map  $x, y \rightarrow x$ . Is  $F$  faithful? Is  $F$  full?

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(x, x) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(x, y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(y, x) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(y, y) = \{*\}$ , the map  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(*, *) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(F*, F*)$  is bijective. Thus  $F$  is fully faithful.  $\square$

## Exercise 3

Prove that, in the category  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ , the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{p_2} & B \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow g \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & C \end{array} \quad (4)$$

is also a pushout with respect to  $(p_1, p_2)$  if and only if  $(f, g) : A \oplus B \rightarrow C$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Since we are in the category  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ , we can write things more explicitly. In  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ , the diagram (4) is a pullback iff  $P$  is the  $R$ -module defined by

$$P := \{ (a, b) \in A \oplus B \mid f(a) = g(b) \},$$

as a submodule of  $A \oplus B$ . But this holds iff the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{p_1 \oplus p_2} A \oplus B \xrightarrow{f-g} C$$

is exact.

Similarly, the diagram (4) is a pushout iff  $C$  is the quotient of  $A \oplus B$

$$C = (A \oplus B) / \sim$$

with  $f(p_1(p)) \sim g(p_2(p))$  for all  $p \in P$ . But this happens iff the sequence

$$P \xrightarrow{p_1 \oplus p_2} A \oplus B \xrightarrow{f-g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

So the pullback diagram (4) is also a pushout iff the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{p_1 \oplus p_2} A \oplus B \xrightarrow{f-g} C \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, iff  $(f, g) : A \oplus B \rightarrow C$  is surjective.  $\square$

## Exercise 4

The center  $Z(\mathbf{C})$  of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  is the class of all natural transformations  $\alpha : \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ , where  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is the identity functor on  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and put  $\mathbf{C} = R\text{-Mod}$ . Prove that there is a bijection  $Z(R) \rightarrow Z(\mathbf{C})$ , where  $Z(R)$  is the center of  $R$ , that is,  $Z(R) = \{z \in R \mid zr = rz, \forall r \in R\}$ .

*Proof.*  $Z(R) \subseteq Z(\mathbf{C})$ . For any central element  $c \in Z(R)$ , we can define a natural transformation  $\eta_c$ , defined via  $\eta_c(M) : M \rightarrow M, x \mapsto cx$  for all  $R$ -module  $M$ . Indeed, for any morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  of  $R$ -modules, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{c \cdot (-)} & M \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ N & \xrightarrow{c \cdot (-)} & N \end{array}$$

thus complete the inclusion.

$Z(R) \supseteq Z(\mathbf{C})$ . Suppose we have a natural transformation  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ , we want to construct a central element of  $R$  from  $\eta$ . In particular,  $R$  is a right  $R$ -module, thus we may consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\eta_R} & R \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ R & \xrightarrow{\eta_R} & R \end{array} \quad (5)$$

where  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is an arbitrary morphism between  $R$ -modules. However, since  $\text{Hom}_R(R, R) \simeq R$ , we know that  $f$  must be of the form  $r \mapsto x \cdot r$  for some  $x \in R$ . So by the diagram (5), we have

$$\eta_R(f(1)) = f(\eta_R(1)).$$

But the right hand side of the above equation equals  $x \cdot \eta_R(1)$ , while the left hand side equals  $\eta_R(x \cdot 1) = \eta_R(x)$ , which shows that  $\eta_R(x) = x\eta_R(1)$ . It's easy to verify that  $\eta_R(1)$  is central. Thus given a natural transformation  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ , it's we take the central element to be  $\eta_R(1)$ .  $\square$

## Exercise 5

Show that a category  $\mathbf{C}$  admits small limits iff  $\mathbf{C}$  admits equalizers and products.

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Since equalizers and products are all small limits, this direction is obvious.

$\Leftarrow$  Now suppose that  $\mathbf{C}$  is a category admitting small limits. Let  $F : \mathbf{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be any small diagram, the limit  $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} F$  exists by assumption. For each morphism  $f$  in the indexing category  $\mathbf{J}$ , there is a commutative diagram in  $\mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \lim_{\mathbf{J}} F & \\ \lambda_{\text{dom } f} \swarrow & & \searrow \lambda_{\text{cod } f} \\ F(\text{dom } f) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(\text{cod } f) \end{array}$$

where  $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbf{J}}$  are the structure maps the limit cone, and  $\text{dom } f, \text{cod } f$  are the domain and codomain of the morphism  $f$ , respectively. From the diagram above, we know that the defining relations of the limit cone are

$$(Ff) \circ \lambda_{\text{dom } f} = \lambda_{\text{cod } f}, \quad (6)$$

with  $f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}$  varying. Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & F(\text{cod } f) \\
 & \nearrow \pi_{\text{cod } f} & \uparrow \pi_f \\
 \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj & \xrightleftharpoons[c]{d} & \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f) , \\
 \downarrow \pi_{\text{dom } f} & & \downarrow \pi_f \\
 F(\text{dom } f) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(\text{cod } f)
 \end{array} \quad (7)$$

where  $\pi_f : \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f)$  are the projection morphisms defining the product  $\prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f)$ , while  $\pi_{\text{dom } f} : \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj \rightarrow F(\text{dom } f)$  are the projection morphisms defining the product  $\prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj$ . By the universal property

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj, \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f)\right) \simeq \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj, F(\text{cod } f)\right)$$

of product, the morphisms  $c : \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj \rightarrow \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f)$  and  $d : \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj \rightarrow \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f)$  in (7) are uniquely determined by the morphisms  $(\pi_{\text{cod } f})_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}}$  and  $((Ff) \circ \pi_{\text{dom } f})_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}}$  respectively. Also notice that the diagram (7) can be augmented as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & F(\text{cod } f) \\
 & & & \nearrow \pi_{\text{cod } f} & \uparrow \pi_f \\
 \lim_{\mathbf{J}} F & \longrightarrow & \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj & \xrightleftharpoons[c]{d} & \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f) , \\
 & & \downarrow \pi_{\text{dom } f} & & \downarrow \pi_f \\
 & & F(\text{dom } f) & \xrightarrow{Ff} & F(\text{cod } f)
 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where the morphism  $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} F \rightarrow \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj$  is uniquely defined by the morphisms  $\lambda_j : \lim_{\mathbf{J}} F \rightarrow Fj, j \in \mathbf{J}$  by the universal property of  $\prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj$ . However, the relations (6) tells us that the diagram (8) is commutative, saying that there is a unique morphism  $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} F \rightarrow \text{Eq}(c, d)$  to the equalizer  $\text{Eq}(c, d)$  of  $c, d$ . On the other hand, the morphism  $\text{Eq}(c, d) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj$  uniquely defines a morphism  $\text{Eq}(c, d) \rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{J}} F$ , by the universal property of  $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} F$ . By the uniqueness of the limits,  $\lim_{\mathbf{J}} F$  must be isomorphic to  $\text{Eq}(c, d)$ .

In summary, we have shown that the diagram

$$\lim_{\mathbf{J}} F \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in \text{obj } \mathbf{J}} Fj \xrightleftharpoons[c]{d} \prod_{f \in \text{mor } \mathbf{J}} F(\text{cod } f)$$

is an equalizer diagram, *id est*, any small limit can be constructed as an equalizer of morphisms between some products, completing the proof.  $\square$

## Exercise 6

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category and  $X \in \mathbf{C}$  an object. Prove that the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, -) : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  preserves limits.

*Proof.* Let  $F : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be any small diagram and  $\nu : \varprojlim F \rightarrow FI$  be the limit cone. Apply the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, -)$  to the limit cone  $\nu : \varprojlim F \rightarrow FI$ , we obtain a cone  $\nu_* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, \nu) : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, \varprojlim F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, FI)$  in  $\mathbf{Set}$ . We need to show that  $\nu_*$  is also a limit cone in the category  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

Given an arbitrary cone  $\tau : S \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, FI)$  with apex  $S \in \mathbf{Set}$  and base  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, FI)$ , the image  $\tau(s)$  of each element  $s \in S$  is an element in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, FI)$ , *id est*, a cone  $\tau(s) : X \rightarrow FI$

in  $\mathbf{C}$  with apex  $X$  and base  $FI$ . By the universal property of the limit cone  $v : \varprojlim F \rightarrow FI$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\eta_s : X \rightarrow \varprojlim F$ , making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \downarrow \eta_s & \searrow \tau(s) & \\ \varprojlim F & \xrightarrow{v} & FI \end{array}$$

commute. Since  $\eta_s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, \varprojlim F)$ , the assignment  $s \mapsto \eta_s$  defines a morphism  $\eta : S \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, \varprojlim F)$ . By construction  $\eta$  is unique. So we have proved the universal property of the cone  $v_*$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## Exercise 7

Let  $\phi : F \dashv G$ . Then  $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow GF$  with  $\eta_X = \phi(\text{id}_{FX}) : X \rightarrow GF(X)$  is a natural transformation. Similarly,  $\epsilon = FG \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}}$ , with  $\epsilon_Y : \phi^{-1}(\text{id}_{GY}) : FG(Y) \rightarrow Y$ , is a natural transformation. Moreover, we have identities of natural transformations

$$\epsilon F \circ F\eta = \text{id}_F, G\epsilon \circ \eta G = \text{id}_G. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Since  $F \dashv G$ , so for any  $X \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ , we have a natural isomorphism

$$\phi_{XY} : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(FX, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GY).$$

Fixing  $X$ , the natural isomorphism

$$\phi_{X-} : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(FX, -) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, G(-))$$

is determined by an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, GFX)$ , the image of  $\text{id}_{F(X)}$  under the isomorphism  $\phi_{X,FX}$ . Such an assignment  $X \mapsto \eta_X = \phi_{X,FX}(\text{id}_{FX})$  defines a natural transformation  $\eta$ , in which the naturality can be seen in the following way.

