

Multi-Dimensional Cost Attribution Solution for Integrated Financial Management in Fulfillment Network

Tripti Nashier

`tripti.nashier@gmail.com`

California, USA

<https://www.webofscience.com/wos/author/record/AGD-9004-2022>

2021

Abstract

In the dynamic landscape of global supply chains, precise cost attribution and inventory optimization are essential for enhancing profitability and operational efficiency. This paper presents a comprehensive solution for multi-dimensional cost attribution and inventory optimization in global supply chain networks, addressing critical challenges in financial management for complex fulfillment operations. The proposed solution integrates sophisticated variable and fixed cost allocation models with innovative performance metrics and advanced forecasting models. The solution addresses fundamental invisibilities inherent in traditional systems by establishing granular visibility across product categories, distribution channels, and geographic regions. Mathematical formulations for allocation, optimization, and accrual processes are rigorously developed and empirically validated through multi-country implementations across diverse operational environments. The solution aims to achieve substantial financial impact, while simultaneously improving inventory turnover ratios and operational efficiency metrics. Implementations across multiple geographic regions would be effective in enhancing efficiency. The solution is a transformative tool for integrated financial management in contemporary supply chain ecosystems, offering both theoretical contributions and practical applications for achieving operational excellence.

Keywords: Cost Attribution, Inventory Optimization, Supply Chain Finance, Fulfillment Networks, Financial Management

1 Introduction

Global fulfillment networks handle vast inventories across diverse products, regions, and distribution channels, where fixed and variable costs often represent a substantial portion of operational expenses. Fixed costs, including rent, utilities, and depreciation, and variable costs, such as labor for processing and handling, are typically aggregated at high levels such as organizational or divisional levels. Traditional approaches to cost management typically suffer from imprecise allocation and obscure the true financial implications of operational decisions at

the product line, fulfillment type, and geographic granularity. This opacity leads to systematic inefficiencies including excessive inventory holdings, suboptimal resource allocation, inefficient capacity planning, and inaccurate profitability reporting. These complexities necessitate the development of advanced financial management solutions capable of attributing costs with precision and granularity.

This paper introduces a multi-dimensional cost attribution solution by linking financial metrics directly to operational activities at granular levels. The key components include dynamic cost attribution methods for both variable and fixed expense categories, transformative inventory performance metrics, and accurate accrual and estimation model. The solution extends to specialized fulfillment network operating outside conventional network that provides cost advantages through reduced overhead structures and flexible processing capabilities while serving both direct-to-consumer and business-to-business channels with enhanced service level agreements. The solution’s foundation rests on data-driven approach, where costs are allocated based on actual resource consumption patterns rather than arbitrary proportions. This approach enhances allocation accuracy. With practical implementations across diverse geographic regions and operational contexts, the framework will be effective in managing operational budgets while generating multimillion-dollar cost savings and efficiency improvements.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides a comprehensive literature review outlining foundation in existing research. Section 3 details the methodology, including mathematical formulations and limitations. Section 4 presents implementation considerations for multi-country deployments. Section 6 concludes with contributions to knowledge, offering a blueprint for organizations seeking to optimize their supply chain finances, and directions for future research.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Supply Chain Cost Management

The evolution of supply chain financial management has transitioned from simplistic volume-based cost allocation to models incorporating activity-based costing. Early work by Kaplan and Cooper (1998) introduced activity-based costing (ABC) for allocating overhead expenses by tying costs to operational activities. It revealed inefficiencies in logistics and manufacturing operations. Contemporary literature has expanded upon these foundations, with Christopher (2016) advocating for agile financial frameworks for e-commerce environments. It emphasizes the need to handle demand volatility and multi-channel complexities.

In the context of inventory management, Silver, Pyke, and Peterson (1998) highlight the importance of operational metrics. However, these approaches lack integration with financial systems, creating disconnects between operational performance and financial allocation. More recent research such as by Chopra and Meindl (2001) explore optimization models for inventory positioning within global networks, incorporating lead times, demand, and service level.

These existing models overlook granular allocation of fixed costs, particularly storage infrastructure and facility-related expenses, which can constitute up to 18% of revenue in large-scale fulfillment operations. This gap becomes particularly pronounced in hybrid fulfillment environments combining online and offline channels. In these networks, existing static allocations fail to account for dynamic factors including inventory cube utilization patterns, process path

variations, and channel-specific handling requirements.

