2018 Project \log

A-level Computer Science (7517) Computing Practical Project (7517/C)

Please attach a copy of this form securely to the front your candidate's work.

Centre number	Centre name
Candidate	
number	Candidate's full name

Section one - the project

To be completed by the candidate and returned to the teacher for approval before the project is started Project title

To be completed by the teacher:

From the given description the project is at a standard required for A-level Yes/No $\,$

Section two - project assessment

To be completed by the teacher

Analysis			
Level	Criteria	Mark	Comments/evide

	$^{\prime}\mathrm{SIS}$

3 Fully or nearly fully scopedanalysis of a real problem, presented in a way that a ${\rm third}$ party can understand. $\operatorname{Re-}$ quirements fully documented in a set of measur- $\quad \text{able}\quad$ and appropriate

7-9

2

specific objectives, covering all required func-

tionality of the solution or areas of

	$^{\prime}\mathrm{SIS}$

2 Well scopedanalysis(but with some omissions that are not ${\rm seri\text{-}}$ ous enoughto un- $\operatorname{der-}$ $\quad \text{mine} \quad$ later design) of a realproblem. Most, but ${\rm not}$ all, requirements documented in a set of, in the main, measurable $\quad \text{and} \quad$ appropriate spe- ${\rm cific}$

4-6

3

objectives that cover most of the re1 1-3

Partly scoped analysis of a problem.
Requirements partly documented in a

set of specific

objectives,

not all of which are

measur-

> able or appro-

priate for

developing

a solu-

tion. The

re-

 quired

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{func-} \\ \text{tion-} \end{array}$

ality

or

areas of

inves-

 $\begin{array}{c} {
m tiga-} \\ {
m tion} \end{array}$

n

are only

partly ad-

dressed.

Some at4

Analysis			
	No evi- dence pre- sented	0	Mark awarded:
Documented de-sign			
Level	Criteria	Mark	Comments/evide

Documented de- \mathbf{sign} Fully 4 10-12 ornearly fully $\operatorname{artic-}$ ulated de- sign for a realproblem, that de scribes how all or al- most all of $\quad \text{the} \quad$ key aspects of the solution/investigation are to be struc tured/are

structured.

Documented design

3 7-9

Adequately articulated design for a real problem that describes

scribes how most of the key aspects of the solu-

tion/investigation

are to
be
structured/are
structured.

Documented de- \mathbf{sign} 2 4-6 Partially $\operatorname{artic-}$ ulatedde- sign for a realprob- lem that describes how some aspectsof the solution/investigation are to be

structured/are structured. Documented design

1

1-3

 ${\bf Inadequate}$ $\operatorname{artic-}$ ula- ${\rm tion}$ of the de- sign of the solution sothat it is ${\it diffi-}$ cult to ob $tain\ a$ pic- ${\rm ture}$ ofhow the solution/investigationis to $\,$ be structured/is structured without resorting to looking directly

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{at the} \\ \text{pro-} \\ \text{grammed} \end{array}$

solution. 9

Documented de- sign			
	No evi- dence pre- sented	0	Mark awarded:
Technical solution – completeness			
Level	Criteria	Mark	Comments/evic

```
Technical
solu-
tion
com-
plete-
\mathbf{ness}
3
                                                11-15
                        A sys-
                        _{\mathrm{tem}}
                        that
                        meets
                        al-
                        most
                        all of
                        the
                        re-
                        quire-
                        ments
                        of
                        a solu-
                        tion/an
                        inves-
                        {\it tiga-}
                        {\rm tion}
                        (ig-
                        nor-
                        ing
                        any
                        re-
                        quire-
                        ments
                        that
                        go be-
                        yond
                        \quad \text{the} \quad
                        de-
                        mands
                        of A-
                        level).
```

Technical solution complete- \mathbf{ness} 2 6-10 A sys- $_{\mathrm{tem}}$ that achieves many of the require- ments but not all. The marks at the top $\quad \text{end} \quad$ of the band are for systems that includesome of the most impor- tant require-

ments.

Technical solu- tion - com- plete- ness			
1	A system that tack-les some aspects of the problem or investigation.	1-5	
	No evi- dence pre- sented	0	Mark awarde

NOTES:

Completeness is not only about how well a solution meets the objectives set by the student but also what an expected technical solution might perform for this particular project.

