## CSSE 304 Assignment 9 Updated for Spring, 2016

**Objectives** To experiment with procedural abstraction.

Same rules as the previous assignments, including the prohibition of mutation.

No argument error-checking is required. You may assume that all arguments have the correct form.

#1 (60 points) snlist-recur. I have slightly adapted the book's definition of s-lists (EoPL, p 8) to allow numbers as well as symbols in the lists.

```
<sn-list> ::= ( {<sn-expression>}* )
<sn-expression> ::= <number> | <symbol> | <sn-list>
```

Define a procedure snlist-recur that is similar to list-recur (written in class), but it returns procedures that work on sn-lists. When we call snlist-recur with arguments of the correct types, it returns a procedure that

- takes an sn-list as its only argument, and
- traverses the entire sn-list and its sub-lists, and does the intended computation.

After you write snlist-recur, test it by using it to define the functions in (a)-(f). Each of them will have an sn-list as one of its arguments.

When you use snlist-recur to produce a procedure f: in some cases (namely parts b and e), you may need to first write a curried version of f (as we did in class when we wrote curried versions of member? and map) in order to get a procedure that recurs on only one argument (the sn-list). Procedures that you pass as arguments to snlist-recur must not be explicitly recursive, nor may they call map, which is a substitute for recursion. All of the recursion on the sn-lists in your solutions should be produced by snlist-recur itself. To reiterate, none of your functions in parts (a) - (f) should have any explicit recursive calls.

There are ways to write all of the required procedures without properly using snlist-recur. But that would miss the point of this problem. Thus, if you do not use snlist-recur as prescribed above, you will not earn any points, even if the grading program says that your code works for all of the test cases.

**Note:** When your snlist-recur procedure is applied to argument(s) of the proper type(s), it must return a procedure that expects exactly one argument (an sn-list).

**Hint for this problem:** snlist-recur will probably need to take three arguments (snlist refers to an argument passed to the procedure returned by a call to snlist-recur):

A base-value to be returned when snlist is the empty list.

A procedure to be applied when the car of snlist is a list (i.e., it is a pair or the empty list).

A procedure to be applied when the car of snlist is not a list (i.e., it is a symbol or number).

**Note:** However you design it, when your snlist-recur procedure is applied to arguments of the proper types, it must return a procedure that expects exactly one argument (an sn-list).

## **Notes:**

- 1. Your code for these and for the other procedures you write may assume that all of their arguments are the correct type(s). You do not have to do any checking of arguments for validity.
- 2. Your code for each of the following parts can be fairly short. My longest one is 8 lines long.
- (a) (sn-list-sum snlst) finds the sum of all of the numbers within snlst (which contains no symbols).

```
(sn-list-sum '((2 (3) 4) 5 ((1)) ())) \rightarrow 15

sn-list-sum '()) \rightarrow 0
```

(b) (sn-list-map proc snlst) applies proc to each element of snlst.

```
(sn-list-map (lambda (x) (+ 1 x)) '((2 (3) 4) 5 ((1)) () 5))

\rightarrow ((3 (4) 5) 6 ((2)) () 6)
```

(c) (sn-list-paren-count snlst) counts the number of parentheses required to produce the printed representation of snlst. (You can get this count by looking at cars and cdrs of snlst).

```
(sn-list-paren-count '()) \rightarrow 2 Note: sn-lists are always proper lists.

(sn-list-paren-count '(2 (3 4) 5)) \rightarrow 4 (sn-list-paren-count '(2 (3) (4 () ((5))))) \rightarrow 12
```

(d) (sn-list-reverse snlst) reverses snlst and all of its sublists.

```
(sn-list-reverse '(a (b c) () (d (e f)))) \rightarrow (((f e) d) () (c b) a)
```

(e) (sn-list-occur s snlst) counts how many times the symbol s occurs in the sn-list snlst)

```
(sn-list-occur 'a '(() a ((a)) a (a a b a) (a a))) \rightarrow 8
```

(f) (sn-list-depth snlst) finds the maximum nesting-level of parentheses in the printed representation of snlst.

```
(sn-list-depth '()) → 1
(sn-list-depth '(1 2 3)) → 1
(sn-list-depth '(1 (2 3) 4)) → 2
(sn-list-depth '(1 (2 (3)) (2 3))) → 3
(sn-list-depth '(((3) (( )) 2) (2 3) 1)) → 4
```

#2 (20 points) Recall the following syntax definition from page 9 of EOPL:

```
<bintree> ::= <number> | ( <symbol> <bintree> <bintree> )
```

Write a bt-recur procedure, similar to the list-recur and snlist-recur procedures from class and this homework. Calling bt-recur produces a procedure that recurs over all of the elements of a bintree.

