Erroneous Conditions

Consider the following erroneous implementation of a stack of integers using a linked list.

```
public class Stack {
    StackNode head;

public Stack() { head = null; }

public int pop() {
    int item = head.Item();
    head = head.Next();
    return item;
    }
    :
}
```

```
Let the calling method be this:
```

```
public class Test {
   public static void main (String args[]) {
      Stack s = new Stack();
      int item;
      for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
        item = s.pop();
        :
        item = s.pop();
      }
      item = s.pop();
   }
}</pre>
```

When we run this program we get the following error message:

```
Exception in thread 'main' java.lang.NullPointerException
    at Stack.pop(Stack.java:7)
    at Test.main(Compiled Code)
```

One way of fixing this problem is to add code to **Test** class to check whether the stack is empty before trying to perform a **pop** operation:

```
public class Test {
   public static void main (String args[]) {
      Stack s = new Stack();
      int item;
      for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
          if (not s.isEmpty()) then {
             item = s.pop();
          else {
             //Code to deal with error
          }
          if (not s.isEmpty()) then {
             item = s.pop();
          }
          else {
             //Code to deal with error
```

Exceptions

In the above solution class Test is cluttered with error-handling code.

A better solution is by using exceptions:

```
public class Stack {
    StackNode head;
    public Stack() { head = null; }
    public int pop() throws StackEmptyException{
        if (head == null)
            throw new StackEmptyException(''Stack empty'');
        else {
            int item = head.Item();
            head = head.Next();
            return item;
        }
    }
}
```

Ignoring Exceptions

Since now class **Stack** checks that the stack is not empty before popping an element off, it seems that the calling class **Test** does not need any error handling code:

```
public class Test {
   public static void main (String args[]) {
      Stack s = new Stack();
      int item;
      for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
        item = s.pop();
        :
        item = s.pop();
      }
      item = s.pop();
   }
}</pre>
```

However, when compiling this class we get this error:

Test.java.9: Exception StackEmptyException must be caught, or it must be declared in the throws clause of this method.

```
item = s.pop();
```

1 error

Catching and Re-Throwing Exceptions

The calling method can either catch an exception or it can re-throw it.

- We catch the exception if this method knows how to deal with the error.
- Otherwise, the exception is re-thrown

Catching Exceptions

```
public class Test {
   public static void main (String args[]) {
      Stack s = new Stack();
      int item;
      try {
         for (int i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
             item = s.pop();
             :
             item = s.pop();
          item = s.pop();
      catch (StackEmptyException e) {
          // Error handling code
          System.out.println(e.getMessage());
```

Re-Throwing Exceptions

```
public class Test {
   static void helper(Stack s) throws StackEmptyException{
      int item = s.pop();
   }
   public static void main (String args[]) {
      Stack s = new Stack();
      int item;
      try {
         helper();
          item = s.pop();
      catch (StackEmptyException e) {
          // Error handling code
```

Declaring Exception Classes

We must declare classes of new exceptions we are going to throw. The usual way to do this is to make the class a subclass of Exception:

```
class StackEmptyException extends Exception {
   public StackEmtpyException(String message) {
      super(message);
   }
}
```

Exception has a method getMessage(), which returns the string we gave to the constructor.