To prove that  $\eta$  is natural, we must show that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & GFX \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow GFf \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\eta_{X'}} & GFX' \end{array}$$

commutes for any  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . But this is equivalent to the commutativity of the obvious square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FX & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{FX}} & FX \\ \downarrow Ff & & \downarrow Ff \\ FX' & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{FX'}} & FX' \end{array}$$

Dually, fixing  $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ , the natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, GY) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(F(-), Y)$  is determined by an element of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(FGY, Y)$ , the preimage of  $\text{id}_{GY}$  of the isomorphism  $\phi_{GY,Y}$ , by the Yoneda lemma. The assignment  $Y \mapsto \eta_Y := \phi_{GY,Y}^{-1}(\text{id}_{GY})$  defines a natural transformation  $\eta : FG \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathbf{D}}$  in a similar way.

Finally we have to verify the identities (9). It suffices to verify them pointwise, since natural transformations are pointwise defined. So for the first identity

$$\epsilon F \circ F\eta = \text{id}_F,$$

we wan to show that for any  $X \in \mathbf{C}$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FX & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{FX}} & FX \\ \downarrow F\eta_X & & \downarrow \text{id}_{FX} \\ FGFX & \xrightarrow{\eta_{FX}F} & FX \end{array}$$

commutes. But this is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & GFX \\ \downarrow \eta_X & & \downarrow \text{id}_{GFX} \\ GFX & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{GFX}} & GFX \end{array} ,$$

which commutes manifestly. The second identity

$$G\epsilon \circ \eta G = \text{id}_G$$

lies on the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GY & \xrightarrow{\eta_{GY}} & GFGY \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{GY} & & \downarrow G\epsilon_Y \\ GY & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{GY}} & GY \end{array}$$

for all  $Y \in \mathbf{D}$ , which holds because of the manifestly commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FG Y & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{FG Y}} & FG Y \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{FG Y} & & \downarrow \epsilon_Y \\ FG Y & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_Y} & Y \end{array} .$$

□

## Exercise 8

Prove that

**Lemma 1.** In an additive category with kernels and cokernels, any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  factors uniquely as  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{coim } f \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{im } f \xrightarrow{\beta} B$ .

*Proof.* Given any morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in an additive category  $\mathbf{A}$ , the kernel  $\ker f \xrightarrow{f} A$  and cokernel  $B \xrightarrow{g} \text{coker } f$  exist, by assumption. Since  $f \circ g = 0$ ,  $f$  must factor through  $\text{coker } g = \text{coker } \ker f =: \text{coim } f$  uniquely. Moreover, since any cokernel is an epimorphism, we denote such a factorization by  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{coim } f \xrightarrow{i} B$ . Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{coker } f \\ & \searrow \alpha & \nearrow i & \searrow 0 & \\ & & \text{coim } f & & \end{array} ,$$

we have

$$h \circ i \circ \alpha = h \circ f = 0 = 0 \circ \alpha.$$

But note that  $\alpha$  is epimorphic, then  $(h \circ i) \circ \alpha = 0 \circ \alpha$  implies that

$$h \circ i = 0. \quad (10)$$

But (10) shows that  $i$  must factor through  $\ker h = \ker \operatorname{coker} f =: \operatorname{im} f$  uniquely, which we denote by  $i : \operatorname{coim} f \xrightarrow{\gamma} \operatorname{im} f \xrightarrow{\beta} B$ .  $\beta : \operatorname{im} f \rightarrow B$  is a monomorphism, because  $\operatorname{im} f$  is by definition the kernel of  $h$  and any kernel is monomorphic. Finally, since every factorization we performed is unique, we are done.  $\square$

## Exercise 9

Prove that

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an abelian category, then

- (i) If a morphism is both a monomorphism and an epimorphism, then it is an isomorphism.
- (ii) Every monomorphism is the kernel of its cokernel.
- (iii) Every epimorphism is the cokernel of its kernel.
- (iv) Every morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  can be decomposed as  $A \xrightarrow{g} \operatorname{im} f \xrightarrow{h} B$  with  $h$  monomorphism and  $g$  epimorphism.

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 1, in the factorization  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{coim} f \xrightarrow{\gamma} \operatorname{im} f \xrightarrow{\beta} B$  of  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , if we can show that  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \operatorname{coim} f$  and  $\beta : \operatorname{im} f \rightarrow B$  are both isomorphisms, we can then conclude that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism. By assumption,  $f$  is monomorphic, then  $\ker f = 0$ . By definition,  $\operatorname{coim} f$  is the cokernel of  $0 \rightarrow A$ , that is, the pushout of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & A \\ 0 \downarrow & & \\ A & & \end{array}.$$

It is easy to verify that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & A \\ 0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \operatorname{id}_A \\ A & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_A} & A \end{array}$$

is a pushout. So in this case  $\operatorname{coim} f \simeq A$  and  $\alpha \simeq \operatorname{id}_A$  being an isomorphism.

On the other hand  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is also epimorphic by assumption, so  $\operatorname{coker} f = 0$ , and by definition  $\operatorname{im} f$  is the kernel of  $B \rightarrow 0$ . The latter is equivalent to the pullback of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ & \downarrow 0' & \\ B & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 \end{array}$$

which can be easily verified to be the identity morphism  $\operatorname{id}_B : B \rightarrow B$ . So we have showed that  $\operatorname{im} f \simeq B$  and  $\beta \simeq \operatorname{id}_B$ . Thus the first assertion is proved.

(ii) Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is an abelian category, for any monomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the morphism  $\operatorname{coim} f \rightarrow \operatorname{im} f$  is an isomorphism, by the axiom AB2. By the proof of (i), in the factorization

$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{coim } f \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{im } f \xrightarrow{\beta} B$  of  $f$ , we have  $\text{coim } f = A$  and  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \text{coim } f$  being isomorphic, by the monomorphicity of  $f$ . In this case we have an isomorphism  $A \simeq \text{im } f$ . Since  $\text{im } f := \ker \text{coker } f$ , the assertion follows.

(iii) Dually, assume that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is epimorphic. Again by the proof of (i) we have an isomorphism  $\beta : \text{im } f \rightarrow B$ . By AB2,  $\text{coim } f \rightarrow \text{im } f$  is an isomorphism so we have an isomorphism  $\text{coim } f \simeq B$ . Finally by definition we have  $\text{coim } f = \text{coker } \ker f$ .

(iv) Again consider the factorization  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{coim } f \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{im } f \xrightarrow{\beta} B$  of any  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . By AB2,  $\gamma : \text{coim } f \rightarrow \text{im } f$  is an isomorphism, so we take  $g := \gamma \circ \alpha$  and  $h := \beta$ .  $g$  is epimorphic since  $\alpha$  is epimorphic.  $\square$

## Exercise 10

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category that admits colimits. We say that an object  $X \in \mathbf{C}$  is of **finite type** if for all functor  $F : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , the natural map

$$\varinjlim \text{Hom}(X, F(-)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(X, (\varinjlim F)(-))$$

is injective, where  $\mathbf{I}$  is a directed poset. Show that this definition coincides with the usual definition of finite type modules, when  $\mathbf{C} = R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a directed set and  $(\alpha_i)$  a direct system in  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$  indexed by  $I$ . We want to show that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is of finite type iff the map

$$\eta_M : \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \varinjlim \alpha_i) \quad (11)$$

is injective.

$\Rightarrow$  If  $M$  is of finite type, then there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M$  is the quotient of the  $R$ -module  $R^n$ . So there must be an exact sequence

$$R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (12)$$

of  $R$ -modules. Since the functors  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \alpha_i)$  are contravariant left exact functors for all  $i \in I$ , we get exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \alpha_i) \quad (13)$$

by applying the functors  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \alpha_i)$  to the exact sequence (12). Since taking direct limits is an exact functor in the category  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ , we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha_i) \rightarrow \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \alpha_i) \quad (14)$$

We can also apply the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \varinjlim \alpha_i)$  to the exact sequence (12), to get another exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \varinjlim \alpha_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \varinjlim \alpha_i)$$

. Combining all these up, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha_i) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \alpha_i) \\ & & \downarrow \eta_M & & \downarrow \eta_{R^n} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, \varinjlim \alpha_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \varinjlim \alpha_i) \end{array} \quad (15)$$

with exact rows, and rows the natural morphisms  $\eta_M, \eta_{R^n}$ . But look and behold, by the isomorphisms  $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(R^n, \alpha_i) \simeq \varinjlim (\text{Hom}_R(R, \alpha_i))^n \simeq (\varinjlim \alpha_i)^n$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(R^n, \varinjlim \alpha_i) \simeq (\text{Hom}_R(R, \varinjlim \alpha_i))^n \simeq$



$(\varinjlim \alpha_i)^n$ , it's easy to check that the vertical natural monomorphism  $\eta_{R^n}$  is *de facto* the identity morphism  $\text{id}_{(\varinjlim \alpha_i)^n}$ . Thus the diagram (15) tells us that (11) is a monomorphism.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $M$  is not of finite type, we prove that (11) is not injective. Although  $M$  is not of finite type, every element of  $M$  is contained in a submodule of finite type, say, the submodule generated by itself. Thus we may write  $M$  as the direct limit  $M = \varinjlim M_i$ , with  $M_i$  running over all submodules of finite type of  $M$ . Note that  $(\alpha_i) := (M/M_i)$  also forms a direct system. For each  $i$ , let  $\pi_i : M \rightarrow M/M_i$  be the natural projection, thus  $(\pi_i)$  defines an element in  $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(M, M/M_i)$ . However, as  $\varinjlim M/M_i = 0$ ,  $\eta_M$  is identically zero hence is not injective, as desired.  $\square$

## Exercise 11

Prove that

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $I$  is a countable set. Let  $0 \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of inverse systems of  $R$ -modules over  $I$ . If  $(A_i, \phi_{ij})$  is Mittag-Leffler, then  $0 \rightarrow \varprojlim A_i \rightarrow \varprojlim B_i \rightarrow \varprojlim C_i \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

*Proof.* Since taking limits is left exact, we already have an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim A_i \rightarrow \varprojlim B_i \rightarrow \varprojlim C_i.$$

What left to us is to show that  $\varprojlim B_i \rightarrow \varprojlim C_i$  is surjective, since in the category  $R\text{-Mod}$  the notions of surjections and epimorphisms coincide. Let  $(c_i) \in \varprojlim C_i$ . Before doing this, we may view each  $A_i$  as a submodule of  $B_i$ , and the transition maps of the directed system  $(B_i)$  as extensions of the transition maps  $\phi_{ij}$ . For this reason, we may denote the directed inverse system as  $(B_i, \phi_{ij})$ .

