

Kevin Cowell
Natthawut Lertpitayakun
Isabelle Mertha
Xiaoguang You




- The practice of consolidating an enterprise's planning, manufacturing, sales and marketing efforts into one management system.¹
- Combines all databases across departments into a single database that can be accessed by all employees.²
- ERP automates the tasks involved in performing a business process.¹


Sources:

- 1. http://www.cio.com/summaries/enterprise/erp/index.html, viewed September 19, 2002
- 2. CIO Enterprise Magazine, May 15, 1999.





How Do ERP Systems Work?




Source: Davenport, Thomas, "Putting the Enterprise into the Enterprise System", Harvard Business Review, July-Aug. 1998.




- Finance: modules for bookeeping and making sure the bills are paid on time. Examples:
 - General ledger
 - Accounts receivable
 - Accounts payable
- HR: software for handling personnel-related tasks for corporate managers and individual employees. Examples:
 - HR administration
 - Payroll
 - Self-service HR

- Manufacturing and Logistics: A group of applications for planning production, taking orders and delivering products to the customer. Examples:
 - Production planning
 - Materials management
 - Order entry and processing
 - Warehouse management

An ERP Example: Before ERP



An ERP Example: After ERP





- Baan
- JD Edwards
- Oracle
- PeopleSoft
- **∛** SAP






 $PeopleSoft_{\circ}$




ERP Vendors and Industries They Serve

Who Does What ERP vendors and the industries they serve	Aerospace/ Defense	Automotive	Consumer Package Good	Electronic	Industrial/ Manufacturing	Seo _{Mio}	Pharmaceuticals
Baan Baan Series	1			₩	1		
One World, One World Software		1	~	₩	₩	1	₩
Oracle Corp. Applications	1	1	1	1	1	1	
PeopleSoft, Inc. PeopleSoft 7.5		1	1	₩			
SAP R/3	1	1	1	₩	₩	₩	1
% Planned Penetration	10-15	5-10	35+	40+	35	30	20
Source: Benchmarking Partners Inc.							

Revenue and Profits of Major ERP Vendors




Revenue and Profits of Major ERP Vendors




ERP Market

Total Revenues, 2000




ERP Investments




Roughly 65% of companies surveyed already have ERP in place. Of those, many are still actively spending to upgrade existing systems and to take advantage of new web-oriented features.

Source:





Source: AMR Research Survey of 686 companies with annual revenues ranging from <\$50M to >\$1B, October 2001



Why ERP?

- 3 Major Reasons:
- To integrate financial data.
- To standardize manufacturing processes.
- To standardize HR information.



- Real transformational ERP efforts will usually run between 1 to 3 years, on average.
- Short implementations (3 to 6 months):
 - small companies,
 - implementation limited to a small area of the company, or
 - the company only used the financial pieces of the ERP system.
- The important thing is not to focus on how long it will take but to understand why you need ERP and how you will use it to improve your business.

Total Cost of Ownership of ERP

Total cost of ownership (TCO) is a model developed by Gartner Group to analyze the direct and indirect costs of owning and using hardware and software. TCO essentially helps a company determine whether it wins or loses from specific technology implementations.

- Metagroup study among 63 companies surveyed showed that:
 - the average TCO was \$15 million (the highest was \$300 million and lowest was \$400k),
 - the average TCO per user was \$53,320.

Total Cost of Ownership of ERP

- It also found that:
 - it took 8 months after the system was in to see any benefits,
 - but that the median annual savings from the system was \$1.6
 million per year.