Then use bt-recur to create the following two procedures:

- (bt-sum T) finds the sum of all of the numbers in the leaves of the bintree T.
- (bt-inorder T) creates a list of the symbols from the *interior* nodes of T, in the order that they would be visited in an inorder traversal of the binary tree.

The following transcript should help your understand what bt-sum and bt-inorder do. I do not show the code that was used to construct t1.

```
> t1
(a (b 1 4) (c (d 2 5) 3))
> (bt-sum t1)
15
> (bt-inorder t1)
(b a d c)
> (define t2 (list 'e 6 t1))
> t2
(e 6 (a (b 1 4) (c (d 2 5) 3)))
> (bt-sum t2)
21
> (bt-inorder t2)
(e b a d c)
```

**Note:** As in the snlist-recur problems from the previous problem, the definitions of bt-sum and bt-inorder should not contain any explicit recursive calls. All recursion must be produced by bt-recur.

(continued on next page)

3. (25 points) Predefined Scheme procedures like cadr and cdadr are compositions of up to four cars and cdrs. You are to write a generalization called make-c..r, which does the composition of any number of cars and cdrs. It takes one argument, a string of a's and d's, which are used like the a's and d's in the names of the predefined functions. For example, (make-c.r "addddr") is equivalent to (compose car cdr cdr cdr cdr).

```
> (define caddddr (makec...r "adddd"))
> (caddddr '(a (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)))
(e)
> ((make-c...r "") '(a b c))
(a b c)
> ((make-c...r "a") '(a b c))
a
> ((make-c...r "ddaddd") '(a b c ((d e f g) h i j)))
(i j)
> ((make-c...r "adddddddddddd") '(a b c d e f g h i j k l m))
```

I have provided the code for compose. For full credit, you should write make-c...r in a functional style that only uses built-in procedures, anonymous procedures, and compose in the definition of make-c...r.

**Hint:** My solution uses the built-in procedures map, apply, string->list, list->string, string->symbol, and eval; also the character constants #\c and #\r. You are not required to use these, but you may find them helpful. I do not assume that you are already familiar with all of them; *The Scheme Programming Language* contains info on them; my intention is that you demonstrate an ability to look up and use new procedures. My solution calls map multiple times.

#4 (30 points). S-lists are defined on page 8 of EoPL. You are to write a procedure called make-slist-leaf-iterator. This procedure takes an s-list as its argument, and returns an iterator procedure that takes no arguments (a.k.a. a *thunk*). Each time the iterator procedure is called, it returns the next symbol from the s-list. If the iterator is called again after all of the symbols from the s-list have been returned, it returns #f. An example should help you to understand what an s-list leaf iterator is supposed to do (things in **bold** are the things that I typed, the others are Scheme's responses):

```
> (define iter (make-slist-leaf-iterator '((a (b c) () d) () e)))
> (iter)
a
> (iter)
b
> (iter)
c
> (iter)
d
> (iter)
e
> (iter)
```

```
#f
> (iter)
#f
```

The iterator procedure must maintain a mutable state if it is to exhibit this behavior. Mutation is allowed for this problem.

One simple approach to creating the iterator would be to simply call flatten on the s-list, and then use cdr to traverse the resulting flat list. However, this approach has a property that no iterator should have! It requires visiting every symbol in the s-list before the iterator is ever asked to return a symbol. If we create an iterator procedure for an s-list that contains thousands of symbols, but then we call that iterator only a few times, the iterator should not have to deal with all of the symbols in the s-list.

Thus, due to efficiency, you are not allowed to use any such approach that "preprocesses" the entire s-list in order to make the iteration simpler.

The standard way to do tree iterators is to use a stack to keep track of subtrees whose left side we have already visited, but not the right side. In this case, the stack will keep track of cdrs of the pairs whose cars we have already visited. The idea is similar to the tree iterators presented in chapter 18 of the CSSE 230 book: Mark Allen Weiss, *Data Structures and Problem Solving using Java*. You may want to write mutually-recursive helper procedures, similar in function to Weiss's first and advance methods.

Should an s-list leaf iterator do a preorder or postorder traversal? It doesn't matter, since we are only iterating the leaves; preorder and postorder visit the leaves in the same order.

Be careful about **empty sublists**. Notice in the example above that the iterator skips them.

In my code, an s-list leaf iterator procedure has only one persistent local variable, whose value is a stack object.

Here is my code for constructing a stack (It's in http://www.rose-

hulman.edu/class/csse/csse304/201630/Homework/Assignment 09/stack.ss). Actually, the code in that file has an error which we corrected in the Session 11 class to get the code below.