# Induction

#### Last week

We talked about small-step operational semantics

- compared it to its big-step counterpart
- discussed program equivalence
- talked about derivation sequences vs derivation trees

### This week

#### Induction

- Structural induction
- Induction on derivation trees
- Induction on the length of derivation sequences
- Common pitfalls
- Tips and tricks of the trade

Questions before we start?

The most common type of induction is induction on natural numbers

- Prove that a property holds for 0
- Assuming that a property holds for m, prove that it holds for m + 1

- Prove that a property holds for 0
- Assuming that a property holds for m, prove that it holds for m + 1

More formally this is written as

- Prove that a property holds for 0
- Assuming that a property holds for m, prove that it holds for m + 1

More formally this is written as

This is referred to as structural induction

### Structural induction

We can do induction on anything that is inductively defined

Inductive definition of natural numbers

$$N \triangleq 0$$
  
 $S N$ 

Induction principle

#### Induction on lists

Inductive definition of lists

```
a list ≜ []
a :: a list
```

Induction principle

```
P []
∀x xs. P xs → P (x::xs)

P lst
```

#### Induction on trees

Inductive definition of binary trees

```
a tree ≜ Leaf
Node (a tree) a (a tree)
```

Induction principle

```
P Leaf
∀I v r. P I → P r → P (Node I v r)
P t
```

#### Exercise

Write an induction principle for the While language

```
S ≜ skip
a := v
S; S
if b then S else S
while b do S
```

The While-language is deterministic

if 
$$(S, s) \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $(S, s) \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

Proof by induction on S

We get stuck in the case for composition

if 
$$(S1; S2, s) \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $(S1; S2, s) \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

Induction hypothesis

if 
$$(S1, s) \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $(S1, s) \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

if 
$$(S2, s) \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $(S2, s) \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

These are the original states, not the states acquired when doing case analysis on the derivation of S1; S2

Induction hypothesis

if 
$$\langle S1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $\langle S1, s \rangle \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$   
if  $\langle S2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'$  and  $\langle S2, s \rangle \rightarrow s''$ 

then s' = s''

### Strengthening induction

We need to strengthen the induction hypothesis

We say that a formula is stronger than another one if it proves strictly more things.

A strong induction principle is applicable to relevant intermediate steps, not just the first one

The While-language is deterministic

if **for all possible states** s, s' and s" we have that  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$  and  $(S, s) \rightarrow s''$  then s' = s''

Proof by induction on S

Composition now works

if 
$$(S1; S2, s) \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $(S1; S2, s) \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

Induction hypothesis

if 
$$\forall s \ s' \ s''$$
,  $\langle S1, \ s \rangle \rightarrow s'$  and  $\langle S1, \ s \rangle \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$   
if  $\forall s \ s' \ s''$ ,  $\langle S2, \ s \rangle \rightarrow s'$  and  $\langle S2, \ s \rangle \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

... but the while command breaks

if (while b do S, s) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 s' and (while b do S, s)  $\rightarrow$  s" then s' = s"

Induction hypothesis

if 
$$\forall s \ s'', \langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s' \ and \langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s''$$
  
then  $s' = s''$ 

but the while rule requires that we have what we are trying to prove

### The while loop

[while 
$$f(S, S_1) \rightarrow S_2$$
 (while  $f(S, S_2) \rightarrow S_3$  (while  $f(S, S_1) \rightarrow S_3$  (while  $f(S, S_1) \rightarrow S_3$ 

The command **while** b **do** S appears both above and bellow the line and structural induction requires that we apply the induction hypothesis on something that is structurally smaller

### Determinisn

The While-language is

if  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$  and (S, s) then s'

#### Induction on trees

Our inference rules are inductively defined. We can do induction on that

#### Induction on trees

Our inference rules are inductively defined. We can do induction on that

If we want to prove something about the derivation  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$ , we do induction on that derivation in stead of S.