Hidden Costs of ERP

- Training
- Integration and testing
- Data conversion
- Data analysis
- Consultants
- Replacing best and brightest staff after implementation
- Implementation teams can never stop
- Waiting for ROI
- Post-ERP depression

Benefits of ERP Systems

- Improving integration, flexibility
- Fewer errors
- Improved speed and efficiency
- More complete access to information
- Lower total costs in the complete supply chain
- Shorten throughput times
- Sustained involvement and commitment of the top management



- Reduce stock to a minimum
- Enlarge product assortment
- Improve product quality
- Provide more reliable delivery dates and higher service to the customer
- Efficiently coordinate global demand, supply and production



- Expensive (can costs 100 thousands to millions of dollars)
- Time-consuming (can take months to years)
- Great risk for the organization
- Transfer of Knowledge
- Acceptance with the company


Case Study



Nestlé USA

Nestlé Background

- Found in 1866, Switzerland.
- World's largest food company, # 50 in Fortune magazine'
 Globe 500





- Nestlé USA was incorporated in 1990; Home Office in Glendale, CA.
- 33 manufacturing facilities, 6 distribution centers and 17sales offices around the country, 17,300 employees nationwide.
- \$ 11.1 billion in Sales (2001)
- "...America's most admired Food Company for the fourth consecutive year" Fortune Magazine, February 2001

Nestlé's products and brands

Milk products, dietetic foods, infant foods, chocolate and

confections,



refrigerated and frozen items, ice cream, and pet foods **PUPPY**





Nestle

arnation



Nestle
















Competitive Market


USA Food Market in 2001




26



Organizational Chart



Business Challenges






- in 1991,. Divisions still had geographically dispersed.
- For example, Nestle USA's brands were paying 29 different prices
 for vanilla to the same vendor.¹
- Nine different general ledgers and 28 points of customers entry.
- Years of autonomous operation provided an almost "insurmountable hurdle".
- "... Nestle was the world's NO. 1 food and beverage company— but one of the least efficient "2"

Source:

- 1. Ben Worthen, "Nestlé's ERP Odyssey", May 15, 2002 Issue of CIO Magazine;
- 2. "Nestle: An Elephant Dances", http://www.businessweek.com/2000/00_50/b3711064.htm, viewed October 20, 2002.








- sales and distribution, accounts payable and accounts receivable and Manugistics' supply chain module
- From October 1997 to 1st Quarter of 2000.
- \$210 million budget
- 50 top business executives and 10 senior IT professionals

Project Objectives - "One Nestle, under SAP"





- Internal aligned and united, establishing a common business process architecture
- Standardizing master data



The new business process confused most of employees, then resistance grew into rebellion in 2000.

Reconstructed in June 2000 and completed in 2001.

Nestlé






Changes and success


- Common database and business processes lead to more trustworthy demand forecast.
 - A comprehensive account planning tool.
 - Nestle can now forecast down to the redistribution center level.
 - Nestle has improved forecast accuracy by 2%
- Higher factories utilization
 - fewer factories = big gains in factories Utilization
 - Reduce inventory level

Conclusion of Nestlé Case





- With ERP in practice, \$ 371 million has been saved until 2001.



Conclusion of Nestlé Case



Lessons learned by Nestlé

- Don't start a project with a deadline in mind.
- Update your budget projection at regular intervals.
- ERP isn't only about the software.

"No major software implementation is really about the software." Former Nestlé CIO Jeri Dunn says, "You are challenging their principles, their beliefs and the way have done things for many many years"


- Keep the communication lines open.
- Remember the integration points.





- The Global Business Excellence Program

 Supported by SAP, contracted in June 2000 and by IBM in July 2002.
 - To be completed by the end of 2005
 - To save cost around CHF 3 billion, with benefits realized from 2003.





Agilent Technologies



- Agilent Technologies is the world's leading designer, developer, and manufacturer of electronic and optical test, measurement and monitoring systems.
- Separated from Hewlett Packard and became a public company in 1999
- World HQ in Palo Alto, CA



- Agilent has facilities in more than 40 countries and develops products at manufacturing sites in the U.S., China, Germany, Japan, Malaysia, Singapore, Australia and the U.K.
- Approximately 37,000 employees throughout the world

Products and Services

Agilent operates in three business groups:

- Test and Measurement
 - Test instruments and systems, automated test equipment.
- Semiconductor Products
 - Semiconductor solutions for wired and wireless communications, information processing.
- Chemical Analysis
 - Life sciences and analytical instrument systems.

