

CMSI 370-01
INTERACTION DESIGN
Fall 2015

Assignment 1211 Feedback—Direct Manipulation Widget

Trixie Roque

trixr4kdz / euqor00@gmail.com

Notes while running (asterisks indicate major observations):

- Cool, generally works. Nice hover on the handle too. $+(2b, 4a)$
- You need to refine the mouse handling when the mouse moves out of the handle though. $(3a, 3b, 4a)$
- Typically, file copying wouldn't have been good, but I know we have the HTTP relay to deal with.
- Nice integration into that front end :) $+(4a, 4b)$

Code review (asterisks indicate major observations):

1. Yay, no tabs :) $(+4c)$
2. Yes you need a little more code to manage this better; mainly accommodate the possibility that the mouse leaves the handle without lifting the button. $(3a, 3b, 4a)$
3. **** Wait this is weird—why is your plugin code at the end??? To be clear about the dependency relationship, put this *before* your application's code. $(4b, 4d)$
4. Having the same name for the plugin *and* the code that uses the plugin can be confusing. $(4c)$

$2b$ — | ...Decent design; hard to ignore that mouse-leaves-the-handle glitch though.

$3a$ — + ...Overall, nice coordinated construction, decently general.

$3b$ — | ...Again pretty good except for the mouse leaving the handle.

$4a$ — + ...Works nicely.

$4b$ — | ...Script elements out of order. Yes this is big because it speaks to your clarity of understanding regarding the overall code structure and execution sequence.

$4c$ — + ...Decently clean, no glaring issues.

$4d$ — +

$4e$ — +

$4f$ — | ...Finished within one day after extended due date of 1213.