

計算の理論 最終課題 入力例及び実行ログ

triplewhopper

July 25, 2022

1 準備

```
[1]: from typing import List
import simply_typed_lambda_parser as parser
import simply_typed_lambda_calculi as stlc

[2]: def prove(proposition: str, typenames: List[str]):
    return parser.parse_type(proposition, typenames=typenames).deduce(env=[],  $\vdash$ 
     $\hookrightarrow$  hypothesis={}, visit={})
```

2 証明可能な例

2.1 交換則

```
[3]: prove(r'(A $\vee$ B) $\rightarrow$ (B $\vee$ A)', typenames=['A', 'B'])

[3]:  $\vdash \lambda t_2 : A \vee B. \text{case } t_2 \text{ of } \text{inl}(t_0) \Rightarrow \text{inr}(t_0) \mid \text{inr}(t_1) \Rightarrow \text{inl}(t_1)$ 
:  $A \vee B \rightarrow B \vee A$ 

[4]: prove(r'(A $\wedge$ B) $\rightarrow$ (B $\wedge$ A)', typenames=['A', 'B'])

[4]:  $\vdash \lambda t_0 : A \wedge B. (\text{snd}(t_0), \text{fst}(t_0))$ 
:  $A \wedge B \rightarrow B \wedge A$ 
```

2.2 結合則

```
[5]: prove(r'(A $\vee$ (B $\vee$ C)) $\rightarrow$ ((A $\vee$ B) $\vee$ C)', typenames=['A', 'B', 'C'])

[5]:  $\vdash \lambda t_4 : A \vee (B \vee C).$ 
case  $t_4$  of
  inl( $t_0$ )  $\Rightarrow$  inl(inl( $t_0$ ))
  | inr( $t_3$ )  $\Rightarrow$  case  $t_3$  of
    inl( $t_1$ )  $\Rightarrow$  inl(inr( $t_1$ ))
    | inr( $t_2$ )  $\Rightarrow$  inr( $t_2$ )
```

$: A \vee (B \vee C) \rightarrow (A \vee B) \vee C$

[6]: `prove(r'(A^(B^C))->((A^B)^C)', typenames=['A', 'B', 'C'])`

[6]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : A \wedge (B \wedge C). ((\text{fst}(t_0), \text{fst}(\text{snd}(t_0))), \text{snd}(\text{snd}(t_0)))$
 $: A \wedge (B \wedge C) \rightarrow (A \wedge B) \wedge C$

2.3 分配則

[7]: `law_of_distribution_1 = r'A^(B^C)->(A^B)^(A^C)'`
`law_of_distribution_2 = r'A^(B^C)->(A^B)^^(A^C)'`

[8]: `prove(law_of_distribution_1, typenames=['A', 'B', 'C'])`

[8]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : A \wedge (B \vee C). \text{case } \text{snd}(t_0) \text{ of } \text{inl}(t_5) \Rightarrow \text{inl}((\text{fst}(t_0), t_5)) \mid \text{inr}(t_6) \Rightarrow \text{inr}((\text{fst}(t_0), t_6))$
 $: A \wedge (B \vee C) \rightarrow A \wedge B \vee A \wedge C$

[9]: `prove(law_of_distribution_2, typenames=['A', 'B', 'C'])`

[9]: $\vdash \lambda t_2 : A \vee B \wedge C. \text{case } t_2 \text{ of } \text{inl}(t_0) \Rightarrow (\text{inl}(t_0), \text{inl}(t_0)) \mid \text{inr}(t_1) \Rightarrow (\text{inr}(\text{fst}(t_1)), \text{inr}(\text{snd}(t_1)))$
 $: A \vee B \wedge C \rightarrow (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$

2.4 吸収則

[10]: `law_of_absorption_1 = r'A^(A^B)->A'`
`law_of_absorption_1_converse = r'A->A^(A^B)'`
`law_of_absorption_2 = r'A^(A^B)->A'`
`law_of_absorption_2_converse = r'A->A^(A^B)'`

[11]: `prove(law_of_absorption_1, typenames=['A', 'B'])`

[11]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : A \wedge (A \vee B). \text{fst}(t_0)$
 $: A \wedge (A \vee B) \rightarrow A$

[12]: `prove(law_of_absorption_1_converse, typenames=['A', 'B'])`

[12]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : A. (t_0, \text{inl}(t_0))$
 $: A \rightarrow A \wedge (A \vee B)$

[13]: `prove(law_of_absorption_2, typenames=['A', 'B'])`

[13]: $\vdash \lambda t_2 : A \vee A \wedge B. \text{case } t_2 \text{ of } \text{inl}(t_0) \Rightarrow t_0 \mid \text{inr}(t_1) \Rightarrow \text{fst}(t_1)$
 $: A \vee A \wedge B \rightarrow A$

