Fundamentals of Software Engineering Java Modeling Language - Part II

Ina Schaefer

Institute for Software Systems Engineering TU Braunschweig, Germany

Slides by Wolfgang Ahrendt, Richard Bubel, Reiner Hähnle (Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden)



JML Expressions \neq JAVA Expressions

boolean JML Expressions (to be completed)

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also boolean JML expressions:
 - ▶ !a ("not a")
 - ▶ a && b ("a and b")
 - ▶ a || b ("a or b")

JML Expressions \neq JAVA Expressions

boolean JML Expressions (to be completed)

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also boolean JML expressions:

```
!a ("not a")
a && b ("a and b")
a || b ("a or b")
a ==> b ("a implies b")
a <==> b ("a is equivalent to b")
...
...
...
```

Beyond boolean JAVA expressions

How to express the following?

- an array arr only holds values ≤ 2
- the variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr
- all Account objects in the array accountProxies are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field
- all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

First-order Logic in JML Expressions

JML boolean expressions extend JAVA boolean expressions by:

- implication
- equivalence
- quantification

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

boolean JML Expressions

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also boolean JML expressions:

```
!a ("not a")
  a && b ("a and b")
  a || b ("a or b")
  a ==> b ("a implies b")
  a <==> b ("a is equivalent to b")
  (\forall t x; a) ("for all x of type t, a is true")
  (\exists t x; a) ("there exists x of type t such that a")
```

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

boolean JML Expressions

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also boolean JML expressions:

```
!a ("not a")
    a && b ("a and b")
    a && b ("a or b")
    a ==> b ("a implies b")
    a <==> b ("a is equivalent to b")
    (\forall t x; a) ("for all x of type t, a is true")
    (\exists t x; a) ("there exists x of type t such that a")
    (\forall t x; a; b) ("for all x of type t fulfilling a, b is true")
    (\exists t x; a; b) ("there exists an x of type t fulfilling a, such that b")
```

JML Quantifiers

```
in
(\forall t x; a; b)
(\exists t x; a; b)
a called "range predicate"
```

```
in
(\forall t x; a; b)
(\exists t x; a; b)
a called "range predicate"
                     those forms are redundant:
                       (\forall t x; a; b)
                            equivalent to
                     (\forall t x; a \Longrightarrow b)
                       (\exists t x; a; b)
                            equivalent to
                      (\exists t x; a \&\& b)
```

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b) widely used
```

pragmatics of range predicate:

a used to restrict range of x further than t

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used

pragmatics of range predicate:
a used to restrict range of x further than t
```

example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used
pragmatics of range predicate:
a used to restrict range of x further than t
example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
(\forall int i, j;
```

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used
pragmatics of range predicate:
a used to restrict range of x further than t
example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
(\forall int i, j; 0<=i && i<j && j<10;
```

```
(\forall t x; a; b) and (\exists t x; a; b)
widely used
pragmatics of range predicate:
a used to restrict range of x further than t
example: "arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9":
(\forall int i,j; 0<=i && i<j && j<10; arr[i] <= arr[j])
```

How to express:

• an array arr only holds values ≤ 2

How to express:

• an array arr only holds values ≤ 2

(\forall int i;

How to express:

• an array arr only holds values ≤ 2

(\forall int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length;

How to express:

• an array arr only holds values ≤ 2

```
(\forall int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length; arr[i] <= 2)
```

How to express:

the variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr

How to express:

• the variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr

```
(\forall int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

How to express:

• the variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr

```
(\forall int i; 0 \le i \&\& i \le r.length; m >= arr[i])
```

is this enough?

How to express:

• the variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr

```
(\forall int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

```
(\exists int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length; m == arr[i])</pre>
```

How to express:

• the variable m holds the maximum entry of array arr

```
arr.length>0 ==>
(\exists int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length; m == arr[i])</pre>
```

(\forall int i; 0<=i && i<arr.length; m >= arr[i])

How to express:

 all Account objects in the array accountProxies are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field

How to express:

• all Account objects in the array accountProxies are stored at the index corresponding to their respective accountNumber field

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        \created(p1) && \created(p2);
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        \created(p1) && \created(p2);
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

note:

• JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        \created(p1) && \created(p2);
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

- JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- same for quantifiers in KeY!