Agilent revenue for 2001

- Test and Measurement: \$5.4 billion
- Semiconductor Products: \$1.9 billion
- Chemical Analysis: \$1.1 billion
- Total revenue: \$8.4 billion




- Served customers in more than 120 countries around the world¹
- Electronic component manufacturers
- Pharmaceutical companies
- Chemical companies
- Communication companies²

Source:

^{1. &}lt;a href="http://www.agilent.com/about/index.html">http://www.agilent.com/about/index.html, viewed November 3, 2002;

^{2.} http://www.agilent.com/about/newsroom/features/2002june04_oneit.pdf, viewed November 3, 2002.

Agilent's Organization



Project Scope

- Oracle's li E-Business Suite software
- Started September 2000 till 2004
- Budget
- roughly 100 Oracle consultants to install the program



- "One IT" organization
- Supply chain capability; for example,
 - Suppliers
 - Customers
- Migrating 2,200 legacy applications that it inherited from HP to Oracle



- - 80% for business operations
 - 20% maint. & upgrading legacy systems
- Further autonomy over the IT portfolio would have led to 50% cost increase



- Marty Chuck, CIO, developed a Vision for One IT organization in August 2000
- Moved more than 2,500 IT professionals in the different site, regional and divisional IT organizations




- To consolidate a large number of independent operating groups into a single worldwide IT function
- To share information quickly and efficiently
- To drive the operational costs down by more than 20%
- To combine all IT budgets



- Migrating from all existing ERP systems to a single Oracle-based infrastructure system
- The use of bar code for materials received from suppliers
- The use of Evaluated Receipt Settlement (ERS)


The process of migrating ERP systems to Oracle

Oracle Migration - Suppliers



Evaluated Receipt Settlement (ERS)

- An automated invoice and payment system
- How does ERS work?





- Real-time information about inventory and order status
- Easier to understand invoicing and pricing
- Improved visibility on product delivery lead time

Troubles with Project Everest

Because of the consolidation of its 2,200 software systems to under 20, confusion meant lost order and revenue.

- An \$88 million reduction in third-quarter orders

 Of that, \$38 million was lost and \$50 million will

 be pulled through the fourth quarter.
- \$105 million in lost revenue and \$70 million in operating profit

Troubles with Project Everest

CFO Adrian Dillon said the problem was twofold:

Software bug

"As we began to hit sort of a 50 percent ramp of normal capacity, we began to get conflicts in priorities of systems instructions. When we had those conflicts that inevitably shut the system down."

Source:

FD (Fair Disclosure) Wire, August 19, 2002 Monday, Transcript 081902ag.735, Q3 2002 Agilent Technologies Earnings Conference Call - Final; http://www.pressi.com/int/release/51627.html, viewed November 3, 2002, and Shah, Jennifer B., "Agilent's ERP Rollout Expensive Glitches" EBN, Manhasset, August 26, 2002.

Troubles with Project Everest

Mistakes converting backlog.

"The other problem we had was converting backlog from legacy to new systems, especially for our highly configured products in our test and measurement operation."

Extra \$35 million to cover costs of ERP and CRM rollout.

Source:



- ERP implementations are a lot more than software packages.
- People, processes, policies and culture are all factors that should be taken into consideration when implementing a major enterprise system.
- ERP disasters are often caused by a user company itself.

Lessons Learned by Agilent

Study ERP well before implementation

"The disruptions after going live were more extensive than we expected" –CEO Ned Barnholt

Best Practices and what ERP holds for the Future

ERP Implementation

- Biggest IT project that most companies ever handle,
- Changes the entire company, and
- Has repercussions in all departments and divisions of the organization.
- It is essential that all the key players understand the scope of the project.
- This is an IT-Related Project.