For each  $i \in I$ , let  $E_i := g_i^{-1}(c_i)$ , which is non-trivial since  $g_i : B_i \rightarrow C_i$  is surjective by assumption. Since  $E_i \subseteq B_i$  are submodules, the restrictions of  $\phi_{ij} : B_j \rightarrow B_i$  to  $E_j \rightarrow E_i$  make  $(E_i)$  an inverse system of non-trivial  $R$ -modules. If we can show that  $(E_i)$  is Mittag-Leffler, then  $\varprojlim E_i$  is non empty, and every element of  $\varprojlim E_i$  can be viewed as an element of  $\varprojlim B_i$  that is the preimage of  $(c_i)$ , under the map  $\varprojlim B_i \rightarrow \varprojlim C_i$ .

Now we are to show that  $(E_i)$  is Mittag-Leffler, namely, for all  $i \in I$  there exists  $j \geq i$  such that  $\phi_{ik}(E_k) = \phi_{ij}(E_j)$  for  $k \geq j$ . Since  $\phi_{ik} = \phi_{ij} \circ \phi_{jk}$ , we always have  $\phi_{ik}(E_k) = \phi_{ij}(\phi_{jk}(E_k)) \subseteq \phi_{ij}(E_j)$ . For the other direction, let  $e_j \in E_j$ , we need to find  $e_k \in E_k$  such that  $\phi_{ij}(e_j) = \phi_{ik}(e_k)$ . Let  $e'_k \in E_k$  be any other element, and let  $e'_j = \phi_{jk}(e'_k)$ , then  $g_j(e_j - e'_j) = g_j(e_j) - g_j(e'_j) = c_j - c_j = 0$ . Hence  $e_j - e'_j = a_j \in A_j$  for some  $a_j$ . By assumption, since  $(A_i, \phi_{ij})$  is Mittag-Leffler, there is some  $a_k \in A_k$  such that  $\phi_{ik}(a_k) = \phi_{ij}(a_j)$ . Hence

$$\phi_{ik}(e_k) = \phi_{ik}(e'_k + a_k) = \phi_{ik}(e'_k) + \phi_{ik}(a_k) = \phi_{ij}(e'_j) + \phi_{ij}(a_j) = \phi_{ij}(e'_j + a_j) = \phi_{ij}(e_j),$$

showing that  $\phi_{ij}(E_j) \subseteq \phi_{ik}(E_k)$ . Thus  $(E_i)$  is indeed Mittag-Leffler, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## Exercise 12

Prove the Snake Lemma for  $\mathbf{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ .

*Proof.* Now suppose that in the category  $R\text{-Mod}$  there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{g} & X'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow u' & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow u'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y'' \end{array} \quad (16)$$

with exact rows, we need to show that there is an exact sequence

$$\ker u' \xrightarrow{k'} \ker u \xrightarrow{k} \ker u'' \xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{coker} u' \xrightarrow{h'} \operatorname{coker} u \xrightarrow{h} \operatorname{coker} u'' \quad (17)$$

of  $R$ -modules.

We first show the existence of the morphisms in (17), then show the exactness of these morphisms. We will do these in the light of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \ker u' & \xrightarrow{k'} & \ker u & \xrightarrow{k} & \ker u'' \\ & & \downarrow v' & & \downarrow v & & \downarrow v'' \\ & & X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{g} & X'' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow u' & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow u'' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y'' \\ & & \downarrow w' & & \downarrow w & & \downarrow w'' \\ & & \operatorname{coker} u' & \xrightarrow{h'} & \operatorname{coker} u & \xrightarrow{h} & \operatorname{coker} u'' \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}, \quad (18)$$

with solid arrows being commutative.

**Existence.** First we consider  $f \circ v' : \ker u' \rightarrow X$ . Because  $u \circ (f \circ v') = f' \circ u' \circ v' = 0$ ,  $f \circ v'$  indeed induces a morphism  $k' : \ker u' \rightarrow \ker u$ , by the universal property of  $\ker u$ . Similarly, there is a morphism  $k : \ker u \rightarrow \ker u''$  induced by the morphism  $g \circ v : \ker u \rightarrow X''$ .

Dually, we consider the morphism  $w \circ f' : Y' \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} u$ , which satisfies  $(w \circ f') \circ u' = w \circ u \circ f = 0$ . So by the universal property of  $\operatorname{coker} u'$ , there is indeed a morphism  $h' : \operatorname{coker} u' \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} u$ . Analogously,  $h : \operatorname{coker} u \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} u''$  is induced by  $w'' \circ g' : Y \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} u''$ .

The non-trivial part is to show the existence of  $\partial : \ker u'' \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} u'$ . We do this explicitly. Take any  $\alpha \in \ker u''$  we define  $\delta(\alpha) \in \operatorname{coker} u'$  as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \alpha & & \\ & & & & \downarrow v'' & & \\ & & \gamma & \xrightarrow{g} & \beta & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow u'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \eta & \xrightarrow{f'} & \sigma & \xrightarrow{g'} & u''(\beta) \\ & & \downarrow w' & & & & \\ & & \iota & & & & \end{array}$$

Take  $\beta = v''(\alpha) \in X''$ . Since  $g : X \rightarrow X''$  is epimorphic by assumption, we can pick a lift  $\gamma \in X$  of  $\beta$  along  $g$ . Then take  $\sigma = u(\gamma) \in Y$ . By the commutativity of (18), we have  $g'(\sigma) = g'(u(\gamma)) = u''(g(\gamma)) = u''(\beta) = u''(v''(\alpha)) = 0$ . Thus  $\sigma \in \ker g' \simeq \operatorname{im} f'$ , and take  $\eta$  to be  $f'^{-1}(\sigma)$ . Finally take  $\iota = w'(\eta)$  and define

$$\partial(\alpha) := \iota.$$

All the above steps are natural, except for the choice of  $\gamma \in X$ . We need to show that  $\partial(\alpha)$  is well-defined, that is, independent of the choice of  $\gamma$ .

Suppose we have chosen another lift  $\gamma' \in X$  of the same  $\beta$ , thus we have  $g(\gamma' - \gamma) = g(\gamma') - g(\gamma) = 0$ , so there must be some  $\xi \in X'$  such that  $f(\xi) = \gamma' - \gamma$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma')) &= w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma + (\gamma' - \gamma))) \\
 &= w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma)) + w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma' - \gamma)) \\
 &= w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma)) + w'(f'^{-1}u(f(\xi))) \\
 &= w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma)) + w'((f')^{-1}f'(u'(\xi)))' \\
 &= w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma)) + w'(u'(\xi)) \\
 &= w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma))
 \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\partial(\alpha) = \iota = w'(f'^{-1}u(\gamma))$  is independent of the choice of  $\gamma$ , as desired.

**Exactness.** Since we have constructed the dotted arrows in the diagram (18) using universal properties, all arrows in diagram (18) are manifestly commutative, no matter dotted or solid. So we have  $v'' \circ (k \circ k') = f \circ g \circ v' = 0$ . But seeing that  $v''$  is monomorphic, we have  $k \circ k' = 0$ , showing that  $\text{im } k' \subseteq \ker k$ . For any  $b \in \ker u$ , we have  $g(v(b)) = v'(kb) = 0$ , thus  $v(b) = \ker g = \text{im } f$ , there must be some  $a \in X'$  such that  $f(a) = v(b)$ . Then  $v(b) = (f \circ v')(v')^{-1}(f(a)) = (v \circ k')(v'^{-1}f(a)) = v(k'(v'^{-1}f(a)))$ , showing that  $b = k'(v'^{-1}f(a))$ , that is,  $b \in \text{im } k'$ . So we have shown  $\ker k \subseteq \text{im } k'$ , thus  $\text{im } k' = \ker k$ .

Next we show that  $\text{im } k = \ker \partial$ . Again take any  $b \in \ker u$ , we need to see what  $\partial(kb)$  is. By the definition of  $\partial$ , we need to find a lift of  $v'(kb)$  along  $g$  in  $X'$ , say  $v(b)$ . Since  $g(v(b)) = v'(k(b))$ ,  $v(b)$  is indeed a lift of  $v'(kb)$ . But we have  $u(v(b)) = 0$ , as  $b \in \ker u$ , then  $\partial(kb) = w'((f')^{-1}(0)) = 0$ , showing that  $\text{im } k \subseteq \ker \partial$ . Conversely, for any  $c \in \ker \partial$ , we have  $\partial c = 0$  in  $\text{coker } u'$ , which is amount to say that  $\partial c \in \text{im } u'$ . So there is some  $d \in X'$  such that  $\partial c = u'(d)$ . Now we find that  $f(d)$  is a lift of  $v'(c)$ , then  $c = k(v^{-1}(f(d)))$ , showing that  $c$  is the image of  $v^{-1}(f(d)) \in \ker u$ , showing that  $\ker \partial \subseteq \text{im } k$ , as desired.

The verification of exactness of the rest part of (17) is formally dual to the previous argument, so we don't show it as time is limited.  $\square$

## Exercise 13

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an abelian category, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X'' \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Y' & \longrightarrow & Y'' \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & Z' & \longrightarrow & Z''
 \end{array}$$

and assume that all columns are exact. If the second and the third rows are exact, show that the first row is also exact.

*Proof.* Using the Feyer-Michell Embedding Theorem we may assume the given diagram is in  $R\text{-mod}$  for some ring  $R$ . Thus proof of this exercise is essentially contained in the proof of the Snake Lemma, here we tirelessly demonstrate it again. *In principio*, we name all the arrows in

the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{k} & X' & \xrightarrow{k'} & X'' \\
 & & \downarrow v & & \downarrow v' & & \downarrow v'' \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y'' \\
 & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow u' & & \downarrow u'' \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Z' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Z''
 \end{array}$$

What left us to show is that  $\ker k = 0$  and  $\operatorname{im} k = \ker k'$ .

$\ker k = 0$ . If  $a \in \ker k$ , we have  $0 = v'(k(a)) = f(v(a))$ . But both  $f, v$  are monomorphic by assumption, hence  $f \circ v$  is monomorphic and this implies  $a = 0$ .