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        \created(p1) && \created(p2);
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

- JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- same for quantifiers in KeY!
- in JML, restrict to created objects with \created

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        \created(p1) && \created(p2);
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

- JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- same for quantifiers in KeY!
- in JML, restrict to created objects with \created
- in KeY?

How to express:

• all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;
        \created(p1) && \created(p2);
        p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

- JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- same for quantifiers in KeY!
- in JML, restrict to created objects with \created
- in KeY? (⇒ coming lecture)

Example: Specifying LimitedIntegerSet

```
public class LimitedIntegerSet {
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
  public LimitedIntegerSet(int limit) {
    this.limit = limit;
    this.arr = new int[limit];
  public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
  // other methods
```

Prerequisites: Adding Specification Modifiers

```
public class LimitedIntegerSet {
 public final int limit;
 private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[];
 private /*@ spec_public @*/ int size = 0;
  public LimitedIntegerSet(int limit) {
    this.limit = limit;
    this.arr = new int[limit];
 public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
 public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
 public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
  // other methods
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

has no effect on state

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

has no effect on state

how to specify result value?

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
@ ensures \result ==
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;
  @
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires size < limit && !contains(elem);</pre>
  @ ensures \result == true;
  @ ensures contains(elem):
  @ ensures (\forall int e:
  0
                      e != elem;
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
  0
  @ ensures size == \old(size) + 1;
  0
  @ also
  0
  @ <spec-case2>
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ <spec-case1>
  0
  @ also
  0
  @ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (size == limit) || contains(elem);
  @ ensures \result == false:
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
  0
  @ ensures size == \old(size);
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ ensures !contains(elem);
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
  0
                      e != elem;
  0
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   ensures \old(contains(elem))
  0
            ==> size == \old(size) - 1;
  @ ensures !\old(contains(elem))
            ==> size == \old(size):
  0
  0*/
public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

How to specify constraints on class data?

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

How to specify constraints on class data, e.g.:

- consistency of redundant data representations (like indexing)
- restrictions for efficiency (like sortedness)

So far:

JML used to specify method specifics.

How to specify constraints on class data, e.g.:

- consistency of redundant data representations (like indexing)
- restrictions for efficiency (like sortedness)

data constraints are global: all methods must preserve them

Consider LimitedSortedIntegerSet

```
public class LimitedSortedIntegerSet {
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
  public LimitedSortedIntegerSet(int limit) {
    this.limit = limit;
    this.arr = new int[limit];
  public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
  public boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
  // other methods
```

method contains

can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method add

· searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method add

- searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- thereby tries to establish sortedness in post-state

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method add

- searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- thereby tries to establish sortedness in post-state
- why is that sufficient?

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method add

- searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- thereby tries to establish sortedness in post-state
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method contains

- can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method add

- searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- thereby tries to establish sortedness in post-state
- why is that sufficient?
- it assumes sortedness in pre-state

method remove

(accordingly)

Specifying Sortedness with JML

```
recall class fields:
   public final int limit;
   private int arr[];
   private int size = 0;
```

sortedness as JML expression:

```
recall class fields:
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
sortedness as JML expression:
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
                  arr[i-1] <= arr[i])</pre>
```

```
recall class fields:
  public final int limit;
  private int arr[];
  private int size = 0;
sortedness as JML expression:
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
                  arr[i-1] <= arr[i])
(what's the value of this if size < 2?)
```

Specifying Sorted contains()

can assume sortedness of pre-state

Specifying Sorted contains()

can assume sortedness of pre-state

Specifying Sorted contains()

```
can assume sortedness of pre-state
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
  0
                                arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   ensures \result == (\exists int i:
  0
                                   0 <= i && i < size;
  0
                                   arr[i] == elem):
  @*/
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) {/*...*/}
contains() is pure
⇒ sortedness of post-state trivially ensured
```

Specifying Sorted remove()

