# Regression

Master Data Science Summer 2018 Prof. Dr. Marlene Müller marlene.mueller@beuth-hochschule.de

## **Exercises 4**

#### **Exercise 1**

- (a) Plot the pdf of the  $\chi^2$ -distribution for different degrees of freedom. See ?dchisq. How would you describe the effect of an increasing df parameter?
- (b) Plot the pdf of the *t*-distribution for different degrees of freedom. See ?dt. What happens to the distribution when df increases? (You may also ask Google to answer. ©)
- (c) Generate artificial data for different  $\chi^2$  and t-distributions. You should use sufficiently large sample sizes and calculate means and variances. What is your guess about the expectations for both  $\chi^2$  and t as well as for the variance of  $\chi^2$ ?

#### **Exercise 2**

Use the CPS1985 data (require (AER); data (CPS1985)) again. This time we want to consider subsamples for males and females:

```
males <- CPS1985[CPS1985$gender=="male",]
females <- CPS1985[CPS1985$gender=="female",]</pre>
```

Estimate for both subsamples a multiple regression model for  $\log(\text{wage})$  on years of education, years of professional experience and squared experience. Consider the output from the respective summary for each of the subsamples:

- (a) How could you determine the sample sizes of the two subsamples?
- (b) Which of the coefficients are sigficantly different from 0, if we assume a level of significance of 5%? (Does this change if we would use 1%?)
- (c) How could you calculate the values of  ${
  m RSS}$  for both models? Would it be useful to compare them?
- (d) Predict  $\log(\text{wage})$  for both models for a person with 12 years of education and 10 year of professional experience. What do you observe? (Is there a difference between females and males?)
- (e) Generate graphs for the marginal effects of experience for both models, i.e. display the estimated quadratic functions while setting education equal to 12 for example. (Note that 12 is the median of education in the full sample.)

### **Exercise 3**

We generate artificial regression data:

```
x \leftarrow runif(10)

y \leftarrow 2 - 2*x + 0.5*x^2 + rnorm(length(x), 0.2)

lm1 \leftarrow lm(y \sim x)

lm2 \leftarrow lm(y \sim x + I(x^2))

lm3 \leftarrow lm(y \sim x + I(x^2) + I(x^3))
```

- (a) Do a scatterplot of the data and graphically display the 3 estimated regression functions.
- (b) The R function model.matrix allows to extract the design matrix ( $\mathcal{X}$  matrix) from an estimated regression model. Use this to calculate the hat matrices  $\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_2, \mathbf{P}_3$ . Verify with R that all 3 matrices are projection matrices (which properties have to be checked?) and that their traces equal p+1.
- (c) Do also verify with R that:

$$\mathbf{P}_2 \cdot \mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{P}_1$$
,  $\mathbf{P}_3 \cdot \mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{P}_1$  and  $\mathbf{P}_3 \cdot \mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{P}_2$ 

(Remark: For our models we have  $1m1 \subseteq 1m2 \subseteq 1m3$ . So, if we already projected into the space spanned by the column vectors of a smaller design matrix, then the projection on to a larger space does not change the result anymore.)

(d) Prove that from (c) follows:

$$P_1 \cdot P_2 = P_1$$
,  $P_1 \cdot P_3 = P_1$  and  $P_2 \cdot P_3 = P_2$