#### Exercise 1

### a)

The data type Strange is strange, because it lacks a base case.

# b)

Provide an induction principle for Strange.

$$\frac{\forall n \ s. \ P \ s \to P(C_1 \ n \ s)}{\forall b \ s. \ P \ s \to P(C_2 \ b \ s)} \frac{\forall b \ s. \ P \ s \to P(C_2 \ b \ s)}{P \ s}$$

c)

$$\frac{\forall n \; s. \; False \rightarrow False}{\forall b \; s. \; False \rightarrow False} \\ \frac{\forall b \; s. \; False \rightarrow False}{False}$$

Assume S:Strange

Prove False

Proof by inductions on S

Case  $C_1$ 

Assume IH  $C_1$ : False hence False Case  $C_2$ 

Assume IH  $C_2$ : False hence False

#### Exercise 2

$$\begin{array}{l} (S,s) \Rightarrow \gamma \\ \forall s \ P \ \text{skip} \ s \ s \\ \forall b \ S \ s \ P(\text{while} \ b \ \text{do} \ S) \ s \ \langle \text{if} \ b \ \text{then} \ (S; \ \text{while} \ b \ \text{do} \ S \ \text{else} \ \text{skip}, \ , \rangle s) > \\ \forall a \ s \ P(\textbf{x} := a) \ s \ (s[x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}[a]_s]) \\ \forall S_1 \ S_2 \ s \ s'. \ \langle S_1, \ s \rangle \Rightarrow s' \rightarrow P \ S_1 \ s \ s' \rightarrow P \ (S_1; S_2) \ s \ \langle S_2, \ s' \rangle \\ \forall S_1 \ S_2 \ s \ s'. \ \langle S_1, \ s \rangle \Rightarrow \langle S_1'', \ s' \rangle \rightarrow P \ S_1 \ s \ s'. \rightarrow P \ (S_1; S_2) \ s \ \langle S_2, \ s' \rangle \\ \forall b \ s \ s' \ S_1 \ S_2. \ \mathcal{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{tt} \rightarrow P \ (\mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ S_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ S_2) \ s \ \langle S_2, \ s \rangle \\ \hline P \ S \ s \end{array}$$

 $[\mathsf{if}^{\mathsf{ff}}_{\mathsf{sos}}] \forall s \; s' \; b \; S_1 \; S_2. \; \mathcal{B}[b]_s = \mathsf{ff} \; \Rightarrow \langle S_2, \; s \rangle \; \rightarrow \; s' \; \Rightarrow \; P \; S_2 \; s \; s' \; \Rightarrow \; P \langle \mathsf{if} \; b \; \mathsf{then} \; S_1 \; \mathsf{else} \; S_2, \; s \rangle \; \rightarrow \; s'$ 

 $[\text{while}_{\text{sos}}] \forall s \; s' \; s'' \; b \; S. \; \langle S, \; s \rangle \rightarrow s'' \Rightarrow \langle \textbf{while} \; b \; \textbf{do} \; S, \; s'' \rangle \rightarrow s' \\ P \; S \; s \; s'' \Rightarrow P \langle \textbf{while} \; b \; \textbf{do} \; S, \; s'' \rangle \rightarrow s' \Rightarrow P \; (\textbf{while} \; b \; \textbf{do} \; S) \; s'' \; s' \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}[b]_s = \textbf{tt} \Rightarrow P \; (\textbf{while} \; b \; \textbf{do} \; S) \; s \; s'' \rangle$ 

[while<sub>sos</sub>] $\forall b \ S. \ \mathcal{B}[b]_s = \mathbf{ff} \Rightarrow P \ (\mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ S) \ s \ s$ 

# Exercise 3

Prove that if  $(S_1, s) \Rightarrow^k s'$  then  $(S_1; S_2, s) \Rightarrow^k (S_2, s')$ We can assume A:

$$(S_1,s) \Rightarrow^k s'$$

Only two derivation rules can be used to derive B:

$$(S_1; S_2, s) \Rightarrow^k (S_2, s')$$

The first is C:

$$[\mathsf{comp}_\mathsf{sos}^1] \; \frac{(S_1,s) \Rightarrow (S_1',s')}{(S_1;S_2,s) \Rightarrow (S_1';S_2,s')}$$

and the second D:

$$[\mathsf{comp}^2_\mathsf{sos}] \; \frac{(S_1,s) \Rightarrow s'}{(S_1;S_2,s) \Rightarrow (S_2,s')}$$

Since we assume A, that  $S_1$  terminates in s' in k steps, we have the assumptions needed to use D and therefore show that the execution of  $S_1$  is not influence by the following statements.

## Exercise 4