Technical solu- tion			
tech- niques used			
Level	Criteria	Mark	Comments/evidence

Technical solution tech niques used 3 The 19-27techniques used are appropriate and demonstratea level of ${\rm tech}\text{-}$ nical skillequivalent tothose listed in Group A in Ta- \mathbf{ble} 1. Program(s) demonstrate(s)that the skillrequired for this level 15 has been applied

sufficiently to demonTechnical solution tech niques used 2 The 10-18 techniques used are appropriate and demonstratea level of ${\rm tech}\text{-}$ nicalskillequivalent tothose listed inGroup B in Ta- \mathbf{ble} 1. Program(s) demonstrate(s)that the skillrequired for this level 16 has been applied suffi-

> ciently to demon

Technical solution tech niques used 1 The 1-9 techniques used demonstrate a level of technicalskill equivalent to $\quad \text{those} \quad$ listed in Group C in Table 1. Program(s) demonstrate(s) that $\quad \text{the} \quad$ skillre- quired $\quad \text{for} \quad$ this level has been applied suffi-17 ciently to demonstrate

proficiency.

solu- tion			
tech- niques used			
	No	0	Mark

NOTES:

The mark to be awarded, within the level, should be decided upon using these factors:

- 1. The extent to which the criteria for the level have been achieved
- 2. The quality of the coding style that the student has demonstrated
- 3. The effectiveness of the solution.

It would be beneficial for these to also be referred to in the comments/evidence section. Table 1 referred to is on pages 95-96 of the specification (version 1.4 December 2016) Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Testing			
Level	Criteria	Mark	Comments/evidence

Testing 7-8 4 Clear evidence, in the ${\rm form}$ ofcarefully selected representative samples, that thorough testing has been carried out. This demonstrates the robustness of the complete or nearly complete solution/thoroughnessof investiga- ${\rm tion}$ 19 and that the re-

> quirements of the solu-

Testing
Testing

3 5-6

Extensive

test-

ing

has

been

car-

 ${\rm ried}$

out,

but

 $\quad \text{the} \quad$

evi-

 ${\rm dence}$ pre-

sented

in the

 ${\rm form}$

of

repre-

senta-

 ${\rm tive}$

sam-

ples

does

not

 $_{\mathrm{make}}$

clear

 $_{\rm that}$

all of

the

core

require-

 ments

of the

solu-

tion/investigation

have

been

achieved.

This

may

be due

to

some

20

key

as-

pects

not

being

tested or be2 3-4

Evidence

in the

 ${\rm form}$

 $\quad \text{of} \quad$

repre-

senta-

tive

sam-

ples

of

 mod -

er-

ately

exten-

sive

 $\operatorname{test-}$

ing,

but

falling

 short

of

demon-

strat-

ing

that

the

re-

quire-

 ments

of the

solu-

tion/investigation

have

been

achieved

and

the

solu-

 ${\rm tion}$

is ro-

bust/investigation

21

thor-

ough.

The

evi- $_{
m dence}$

pre-

sented

is ex-

plained.

Testing			
1	A	1-2	
	small		
	num-		
	ber of		
	tests		
	have		
	been		
	car-		
	ried		
	out,		
	which		
	demon-		
	strate		
	that		
	some		
	parts		
	of the		
	solu-		
	tion		
	work/some		
	out-		
	comes		
	of the		
	inves-		
	tiga-		
	tion		
	are		
	achieved.		
	The .		
	evi-		
	dence		
	pre-		
	sented		
	$\max_{ ext{not}}$		
	be en-		
	$\begin{array}{c} ext{tirely} \\ ext{clear.} \end{array}$		
	clear.		

Testing			
	No evi- dence pre- sented	0	Mark awarded:
Evaluation			
Level	Criteria	Mark	Comments/evide

Evaluation 4 4 Full consideration given to how well the outcomemeets all of its requirements. How the outcome could be improved if the problem was revisited is discussed and given de tailed consideration. Independent feedback ob- ${\rm tained}$ 24 of a useful and

realistic
nature,
evalu-

Evaluation 3 Full 3 or nearly full con- $\operatorname{sider-}$ ation given tohow well the outcome meetsall of its requirements. How the outcome could be improved if the problem was revisited is dis- ${\it cussed}$ but consideration given is limited. Independent feed-25 back ob-

> tained of a useful and realistic

-	
Eva	luation

2 The outcomeis dis- ${\it cussed}$ but not all aspects arefully ad- ${\it dressed}$ either by omission or because someof the requirements have not been met and those require- ments not met have been ignored in the evaluation. No indepen- dent

tained or if obtained

2 26 feedback ob-

Evaluation			
1	Some	1	
	of the		
	out-		
	comes		
	are as-		
	sessed		
	but		
	only		
	in a		
	super-		
	ficial		
	way.		
	No		
	inde-		
	pen-		
	dent		
	feed-		
	back		
	ob-		
	$\overset{\cdot}{\text{tained}}$		
	or if		
	ob-		
	tained .		
	is so basic		
	as to		
	as to be		
	not		
	wor- thy of		
	evalu-		
	ation.		
	ation.		
	No	0	
	evi-	-	Mark
	dence		awarde
	pre-		
	sented		

Total mark /75
Concluding comments:
Signed: Date:

To see how we comply with the Data Protection Act 1998 please see our Privacy Statement at aqa.org.uk/privacy 8 of 8 $\,$