## Linear Algebra II

## Trong

January 19, 2019

## 1 Orthogonal Matrix

**Definition 1.** A matrix Q is orthogonal iff its columns are orthonormal, IOW,

$$Q^TQ = I$$
.

Corollary 2. If Q is an orthogonal matrix, then its inverse is its transpose.

Corollary 3. If Q is an orthogonal matrix, then

$$\det Q=\pm 1.$$

Proof.

$$1 = \det I$$

$$= \det(Q^T Q)$$

$$= \det Q^T \det Q$$

$$= \det Q \det Q$$

$$= (\det Q)^2$$

Therefore  $\det Q = \pm 1$ .

*Note 4.* This is why people like orthogonal matrices, because they're easy to invert.

**Theorem 5.** If Q is orthogonal, then

$$Q^TQ = QQ^T = I.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $Q^T = Q^{-1}$ , and inverses commute with each other, i.e.

$$Q^T Q = Q Q^T.$$

**Theorem 6.** If the columns of a square matrix are orthonormal, then its rows are also orthonormal, and vice versa.

**Problem 7** (Ex. 6.5.11.). Find an orthogonal matrix whose first row is  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ .

Solution. Find two other independent vectors by trial and error, then use Gram-Schmidt to orthogonalize the set, then normalize to get orthonormal set.  $\hfill\Box$ 

## **Normal Matrix**

**Theorem 8.** A matrix is normal iff it is unitarily diagonalizable.

**Exercise 9** (Ex. 6.5.12.). Let A be an  $n \times n$  real symmetric or complex normal matrix. Prove that

$$\det A = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i,$$

where the  $\lambda_i$ s are the [not necessarily distinct] eigenvalues of A.

*Proof.* Recall that a symmetric / normal matrix is diagonalizable, i.e. it is similar to a diagonal matrix. IOW there are matrices P and  $P^*$  s.t.

$$PAP^* = D.$$

Then

$$\det A = \det(PAP^*) = \det D = \prod \lambda_i.$$

**Exercise 10** (Ex. 6.5.13.). Suppose that A and B are diagonalizable matrices. Prove or disprove that A is similar to B iff A and B are unitarily equivalent.

*Proof.* The converse is true: if A and B are unitarily equivalent, then immediately they are similar. The forward direction is false: e.g. the two matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

are similar, but they are not unitarily equivalent, because one is symmetric and the other is not. The conclusion follows from the following Proposition:

**Proposition 11.** If A and B are orthogonally equivalent on a vector space over R, then either they are both symmetric or neither is. IOW, orthogonal equivalence preserves symmetry.

*Proof.* Let  $A = W^t B W = W^{-1} B W$  with  $W^t = W^{-1}$ . Suppose A is symmetric:  $A = A^t$ . Then

$$B = WAW^{-1}$$

$$B^{t} = (WAW^{-1})^{t}$$

$$= (W^{-1})^{t}A^{t}W^{t}$$

$$= WAW^{-1}$$

$$= B.$$

**Proposition 12.** If A and B are unitarily equivalent, then either they are both self-adjoint or neither is. IOW, unitary equivalence preserves self-adjoint-ness.

Exercise 13 (Ex. 6.5.14. Unitary equivalence preserves positive semi/definiteness). Prove that if A and B are Hermitian matrices and unitarily equivalent, then A is positive semi/definite iff B is.

*Proof.* Recall that a Hermitian matrix is positive semi/definite iff all its eigenvalues are positive/non-negative; also recall that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues. Since A and B are unitarily equivalent, they are similar: there exists a matrix P with  $P^{-1} = P^*$  s.t.

$$A = P^{-1}BP.$$

\_

Therefore A and B have the same eigenvalues, so they are either both positive semi/definite or neither is.

**Proposition 14** (Ex. 6.5.15.). Let U be a unitary operator on an inner product space V, and let W be a finite-dimensional U-invariant subspace of V. Then:

- 1. U(W) = W.
- 2.  $W^{\perp}$  is also U-invariant.

*Proof.* (1) follows immediately from the facts that U is invertible and W has finite dimension, and the Rank-Nullity Theorem. Note that this means W is also  $U^{-1}$ -invariant:

$$W = U^{-1}(W). \tag{*}$$

To prove (2), let  $v \in W^{\perp}$ ; we want to show that  $U(v) \in W^{\perp}$ , i.e. that U(v) is perpendicular to vectors in W. Let w be any vector in W. Then

$$\langle U(v), w \rangle = \langle v, U^*(w) \rangle = \langle v, U^{-1}(w) \rangle = \langle v, x \rangle$$

for some  $x \in W$ , since by (\*) we know that W is  $U^{-1}$ -invariant. Finally

$$\langle U(v), w \rangle = \langle v, x \rangle = 0$$

since  $v \in W^{\perp}$  and  $x \in W$ , therefore U(v) and w are perpendicular.

**Proposition 15** (Ex. 6.5.21. Negative unitary equivalence test). Let A and B be unitarily equivalent  $n \times n$  matrices. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*B).$$

Note that this may alternatively be written as

$$\sum_{ij} |A_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{ij} |B_{ij}|^2.$$

*Proof.* Since A and B are unitarily equivalent, there is a unitary matrix P s.t.  $A = P^*BP$  and  $P^* = P^{-1}$ . Then

$$tr(A^*A) = tr((P^*BP)^*(P^*BP))$$
  
=  $tr(P^*B^*PP^*BP)$   
=  $tr(P^*B^*BP)$   
=  $tr(B^*BPP^*)$  (\*)  
=  $tr(B^*B)$ .

(\*) follows by the cyclic permutation property of the trace operator.

This provides a negative test of unitary equivalence given two matrices. E.g. the following two matrices are not unitarily equivalent since their entries don't add up:

$$\left[ egin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \ 2 & i \end{array} 
ight] \quad {
m and} \quad \left[ egin{array}{cc} i & 4 \ 1 & 1 \end{array} 
ight].$$