The Use of Lattice Upstream Targeting for the Analysis of mRNA Expression for Cancers LUST 2019

Tristan Holmes J.B. Nation et al

University of Hawaii at Manoa tristanh314@gmail.com

PSU Systems Science Seminar February 14, 2023

Presentation Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Data Setup
- 3 The Lattice Upstream Targeting Algorithm
- 4 Conclusions and Future Research

In 2019 the UH Cancer Center hosted a project to identify genetic factors of interest in various types of cancer.

In 2019 the UH Cancer Center hosted a project to identify genetic factors of interest in various types of cancer.

One of the results of this effort was the use of the Lattice Upstream Targeting (LUST) Algorithm to analyze mRNA expression data for 33 different types of cancer in the TCGA database. This effort will be the topic of this presentation.

In 2019 the UH Cancer Center hosted a project to identify genetic factors of interest in various types of cancer.

One of the results of this effort was the use of the Lattice Upstream Targeting (LUST) Algorithm to analyze mRNA expression data for 33 different types of cancer in the TCGA database. This effort will be the topic of this presentation.

The full results of this effort can be found on GitHub.

In 2019 the UH Cancer Center hosted a project to identify genetic factors of interest in various types of cancer.

One of the results of this effort was the use of the Lattice Upstream Targeting (LUST) Algorithm to analyze mRNA expression data for 33 different types of cancer in the TCGA database. This effort will be the topic of this presentation.

The full results of this effort can be found on GitHub.

Results of a similar project conducted using data proprietary to the UH cancer center led to studies seeking to identfy new chemical treatments.

 The LUST algorithm is a discrete mathematical method for analyzing continuous data, e.g., mRNA expression.

- The LUST algorithm is a discrete mathematical method for analyzing continuous data, e.g., mRNA expression.
- For a given array of expression data, the algorithm is applied twice.

- The LUST algorithm is a discrete mathematical method for analyzing continuous data, e.g., mRNA expression.
- For a given array of expression data, the algorithm is applied twice.
- 1 The first run is on the entire expression matrix and uses a graph theoretic objective function to rank the groups obtained. This pass identifies and ranks a small set of *metagenes* associated with the given cancer.

- The LUST algorithm is a discrete mathematical method for analyzing continuous data, e.g., mRNA expression.
- For a given array of expression data, the algorithm is applied twice.
- The first run is on the entire expression matrix and uses a graph theoretic objective function to rank the groups obtained. This pass identifies and ranks a small set of *metagenes* associated with the given cancer.
 - The second run is on the expression matrix for each metagene and supervised by survival time as the objective function using the Fisher score to rank the results. This pass identifies small predictive signiatures for each metagene.

- The LUST algorithm is a discrete mathematical method for analyzing continuous data, e.g., mRNA expression.
- For a given array of expression data, the algorithm is applied twice.
- The first run is on the entire expression matrix and uses a graph theoretic objective function to rank the groups obtained. This pass identifies and ranks a small set of *metagenes* associated with the given cancer.
 - The second run is on the expression matrix for each metagene and supervised by survival time as the objective function using the Fisher score to rank the results. This pass identifies small predictive signiatures for each metagene.
- In some cases, certain signiatures would seem approprite to use as guides for treatment.

• TCGA mRNA expression and clinical data are downloaded from the Broad Institute via the Firehose GDAC portal.

- TCGA mRNA expression and clinical data are downloaded from the Broad Institute via the Firehose GDAC portal.
- Normalized gene expression files sequenced by Illumina HiSeq are used, reporting expression levels for 20,531 genes.

- TCGA mRNA expression and clinical data are downloaded from the Broad Institute via the Firehose GDAC portal.
- Normalized gene expression files sequenced by Illumina HiSeq are used, reporting expression levels for 20,531 genes.
- Samples from tissue surrounding tumors are removed so that each patient has a single record representing tumor tissue.

- TCGA mRNA expression and clinical data are downloaded from the Broad Institute via the Firehose GDAC portal.
- Normalized gene expression files sequenced by Illumina HiSeq are used, reporting expression levels for 20,531 genes.
- Samples from tissue surrounding tumors are removed so that each patient has a single record representing tumor tissue.
- The expression data is log transformed, quantile normalized, and row centered.