$\operatorname{im} k = \ker k'$ . By the commutativity of the diagram, we have  $v'' \circ k' \circ k = f' \circ f \circ v = 0$ . Since  $v''$  is monomorphic by assumption, we have  $k' \circ k = 0$ , which shows that  $\operatorname{im} k \subseteq \ker k'$ . Conversely, for any  $b \in \ker k'$ , we have  $f'(v'(b)) = v''(k'(b)) = 0$ , showing that  $v'(b)$  is in the image of  $f$ . So there is some  $c \in Y$  such that

$$f(c) = v'(b). \quad (19)$$

Thus  $g(u(c)) = u'(f(c)) = u'(v'(b)) = 0$ . But since  $g$  is monomorphic, we have  $u(c) = 0$ , meaning that  $c \in \ker u = \operatorname{im} v$ . Since  $v$  is monomorphic, we take  $X \ni d = v^{-1}(c)$  to be the unique preimage. Now (19) tells us that  $v'(b) = f(c) = f(v(d)) = v'(k(d))$ , implying  $b = k(d)$ . This shows  $\ker k' \subseteq \operatorname{im} k$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

## Exercise 14

Prove that

**Proposition 4.** Via the isomorphisms  $H^{n-1}(X[1]) \simeq H^n(X)$ , the connecting form  $\delta$  can be identified with  $H^n(f) : H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(Y)$ .

*Proof.* For any cochain map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{i} \operatorname{cone}(f) \xrightarrow{p} X[1] \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $i(y) = (0, y)$  and  $p(x, y) = -x$ . By applying the functor  $H^*(-)$  to this short exact sequence, we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X[1]) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^n(Y) \xrightarrow{H^n(i)} H^n(\operatorname{cone}(f)) \xrightarrow{H^n(p)} H^n(X[1]) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Given the isomorphisms  $H^{n-1}(X[1]) \simeq H^n(X)$ , the above cohomology long exact sequence can be modified into

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^n(Y) \rightarrow H^n(\operatorname{cone}(f)) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

To show that  $\delta^n$  are the same as  $H^n(f)$ , we need to inspect the construction of these con-

necting morphisms. As the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 & d_Y^n \uparrow & & d_{\text{cone}(f)}^n \uparrow & & d_{X[1]}^n \uparrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y^n & \xrightarrow{i^n} & \text{cone}(f)^n = X^{n+1} \oplus Y^n & \longrightarrow & X[1]^n \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & d_Y^{n-1} \uparrow & & d_{\text{cone}(f)}^{n-1} \uparrow & & d_{X[1]}^{n-1} \uparrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{cone}(f)^{n-1} = X^n \oplus Y^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{p^{n-1}} & X[1]^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & 
 \end{array}$$

illustrates,  $\delta^n$  are constructed as follows: pick any cocycle  $x \in X[1]^{n-1} = X^n$ , then find a lift of  $x$  via  $p^{n-1}$  in  $\text{cone}(f)^{n-1}$ , say  $(-x, 0)$ , then the image  $\delta^n([x]) \in Y^n$  is defined to be the cohomology class

$$[(i^n)^{-1}(d_{\text{cone}(f)}^{n-1}(-x, 0))] = [(i^n)^{-1}(0, f^n(x))] = [f(x)],$$

showing that

$$\delta^n([x]) = [f^n(x)] = H^n(f)([x])$$

for all cocycle  $x \in X^n$ . Hence the proposition holds.  $\square$

## Exercise 15

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an abelian category.  $X \in C(\mathbf{A})$  is called **split** if there exist  $s^n : X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^n$  such that  $d^n \circ s^n \circ d^n = d^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that  $X$  is split exact iff  $\text{id}_X$  is homotopic to zero.

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $\text{id}_X$  is homotopic to zero, which is equivalent to saying that there exists maps  $h^n : X^n \rightarrow X^{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\text{id}_{X^n} = h^{n+1} \circ d^n + d^{n-1} \circ h^n. \quad (20)$$

Composing (20) with  $d^n$ , we have

$$d^n = d^n \circ h^{n+1} \circ d^n + d^n \circ d^{n-1} \circ h^n = d^n \circ h^{n+1} \circ d^n.$$

Taking  $s^n := h^{n-1}$ , the above equation shows that  $X^*$  is split. To show that  $X^*$  is split exact, we need to show that  $X^*$  is acyclic in addition, namely  $H^*(X^*) = 0$ . For any  $x \in Z^n$ , by (20), we have

$$x = \text{id}_{X^n}(x) = h^{n+1}(d^n x) + d^{n-1}(h^n x) = d^{n-1}(h^n x),$$

showing that  $x \in B^n$ . So we have  $H^n(X^*) = 0$ , implying that  $X^*$  is split exact.

$\Rightarrow$  Assume that  $X^*$  is split exact, *id est*, there are  $s^n : X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $d^n \circ s^n \circ d^n = d^n$ . Consider the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow Z^n \longrightarrow X^n \xrightarrow{d^n} B^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (21)$$

and

$$0 \xrightarrow{i} B^n \longrightarrow Z^n \longrightarrow H^n(X^*) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (22)$$

.

Then consider the morphisms  $s^n : X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^n$  and  $j^n := d^{n-1} \circ s^{n-1}$ . For any  $d^n x \in B^{n+1}$ , we have

$$(d^n \circ s^n)(d^n x) = (d^n \circ s^n \circ d^n)(x) = d^n x,$$

showing that  $(d^n \circ s^n)$  is the identity when restricted to  $B^{n+1}$ . Thus the short exact sequence 21 splits, hence for any  $X^n$  we have

$$X^n \simeq Z^n \oplus B^{n+1}.$$

Similarly, for any  $d^{n-1}y \in B^n$ , which can be viewed as an element in  $Z^n$  via  $i : B^n \rightarrow Z^n$ , we have

$$(j^n \circ i)(d^{n-1}y) = d^{n-1} \circ s^n \circ d^{n-1} = d^{n-1}y,$$

showing that (22) is also split. Thus we have

$$X^n \simeq B^{n+1} \oplus Z^n \simeq B^n n + 1 \oplus B^n \oplus H^n(X^*) = B^{n+1} \oplus B^n, \quad (23)$$

where the last equality comes from the acyclic assumption on  $X^*$ . In the light of (23), it's easy to verify that

$$\text{id}_{X^n} = s^n \circ d^n + d^{n-1} \circ s^{n-1},$$

showing that  $s^n : X^n \rightarrow X^{n-1}$  are the desired chain homotopy making  $\text{id}_X$  homotopic to zero.  $\square$

## Exercise 16

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an abelian category.

- (i) Show that a cochain complex  $P^*$  is a projective object in  $C(\mathbf{A})$  iff it is a split exact complex of projectives in  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- (ii) Show that if  $\mathbf{A}$  has enough projectives, so does  $C(\mathbf{A})$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  Let  $P^*$  be a cochain complex that is projective in the category  $C(\mathbf{A})$ , we need to show that  $P$  is split exact. Since  $P$  is projective,  $P[1]$  is also projective. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow \text{cone id}_P \rightarrow P[1] \rightarrow 0, \quad (24)$$

which splits since  $P[1]$  is projective. Thus we have  $\text{cone id}_P \simeq P[1] \oplus P$  thus  $H^*(\text{cone id}_P) \simeq H^{*+1}(P) \oplus H^*(P)$ . But note that  $\text{id}_P : P \rightarrow P$  is an isomorphism hence a quasi-isomorphism, the cochain complex  $\text{cone id}_P$  is acyclic, so  $H^{*+1}(P) \oplus H^*(P) = 0$ , from which we know that  $P$  is acyclic.

To show that  $P$  is split, we have to inspect the split exact sequence (24) closer. Since  $P[1]$  is projective, there is a cochain map  $s : P[1] \rightarrow \text{cone id}_P$  lifting the identity  $\text{id}_{P[1]} : P[1] \rightarrow P[1]$ . For any  $p \in (P[1])^n = P^{n+1}$ , since  $s$  is lifting the identity, the element  $s^n(p) \in (\text{cone id}_P)^n \simeq P^{n+1} \oplus P^n$  must be of the form  $s^n(p) = (-p, -h^n p)$ , where  $h^n : P^{n+1} \rightarrow P^n$  are morphisms in  $\mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, since  $s$  is a cochain map, it must commute with the differentials  $d_{P[1]}$  and  $d_{\text{cone id}_P}$ . Thus we have

$$d_{\text{cone id}_P}^n(s^n(p)) = s^{n+1}(d_{P[1]}^n(p)).$$

Computing both sides, we have

$$(d_P^{n+1} p, -p + d_P^n(h^n p)) = (-d_P^{n+1} p, p - h^{n+1}(d_P^{n+1} p)),$$

thus

$$p = d_P^n(h^n p) + h^{n+1}(d_P^{n+1} p).$$

Since  $p$  is arbitrary, the above equation shows that  $P$  is split exact.

Finally we need to show that each  $P^n$  of  $P^*$  is projective in  $\mathbf{A}$ , namely, for any two objects  $M, N$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  with an epimorphism  $M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$ , and a morphism  $f^n : P^n \rightarrow N$ , there is an  $\tilde{f}^n : P^n \rightarrow M$  lifting  $f^n$  uniquely. To do this, we consider two associated cochain complexes  $M^*, N^*$ , defined as

$$\begin{aligned} M^* &:= \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots, \\ N^* &:= \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

with  $M, N$  in  $n$ th degrees and zeroes elsewhere. There is also an epimorphism  $M^* \xrightarrow{g^*} N^* \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A})$ , obtained by extending  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  by zeroes, as well as a cochain map  $f^* : P^* \rightarrow N^*$ , obtained by extending  $f^n : P^n \rightarrow N$  by zeroes. By the projectivity of  $P^*$ , there is a unique cochain map  $\tilde{f}^*$  lifting  $f^*$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P^* & \\ \tilde{f}^* \swarrow & \downarrow f^* & \\ M^* & \xrightarrow{g^*} & N^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}.$$

In particular, in degree  $n$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P^n & \\ \tilde{f}^n \swarrow & \downarrow f^n & \\ M & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

showing that  $P^n$  is projective and completing this direction.

