

Evaluation Strategies:

↳ Parameter-passing strategy.

`func(x, y) {`

`}`

`Main() {`
`a =`
`b =`
`}`

`}`

→ passed-into func

Strict

{ application order }

Non-Strict.

{ Normal order }

Lazy eval?

Evaluation Strategy: set of rules for evaluating any expressions!

↓

1. Parameter Passing Strategy:

Defines the kind of value → passed into the function for each parameter.

2. Binding Strategy:

The binding strategy figures out how to BIND the variables based on what type of variable is passed

3. Evaluation Order:

Evaluation order decides how to process the arguments passed in and whether to even accept them at all! If accepted → then in what order?

Evaluation strategy

13 languages

Article Talk

Read Edit View history Tools

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In a programming language, an **evaluation strategy** is a set of rules for evaluating expressions.^[1] The term is often used to refer to the more specific notion of a *parameter-passing strategy*^[2] that defines the **kind of value that is passed to the function for each parameter (the binding strategy)**^[3] and **whether to evaluate the parameters of a function call, and if so in what order (the evaluation order)**.^[4] The notion of *reduction strategy* is distinct,^[5] although some authors conflate the two terms and the definition of each term is not widely agreed upon.^[6]

To illustrate, executing a function call `f(a, b)` may first evaluate the arguments `a` and `b`, store the results in **references** or memory locations `ref_a` and `ref_b`, then evaluate the function's body with those references passed in. **This gives the function the ability to look up the original argument values passed in through dereferencing the parameters** (some languages use specific operators to perform this), to modify them via **assignment** as if they were local variables, and to **return values via the references**. This is the call-by-reference evaluation strategy.^[7]

Evaluation strategy is part of the semantics of the programming language definition. Some languages, such as **PureScript**, have variants with different evaluation strategies. Some **declarative languages**, such as **Datalog**, support multiple evaluation strategies. Some languages define a **calling convention**.
^[clarification needed]

Evaluation strategies

- Lazy evaluation
- Partial evaluation
- Remote evaluation
- Short-circuit evaluation

V · T · E

→ "Binding Strategy"

Call by reference:

$\text{fnc}(\&a, \&b)$
arguments.

→ Calling the function:
1. Evaluates Arguments.

Remember!
x and y hold mem. add locations.
Fnc. Def:

$\text{fnc}(*x, *y)$
=

$x = \text{ref_a}$
 $y = \text{ref_b}$

Dereference in fnc body to get value of a, b!

$*x = a$
 $*y = b$

Must deref. mem add to get val of a, b.

$\text{fnc}(\text{int } *x, \text{int } *y)$

$\therefore *x = a$
 $*y = b$

$\rightarrow *(\&a) = a$
 $\rightarrow *(\&b) = b$

because of $x = \&a$, $y = \&b$

Strict evaluation [edit]

Applicative order is a family of evaluation orders in which a function's arguments are evaluated completely before the function is applied.^[22] This has the effect of making the function **strict**, i.e. the function's result is undefined if any of the arguments are undefined, so applicative order evaluation is more commonly called **strict evaluation**. Furthermore, a function call is performed as soon as it is encountered in a procedure, so it is also called **eager evaluation** or **greedy evaluation**.^{[23][24]} Some authors refer to strict evaluation as "call by value" due to the call-by-value binding strategy requiring strict evaluation.^[4]

Common Lisp, Eiffel and Java evaluate function arguments left-to-right. C leaves the order undefined.^[25] Scheme requires the execution order to be the sequential execution of an unspecified permutation of the arguments.^[26] OCaml similarly leaves the order unspecified, but in practice evaluates arguments right-to-left due to the design of its abstract machine.^[27] All of these are strict evaluation.

→ Args eval completely before fnc. applied.

args undef? → res. undef.

fnc call performed.
↓ asap. { EAGER eval }
or "GREEDY eval"

Non-strict evaluation [edit]

A **non-strict evaluation order** is an evaluation order that is not strict, that is, a function may return a result before all of its arguments are fully evaluated.^{[28]:46–47} The prototypical example is **normal order evaluation**, which does not evaluate any of the arguments until they are needed in the body of the function.^[29]

Normal order evaluation has the property that it **terminates without error** whenever any other evaluation order would have terminated without error.

^[30] The name "normal order" comes from the lambda calculus, where normal order reduction will find a normal form if there is one (it is a "normalizing" reduction strategy).^[31] Lazy evaluation is classified in this article as a binding technique rather than an evaluation order. But this distinction is not always followed and some authors define lazy evaluation as normal order evaluation or vice-versa,^{[22][32]} or confuse non-strictness with lazy evaluation.^{[28]:43–44}

fnc. returning result before args. eval.

NORMAL ORDER EVALUATION → does not eval. args. until needed. terminates. without error → even if error present.

BOOLEAN EXPRESSIONS! \rightarrow functions as well.

a == 2 OR b == 3

↑ always ↑
one true → ∴

All conditions are boolean expressions, but not all boolean expressions are conditions. There's not much more to this.

5 Award Share ...

Boolean expressions in many languages use a form of non-strict evaluation called short-circuit evaluation, where

evaluation evaluates the left expression but may **skip the right expression if the result can be determined**—for example, in a disjunctive expression (OR) where `true` is encountered

, or in a conjunctive expression (AND) where `false` is encountered, and so forth.^[32] **Conditional expressions similarly use non-strict evaluation - only one of the branches is evaluated.**^[28]

Comparing \rightarrow non-strict vs. strict evaluation:
 $\{ \text{applicative order} \}$

Comparison of applicative order and normal order evaluation [\[edit\]](#)

With normal order evaluation, expressions containing an expensive computation, an error, or an infinite loop will be ignored if not needed,^[4] allowing the specification of user-defined control flow constructs, a facility not available with applicative order evaluation.

Normal order evaluation uses complex structures such as **thunks** for unevaluated expressions, compared to the **call stack** used in applicative order evaluation.^[33] Normal order evaluation has historically had a lack of usable debugging tools due to its complexity.^[34]

No exp.
comp., error,
infinite loop
ignored.