The book calls this induction on the shape of derivation trees, but it is just the same as structural induction

#### Intuition

When doing induction on a derivation tree  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$ , the predicate for our induction principle is a ternary one that takes S, s and s' as arguments.

## Induction principle

We have the following skeleton for an induction principle

### Induction principle

We have the following skeleton for an induction principle

 $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$ 

We do induction over this transition

Inductive cases

PSss'

### Induction principle

We have the following skeleton for an induction principle

$$(S, s) \rightarrow s'$$

We want to prove this

Inductive cases

PSss'

The While-language is deterministic

if 
$$(S, s) \rightarrow s'$$
 and  $(S, s) \rightarrow s''$   
then  $s' = s''$ 

We do induction on:  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$ 

Induction predicate: fun S s s'  $\Rightarrow$  if  $(S, s) \rightarrow s''$  then s' = s''

### Skip

The skip command does nothing

$$[skip_{ns}]_{skip, s} \rightarrow s$$

### Skip

The skip command does nothing

$$[skip_{ns}]_{skip, s} \rightarrow s$$

Inductive case: ∀s. P **skip** s s

### Assignment

The assignment command updates the state

[ass<sub>ns</sub>]  
$$\langle x := a, s \rangle \rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[a]_s]$$

## Assignment

The assignment command updates the state

[ass<sub>ns</sub>]  
$$\langle x := a, s \rangle \rightarrow s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[a]_s]$$

Inductive case:

$$\forall$$
 x a s. P (x := a) s (s[x $\mapsto \mathcal{A}[a]_s$ ])

### Sequential composition

Sequential composition runs a command from a state provided by the previous command

$$[comp_{ns}] \xrightarrow{\langle S_1, s \rangle \to s'} \langle S_2, s' \rangle \to s'$$

### Sequential composition

Sequential composition runs a command from a state provided by the previous command

$$[comp_{ns}] \xrightarrow{\langle S_1, s \rangle \to s'} \langle S_2, s' \rangle \to s'$$

Inductive case:

$$\forall s \ s' \ s'' \ S_1 \ S_2. \ \langle S_1, \ s \rangle \rightarrow s'' \Longrightarrow$$

$$\langle S_2, \ s'' \rangle \rightarrow s' \Longrightarrow P \ S_1 \ s \ s'' \Longrightarrow$$

$$P \ S_2 \ s'' \ s' \Longrightarrow P \ (S_1; S_2) \ s \ s'$$

### Conditional statements

A conditional statement executes the first branch if the guard is true

$$(S_1, s) \to s'$$

$$(if b then S_1 else S_2, s) \to s'$$

### Conditional statements

A conditional statement executes the first branch if the guard is true

$$[if_{ns}^{tt}] \frac{\langle S_1, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle if b then S_1 else S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \mathscr{B}[b]_s = tt$$

Inductive case:

$$\forall s \ s' \ b \ S_1 \ S_2. \ \mathscr{D}[b]_s = \mathbf{tt} \Longrightarrow \langle S_1, \ s \rangle \to s' \Longrightarrow$$

$$P \ S_1 \ s \ s' \Longrightarrow$$

$$P \ (\mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2) \ s \ s'$$

A conditional statement executes the second branch if the guard is false

$$[if_{ns}^{ff}] \frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \to s'}{\langle if \ b \ then \ S_1 \ else \ S_2, \ s \rangle \to s'} \mathscr{D}[b]_s = ff$$

A conditional statement executes the second branch if the guard is false

$$[if_{ns}^{ff}] \frac{\langle S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'}{\langle if b then S_1 else S_2, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \mathscr{B}[b]_s = ff$$

#### Inductive case:

$$\forall s \ s' \ b \ S_1 \ S_2. \ \mathscr{D}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff} \Longrightarrow \langle S_2, \ s \rangle \to s' \Longrightarrow$$

$$P \ S_2 \ s \ s' \Longrightarrow$$

$$P \ (\mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2) \ s \ s'$$

A loop will keep executing as long as its guard is true

[while 
$$\frac{tt}{ds}$$
]  $\frac{\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle while b do S, s'' \rangle \rightarrow s'} \mathscr{B}[b]_s = tt$ 