- TCGA mRNA expression and clinical data are downloaded from the Broad Institute via the Firehose GDAC portal.
- Normalized gene expression files sequenced by Illumina HiSeq are used, reporting expression levels for 20,531 genes.
- Samples from tissue surrounding tumors are removed so that each patient has a single record representing tumor tissue.
- The expression data is log transformed, quantile normalized, and row centered.
- Survival times and censoring information for each patient are contained in the clinical data and used later in the process.

 The expression data is represented by a 20531 × N real valued matrix E, where N is the number of samples.

- The expression data is represented by a 20531 × N real valued matrix E, where N is the number of samples.
- The matrix **E** is discretized into a 20531 \times *N* matrix **M** with entries in $\{-1,0,1\}$.

- The expression data is represented by a 20531 × N real valued matrix E, where N is the number of samples.
- The matrix **E** is discretized into a 20531 \times *N* matrix **M** with entries in $\{-1,0,1\}$.
- The desired density D of non-zero entries in \mathbf{M} is obtained by adjusting a threshold variable ϕ using the matrix secant method.

- The expression data is represented by a 20531 × N real valued matrix E, where N is the number of samples.
- The matrix **E** is discretized into a 20531 \times *N* matrix **M** with entries in $\{-1,0,1\}$.
- The desired density D of non-zero entries in \mathbf{M} is obtained by adjusting a threshold variable ϕ using the matrix secant method.
- For this study D = 0.5 for all cancers. In any particular study, one may seek to vary D to optimize the results.

Specifications

The LUST algorithm is used to find metagenes (*Part I*), or signiatures (*Part II*).

Specifications

The LUST algorithm is used to find metagenes (*Part I*), or signiatures (*Part II*).

Input

- Discretized expression matrix M.
- Parameters density, conftol, overlap and noregs.
- For Part II only, clinical data such as survival.

Specifications

The LUST algorithm is used to find metagenes (*Part I*), or signiatures (*Part II*).

Input

- Discretized expression matrix M.
- Parameters density, conftol, overlap and noregs.
- For Part II only, clinical data such as survival.

Output

- Metagenes (Part I) or signiatures (Part II) ranked by an objective function.
- For Part II only, a score placing patients into high and low risk groups.
- For Part II only, Kaplan-Meyer survival curves.

Regulation and Equivalence

Assume the density *D* has been fixed (0.5 in this study). We use *conftol* (in this study 0.75 for Part I and either 0.66, 0.7, or 0.74 for Part II) to adjust sensitivity.

Regulation and Equivalence

Assume the density D has been fixed (0.5 in this study). We use *conftol* (in this study 0.75 for Part I and either 0.66, 0.7, or 0.74 for Part II) to adjust sensitivity.

Definition

For a gene X, let X^+ denote the number of columns marked with 1 and x^- the number of columns marked with -1. We say X regulates Y, denoted $X \to Y$, if

- 1 $\frac{\left|X^{+} \cap Y^{+}\right|}{\left|X^{+}\right|} \ge conftol$, and
- $2 \frac{|X^- \cap Y^-|}{|X^-|} \ge conftol.$

Regulation and Equivalence

Assume the density *D* has been fixed (0.5 in this study). We use *conftol* (in this study 0.75 for Part I and either 0.66, 0.7, or 0.74 for Part II) to adjust sensitivity.

Definition

For a gene X, let X^+ denote the number of columns marked with 1 and x^- the number of columns marked with -1. We say X regulates Y, denoted $X \to Y$, if

- 1 $\frac{|X^+ \cap Y^+|}{|X^+|} \ge conftol$, and
- $2 \frac{\left|X^{-} \cap Y^{-}\right|}{\left|X^{-}\right|} \geq conftol.$

Definition

We say gene X is *equialent* to gene Y and write $X \approx Y$ if $X \to Y$ and $Y \to X$.