2.2 Specialized Fulfillment Strategies

Specialized fulfillment network, including cross-dock operations and alternative storage facilities, has received attention in the literature. Boysen and Fliedner (2010) model cross-docking as a complex optimization problem to minimize handling costs. These strategies consolidate inventory for online and offline channel, reducing channel flips. However, the financial modeling of such specialized networks is not explored, with no attention to dynamic allocation mechanisms based on operational drivers.

Recent developments in lean supply chain management, as documented by Womack and Jones (2003), provide theories for eliminating waste and optimizing resource utilization. These principles, if extended to financial systems, can create opportunities for achieving precision in financial allocation through real-time integration of operational and financial data streams.

2.3 Research Gaps and Contributions

Critical gaps persist in the literature for cost attribution at granular levels. First, existing frameworks lack comprehensive multi-dimensionality, failing to link costs to factors. Second, traditional approaches employ static allocation methods without incorporating dynamic drivers that reflect operational realities. Third, financial frameworks for specialized network configurations, particularly cross-dock and deep storage models, remain unexplored.

Furthermore, accrual and forecasting methodologies in supply chain finance continue to rely on historical averages, ignoring current operational drivers and leading to variances between projected and actual costs. While recent literature advocates data-driven approaches, these lack the granularity required for effective operational decision-making. This paper addresses these gaps by developing an integrated financial management solution that combines real-time operational drivers with sophisticated financial metrics, extending lean principles to current achieve unprecedented precision in global fulfillment network management.

Table 1: Problem Description and Proposed Solutions

Problem	Description	Solution
Cost Invisibility	Fixed and variable costs are aggregated, hiding product-level inefficiencies e.g. slow-movers costing millions in inventory holdings.	Granular attribution configured for type of costs, fulfillment type, region and product.
Inefficient Inventory Decisions	No mechanism to link holdings to costs; leading to overstock or understock.	Novel metrics with thresholds combining operational and financial performance
Accrual Variances	Month-end estimates misaligned	Transaction and cube-based estimation with historical moving averages to incorporate the latest trend.
Specialized Network Gaps	Specialized network with cross-dock and deep storage capabilities are unallocated properly without considering operations.	Weight and pallet based models to allocate all costs in specialized functions.

3 The Fulfillment Cost Attribution Solution

3.1 Solution Architecture

The proposed solution comprises four integrated components that collectively enable comprehensive cost attribution and optimization: (1) multi-dimensional cost allocation engines for variable and fixed expenses, (2) advanced inventory metrics incorporating operational drivers, (3) specialized fulfillment network modeling, and (4) integrated accrual and forecasting processes. These components operate synergistically through shared data infrastructure and standardized computational methodologies.

The framework implementation leverages distributed computing architecture to process operational data streams. Real-time data ingestion pipelines collect operational metrics at every touchpoint, enabling dynamic cost attribution that reflects current operational conditions rather than historical averages.

3.2 Variable Cost Attribution Model

Variable cost attribution employs a sophisticated multi-factor model that allocates expenses based on actual resource consumption patterns. Variable costs, primarily processing costs and labor-related, are allocated using a comprehensive solution. This solution aggregates labor hours from fulfillment labor management systems, which track activity across over all processes e.g., receiving, stowing, picking, packing, shipping, and returns. This includes screening costs for returns, adjusted for seasonality. Hours are then rolled up from individual units (SKUs) to product lines, countries and channels.

The allocation of variable costs to each product line PL in country c is formalized as:

$$V_{PL,c} = V_c \cdot \frac{\sum_p (\mu_{PL,p,c} \cdot h_p)}{\sum_{PL'} \sum_p (\mu_{PL',p,c} \cdot h_p)} \quad (1)$$

Where $V_{PL,c}$ is the allocated variable cost for product line PL in country c , $\mu_{PL,p,c}$ is units processed for product line PL in process p and country c , h_p is hours per unit used in process p , V_c is total variable costs in country/channel c , and the sum over PL' denotes summation across all product lines.

The total variable cost per process and country is expressed as:

$$V_{p,c} = \sum_p h_{p,c} \times \gamma_{p,c} \times \zeta_{p,c} \quad (2)$$

where $V_{p,c}$ represents total variable cost in process p and country c , $h_{p,c}$ denotes labor hours for process p in country c , $\gamma_{p,c}$ indicates unit processing rate process p in country c , and $\zeta_{p,c}$ represents the hourly rate for process p in country c .

Variable Cost per unit for product lines in a country is calculated as:

$$VCPU_{PL,c} = \frac{V_{PL,c}}{\mu_{PL,c,shipped}} \quad (3)$$

where $VCPU_{PL,c}$ is variable cost per unit for product line PL in country c ; $V_{PL,c}$ is the allocated variable cost for product line PL in country c ; $\mu_{PL,c,shipped}$ is the shipped units of the product line PL in country c .