- A Business Strategy aligned with Business Processes
- Top-Down Project Support and commitment
- Change Management
- Extensive Education and Training
- Data Clean up and Data Integrity
- Implementation is viewed as an ongoing process

- A Business Strategy aligned with Business Processes
 - Business strategy that will give you a competitive advantage
 - Analyze and map your current business processes
 - Develop your objectives
 - Evaluate your business strategy and ERP plan before you commit to software acquisition and installation.

- Top-Down Project Support and commitment
 - CEO¹
 - support implementation costs
 - champion the project, and
 - demand full integration and cooperation.
 - Most knowledgeable and valuable staff²

Sources:

- 1. M. Michael Umble, "Avoiding ERP Implementation Failure", Industrial Management, Jan/Feb 2002;
- 2. http://www.integratedsolutinsmag.com/articles/2000_03/000309.htm, viewed November 5, 2002.

Change Management

- Changes in business procedures, responsibilities, work load.¹
- As a result, ERP implementations are times of high stress, long hours, and uncertainty.¹
- Mid-level managers must²
 - facilitate continual feedback from employees,
 - provide honest answers to their questions, and
 - help resolve their problems.

Sources:

- 1. Yakovlev, I.V., "An ERP Implementation and Business Process Reengineering at a Small University", Educause Quarterly, Number 2, 2002;
- 2. Umble, M. Michael, "Avoiding ERP Implementation Failure", Industrial Management, Jan/Feb 2002.

Extensive Education and Training

- General education about the ERP system for everyone.
- Massive amount of end users training before and during implementation.
- Follow-up training after the implementation.
- 10 to 15% of total ERP implementation budget for training will give an organization an 80% chance of a successful implementation.

- Data Clean up and Data Integrity
 - Clean-up data before cut-over.¹
 - "Near enough is no longer good enough."2
 - To command trust, the data in the system must be sufficiently available and accurate.³
 - Eliminate the old systems, including all informal systems.³

Sources:

- 1. http://www.bpic.co.uk/checklst.htm, viewed November 5, 2002;
- 2. http://www.projectperfect.com.au/info erp imp.htm, viewed November 5, 2002;
- 3. M. Michael Umble, "Avoiding ERP Implementation Failure", *Industrial Management*, Jan/Feb 2002.

- Implementation is viewed as an ongoing process
 - Ongoing need for training and software support after implementation.
 - Ongoing need to keep in contact with all system users and monitor the use of the new system.
 - Ongoing process of learning and adaptation that continually evolves over time.



- 4 Major Phases:
- Concept/initiation
- Development
- Implementation
- Closeout/Operation and maintenance



- The benefits of a properly selected and implemented ERP system can be significant.
 - An average, 25 to 30% reduction on inventory costs; 25% reduction on raw material costs.
 - Lead-time for customers, production time, and production costs can be reduced.
- BUT cost of implementing can be quite high and risks are great.

The Future of ERP


ERP II

- Integrates the front and back office to enable an "information visibility" strategy that pushes the right information to the right people at the right time through the right communications channels.
- A competitive strategy that integrates a centralized, core ERP system with highly specialized solutions.
- In 2001, \$4 billion (or 20%) of the \$20 billion of total vendor revenue was spent on extensions to the ERP system. In 2006, AMR predicts this percentage will increase to 50%.


Source:

- 1. http://www.intelligententerprise.com/020903/514feat2 1.shtml, viewed September 19, 2002;
- 2. http://www2.cio.com/metrics/2002/metric381.html, viewed September 19, 2002.

ERP II Architecture



ERP II: A Revolutionary Change



71

ERP II: A Revolutionary Change

Technology


 Technology goals aligned with internal business processes and those of diverse partners, customers, suppliers, and distributors.

Business Process

Implementation cannot be made without a change of business processes.

People

 ERP II implementation success depends on the business community's cultural acceptance of the system.





- To achieve competitive advantage in the global economy, organizations are extending their ERP system beyond the firm.
- Future growth of the industry lies in adding extensions.
- Integration, scalability and flexibility issues.