$\Leftarrow$  Now suppose that  $P^*$  is split exact and with each  $P^n$  projective, we want to show that  $P^*$  is projective. Since  $P^*$  is split exact, there are decompositions for each  $P^n$

$$P^n \simeq B^{n+1} \oplus B^n, \quad (25)$$

by (23) in **Exercise 15**. Immediately we know that  $B^{n+1}$  and  $B^n$  are both projective, since  $P^n$  is. Also observe that  $d^n$  is an isomorphism when restricted to  $B^{n+1}$ . Indeed, we have  $\ker d^n = Z^n \simeq B^n$  by the acyclic assumption, thus we have

$$\operatorname{im} d^n \simeq P^n / \ker d^n \simeq P^n / B^n \simeq B^{n+1},$$

in the light of (25). So we may view the differential  $d^n : P^n \rightarrow P^{n+1}$  as the composition of the projection  $B^{n+1} \oplus B^n \twoheadrightarrow B^{n+1}$  and the inclusion  $B^{n+1} \hookrightarrow B^{n+2} \oplus B^{n+1}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^n & \xrightarrow{d^n} & P^{n+1} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ B^{n+1} \oplus B^n & \twoheadrightarrow B^{n+1} \hookrightarrow & B^{n+2} \oplus B^{n+1} \end{array}.$$

In this flavor, we may write  $P^* = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B(n)$ , where  $B(n)$  is the complex

$$B(n) := \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow B^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d^n} \operatorname{im} d^n \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is projective in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $P^*$  is manifestly projective. But  $B(n)$  is indeed projective, since each  $B^{n+1}$  is projective and the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B^{n+1} & \\ \swarrow & \downarrow & \\ X^n & \longrightarrow & Y^n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{A}$  always has a solution. Thus the lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B(n) & \\ \swarrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow & \\ X^* & \longrightarrow & Y^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

in  $C(\mathbf{A})$  always has a solution via extension by zeroes, as desired.

(ii) Given any cochain complex in  $C(\mathbf{A})$ . For any  $C^n$ , since  $\mathbf{A}$  has enough projectives, we can find a projective module  $P^n$  and an epimorphism  $g^n : P^n \rightarrow C^n$ . Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & P^n & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{P^n}} & P^n \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & & & \downarrow g^n & & \downarrow d^n \circ g^n \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & C^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & C^n & \xrightarrow{d^n} & C^{n+1} \longrightarrow C^{n+2} \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array},$$

which is indeed a cochain map  $\sigma(n) : P(n) \rightarrow C^*$ , where  $P(n)$  is the cochain complex

$$P(n)^* : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^n \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{P^n}} P^n \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Obviously, we  $P(n)^*$  is split exact and each  $P(n)^i$  is projective for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus by (i)  $P(n)^*$  is a projective object in the category  $C(\mathbf{A})$ . Take  $P^* := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P(n)^*$ . Thus  $P^*$  is the a projective object in  $C(\mathbf{A})$  and  $P^* \rightarrow X^*$  is epimorphic, by construction. Thus the second assertion follows.  $\square$

## Exercise 17

Let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer and  $R = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . Show that  $R$  is an injective  $R$ -module while  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  is not an injective  $R$ -module when  $d|m$  and  $p|\gcd(d, m/d)$  for some prime  $p$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module using Baer's criterion. Namely, for any ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we want to show that every  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module morphism  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  can be extended to a  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module morphism  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ .

First of all, note that any proper ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal, that is,  $I = (\bar{k})$  for some  $k \mid m$ . We claim that for any  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $f(I) \subseteq I$ . Indeed, for any  $x \in \text{im } f$ , there is an element  $\bar{t}k \in I$  such that  $f(\bar{t}k) = \bar{x}$ . On the other hand, since  $k \mid m$ , we have  $0 = f(0) = f(\bar{t}m) = \bar{s}f(\bar{t}k) = \bar{s}\bar{x}$ , where  $m = ks$ . So  $s\bar{x} = 0$  for some  $s$ , hence  $s\bar{x} = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \bar{t}k$ . The claim follows.

With the above observation, a morphism  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -modules is *de facto* a morphism  $f : I \rightarrow I$ . Since  $I$  is principal,  $f$  must be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f : I &\rightarrow I, \\ \bar{k} &\mapsto \bar{c}\bar{k}, \end{aligned}$$

with some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . So for any other  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , we may define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \\ r &\mapsto cr, \end{aligned}$$

which is indeed an extension of  $f$ . For now we have proved that  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module.  $\square$



## Exercise 18

Let  $A$  be an abelian group. TFAE:

- (i)  $A$  is torsion free.
- (ii)  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, -) = 0$ .
- (iii)  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(-, A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (ii)  $\iff$  (iii) Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is commutative, so for any abelian group  $B$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(B, A).$$

So if either of  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, -)$  or  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(-, A)$  vanishes, the other must vanish.

(i)  $\iff$  (ii) If  $A$  is abelian, it is the direct limit of its finitely generated subgroup  $A_\alpha$ :

$$A = \varinjlim A_\alpha.$$

Taking the fact that  $A_\alpha$  is torsion-free, each  $A_\alpha$  is torsion-free, thus is free. Say  $A_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}^{n_\alpha}$ , for any abelian group  $B$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B) \simeq \varinjlim \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A_\alpha, B) = \varinjlim \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^{n_\alpha}, B) = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B)$  vanishes for every abelian group  $B$ , we can take  $B = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  in particular. Thus

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

But we know that  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A)$  is the torsion subgroup of  $A$ , so  $A$  is torsion-free.  $\square$

## Exercise 19

If  ${}_rR \neq 0$ , all we have is the non-projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow {}_rR \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{r} R \rightarrow R/rR \rightarrow 0.$$

Show that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/rR, B) \rightarrow {}_rR \otimes_R B \rightarrow {}_rB \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/rR, B) \rightarrow 0$$

and that  $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/rR, B) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_{n-2}^R({}_rR, B)$  for  $n \geq 3$ .

## Exercise 20

Show that  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J) \simeq \frac{I \cap J}{IJ}$  for every right ideal  $I$  and left ideal  $J$  of  $R$ . In particular,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/I) \simeq I/I^2$  for every 2-sided ideal  $I$ .

*Proof.* To compute  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J)$ , we have to use a projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I$$

of the right  $R$ -module  $R/I$ . By definition, after tensoring  $R/J$ ,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J)$  is the 1st cohomology of the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R R/J \rightarrow R \otimes_R R/J.$$

If we denote by  $h : I \otimes_R R/J \rightarrow R \otimes_R R/J$ , then

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J) \simeq \ker h.$$

To determine  $\ker h$ , we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_R R/J & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow f & & \uparrow g & & \uparrow h & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & IJ & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & I \otimes_R R/J & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}, \quad (26)$$

on which we may apply the Snake Lemma. We thus obtain an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow \ker g \rightarrow \ker h \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} f \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} g \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} h \rightarrow 0, \quad (27)$$

since both rows in (26) are exact. However, since  $f, g$  are all natural injections, we have

$$\ker f = \ker g = 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{coker} f = J/IJ, \operatorname{coker} g = R/I.$$

So the exact sequence (26) can be simplified as

$$0 \rightarrow \ker h \rightarrow J/IJ \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} h \rightarrow 0,$$

from which we can read

$$\ker h = \ker(J/IJ \rightarrow R/I) = I \cap J/IJ,$$

showing that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J) \simeq (I \cap J)/IJ$ . In particular, if we take  $J = I$ , we have  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/I) \simeq (I \cap I)/I^2 = I/I^2$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

## Exercise 21

We saw in the last section if  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , a module  $B$  is flat iff  $B$  is torsion-free. Here is an example of a torsion-free ideal  $I$  that is not a flat  $R$ -module. Let  $k$  be a field and set  $R = k[x, y]$ ,  $I = (x, y)R$ . Show that  $k = R/I$  has the projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -y \\ x \end{bmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}} R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

Then compute that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(I, k) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_2^R(k, k) \simeq k$ , showing that  $I$  is not flat.

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0. \quad (28)$$

Since  $\operatorname{Tor}_*^R(k, -)$  is a universal delta functor, we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_2^R(k, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_2^R(k, k) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(k, I) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(k, R) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (29)$$

by applying the functor  $\operatorname{Tor}_*^R(k, -)$  to (28). Since  $R$  itself is a projective  $R$ -module, we have  $\operatorname{Tor}_n^R(k, R) = 0, n \geq 1$ . Thus we read

$$\operatorname{Tor}_2^R(k, k) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(k, I)$$

from (29). In addition  $R = k[x, y]$  is commutative,

$$\operatorname{Tor}_2^R(k, k) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(k, I) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(I, k).$$

Since both  $R$  and  $R^2$  are free  $R$ -modules, hence are projective, we are left to check that

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} -y \\ x \end{bmatrix}} R^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}} R \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0 \quad (30)$$

is a cochain complex, in order to obtain a projective resolution of  $k$ . For any  $f \in R = k[x, y]$ , we have

$$d_2(f) = \begin{pmatrix} -yf \\ xf \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$d_1(d_2(f)) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -yf \\ xf \end{pmatrix} = -xyf + xyf = 0,$$

which verifies that (30) is indeed a projective resolution of  $k$ . Now we may use (30) to compute  $\text{Tor}_2^R(k, k)$ .

By definition,  $\text{Tor}_2^R(k, k)$  is the 2nd homology group of the complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow R \otimes_R k \longrightarrow (R \otimes_R k) \oplus (R \otimes_R k) \longrightarrow R \otimes_R k \longrightarrow k \otimes_R k.$$

But the latter is obviously isomorphic to  $R \otimes_R k \simeq k$ , which leads to

$$k \simeq \text{Tor}_2^R(k, k) \simeq \text{Tor}_1^R(k, I).$$

□

## Exercise 22

Compute  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* (i) By definition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ , there is a short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} \rightarrow 0. \quad (31)$$

Since  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(-, \mathbb{Z})$  is a universal cohomological  $\delta$ -functor, there is an induced long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

We claim that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . Indeed, for any homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  of abelian groups,  $\varphi$  is determined uniquely by  $\varphi(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\varphi(1) = a = p^n q$ , where  $q$  is an integer such that  $p \nmid q$ . Now we consider  $b := \varphi(\frac{1}{p^{n+1}}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which satisfies that  $p^{n+1}b = a = p^n q$ , a contradiction to the assumption that  $p \nmid q$  unless  $a = 0$ . Next we have  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is projective.