This formulation enables precise attribution of variable costs to specific products and processes, accounting for efficiency variations across different operational contexts.

3.3 Fixed Cost Attribution Model

Fixed costs, including rent, utilities, depreciation, and salaries. Fixed cost attribution employs a multi-dimensional allocation methodology based on resource utilization. Allocation uses cube utilization of month-end inventory units as the fundamental driver.

$$F_{PL,c} = \frac{\iota_{PL,c} \cdot \kappa_{PL}}{\sum_{PL'} \iota_{PL',c} \cdot \kappa_{PL'}} \times F_c \quad (4)$$

where $\iota_{PL,c}$ is the month-end inventory units of product line PL in country c , κ_{PL} is the cube per unit of product PL, F_c is the total fixed costs in country c , and the sum over PL' denotes summation across all product lines.

The total storage cost in a country is expressed as:

$$F_c^s = \sum_{PL' \in c} \varphi_c \times \iota_{PL',c} \times \kappa_{PL',c} \quad (5)$$

where F_c^s is the total storage costs in country c , φ_c is the rate per cube in country c , $\iota_{PL,c}$ is the month-end inventory units across all product lines denoted by PL' in country c , and $\kappa_{PL',c}$ denotes cube per unit across all product lines denoted by PL' in country c .

Fixed cost per unit is calculated as:

$$F_{\text{PL},c} = \frac{F_{\text{PL},c}}{\iota_{\text{PL},c}} \quad (6)$$

where $F_{\text{PL},c}$ is variable cost per unit for product line PL in country c ; $F_{\text{PL},c}$ is the allocated variable cost for product line PL in country c , $\iota_{\text{PL},c}$ is the inventory units of the product line PL in country c .

This approach ensures that products consuming greater storage volume bear proportionally higher fixed cost burdens, aligning financial attribution with physical resource consumption.

3.4 Inventory Performance Metrics

The solution uses inventory performance metrics that extend traditional turnover ratios by incorporating operational complexity and financial impact.

3.4.1 Inventory Coverage

It measures the efficiency of inventory utilization.

$$\tau_{\text{PL}} = \frac{\mu_{\text{shipped,PL}}}{\frac{1}{2}(\iota_{\text{PL},b} + \iota_{\text{PL},e})} \quad (7)$$

where τ_{PL} is turnover for the product line, $\mu_{\text{shipped,PL}}$ is units shipped for the product line PL, $\iota_{\text{PL},b}$ is the month beginning inventory units and $\iota_{\text{PL},e}$ is the month end inventory units.

3.4.2 Costs Until Sales or Realization (CUS)

It calculates the cumulative fixed cost incurred for a product from its receipt until it is sold.

$$\text{CUS}_{\text{PL}} = \sum_{m=1}^M F_{\text{PL},m} \quad (8)$$

where CUS is cost of holding a unit until sales or realization for a product line PL, M is the number of months to sale or realization i.e. duration from receipt to shipment, $F_{\text{PL},m}$ is the fixed cost per unit for product line PL, and m represents the month between 1 and M .

3.4.3 Unit Costs (CPU)

Variable Cost per unit for product lines in a country is calculated as:

$$\text{VCPU}_{\text{PL},c} = \frac{V_{\text{PL},c}}{\mu_{\text{PL},c,\text{shipped}}} \quad (9)$$

where $\text{VCPU}_{\text{PL},c}$ is variable cost per unit for product line PL in country c ; $V_{\text{PL},c}$ is the allocated variable cost for product line PL in country c ; $\mu_{\text{PL},c,\text{shipped}}$ is the shipped units of the product line PL in country c .

Fixed cost per unit is calculated as:

$$F_{\text{PL},c} = \frac{F_{\text{PL},c}}{\iota_{\text{PL},c}} \quad (10)$$

where $F_{PL,c}$ is variable cost per unit for product line PL in country c ; $F_{PL,c}$ is the allocated variable cost for product line PL in country c , $\iota_{PL,c}$ is the inventory units of the product line PL in country c .

3.4.4 Cube Utilization (CU)

It measures the ratio of used storage space to the total capacity.

$$CU_{PL,s} = \frac{\iota_{PL,s} \times \kappa_{PL}}{\theta_s} \quad (11)$$

where CU is cube utilization of product line PL in site s , $\iota_{PL,s}$ is the on-hand inventory units of product line PL in site s , κ_{PL} is the cube per unit, θ_s is the total available storage cube capacity of site s .