[14]: `prove(law_of_absorption_2_converse, typenames=['A', 'B'])`

[14]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : A. \text{inl}(t_0)$
 $: A \rightarrow A \vee A \wedge B$

2.5 三重否定の除去

```
[15]: triple_negation = r'~~~P->~P'  
      prove(triple_negation, typenames=['P'])
```

```
[15]: ⊢ λt0 : ¬¬¬P. λt1 : P. t0 (λt2 : ¬P. t2 t1)  
      : ¬¬¬P → (P → ⊥)
```

```
[16]: triple_negation_converse = r'~P->~~~P'  
      prove(triple_negation_converse, typenames=['P'])
```

```
[16]: ⊢ λt0 : ¬P. λt1 : ¬¬P. t1 t0  
      : ¬P → (¬¬P → ⊥)
```

2.6 後半課題 5 のテスト問題

```
[17]: prove(r'~(A∨B)->~A', typenames=['A', 'B'])
```

```
[17]: ⊢ λt0 : ¬(A ∨ B). λt1 : A. t0 inl(t1)  
      : ¬(A ∨ B) → (A → ⊥)
```

```
[18]: prove(r'(A->~A)->~(A->A)', typenames=['A'])
```

```
[18]: ⊢ λt0 : A → ¬A. λt1 : ¬A → A.  
      (λt2 : A. t0 t2 t2) (t1 (λt2 : A. t0 t2 t2))  
      : (A → ¬A) → ((¬A → A) → ⊥)
```

2.7 ド・モルガンの法則

```
[19]: de_morgan1 = r'~(P∨Q)->(~P∧~Q)'  
      de_morgan1_converse = r'(~P∧~Q)->~(P∨Q)'  
      de_morgan2 = r'(P∨Q)->~(~P∧~Q)'  
      de_morgan3 = r'(P∧Q)->~(~P∨~Q)'  
      de_morgan4 = r'(~P∨~Q)->~(P∧Q)'
```

```
[20]: prove(de_morgan1, typenames=['P', 'Q'])
```

```
[20]: ⊢ λt0 : ¬(P ∨ Q). (λt1 : P. t0 inl(t1), λt2 : Q. t0 inr(t2))  
      : ¬(P ∨ Q) → (P → ⊥) ∧ (Q → ⊥)
```

```
[21]: prove(de_morgan1_converse, typenames=['P', 'Q'])
```

```
[21]: ⊢ λt0 : ¬P ∧ ¬Q. λt3 : P ∨ Q. case t3 of inl(t1) ⇒ fst(t0) t1  
      | inr(t2) ⇒ snd(t0) t2  
      : ¬P ∧ ¬Q → (P ∨ Q → ⊥)
```

[22]: `prove(de_morgan2, typenames=['P', 'Q'])`

[22]: $\vdash \lambda t_4 : P \vee Q.$
 case t_4 of
 $\text{inl}(t_0) \Rightarrow \lambda t_1 : \neg P \wedge \neg Q.$
 $\text{fst}(t_1) \ t_0$
 $\mid \text{inr}(t_2) \Rightarrow \lambda t_3 : \neg P \wedge \neg Q.$
 $\text{snd}(t_3) \ t_2$
 $: P \vee Q \rightarrow (\neg P \wedge \neg Q \rightarrow \perp)$

[23]: `prove(de_morgan3, typenames=['P', 'Q'])`

[23]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : P \wedge Q.$
 $\lambda t_3 : \neg P \vee \neg Q.$
 case t_3 of $\text{inl}(t_1) \Rightarrow t_1 \ \text{fst}(t_0)$
 $\mid \text{inr}(t_2) \Rightarrow t_2 \ \text{snd}(t_0)$
 $: P \wedge Q \rightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg Q \rightarrow \perp)$

[24]: `prove(de_morgan4, typenames=['P', 'Q'])`

[24]: $\vdash \lambda t_4 : \neg P \vee \neg Q.$
 case t_4 of
 $\text{inl}(t_0) \Rightarrow \lambda t_1 : P \wedge Q.$
 $t_0 \ \text{fst}(t_1)$
 $\mid \text{inr}(t_2) \Rightarrow \lambda t_3 : P \wedge Q.$
 $t_2 \ \text{snd}(t_3)$
 $: \neg P \vee \neg Q \rightarrow (P \wedge Q \rightarrow \perp)$

2.8 記号論理学 岡本賢吾先生の証明問題集から

[25]: `prove(r'(P->~P) -> (~Q->~Q) -> ~(P\Q)', typenames=['P', 'Q'])`

[25]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : P \rightarrow \neg P.$
 $\lambda t_1 : \neg \neg Q \rightarrow \neg Q.$
 $\lambda t_6 : P \vee Q.$
 case t_6 of
 $\text{inl}(t_2) \Rightarrow t_0 \ t_2 \ t_2$
 $\mid \text{inr}(t_4) \Rightarrow t_1 \ (\lambda t_5 : \neg Q. t_5 \ t_4) \ t_4$
 $: (P \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow ((\neg \neg Q \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow (P \vee Q \rightarrow \perp))$