Last but not least, since we had already seen that  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty})^* := \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$  in class, the long exact sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

so we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p / \mathbb{Z}. \quad (32)$$

(ii) To compute  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$ , we consider another short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0, \quad (33)$$

on which we apply the functor  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*(-, \mathbb{Z})$  to get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

The above long exact sequence reduces to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (34)$$

since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty},$$

so we can write  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$  more explicitly

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1\left(\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \prod_p \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}, \mathbb{Z}) = \prod_p \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p.$$

Finally from (34) we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \left(\prod_p \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p\right) / \mathbb{Z}.$$

□

## Exercise 23

Check that in Definition 2.3.10  $\Theta([\xi]) = \partial(\text{id}_B)$  is well-defined, where  $\partial : \text{Hom}(A, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Given two equivalent extensions  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  of  $A$  by  $B$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \xi : & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \parallel & & \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel & & \\ \xi' : & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array},$$

we need to show that  $\Theta(\xi) = \Theta(\xi')$ .

Indeed, apply the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, B)$  to the diagram above, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}_R(X', B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(B, B) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{Hom}_R(X, B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(B, B) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) \end{array}.$$

By definition  $\Theta(\xi) = \partial(\text{id}_B) = \Theta(\xi')$ , as desired.

□

## Exercise 24

When  $R = \mathbb{Z}/m$  and  $B = \mathbb{Z}/p$  with  $p|m$ , show that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{m/p} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{p/m} \dots$$

is an infinite periodic injective resolution of  $B$ . Then compute the groups  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  in terms of  $A^* = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . In particular, show that if  $p^2|m$ , then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^n(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$  for all  $n$ .

*Proof.* We have shown that  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -module in Exercise 7, so we are left to show that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{m/p} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/m \xrightarrow{p/m} \dots \quad (35)$$

is indeed a chain complex. Given any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ , we have

$$d^{2k+1}(d^{2k}r) = \frac{m}{p}(pr) = mr = 0, k \geq 0,$$

and

$$d^{2k+2}(d^{2k+1}r) = p\left(\frac{m}{p}r\right) = mr = 0, k \geq 0,$$

showing that (35) is indeed an injective resolution of the  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Now we can use (35) to compute  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ .

By definition,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^*(A, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  are the cohomology groups of the cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow[d^{-1}]{\iota^*} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow[d^0]{p^*} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow[d^1]{(m/p)^*} \dots \quad (36)$$

In terms of  $A^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^{2k}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m) &= \frac{\ker d^{2k}}{\text{im } d^{2k-1}} = \frac{pA^*}{(m/p)A^*}, k \geq 1, \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^{2k-1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m) &= \frac{\ker d^{2k-1}}{\text{im } d^{2k-2}} = \frac{m/pA^*}{pA^*}, k \geq 1, \\ \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^0(A, \mathbb{Z}/m) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

In particular, if  $A = \mathbb{Z}/p$  and  $m = p^2q$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we claim that

$$A^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/m) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

Clearly, for any  $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ ,  $\bar{k}$  can be viewed as an element in  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ , via the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}/p \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/m = \mathbb{Z}/qp^2$ , which shows that  $\mathbb{Z}/p \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Conversely, since the action of  $\bar{l} \in \mathbb{Z}/m$  on  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is trivial unless  $\bar{l} \neq \bar{ip}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is in fact a faithful  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -module, which shows that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/m) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Thus the action of  $m/p$  and  $p$  on  $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$  is trivial, so the cochain complex (36) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/p \xrightarrow{0} \dots$$

Taking cohomology of the cochain complex, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}/m}^n(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \frac{\ker 0}{\text{im } 0} = \mathbb{Z}/p,$$

as desired. □

## Exercise 25

Let  $P$  be a chain complex and  $Q$  a cochain complex of  $R$ -modules. As in 2.7.4, from the Hom double cochain complex  $\text{Hom}(P, Q) = \{\text{Hom}_R(P_p, Q^q)\}$ , and then write  $H^*\text{Hom}(P, Q)$  for the cohomology of  $\text{Tot}(\text{Hom}(P, Q))$ . Show that if each  $P_n$  and  $d(P_n)$  is projective, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n-1} \text{Ext}_R^1(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow H^n \text{Hom}(P, Q) \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n} \text{Hom}_R(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Take  $M = H^q(Q)$  in the Universal Coefficient Theorem for cohomology, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{p-1}(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow H^p \text{Hom}_R(P, H^q(Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (38)$$

By assumption, since each  $P_n$  is projective, the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(P_p, -)$  is exact, we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(P_p, H^q(Q)) \simeq H^q(\text{Hom}_R(P_p, Q)).$$

Futher, we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, H^q(Q)) \simeq \text{Hom}_R\left(\bigoplus_p P_p, H^q(Q)\right) \simeq \prod_p \text{Hom}_R(P_p, H^q(Q)) \simeq \prod_p H^q(\text{Hom}_R(P_p, Q)).$$

Taking product of the short exact sequence (38) over all  $p, q$  such that  $p + q = n$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n-1} \text{Ext}_R^1(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n} H^p \text{Hom}_R(P, H^q(Q)) \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n} \text{Hom}_R(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (39)$$

The middle term of the above exact sequence reads

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p+q=n} H^p(\text{Hom}_R(P, H^q(Q))) &\simeq \prod_{p+q=n} H^p\left(\prod_p H^q(\text{Hom}_R(P_p, Q))\right) \\ &\simeq H^p H^q\left(\bigoplus_{p+q=n} \bigoplus_p \text{Hom}_R(P_p, Q)\right) \\ &\simeq H^n \text{Hom}(P, Q), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where the last isomorphism again uses the assumption that  $P_p$  are projective. Finally, substitute (40) into (39), we obtained the desired exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n-1} \text{Ext}_R^1(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow H^n \text{Hom}(P, Q) \rightarrow \prod_{p+q=n} \text{Hom}_R(H_p(P), H^q(Q)) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

## Exercise 26

Let  $G$  be a finite group, show that  $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ .

*Proof.* Since we have seen that  $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq G/[G, G]$ , we can obtain  $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z})$  via the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_0(G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Moreover,  $H_0(G; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , which is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -projective, thus  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , so

$$H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G/[G, G], \mathbb{Z}).$$

But since  $G$  is finite, so  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G/[G, G], \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , thus  $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp 2i\pi} \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

on which we apply  $H^*(G; -)$  to obtain a cohomology long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^*) \longrightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (41)$$

Again use the Universal Coefficient Theorem for cohomology to obtain  $H^2(G; \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$H^2(G; \mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}) = 0,$$

since  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_1(G; \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = 0$ , by the divisibility of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . We read

$$H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(G; \mathbb{C}^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G/[G, G], \mathbb{C}^*) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Grp}}(G, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

□

## Exercise 27

Suppose that each  $P_p$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. (For example, this can be done when  $G$  is finite.) Show in this case that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. Then deduce from the Künneth formula that the cross product fits into a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^n(G \times H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n+1} \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}), H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0. \quad (42)$$

*Proof.* (i) We first prove that  $\mu : \text{Hom}_G(P_*, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_H(Q_*, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G \times H}(P_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_*, \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism, provided that each  $P_p$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. *A fortiori*, it is supposed that  $P_* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}G$  resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . So for each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is some  $m_p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_p \simeq (\mathbb{Z}G)^{m_p}$ . Then we have  $\text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}_G((\mathbb{Z}G)^{m_p}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{m_p}$  as a trivial  $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Fixing  $p$  and  $q$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_H(Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) &\simeq \mathbb{Z}^{m_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_H(Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{m_p} \text{Hom}_H(Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_H\left(\bigoplus_{m_p} Q_q, \mathbb{Z}\right) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{G \times H}(\mathbb{Z}^{m_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{G \times H}(P_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_q, \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, for any  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{m_p}) \in \text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_H(Q_q, \mathbb{Z})$ , we have

$$\mu(f \otimes g) \simeq \mu(f_1 \otimes g, \dots, f_{m_p} \otimes g) \simeq (f_1 g, \dots, f_{m_p} g) \in \text{Hom}_H(P_q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_q, \mathbb{Z}),$$

showing that

$$\mu^{p,q} : \text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_H(Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G \times H}(P_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (43)$$

is indeed an isomorphism. Then summing (43) over  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $p + q = n$  for a fixed  $n$  we have an isomorphism

$$\mu^n : \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_H(Q_q, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G \times H}\left(\bigoplus_{p+q=n} (P_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_q), \mathbb{Z}\right)$$

for each  $n$ . It's easy to check that all  $\mu^n$  commute with differentials, so we have an isomorphism between cochain complexes

$$\mu : \text{Hom}_G(P_*, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_H(Q_*, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G \times H}(P_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_*, \mathbb{Z}), \quad (44)$$

as desired.