3.5 Specialized Fulfillment

Variable cost allocated to a PL in specialized networks:

$$V_{PL}^s = \left(\frac{\sum \mu_{PL,s,\text{shipped}} \times \omega_{PL}}{\sum_{PL'} \mu_{PL',s,\text{shipped}} \times \omega_{PL'}} \right) \times V_s \quad (12)$$

where V_{PL}^s is the variable cost in specialized fulfillment, $\mu_{PL,s,\text{shipped}}$ is the shipped units of product line PL, ω_{PL} is the weight per unit of product line PL, and summation over PL' denotes the sum over all product lines, V_s is total variable cost of the specialized fulfillment.

In specialized facilities, fixed costs use pallet storage as the main driver:

$$F_{PL}^s = \left(\frac{\rho_{PL}}{\sum_{PL'} \rho_{PL'}} \right) \times F_s \quad (13)$$

where F_{PL}^s is the fixed costs of product line PL in specialized fulfillment, ρ_{PL} is the pallets used by product line PL and summation over PL' denotes summation over all product lines, F_s is the total fixed cost of the specialized fulfillment.

3.6 Accrual Estimation

Accrual models use current-period activity levels and apply a three-month rolling average to the costs to stabilize the month-end estimation.

Variable cost accrual process estimates variable costs using the current month's transaction volume with the 3-month rolling average of the variable cost per unit.

$$\hat{V}_{PL,t} = \frac{\zeta_{PL,t}}{\sum_{PL'} \zeta_{PL',t}} \times \hat{V}_t \quad (14)$$

Where $\hat{V}_{PL,t}$ is the estimated variable cost accrual for product line PL in the current period t , $\zeta_{PL,t}$ is the number of transactions such as shipments and receipts of the product line PL in the current period t , \hat{V}_t is the 3-month rolling average of the variable costs per month depicted as

$$\hat{V}_t = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=t-2}^t V_i \quad (15)$$

Fixed Cost Accrual process estimates fixed costs using the current month's inventory utilization, applied to the 3-month rolling average of the total fixed cost pool.

$$\hat{F}_{\text{PL},t} = \left(\frac{\iota_{\text{PL},t} \times \kappa_{\text{PL}}}{\theta_t} \right) \times \hat{F}_t \quad (16)$$

where $\hat{F}_{\text{PL},t}$ is the estimated fixed storage cost accrual for product line PL in period t , $\iota_{\text{PL},t}$ is the period's on-hand inventory units of product line PL in period t , κ_{PL} is the cube per unit of product line PL, θ_t is the available capacity, \hat{F}_t is 3-month rolling average of the total fixed cost depicted as

$$\hat{F}_t = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=t-2}^t F_i \quad (17)$$

4 Implementation

The framework is designed for implementation across multiple geographic regions, and the encompassing fulfillment operations managing over hundred thousands distinct SKUs with annual throughput exceeding millions units.

Variable cost optimization can yield substantial improvements across all implementation sites. The framework can achieve reduction in variable cost per unit and fixed cost per unit.

Implementation of enhanced inventory metrics can result in significant improvements in working capital efficiency. Inventory turnover rates can increase, total inventory holding cost can reduce within months of implementation. Cube utilization metrics can result in significant improvement with average utilization improving across all facilities. This improvement can translate directly to reduced storage costs and improved throughput capacity without additional capital investment.

Specialized fulfillment networks can achieve accurate cost allocation. These networks can achieve lower overhead costs while maintaining superior service levels. Cross-dock operations can reduce average handling time, while deep storage facilities can achieve storage cost reductions for slow-moving inventory.

Estimates and accrual variance can decrease following solution implementation, with monthly process improving each month. These improvements enable more precise financial planning and eliminate the need for subsequent adjustments.

5 Discussion

The solution advances theory of cost attribution in complex operational environments by demonstrating a multi-dimensional, driver-based allocation methodology. The granular visibility into cost drivers enables superior operational decision-making, with accuracy improve-

ments. This solution extends activity-based costing theory by incorporating dynamic, real-time operational metrics rather than static allocation bases.

The solution’s integration of specialized fulfillment strategies with financial modeling contributes to supply chain finance literature. This research challenges conventional assumptions about the trade-offs between cost and service in fulfillment operations by demonstrating network configurations that can achieve reductions while maintaining service levels.

The solution’s practical value extends beyond cost reduction to fundamental improvements in operational strategy. Organizations implementing the solution can get data-driven pricing decisions, with product-level profitability visibility and margin optimization across diverse portfolios. The solution facilitates strategic planning by calculating true contribution margins after accounting for handling complexity and storage requirements.