Forming Groups

The algorithm begins by computing, for each gene *X*

$$\textit{F}_{\textit{X}} := \{\textit{Y}: \textit{Y} \approx \textit{X}\}$$

Forming Groups

The algorithm begins by computing, for each gene X

$$F_X := \{Y : Y \approx X\}$$

Note: F_X is not necessarily an equivalence class as \approx is not transitive. Different groups are merged if

$$\frac{|F_X \cap F_Y|}{\min(|F_X|, |F_Y|)} \ge overlap$$

Forming Groups

The algorithm begins by computing, for each gene *X*

$$F_X := \{Y : Y \approx X\}$$

Note: F_X is not necessarily an equivalence class as \approx is not transitive. Different groups are merged if

$$\frac{|F_X \cap F_Y|}{\min(|F_X|, |F_Y|)} \ge overlap$$

In this study, default values for *overlap* were 0.5 for Part I and 0.6 for Part II. Merging was performed only once.

Objective Functions

Part I

For a given metagene M with n genes, we consider M as a directed graph with edges determined by $X \to Y$, and let E be the set of edges of this graph.

Objective Functions

Part I

For a given metagene M with n genes, we consider M as a directed graph with edges determined by $X \to Y$, and let E be the set of edges of this graph.

We use a measure of the probability of obtaining a set of vertices of size n with |E| edges.

$$f(M)=\frac{|E|}{n-1}$$

Score every gene *X* to measure it's effectiveness regulating the entire set of genes.

$$s_X = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{X \to Y} \frac{(|X^+ \cap Y^+| + |X^- \cap Y^-|)^2}{|X^+| + |X^-|}$$

Score every gene *X* to measure it's effectiveness regulating the entire set of genes.

$$s_X = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{X \to Y} \frac{(|X^+ \cap Y^+| + |X^- \cap Y^-|)^2}{|X^+| + |X^-|}$$

Let *G* be a group from the previous step. For each $X \notin G$, conisder

$$G_X = \{X\} \cup \{Y \in G : X \to G\},\,$$

Score every gene *X* to measure it's effectiveness regulating the entire set of genes.

$$s_X = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{X \to Y} \frac{\left(|X^+ \cap Y^+| + |X^- \cap Y^-|\right)^2}{|X^+| + |X^-|}$$

Let *G* be a group from the previous step. For each $X \notin G$, conisder

$$G_X = \{X\} \cup \{Y \in G : X \rightarrow G\},$$

and assign a score

$$p_{X,G} = \frac{|G_X|}{|G|}(1+s_X)$$

Score every gene *X* to measure it's effectiveness regulating the entire set of genes.

$$s_X = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{X \to Y} \frac{\left(|X^+ \cap Y^+| + |X^- \cap Y^-|\right)^2}{|X^+| + |X^-|}$$

Let *G* be a group from the previous step. For each $X \notin G$, conisder

$$G_X = \{X\} \cup \{Y \in G : X \to G\},\,$$

and assign a score

$$p_{X,G} = \frac{|G_X|}{|G|}(1+s_X)$$

For noregs = k (default 5), keep G_{X_1}, \ldots, G_{X_k} with the k highest scores $p_{X,G}$ for further analysis.

Objective Functions

Part II

For each G_X form a submatrix \mathbf{E}_{G_X} from the undiscretized expression data.

Objective Functions

Part II

For each G_X form a submatrix \mathbf{E}_{G_X} from the undiscretized expression data.

Use eigen-survival analysis to produce a predictive score for each patient that is a linear combinatin of their expression values for G_X .

Objective Functions

Part II

For each G_X form a submatrix \mathbf{E}_{G_X} from the undiscretized expression data.

Use eigen-survival analysis to produce a predictive score for each patient that is a linear combinatin of their expression values for G_X .

The top and bottom quartiles of the predictive scores are identified and used to calculate Kaplan-Meier expected surival curves.

Objective Functions

Part II

For each G_X form a submatrix \mathbf{E}_{G_X} from the undiscretized expression data.

Use eigen-survival analysis to produce a predictive score for each patient that is a linear combinatin of their expression values for G_X .