```
can assume sortedness of pre-state
 must ensure sortedness of post-state
 /*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
   0
                                 arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
   @ ensures !contains(elem);
    ensures (\forall int e;
   0
                        e != elem;
   0
                        contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
     ensures \old(contains(elem))
              ==> size == \old(size) - 1:
   0
    ensures !\old(contains(elem))
   0
              ==> size == \old(size):
   @ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
                                arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
   0
   0*/
 public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
Ina Schaefer
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
                                arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  0
  @ requires size < limit && !contains(elem);</pre>
  @ ensures \result == true;
  @ ensures contains(elem);
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
  0
                      e != elem;
  0
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
  @ ensures size == \old(size) + 1:
   ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
  0
                              arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
  0
  @ also <spec-case2>
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  0
  @ <spec-case1> also
  @
  @ public normal_behavior
  @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
  0
                                arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
  @ requires (size == limit) || contains(elem);
  @ ensures \result == false;
  @ ensures (\forall int e;
                      contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e))):
  0
  @ ensures size == \old(size);
  @ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;</pre>
                               arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):</pre>
  0
  0*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Factor out Sortedness

so far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

Factor out Sortedness

so far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

we can do better, using

JML Class Invariant

construct for specifying data constraints centrally

Factor out Sortedness

so far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

we can do better, using

JML Class Invariant

construct for specifying data constraints centrally

- 1. delete blue and red parts from previous slides
- 2. add 'sortedness' as JML class invariant instead

```
public class LimitedSortedIntegerSet {
  public final int limit;
  /*@ public invariant (\forall int i;
                                 0 < i && i < size;
    0
    0
                                 arr[i-1] <= arr[i]):
    0*/
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[];
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ int size = 0;
  // constructor and methods,
  // without sortedness in pre/post-conditions
```

JML Class Invariant

- JML class invariant can be placed anywhere in class
- (contrast: method contract must be in front of its method)
- custom to place class invariant in front of fields it talks about

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

```
can refer to instance fields of this object
  (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')
JML syntax: instance invariant
```

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

```
can refer to instance fields of this object
  (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')
JML syntax: instance invariant
```

static invariants

cannot refer to instance fields of this object
JML syntax: static invariant

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

```
can refer to instance fields of this object
  (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')
JML syntax: instance invariant
```

static invariants

cannot refer to instance fields of this object
JML syntax: static invariant

both

can refer to

- static fields
- instance fields via explicit reference, like 'o.size'

instance invariants

```
can refer to instance fields of this object
  (unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')
JML syntax: instance invariant
```

static invariants

```
cannot refer to instance fields of this object
JML syntax: static invariant
```

both

can refer to

- static fields
- instance fields via explicit reference, like 'o.size'

in classes: instance is default(static in interfaces)
if instance or static is omitted ⇒ instance invariant!

```
public class BankCard {
  /*@ public static invariant
       (\forall BankCard p1, p2;
         \created(p1) && \created(p2);
         p1!=p2 ==> p1.cardNumber!=p2.cardNumber)
    0*/
  private /*@ spec_public @*/ int cardNumber;
  // rest of class follows
```

Recall Specification of enterPIN()

public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ BankCard insertedCard = null;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter = 0;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated
                                     = false:
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3>
  @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
last lecture:
all 3 spec-cases were normal_behavior
```

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, requires method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, requires method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

keyword **signals** specifies *post-state*, depending on thrown exception

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P, requires method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

keyword **signals** specifies *post-state*, depending on thrown exception

keyword signals_only limits types of thrown exception

Completing Specification of enterPIN()

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3> also
    @
    @ public exceptional_behavior
    @ requires insertedCard==null;
    @ signals_only ATMException;
    @ signals (ATMException) !customerAuthenticated;
    @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

Completing Specification of enterPIN()

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3> also
  @ public exceptional_behavior
  @ requires insertedCard==null;
  @ signals_only ATMException;
  @ signals (ATMException) !customerAuthenticated;
  @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

in case insertedCard==null in pre-state

- an exception must be thrown ('exceptional_behavior')
- it can only be an ATMException ('signals_only')
- method must then ensure !customerAuthenticated in post-state ('signals')

signals_only Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have one clause of the form

```
signals_only (E1,..., En);
```

where E1,..., En are exception types

signals_only Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have one clause of the form