(ii) First we fix  $q$  and find a way to compute the torsion  $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}), H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}))$ . Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow d^{p-1}(\tilde{P}^{p-1}) \rightarrow Z^p(\tilde{P}^*) \rightarrow H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the cochain complex  $\tilde{P}^* := \text{Hom}_G(P_*, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $P_* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}G$  resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By assumption, since each  $P_p$  is a free module of finite rank, each  $d^{p-1}(\tilde{P}^{p-1})$  is thus projective since it is a direct summand of the free module  $\text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}G)^{m_p}$ , using the notation as in (i). So  $\text{Tor}_*^{\mathbb{Z}}(d^{p-1}(\tilde{P}^{p-1}), -) = 0$  and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow d^{p-1}(\tilde{P}^{p-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial^{p-1}} Z^p(\tilde{P}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

with  $\partial^{p-1} := d^{p-1} \otimes \text{id}$ . By definition, we have

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}), H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) = \ker \partial^{p-1}. \quad (45)$$

To find other terms of the short exact sequence (42), we need to consider of the short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow Z^*(\tilde{P}^*) \rightarrow \tilde{P}^* \rightarrow d(\tilde{P}^*) \rightarrow 0,$$

with the differentials of  $Z^*(\tilde{P}^*)$  and  $d(\tilde{P}^*)$  all zeroes. Again by the assumption on  $P_*$ , we have  $Z^*(\tilde{P}^*)$  projective since each  $Z^p(\tilde{P}^*)$  is a direct summand of the free module  $\text{Hom}_G(P_p, \mathbb{Z})$ . So we have  $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(Z^p(\tilde{P}^*), -) = 0$ . After tensoring  $H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})$ , we have another short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow Z^*(\tilde{P}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow d(\tilde{P}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

From the above short exact sequence, we can form a cohomology long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow Z^p(\tilde{P}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^p(\tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow d^p(\tilde{P}^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\partial^p} Z^{p+1}(\tilde{P}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Thus we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im } \partial^{p-1} \rightarrow H^p(\tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \ker \partial^p \rightarrow 0.$$

Then substitute (45) into the above short exact sequence, we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^p(\tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H^{p+1}(G; \mathbb{Z}), H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now summing over all  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p + q = n$  for a fixed  $n$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^p(\tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n+1} \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}), H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, note that

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^p(\tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(H; \mathbb{Z})) \simeq H^n(\tilde{P}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{Q}^*) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^n(G \times H; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\tilde{Q}^* := \text{Hom}_H(Q_*, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $Q_* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  a free  $\mathbb{Z}H$ -resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . That's how (42) has been proved.  $\square$



## Exercise 28

Let  $G$  be the free group on  $\{s, t\}$ , and let  $T \subseteq G$  be the free group on  $\{t\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}'$  denote the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}$ , made into a  $G$ -module (and a  $T$ -module) by the formulas  $s \cdot a = t \cdot a = -a$ .

- (i) Show that  $H_0(G; \mathbb{Z}') = H_0(T; \mathbb{Z}') = \mathbb{Z}/2$ .
- (ii) Show that  $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z}') = 0$  but  $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}') \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Exercise 29

Let  $H$  be the cyclic subgroup  $C_m$  of the cyclic group  $C_{mn}$ . Show that the map  $\text{cor}_H^G : H_*(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(C_{mn}; \mathbb{Z})$  is the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}/m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/mn$  for  $*$  odd, while  $\text{res}_H^G : H^*(C_{mn}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$  is the natural projection  $\mathbb{Z}/mn \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$  for  $*$  even.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{Z}C_{mn}$ -module, it is naturally a trivial  $\mathbb{Z}C_m$ -module by forgetting  $C_{mn}$ . Thus a projective  $C_{mn}$ -resolution  $P_* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is naturally a projective  $C_m$ -resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this case,  $\mathbb{Z}_{C_m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{C_{mn}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{C_m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{C_{mn}}$  all coincides with the identity. Moreover, we have already obtained the following results

$$H_n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & n = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}/m, & n \text{ is odd}, \\ 0, & n \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

$$H^n(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & n = 0, \\ 0, & n \text{ is odd}, \\ \mathbb{Z}/m, & n \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

in class. So the  $\text{cor}_H^G : H_*(C_m; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(C_{mn}; \mathbb{Z})$  is the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{Z}/m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/mn$  for  $*$  odd; while  $\text{res}_H^G : H^*(C_{mn}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(C_m; \mathbb{Z})$  is the natural projection  $\mathbb{Z}/mn \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$  for  $*$  even.  $\square$

## Exercise 30

Show that the transfer map defined here agrees with the transfer map defined in 6.3.9 using Shapiro's Lemma.

*Proof.* Since the transfer maps on homology and cohomology are all universal  $\delta$  functors, we just have to show that  $\text{tr} : H_0(G; A) \rightarrow H_0(H; A)$  and  $\text{tr} : H^0(H; A) \rightarrow H^0(G; A)$  coincide with those  $\text{tr}_s$  defined by Shapiro's Lemma.

Since  $A_G = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} A$  and  $A_H = \mathbb{Z}G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}H} A$ , the transfer map  $\text{tr}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_G &\rightarrow A_H \\ a &\mapsto \sum_{x \in G/H} xa. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

But  $\text{tr}_s$  is defined via

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(A) \\ a &\mapsto \sum_{x \in G/H} x \otimes a \end{aligned}$$

which *de facto* induces the same map as (46) on  $A_G$ . So we have proved that  $\text{tr}$  and  $\text{tr}_s$  are the same for homology.

The argument for cohomology follows dually.  $\square$

## Exercise 31

Prove that

**Lemma 5.** Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a morphism of spectral sequences such that there exists some  $r$  and  $f_r^{p,q} : E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r'^{p,q}$  is an isomorphism for all  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f_\infty^{p,q} : E_\infty^{p,q} \rightarrow E_\infty'^{p,q}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By definition, the  $E_{r+1}$  page of the spectral sequence is the subquotient of the  $E_r$  page, *id est*,

$$E_{r+1}^{p,q} := \frac{\ker d_r^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}} = \frac{Z_r^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q}},$$

where we denote by  $Z_r^{p,q} := \ker d_r^{p,q}$  and  $B_r^{p,q} := \operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}$  for simplicity. Now consider the differential

$$d_{r+1}^{p,q} : E_{r+1}^{p,q} \rightarrow E_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r},$$

which can be viewed as a morphism

$$d_{r+1}^{p,q} : \frac{Z_r^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q}} \rightarrow \frac{Z_r^{p+r+1,q-r}}{B_r^{p+r+1,q-r}}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker d_{r+1}^{p,q} &= Z_{r+1}^{p,q} / B_r^{p,q}, \\ \operatorname{im} d_{r+1}^{p,q} &= B_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r} / B_r^{p+r+1,q-r}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Note that (47) also gives us a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z_{r+1}^{p,q} / B_r^{p,q} \longrightarrow E_{r+1}^{p,q} \longrightarrow B_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r} / B_r^{p+r+1,q-r} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  is a morphism of spectral sequences,  $f_*^{*,*}$  commute with all  $d_*^{*,*}$  and  $d_*'^{*,*}$ , and thus preserves boundaries and cycles. Moreover we can view  $Z_{r+1}^{*,*}, B_r^{*,*}$  and  $B_{r+1}^{*,*}$  as submodules of  $E_r^{*,*}$ . Since  $f_r^{*,*} : E_r^{*,*} \rightarrow E_r'^{*,*}$  is an isomorphism and  $f_{r+1}^{*,*}$  are induced by  $f_r^{*,*}$  on  $E_r^{*,*}$ , we have

$$f_{r+1}^{p,q}(Z_{r+1}^{p,q}) = f_r^{p,q}(Z_{r+1}^{p,q}) \simeq Z_{r+1}'^{p,q},$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} f_r^{p,q}(B_r^{p,q}) &\simeq B_r'^{p,q} \\ f_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r}(B_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r}) &= f_r^{p+r+1,q-r}(B_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r}) \simeq B_{r+1}'^{p+r+1,q-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_{r+1}^{p,q} / B_r^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & E_{r+1}^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & B_{r+1}^{p+r+1,q-r} / B_r^{p+r+1,q-r} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow f_{r+1}^{p,q} & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z_{r+1}'^{p,q} / B_r'^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & E_{r+1}'^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & B_{r+1}'^{p+r+1,q-r} / B_r'^{p+r+1,q-r} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

with the leftmost vertical isomorphism induced by  $f_r^{p,q}$  and the rightmost vertical isomorphism induced by  $f_r^{p+r+1,q-r}$ . By the five lemma,  $f_{r+1}^{p,q} : E_{r+1}^{p,q} \rightarrow E_{r+1}'^{p,q}$  is an isomorphism. Applying the above argument repeatedly, the morphisms  $f_n^{p,q} : E_n^{p,q} \rightarrow E_n'^{p,q}$  are all isomorphisms.  $\square$

### Exercise 32

Let  $\{E_r^{p,q}\}$  be a bounded spectral sequence of  $R$ -modules, and  $E_r^{p,q} \Rightarrow H^n$ . Assume  $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $E_r^{p,q}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, prove that each  $H^n$  is also finitely generated.

*Proof.* Since  $E \rightarrow H^*$  and  $E$  is bounded, we have the isomorphisms

$$0 \neq E_r^{p,n-p} \simeq F^p H^n / F^{p+1} H^n,$$

or equivalently, the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F^{p+1} H^n \rightarrow F^p H^n \rightarrow E_r^{p,n-p} \rightarrow 0 \quad (48)$$

for only finitely many  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . And there is also a Hausdorff and exhausted finite filtration on each  $H^n$

$$H^n = F^0 H^n \supseteq F^1 H^n \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^m H^n \supseteq F^{m+1} H^n = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the above descending chain of  $R$ -modules is proper, that is

$$H^n = F^0 H^n \supsetneq F^1 H^n \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq F^m H^n \supsetneq F^{m+1} H^n = 0. \quad (49)$$

So we have

$$F^m H^n \simeq E_r^{m,n-m}$$

by (48). Thus  $F^m H^n$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module since  $E_r^{m,n-m}$  is, by assumption. Upon this, and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F^m H^n \rightarrow F^{m-1} H^n \rightarrow E_r^{m-1,n-m+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we know that  $F^{m-1} H^n$  is finitely generated. Repeating similar arguments  $m$  times, we know that  $F^0 H^n \simeq H^n$  is finitely generated, as desired.  $\square$

### Exercise 33

Suppose  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $q = 0$  or  $n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{p+n} \rightarrow E_2^{p,n} \rightarrow E_2^{p+n+1,0} \rightarrow H^{p+n+1} \rightarrow E_2^{p+1,n} \rightarrow E_2^{p+n+2,0} \rightarrow \cdots.$$

*Proof.* Since  $E_2^{p,q} \neq 0$  only if for  $q = 0, n$ , the spectral sequence degenerates at page  $r = n + 1$ , or equivalently

$$E_\infty^{p,q} \simeq \cdots \simeq E_{n+2}^{p,q} \simeq E_{n+1}^{p,q}. \quad (50)$$