[26]: `prove(r'(\bot->P) -> ((P->Q)->(X\(\Q->P))) -> (X->(P\~Q)) -> Q -> P',
 ↪typenames=['P', 'Q', 'X'])`

[26]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : \perp \rightarrow P.$
 $\lambda t_1 : (P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow X \vee (Q \rightarrow P).$

$\lambda t_2 : X \rightarrow P \vee \neg Q.$
 $\lambda t_3 : Q.$
 case $t_1 (\lambda t_4 : P.t_3)$ of
 $\text{inl}(t_{21}) \Rightarrow \text{case } t_2 \text{ } t_{21} \text{ of}$
 $\text{inl}(t_{24}) \Rightarrow t_{24}$
 $|\text{inr}(t_{25}) \Rightarrow t_0 (t_{25} \text{ } t_3)$
 $|\text{inr}(t_{26}) \Rightarrow t_{26} \text{ } t_3$
 $: (\perp \rightarrow P) \rightarrow (((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow X \vee (Q \rightarrow P)) \rightarrow ((X \rightarrow P \vee \neg Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)))$

[27]: `prove(r'((P->X)->~Y) -> ((Q->X)->~Y) -> ~(P~/Q->X)', typenames=['P', 'Q', 'X', 'Y'])`

[27]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : (P \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \neg Y.$
 $\lambda t_1 : (Q \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \neg \neg Y.$
 $\lambda t_2 : P \vee Q \rightarrow X.$
 $t_1 (\lambda t_8 : Q.t_2 \text{ inr}(t_8)) (t_0 (\lambda t_3 : P.t_2 \text{ inl}(t_3)))$
 $: ((P \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \neg Y) \rightarrow (((Q \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \neg \neg Y) \rightarrow ((P \vee Q \rightarrow X) \rightarrow \perp))$

[28]: `prove(r'(~P~/~Q) -> (P~/Q) -> ~(P~/Q)', typenames=['P', 'Q'])`

[28]: $\vdash \lambda t_6 : \neg P \vee \neg Q.$
 case t_6 of
 $\text{inl}(t_0) \Rightarrow \lambda t_1 : P \wedge Q. \lambda t_2 : \neg(P \wedge Q).$
 $t_0 \text{ fst}(t_1)$
 $|\text{inr}(t_3) \Rightarrow \lambda t_4 : P \wedge Q. \lambda t_5 : \neg(P \wedge Q).$
 $t_3 \text{ snd}(t_4)$
 $: \neg P \vee \neg Q \rightarrow (P \wedge Q \rightarrow (\neg(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow \perp))$

2.9 他の例

[29]: `prove(r'Q->P->Q', typenames=['X', 'P', 'Q'])`

[29]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : Q. \lambda t_1 : P. t_0$
 $: Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow Q)$

[30]: `prove(r'((P~/~)->P)->P~/~Q->Q->P', typenames=['X', 'P', 'Q'])`

[30]: $\vdash \lambda t_0 : P \vee \perp \rightarrow P.$
 $\lambda t_5 : P \vee \neg Q.$
 case t_5 of
 $\text{inl}(t_1) \Rightarrow \lambda t_2 : Q.$
 t_1
 $|\text{inr}(t_3) \Rightarrow \lambda t_4 : Q. t_0$
 $\text{inl}(t_0 \text{ inr}(t_3 \text{ } t_4))$

$:(P \vee \perp \rightarrow P) \rightarrow (P \vee \neg Q \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P))$

```
[31]: prove(r'~~(P->Q)->(~~P->~~Q)', typenames=['P', 'Q'])
```

```
[31]: ⊢ λt0 : ¬¬(P → Q).
      λt1 : ¬¬P.
      λt2 : ¬Q.
      t1 (λt8 : P. t0 (λt3 : P → Q. t1 (λt5 : P. t2 (t3 t5))))
      : ¬¬(P → Q) → (¬¬P → (¬Q → ⊥))
```

3 証明不能な例

3.1 二重否定の除去

```
[32]: double_negation = r'~~P->P'
      try:
        prove(double_negation, typenames=['P'])
      except stlc.DeductionFailed:
        print('No')
```

No

3.2 パースの法則

```
[33]: peirce_law = r'((P->Q)->P)->P'
      try:
        prove(peirce_law, typenames=['P', 'Q'])
      except stlc.DeductionFailed:
        print('No')
```

No

4 Reference

岡本賢吾, 記号論理の証明システム・自然演繹 (Natural Deduction)NJ/NK —(2) 述語論理 (Predicate Logic)