

# KNN

June 22, 2021

## 1 Künstliche Neuronale Netze

## 2 mit Tensorflow / Keras

### 2.1 Beispiel 1: XOR-Verknüpfung

Mit Hilfe eines KNNs soll die XOR-Verknüpfung nachgebildet werden:

X1	X2	y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

```
[1]: import numpy as np
      from tensorflow.keras.optimizers import *
      from tensorflow.keras.models import *
      from tensorflow.keras.layers import *
      from tensorflow.keras.losses import *
      from tensorflow.keras.utils import *

[2]: # Daten
      X = [[0,0],[0,1],[1,0],[1,1] ]
      y = [[0], [1], [1], [0]]

[3]: model = Sequential()
      model.add(Dense(8, input_dim=2)) # Input-Layer
      model.add(Activation("relu"))

      model.add(Dense(10)) # Hidden-Layer
      model.add(Activation("tanh"))

      model.add(Dense(10)) # Hidden-Layer
      model.add(Activation("tanh"))

      model.add(Dense(1)) # Output-Layer
      model.add(Activation("sigmoid"))
```

```
sgd = SGD(lr=0.1) # Stochastic Gradient Descent
model.compile(loss="binary_crossentropy", optimizer=sgd, metrics="accuracy")

# Für die Ausgabe der Trainingsinformationen verbose auf 2 setzen
model.fit(X,y, epochs=100, verbose=0)
```

```
[3]: <tensorflow.python.keras.callbacks.History at 0x1c5f3cc51f0>
```

```
[4]: (model.predict(X)>0.5).astype(int)
```

```
[4]: array([[0],
          [1],
          [1],
          [0]])
```

```
[5]: model.summary()
```

Model: "sequential"

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
dense (Dense)	(None, 8)	24
activation (Activation)	(None, 8)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 10)	90
activation_1 (Activation)	(None, 10)	0
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 10)	110
activation_2 (Activation)	(None, 10)	0
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 1)	11
activation_3 (Activation)	(None, 1)	0

Total params: 235

Trainable params: 235

Non-trainable params: 0

## 2.2 Beispiel 2: Vorhersage Brustkrebs

```
[6]: from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

     data = load_breast_cancer()
     print(data.DESCR)
```

```
.. _breast_cancer_dataset:
```

```
Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset
```

```
-----
```

```
**Data Set Characteristics:**
```

```
:Number of Instances: 569
```

```
:Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class
```

```
:Attribute Information:
```

- radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)
- texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
- perimeter
- area
- smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
- compactness (perimeter<sup>2</sup> / area - 1.0)
- concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
- concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
- symmetry
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.

- class:
  - WDBC-Malignant
  - WDBC-Benign

```
:Summary Statistics:
```

	Min	Max
radius (mean):	6.981	28.11
texture (mean):	9.71	39.28
perimeter (mean):	43.79	188.5

area (mean):	143.5	2501.0
smoothness (mean):	0.053	0.163
compactness (mean):	0.019	0.345
concavity (mean):	0.0	0.427
concave points (mean):	0.0	0.201
symmetry (mean):	0.106	0.304
fractal dimension (mean):	0.05	0.097
radius (standard error):	0.112	2.873
texture (standard error):	0.36	4.885
perimeter (standard error):	0.757	21.98
area (standard error):	6.802	542.2
smoothness (standard error):	0.002	0.031
compactness (standard error):	0.002	0.135
concavity (standard error):	0.0	0.396
concave points (standard error):	0.0	0.053
symmetry (standard error):	0.008	0.079
fractal dimension (standard error):	0.001	0.03
radius (worst):	7.93	36.04
texture (worst):	12.02	49.54
perimeter (worst):	50.41	251.2
area (worst):	185.2	4254.0
smoothness (worst):	0.071	0.223
compactness (worst):	0.027	1.058
concavity (worst):	0.0	1.252
concave points (worst):	0.0	0.291
symmetry (worst):	0.156	0.664
fractal dimension (worst):	0.055	0.208
=====	=====	=====

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign

:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian

:Donor: Nick Street

:Date: November, 1995

This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets.  
<https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2>

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree

Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in:

[K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

```
ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/
```

.. topic:: References

- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.
- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995.
- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.

```
[7]: # Aufteilen in Trainings- und Testdaten
X = data.data
y = data.target

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X,y,shuffle=True,
↳test_size=0.3)
```

```
[8]: # KNN erstellen und trainieren
# Wir verwenden hier "ADAM" als Optimizer (Adaptive moment estimation)
model2 = Sequential()
model2.add(Dense(30, input_dim=X_train.shape[1])) # Input-Layer
model2.add(Activation("relu"))
```

```

model2.add(Dense(50)) # Hidden-Layer
model2.add(Activation("relu"))

model2.add(Dense(50)) # Hidden-Layer
model2.add(Activation("relu"))

model2.add(Dense(50)) # Hidden-Layer
model2.add(Activation("relu"))

model2.add(Dense(1)) # Output-Layer
model2.add(Activation("sigmoid"))

model2.compile(loss="binary_crossentropy", optimizer=Adam(lr=0.001),
    ↪metrics="accuracy")
model2.fit(x=X_train,y=y_train, epochs=100, validation_data=(X_test, y_test),
    ↪verbose=0)

```

[8]: <tensorflow.python.keras.callbacks.History at 0x1c5f6239760>

```

[9]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix, accuracy_score
pred = (model2.predict(X_test)>0.5).astype(int)
print(confusion_matrix(y_test, pred))
print(accuracy_score(y_test, pred))

```

```

[[ 61   7]
 [  0 103]]
0.9590643274853801

```