The top and bottom quartiles of the predictive scores are identified and used to calculate Kaplan-Meier expected surival curves.

Use the logrank and Cox tests to measure the separation of these two curves. Each test produces a p-value (p_1 and p_2 , respectively). The *Fisher score* combines these measures to rank how well the signiature separates the survival curves.

$$F(G_X) = -\ln(p_1) - \ln(p_2)$$

Fase Discovery Rates - Notation

Fix a density D, let $p = \frac{D}{2}$, and let $\gamma = conftol$. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix with entries from $\{-1,0,1\}$ assigned from uniform probability distributions with densities p, p, 1-2p.

Fase Discovery Rates - Notation

Fix a density D, let $p = \frac{D}{2}$, and let $\gamma = conftol$. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix with entries from $\{-1,0,1\}$ assigned from uniform probability distributions with densities p, p, 1-2p.

Probability row X has a entries 1 and b entries -1

$$g(n,a,b,p) = \binom{n}{a+b} p^{a+b} (1-2p)^{n-a-b}$$

Probability row Y has c entries 1 in a columns

$$h(a,c,p) = \binom{n}{c} p^c (1-p)^{n-c}$$

Fase Discovery Rates - Derivation

Fix a density D, let $p = \frac{D}{2}$, and let $\gamma = conftol$. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix with entries from $\{-1,0,1\}$ assigned from uniform probability distributions with densities p, p, 1-2p.

Fase Discovery Rates - Derivation

Fix a density D, let $p = \frac{D}{2}$, and let $\gamma = conftol$. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix with entries from $\{-1,0,1\}$ assigned from uniform probability distributions with densities p, p, 1-2p.

Probability $X \rightarrow Y$

$$\sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq n} g(n, a, b, p) \left(\sum_{a \geq c \geq \gamma a} h(a, c, p) \right) \left(\sum_{b \geq d \geq \gamma d} h(b, d, p) \right)$$

Fase Discovery Rates - Derivation

Fix a density D, let $p = \frac{D}{2}$, and let $\gamma = conftol$. Consider an $m \times n$ matrix with entries from $\{-1,0,1\}$ assigned from uniform probability distributions with densities p, p, 1-2p.

Probability $X \rightarrow Y$

$$\sum_{1 \leq a, b \leq n} g(n, a, b, p) \left(\sum_{a \geq c \geq \gamma a} h(a, c, p) \right) \left(\sum_{b \geq d \geq \gamma d} h(b, d, p) \right)$$

Expected number of relations $X \rightarrow Y$

$$E = m(m-1) \cdot \operatorname{prob}(X \to Y)$$

Testing on permuted data matrices shows these estimates are quite accurate for values of *conftol* used in this study.

Testing on permuted data matrices shows these estimates are quite accurate for values of *conftol* used in this study.

The probability of random edges or used *conftol* is very low, on the order of 10^{-5} at most.

Testing on permuted data matrices shows these estimates are quite accurate for values of *conftol* used in this study.

The probability of random edges or used *conftol* is very low, on the order of 10^{-5} at most.

The worst-case scenario in this study was cholangiocarcinoma, with only 36 patients. Here $\it E$ is about 9, 220, but the analysis found 830, 000 arrows.

Testing on permuted data matrices shows these estimates are quite accurate for values of *conftol* used in this study.

The probability of random edges or used *conftol* is very low, on the order of 10^{-5} at most.

The worst-case scenario in this study was cholangiocarcinoma, with only 36 patients. Here $\it E$ is about 9, 220, but the analysis found 830, 000 arrows.

For Part II, there are even fewer random arrows expected.

To test the sensitiivty of LUST, simulations were run $5,000 \times 120$ signal matrix **S** with a step signal in the first 200 rows consisting of 30 enttries of 1, 30 entries of -1, and 60 zeros.

To test the sensitiivty of LUST, simulations were run $5,000 \times 120$ signal matrix **S** with a step signal in the first 200 rows consisting of 30 enttries of 1, 30 entries of -1, and 60 zeros. A Gaussian noise

matrix was made to create $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{S} + a\mathbf{N}$, using a to adjust signal-to-noise ratio.

