UNSW Business School
Information Systems and Technology Management

INFS2605 Intermediate Business Programming

Group Assignment Peer Review Form

Fill out the below form. Use a SEPARATE file for each submission that you are reviewing. **Please save as a PDF file with the following naming convention:**

Peer Review by {Reviewer Team} for {Assessed Team}.pdf

e.g.

Peer Review by HoriculturalRiverSwim for HappyPleasantCat.pdf

Q1. Identification and Triage	Your Answer
Reviewer Team's Team ID *e.g. HorticulturalRiverSwim*	YeetSkeetParakeet
Assessed Team's Team ID *e.g. HappyPleasantCat*	FlowMerrySpell
Was an **accurate** Project Form attached? *(Yes/No.)*	Yes

Q2. Basic Requirements for UM (User Management) *(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)*
Mark: 80 **Grade:** D **Your feedback: Working application with necessary UM functionality. Areas for future improvement include more intuitive UI for steps and relies quite heavily on instructions. Admins also have no option to create an account**

Q3. Basic Requirements for DM (Data Management)
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 60/100
Grade: C
Your feedback: Has attempted the features, however Admins cannot manage guests for current events. There is also no option to add multiple guests at once. There is also no view for responses. Guests also cannot respond to invitations by RSVPing.

Q4. Basic Requirements for JF (Journey and Flow)
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 70/100
Grade: C
Your feedback: The UI could have improvements to make it more intuitive. Without the Readme, users may find it hard to identify and navigate the functions. There is also no logout button, but instead a back button which may be confusing for users.

Q5. Additional Functionalities
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 50/100
Grade: P
Your feedback: An attempt has been made at the Invitation PDF and it generates a pdf. There has been no attempt at the Event Runsheet Builder.

Q6. GitHub README.md
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 90/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: Detailed instructions that effectively utilises markdown language well for formatting.

Q7. Usability Summary Document
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 90/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: Shows good understanding of 10 heuristics and links them well. Writing could be more concise, and more markdown formatting could be included to make reading easier.

Q8. Project Management Summary Document
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 85/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: Details the group's project management well and covers the requirements of the task. There could be more inclusion of actual project methodologies and how the group's timeline was managed and progressed.