By assumption, the spectral sequence is convergent to  $H^*$ , so we have a finite filtration of  $H^n$

$$H^n = F^0 H^n \supseteq F^1 H^n \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F^n H^n \supseteq F^{n+1} H^n = 0$$

and isomorphisms

$$E_{n+1}^{p,q} \simeq E_\infty^{p,q} \simeq F^p H^{p+1} / F^{p+1} H^{p+q} \quad (51)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So by (51) and (50), we have exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow E_{n+1}^{p+n+1,0} \rightarrow H^{p+n+1} \rightarrow E_{n+1}^{p+1,n} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow E_n^{p+n,0} \rightarrow H^{p+n} \rightarrow E_n^{p,n} \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \end{array} \quad (52)$$

for each  $n$ . But note that  $E_{n+1}^{p+n+1,0}$  is the cokernel of the differential  $d_{n+1}^{p,n} : E_n^{p,n} \rightarrow E_n^{p+n+1,0}$  and  $E_{n+1}^{p+1,n}$  is the kernel of the differential  $d_{n+1}^{p+1,n} : E_n^{p+1,n} \rightarrow E_n^{p+n+2,0}$ . So we may connect the short exact sequences (52) to form a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{p+n} \rightarrow E_n^{p,n} \rightarrow E_n^{p+n+1,0} \rightarrow H^{p+n+1} \rightarrow E_n^{p+1,n} \rightarrow E_n^{p+n+2,0} \rightarrow \cdots.$$

By analyzing the shape of the differentials of lower pages, we have in addition that

$$E_n^{p,q} \simeq E_{n-1}^{p,q} \simeq \cdots \simeq E_2^{p,q}.$$

So the last long exact sequence becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{p+n} \rightarrow E_2^{p,n} \rightarrow E_2^{p+n+1,0} \rightarrow H^{p+n+1} \rightarrow E_2^{p+1,n} \rightarrow E_2^{p+n+2,0} \rightarrow \cdots,$$

as desired, by substituting  $E_n^{p,q}$  with  $E_2^{p,q}$ . □

### Exercise 34

Give a spectral sequence proof of the Universal Coefficient Theorem for cohomology.

*Proof.* First let us recall the Universal Coefficient Theorem for cohomology:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $P_*$  be a complex of projective  $R$ -modules with the assumption that  $d_n(P_n)$  are all projective. Then for very  $n$  and every  $R$ -module  $M$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{n-1}(P), M) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_R(P_*, M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_n(P_*), M) \rightarrow 0.$$

To prove this theorem, we need to pick an injective resolution  $M \hookrightarrow I^*$  of  $M$ . Then we form a double complex  $C^{*,*}$  with  $C^{p,q} := \text{Hom}_R(P_q, I^p)$ . Since each  $I^p$  is injective, so the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, I^p)$  is exact, thus

$$H_I^{p,q}(C^{*,*}) := \ker d_{II}^{p,q} / \ker d_{II}^{p,q-1} = H^q(\text{Hom}_R(P_*, I^p)) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(H_q(P_*), I^p).$$

And the  $E^2$  page of the spectral sequence  ${}^I E$  follows as

$${}^I E_2^{p,q} = H^p(H_I^{*,q}) = H^p(\text{Hom}_R(H_q(P_*), I^*)) = \text{Ext}_R^p(H_q(P_*), M),$$

by definition of  $\text{Ext}_R^p(H_q(P_*), M)$ . But by assumption, since  $d_n(P_n)$  is projective, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow d_{q+1}(P_{q+1}) \rightarrow Z_q \rightarrow H_q(P_*) \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of  $H_q(P_*)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^*(H_q(P_*), M)$  is the cohomology of the complex

$$\text{Hom}_R(Z_q, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} \text{Hom}_R(d_{q+1}(P_{q+1}), M) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} 0 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

so we have

$${}^I E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(H_q(P_*), M) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_R(H_q(P_*), M), & p = 0, \\ \text{Ext}_R^1(H_q(P_*), M), & p = 1, \\ 0, & p \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus the 2nd page of the spectral sequece  $^I E$  looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 \text{Hom}_R(H_{q+1}(P_*), M) & & \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{q+1}(P_*), M) & & 0 & & \cdots \\
 & \searrow & & & & & \\
 \text{Hom}_R(H_q(P_*), M) & & \text{Ext}_R^1(H_q(P_*), M) & & 0 & & \cdots \\
 & \searrow & & & & & \\
 \text{Hom}_R(H_{q-1}(P_*), M) & & \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{q-1}(P_*), M) & & 0 & & \cdots \\
 & \searrow & & & & & \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & 
 \end{array}$$

Since  $^I E$  has only two non-trivial columns, we have  $^I E_\infty^{p,q} \simeq ^I E_2^{p,q}$  and  $^I E \Rightarrow H^*(\text{Tot}(C^{*,*}))$ . By **Exercise 33**, we have a short exact sequence for each  $q$

$$0 \rightarrow ^I E_2^{1,q-1} \rightarrow H^q(\text{Tot}(C^{*,*})) \rightarrow ^I E_2^{0,q} \rightarrow 0,$$

which amounts to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{q-1}(P_*), M) \rightarrow H^q(\text{Tot}(C^{*,*})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_q(P_*), M) \rightarrow 0. \quad (53)$$

Now let us consider the other spectral sequence  $^{II} E$ , which can be computed by the double complex  $H_{II}^{*,*}$ . We have

$$H_{II}^{p,q}(C^{*,*}) := \ker d_I^{p,q} / \ker d_I^{p-1,q} = H^p(\text{Hom}_R(P_q, I^*)) = \text{Ext}_R^p(P_q, M) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_R(P_q, M), & p = 0 \\ 0, & p \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

since each  $P_q$  is projective. Thus the 2nd page of  $^{II} E$  has only one non-trivial column,

$$^{II} E_2^{p,q} = H^q(H_{II}^{p,*}) = \begin{cases} H^q(\text{Hom}_R(P_*, M)), & p = 0, \\ 0, & p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $^{II} E$  is convergent to  $H^*(\text{Tot}(C^{*,*}))$  by construction and

$$H^n(\text{Tot}(C^{*,*})) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=n} ^{II} E_\infty^{p,q} \simeq ^{II} E_2^{0,n} = H^n(\text{Hom}_R(P_*, M)).$$

Substituting this into the exact sequence (53), we have

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(H_{q-1}(P_*), M) \rightarrow H^q(\text{Hom}_R(P_*, M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(H_q(P_*), M) \rightarrow 0. \quad (54)$$

for each  $q$ , as desired.  $\square$

## Exercise 35

Given rings  $R$  and  $S$ , let  $L$  be a right  $R$ -module,  $M$  an  $R$ - $S$  bimodule, and  $N$  a left  $S$ -module, so that the tensor product  $L \otimes_R M \otimes_S N$  makes sense.

(i) Show that there are two spectral sequences, such that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^I E_{p,q}^2 &= \operatorname{Tor}_p^R(L, \operatorname{Tor}_q^S(M, N)), \\ {}^{II} E_{p,q}^2 &= \operatorname{Tor}_p^S(\operatorname{Tor}_q^R(L, M), N) \end{aligned}$$

converging to the same graded abelian group  $H_*$ .

(ii) If  $M$  is a flat  $S$ -module, show that the spectral sequence  ${}^{II}E$  converges to  $\operatorname{Tor}_*^R(L, M \otimes_S N)$ . If  $M$  is a flat  $R$ -module, show that the spectral sequence  ${}^I E$  converges to  $\operatorname{Tor}_*^S(L \otimes_R M, N)$ .

*Proof.* (i) To show this, we take an  $P_* \rightarrow L$  to be an  $R$ -projective resolution of  $L$  and  $Q_* \rightarrow N$  to be an  $S$ -projective resolution. Then we consider the double complex  $P_* \otimes_R N \otimes_S Q_*$ . The spectral sequence  ${}^I E$  associated to the filtration  ${}^I F$  of the total complex  $\operatorname{Tot}(P_* \otimes_R M \otimes_S Q_*)$  is easy to compute. We have

$${}^I E_{p,q}^1 = H_q^v(P_p \otimes_R M \otimes_S Q_*) = P_p \otimes_R H_q^v(M \otimes_R Q_*) = P_p \otimes_R \operatorname{Tor}_q^S(M, N)$$

and

$${}^I E_{p,q}^2 = H_p^h(P_* \otimes_R \operatorname{Tor}_q^S(M, N)) = \operatorname{Tor}_p^R(L, \operatorname{Tor}_q^S(M, N)).$$

Since  ${}^I E$  is first quadrant, it converges to  $H_* := H_*(\operatorname{Tot}(P_* \otimes_R M \otimes_S Q_*))$ .

Analogously, we have

$${}^{II} E_{p,q}^1 = H_q^h(P_* \otimes_R M \otimes_S Q_p) = H_q^h(P_* \otimes_R M) \otimes_R Q_p = \operatorname{Tor}_q^R(L, M) \otimes_S Q_p$$

and

$${}^{II} E_{p,q}^2 = H_p^v(\operatorname{Tor}_q^R(L, M) \otimes_S P_*) = \operatorname{Tor}_p^S(\operatorname{Tor}_q^R(L, M), N),$$

which are the first two pages of the spectral sequence  ${}^{II}E$ .

(ii) Since  $M$  is  $S$ -flat, we have  $\operatorname{Tor}_q^S(M, N) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Hence the spectral sequence  ${}^I E$  collapses at the 1st page, yielding

$$H_n(\operatorname{Tot}(P_* \otimes_R M \otimes_S Q_*)) \simeq {}^I E_{n,0}^\infty = {}^I E_{n,0}^2 = H_n(P_* \otimes_R M \otimes_S N) = \operatorname{Tor}_n^R(L, M \otimes_S N).$$

This shows that  ${}^{II}E$  converges to  $H_*(\operatorname{Tot}(P_* \otimes_R M \otimes_S Q_*)) \simeq \operatorname{Tor}_*^R(L, M \otimes_S N)$ , as we have proved in (i) that both  ${}^{II}E$  and  ${}^I E$  converge to  $H_*$ . The proof for  $M$  being  $R$ -flat is similar.  $\square$