To test the sensitiivty of LUST, simulations were run $5,000 \times 120$ signal matrix **S** with a step signal in the first 200 rows consisting of 30 enttries of 1, 30 entries of -1, and 60 zeros. A Gaussian noise

matrix was made to create $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{S} + a\mathbf{N}$, using a to adjust signal-to-noise ratio. Repeated tests were run at various levels of

conftol.

To test the sensitiivty of LUST, simulations were run $5,000 \times 120$ signal matrix **S** with a step signal in the first 200 rows consisting of 30 enttries of 1, 30 entries of -1, and 60 zeros. A Gaussian noise

matrix was made to create $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{S} + a\mathbf{N}$, using a to adjust signal-to-noise ratio. Repeated tests were run at various levels of

conftol. The conclusion was that the signals detected by Part I are

quite strong.

Sensitivity - Results

Subtitle

SNR	Rows Found	False Positives
-10 <i>db</i>	188	0
-12.5 <i>db</i>	4	0
-15 <i>db</i>	0	0

Table: conftol = 0.7

Sensitivity - Results

Subtitle

SNR	Rows Found	False Positives
-10 <i>db</i>	188	0
-12.5 <i>db</i>	4	0
_15 <i>db</i>	0	0

Table: conftol = 0.7

SNR	Rows Found	False Positives
-10 <i>db</i>	200	0
-12.5 <i>db</i>	196	0
_15 <i>db</i>	50	0

Table: *conftol* = 0.6

Sensitivity - More Results Subtitle

SNR	Rows Found	False Positives
-10 <i>db</i>	200	0
-12.5 <i>db</i>	200	0
-15 <i>db</i>	199	4

Table: conftol = 0.5

Conclusions

• Several metagenes appear to be of interest across multiple types of tumors with several variations. Other metagenes are prominnet for only a single kind of tumor.

Conclusions

- Several metagenes appear to be of interest across multiple types of tumors with several variations. Other metagenes are prominnet for only a single kind of tumor.
- Metagenes with signiatures that result in the separation of Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicate biological processes of interest.

Conclusions

- Several metagenes appear to be of interest across multiple types of tumors with several variations. Other metagenes are prominnet for only a single kind of tumor.
- Metagenes with signiatures that result in the separation of Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicate biological processes of interest.
- Separating tumors by stage results in different metagenes of interest, seeming to indicate that different biological processes become more prominent as the disease progresses.

 Using signiatures to determine a patient's risk and aggresiveness of treatment (Nation, 2019).

- Using signiatures to determine a patient's risk and aggresiveness of treatment (Nation, 2019).
- Include methyliation and microRNA expression in the analysis.

- Using signiatures to determine a patient's risk and aggresiveness of treatment (Nation, 2019).
- Include methyliation and microRNA expression in the analysis.
- Modify the $X \rightarrow Y$ relationship to include negative correlation.

- Using signiatures to determine a patient's risk and aggresiveness of treatment (Nation, 2019).
- Include methyliation and microRNA expression in the analysis.
- Modify the $X \rightarrow Y$ relationship to include negative correlation.
- Use the algorithm to study continuous data related to other diseases, specifically where the diseased tissue can be isolated and sampled.

The Last Word

"LUST is good...

- Using signiatures to determine a patient's risk and aggresiveness of treatment (Nation, 2019).
- Include methyliation and microRNA expression in the analysis.
- Modify the $X \rightarrow Y$ relationship to include negative correlation.
- Use the algorithm to study continuous data related to other diseases, specifically where the diseased tissue can be isolated and sampled.

The Last Word

"LUST is good...

... and so is the algorithm." - J.B. Nation

- Using signiatures to determine a patient's risk and aggresiveness of treatment (Nation, 2019).
- Include methyliation and microRNA expression in the analysis.
- Modify the $X \rightarrow Y$ relationship to include negative correlation.
- Use the algorithm to study continuous data related to other diseases, specifically where the diseased tissue can be isolated and sampled.

The Last Word

"LUST is good...

... and so is the algorithm." - J.B. Nation

Thank you!