```
signals_only (E1,..., En);
```

where E1,..., En are exception types

Meaning:

if an exception is thrown, it is of type E1 or ... or En

signals Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have several clauses of the form

```
signals (E) b;
```

where E is exception type, b is boolean expression

signals Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have several clauses of the form

```
signals (E) b;
```

where E is exception type, b is boolean expression

Meaning:

if an exception of type ${\tt E}$ is thrown, ${\tt b}$ holds in post condition

Allowing Non-Termination

by default, both:

- normal_behavior
- exceptional_behavior

specification cases enforce termination

Allowing Non-Termination

by default, both:

- normal_behavior
- exceptional_behavior

specification cases enforce termination

in each specification case, non-termination can be permitted via the clause

diverges true;

Allowing Non-Termination

by default, both:

- normal_behavior
- exceptional_behavior

specification cases enforce termination

in each specification case, non-termination can be permitted via the clause

diverges true;

Meaning:

given the precondition of the specification case holds in pre-state, the method may or may not terminate

Further Modifiers: non_null and nullable

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by further modifiers:

- class fields
- method parameters
- method return types

can be declared as

- nullable: may or may not be null
- non_null: must not be null

```
private /*@ spec_public non_null @*/ String name;
implicit invariant
'public invariant name != null;'
added to class
public void insertCard(/*@ non null @*/ BankCard card) {..
implicit precondition
'requires card != null;'
added to each specification case of insertCard
public /*@ non null @*/ String toString()
implicit postcondition
'ensures \result != null:'
added to each specification case of toString
```

⇒ same effect even without explicit 'non_null's

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ String name;
implicit invariant
'public invariant name != null;'
added to class
public void insertCard(BankCard card) {...
implicit precondition
'requires card != null;'
added to each specification case of insertCard
public String toString()
implicit postcondition
'ensures \result != null;'
added to each specification case of toString
```

To prevent such pre/post-conditions and invariants: 'nullable'

```
private /*@ spec_public nullable @*/ String name;
no implicit invariant added

public void insertCard(/*@ nullable @*/ BankCard card) {..
no implicit precondition added
```

public /*@ nullable @*/ String toString()
no implicit postcondition added to specification cases of toString

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private LinkedList next;
    ....
```

In JML this means:

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private LinkedList next;
    ....
```

In JML this means:

all elements in the list are non_null

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private LinkedList next;
    ....
```

In JML this means:

- all elements in the list are non_null
- the list is cyclic, or infinite!

Repair:

```
public class LinkedList {
    private Object elem;
    private /*@ nullable @*/ LinkedList next;
    ....
```

⇒ Now, the list is allowed to end somewhere!

Final Remark on non_null and nullable

non_null as default in JML only since a few years.

 \Rightarrow Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the non_null by default semantics.

JML and Inheritance

All JML contracts, i.e.

- specification cases
- class invariants

are inherited down from superclasses to subclasses.

A class has to fulfill all contracts of its superclasses.

in addition, the subclass may add further specification cases, starting with also:

```
/*@ also
    @
    @ <subclass-specific-spec-cases>
    @*/
public void method () { ...
```

Tools

Many tools support JML (see http://www.jmlspecs.org).

On the course website you find a link how to install a JML checker for eclipse that works with newer Java versions.

Literature for this Lecture

essential reading:

in KeY Book A. Roth and Peter H. Schmitt: Formal Specification. Chapter 5 only sections 5.1, 5.3, In: B. Beckert, R. Hähnle, and P. Schmitt, editors. *Verification of Object-Oriented Software: The KeY Approach*, vol 4334 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2006.

further reading, all available at www.eecs.ucf.edu/~leavens/JML/documentation.shtml:

JML Reference Manual Gary T. Leavens, Erik Poll, Curtis Clifton, Yoonsik Cheon, Clyde Ruby, David Cok, Peter Müller, and Joseph Kiniry.

JML Reference Manual

JML Tutorial Gary T. Leavens, Yoonsik Cheon.

Design by Contract with JML

JML Overview Gary T. Leavens, Albert L. Baker, and Clyde Ruby.

JML: A Notation for Detailed Design