A loop will keep executing as long as its guard is true

[while 
$$_{ns}^{tt}$$
]  $\frac{\langle S, s \rangle \rightarrow s''}{\langle while b do S, s \rangle \rightarrow s'} \mathscr{B}[b]_s = tt$ 

#### Inductive case:

$$\forall s \ s'' \ b \ S. \ (S, s) \rightarrow s'' \Rightarrow (while \ b \ do \ S, s'') \rightarrow s'$$

$$P \ S \ s \ s'' \Rightarrow P \ (while \ b \ do \ S) \ s'' \ s' \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathscr{D}[b]_s = \mathbf{tt} \Rightarrow P \ (while \ b \ do \ S) \ s \ s'$$

A loop with a false guard behaves exactly like skip

[while  $_{ns}^{ff}$ ] (while b do S, s)  $\rightarrow$  s  $\mathscr{D}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff}$ 

A loop with a false guard behaves exactly like skip

[while  $_{ns}^{ff}$ ] (while b do S, s)  $\rightarrow$  s  $\mathscr{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff}$ 

Inductive case:

 $\forall s b S, \mathscr{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff} \Longrightarrow P \text{ (while b do S) } s s$ 

#### Induction rule for While

```
(S, s) \rightarrow s'
                                                                  ∀s. P skip s s
\forall x \ a \ s. \ P(x := a) \ s(s[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[a]_s])
\forall s \ s' \ s'' \ S_1 \ S_2 \ (S_1, \ s) \rightarrow s'' \Longrightarrow (S_2, \ s'') \rightarrow s' \Longrightarrow
              P S_1 s s'' \Rightarrow P S_2 s'' s' \Rightarrow P (S_1; S_2) s s'
\forall s \ s' \ b \ S_1 \ S_2. \ \mathscr{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{tt} \Longrightarrow \langle S_1, \ s \rangle \to s' \Longrightarrow
              P S_1 s s' \Rightarrow P (if b then S_1 else S_2) s s'
\forall s \ s' \ b \ S_1 \ S_2 \ \mathscr{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff} \Longrightarrow \langle S_2, \ s \rangle \to s' \Longrightarrow
              P S_2 s s' \Rightarrow P (if b then S_1 else S_2) s s'
\forall s \ s' \ s'' \ b \ S. \ (S, \ s) \rightarrow \ s'' \implies (while \ b \ do \ S, \ s'') \rightarrow \ s'
              P S s s'' \Rightarrow P  (while b do S) s'' s' \Rightarrow
               \mathcal{B}[b]_s = tt \Rightarrow P \text{ (while b do S) s s'}
\forall s b S. \mathscr{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff} \Rightarrow P \text{ (while } b \text{ do } S) s s
PSss'
```

# Questions?

#### Determinism of While

The While-language is deterministic

if for all possible states s, s' and s'' we have that  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$  and  $(S, s) \rightarrow s''$  then s' = s''

Proof by induction on  $(S, s) \rightarrow s'$ 

# Small-step induction

Structural induction on the derivation does not work for small-step semantics.

## Small-step induction

Structural induction on the derivation does not work for small-step semantics.

$$(S, s) \rightarrow^k \gamma$$

Here we need to do induction on the length of the derivation sequence k. In effect this is just standard induction on the natural numbers

# Example proof

Prove that if  $(S_1; S_2, s) \rightarrow^k s''$ then there exists a state s' and natural numbers k<sub>1</sub> and k<sub>2</sub> such that  $(S_1, s) \rightarrow^{k1} s'$ and  $(S_2, s') \rightarrow^{k2} s''$ and  $k = k_1 + k_2$ 

#### Next week

- We extend the While-language with more constructs and prove properties about them
- We introduce the concept of Program Logic