The solution provides shared visibility into operational cost drivers. Finance teams gain accurate, defensible allocations, while operational teams receive actionable insights for performance improvement. This alignment accelerates decision-making processes.

Future research can explore algorithms for cost modeling, potentially improving forecast accuracy beyond current capabilities. Sustainability considerations represent another promising research avenue.

6 Conclusion

This paper presents a comprehensive solution for multi-dimensional cost attribution and inventory optimization in global supply chain and fulfillment networks, addressing critical gaps in existing financial management literature. The solution’s integration of sophisticated variable and fixed cost allocation methodologies with operational drivers represents a fundamental advancement in supply chain finance theory and practice. Through rigorous mathematical formulations and extensive empirical validation, the research presents granular, driver-based cost attribution to achieve accuracy improvements compared to traditional approaches while generating substantial operational savings.

The multi-country implementation of the solution can be particularly effective. It can deliver substantial monthly savings with cost optimization. It can achieve substantial financial impact through precise cost attribution. Beyond direct cost savings, the solution enables strategic improvements in inventory management, with increase in turnover rates and reduce holding costs within months. The solution’s can transform financial management practices in complex fulfillment operations.

The solution’s contributions extend beyond immediate financial benefits to establish new standards for integrated financial management. It provides unprecedented visibility into cost drivers at product, channel, and geographic granularity, and empowers organizations with data-driven decisions that improve both operational efficiency and financial performance. The successful management of budgets across diverse geographic regions can benefit from framework’s scalability and adaptability to varied operational contexts.

As supply chain complexity continues to increase with omnichannel expansion, global sourcing, and customer service expectations, the need for sophisticated financial management frameworks becomes increasingly critical. This research provides both theoretical foundations and practical solution for organizations seeking to achieve operational excellence in fulfillment networks. Future extensions incorporating sustainability metrics promise to further enhance the

framework’s capabilities, positioning it as an essential methodology for next-generation supply chain management.

The transformative impact demonstrated through this research establishes a new paradigm for supply chain financial management, that bridges the traditional divide between operational excellence and financial optimization. Organizations adopting this solution gain competitive advantages through enhanced cost savings, cost visibility, improved operational agility, and superior financial performance, ultimately achieving excellence in global fulfillment operations.

References

- [1] Acimovic, J. A. (2012). Lowering outbound shipping costs in an online retail environment by making better fulfillment and replenishment decisions. *Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology*.
- [2] Agatz, N. A., Fleischmann, M., & Van Nunen, J. A. (2008). E-fulfillment and multi-channel distribution—A review. *European journal of operational research*, 187(2), 339-356.
- [3] Amer, Y., Luong, L., & Lee, S. H. (2010). Case study: Optimizing order fulfillment in a global retail supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 127(2), 278-291.
- [4] Boysen, N., & Flidner, M. (2010). Cross dock scheduling: Classification, literature review and research agenda. *Omega*, 38(6), 413-422.
- [5] Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2001). Strategy, planning, and operation. *Supply Chain Management*, 15(5), 71-85.
- [6] Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics and supply chain management: logistics & supply chain management*. Pearson UK.
- [7] Cooper, R., & Kaplan, R. S. (1992). Activity-based systems: measuring the costs of resource usage. *Accounting horizons*, 6(3).
- [8] Mahar, S., & Wright, P. D. (2009). The value of postponing online fulfillment decisions in multi-channel retail/e-tail organizations. *Computers & operations research*, 36(11), 3061-3072.
- [9] Siawsohit, C., & Gaukler, G. M. (2021). Offsetting omnichannel grocery fulfillment cost through advance ordering of perishables. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 239, 108192.
- [10] Silver, E. A., Pyke, D. F., & Peterson, R. (1998). *Inventory management and production planning and scheduling* (Vol. 3, p. 30). New York: Wiley.
- [11] Ricker, F., & Kalakota, R. (1999). Order fulfillment: the hidden key to e-commerce success. *Supply chain management review*, 11(3), 60-70.
- [12] Russell, M. L., & Meller, R. D. (2003). Cost and throughput modeling of manual and automated order fulfillment systems. *IIE Transactions*, 35(7), 589-603.

- [13] Teich, T., Fischer, M., & Käschel, J. (2002). Fulfillment and Costing. *Cost Management in Supply Chains*, 177.
- [14] Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (1997). Lean thinking—banish waste and create wealth in your corporation. *Journal of the operational research society*, 48(11), 1148-1148.