## Operating Systems

Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks (RAID)

Thomas Ropars

thomas.ropars@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

2019

### References

The content of this lecture is inspired by:

 Operating Systems: Three Easy Pieces by R. Arpaci-Dusseau and A. Arpaci-Dusseau

#### Other references:

- Modern Operating Systems by A. Tanenbaum
- Operating System Concepts by A. Silberschatz et al.

# Agenda

Introduction

**RAID** levels

# Agenda

Introduction

RAID levels

### Motivation

In a previous lecture, we have seen how disks basically work.

### Motivation

In a previous lecture, we have seen how disks basically work.

#### Sometimes we would like more:

- Storage space
  - What if I have too many data for a single disk?
- Performance
  - ▶ What if I do a lot of reads and writes (I/O bound)?
- Reliability
  - What if my disk fails?

### **RAID**

### History

- A Case for Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) by D. Patterson, G. Gibson and R. Katz (1988)
  - Argue that RAID can perform better than expensive disks
  - Argue that this is true despite decreased MTTF (mean time to failure)
  - Defines 5 levels of RAID (still valid)
- Some manufacturers talk about "Redundant Array of Independent Disks"

### **RAID**

#### Interface

- From the point of view of the OS, a RAID system is just a large, reliable, efficient disk.
- Logical I/O: The OS issues logical I/Os to the RAID system

#### Internals

- A standard connection (eg., SATA, SCSI)
- A set of disks
- Volatile memory for buffering
- Microcontroller(s) that operate the RAID logic
- Physical I/O: The RAID issues the physical I/Os to the disks

### **Metrics**

- Capacity: Given N disks, how much client data can be stored?
- Reliability: How many disks failures may a design tolerate?
  - ► In the following, we assume a **fail-stop** failure model: a disk fails by crashing.
- Performance:
  - Latency: single-request latency
  - Steady-state throughput: total throughput of many concurrent requests (sequential or random)

# Agenda

Introduction

**RAID** levels

## RAID 0: Striping

- RAID level 0 is no RAID!
  - no redundancy
- Spread the blocks across the disks in round robin fashion.
  - With N disks, N blocks read/write in parallel
  - Often implemented at the granularity of blocks (other: bit-level, byte-level) – can be multiple blocks

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 3
0	2	4	6
1	3	5	7
8	10	12	14
9	11	13	15

Figure: Striping 16 blocks on 4 disks (2-block granularity)

## RAID 0: Striping

#### Metrics

• Reliability: does not tolerate failures

• Capacity:  $C \times N^1$ 

• Performance: optimal performance

Sequential Read:  $N \times S$  Read: T

Latency<sup>3</sup>

Write: T

Sequential Write:  $N \times S$ 

Throughput<sup>2</sup>

Random Read:  $N \times R$ Random Write:  $N \times R$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>C: capacity of one disk; N: number of disks

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>S/R: throughput of one disk with sequential/random accesses

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>T: latency of read/write with a single disk

## RAID 1: Mirroring

- RAID level 1 targets reliability
- It keeps several copies of each block
- Copies are stored on different disks

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 3
0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3

Figure: Mirroring 4 blocks on 4 disks

## RAID 1: Mirroring

#### Metrics

- Reliability: tolerates N-1 disk failures
- Capacity: C
- Performance:
  - With random read, multiple reads can be issued in parallel on different disks
  - Write latency increases because we have to wait for slowest disk to finish

### Throughput Latency

Sequential Read: S Read: T Sequential Write: S Write: > T

Random Read:  $N \times R$ 

Random Write: R

## RAID 1+0: Mirroring + striping

- Apply striping across pairs of mirrored disks
- RAID 0+1 is also possible (mirror a striping array of disks)
  - Considered less reliable: one disk failure makes a full array unusable.

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 3
0	0	2	2
1	1	3	3
4	4	6	6
5	5	7	7

Figure: RAID 1+0 on 4 disks

## RAID 1+0: Mirroring + striping

#### Metrics

Reliability: tolerates 1 disk failure (worst case)

• Capacity:  $N/2 \times C$ 

Performance:

Throughput is improved thanks to striping

Mirrored disks can serve different requests on random reads

Write latency increases because we have to wait for slowest disk to finish (worst case seek and rotational delay)

### Throughput

### Latency

Sequential Read:  $N/2 \times S$  Read: T

Sequential Write:  $N/2 \times S$  Write:  $\geq T$ 

Random Read:  $N \times R$ Random Write:  $N/2 \times R$ 

## RAID 4: Parity-based redundancy

- Fault tolerance with reduced capacity lost
- Computes a parity block for each strip of blocks and store it on a separate disk
  - A bit-wise XOR is used to compute parity data (a parity block has the same size as a normal block)
  - If one disk fails, its data can be recovered based on the parity data and the data in the other disks

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 3	Disk 4
0	1	2	3	$PP_0$
4	5	6	7	$PP_1$
8	9	10	11	$PP_2$
12	13	14	15	$PP_3$

Figure: RAID level 4 on 5 disks

## RAID 4: Parity-based redundancy

#### Metrics

- Reliability: tolerates 1 disk failure
- Capacity:  $(N-1) \times C$
- Performance:
  - For sequential writes, parity blocks can be written in parallel with the data stride
  - Writing a single block requires reading the block and the parity block first to be able to update the parity block
  - For random writes, the parity disk becomes the bottleneck (problem of small writes)

#### Throughput Latency

Sequential Read:  $(N-1) \times S$  Read: T Sequential Write:  $(N-1) \times S$  Write: 2T

Random Read:  $(N-1) \times R$ 

Random Write: R/2

## RAID 5: Rotating Parity

- Same advantages as RAID 4 but without the small writes performance issue
- Rotates the parity blocks across disks

Disk 0	Disk 1	Disk 2	Disk 3	Disk 4
0	1	2	3	$PP_0$
4	5	6	$PP_1$	7
8	9	$PP_2$	10	11
12	$PP_3$	13	14	15
$PP_4$	16	17	18	19

Figure: RAID level 5 on 5 disks

## RAID 5: Rotating Parity

#### Metrics

- Reliability: tolerates 1 disk failure
- Capacity:  $(N-1) \times C$
- Performance:
  - Random reads can use all disks
  - Random writes allow using all disks in parallel but each write require 4 I/0 operations (read/write of data and parity block)

### Throughput Latency

Sequential Read:  $(N-1) \times S$  Read: T Sequential Write:  $(N-1) \times S$  Write: 2T

Random Read:  $N \times R$ Random Write:  $N/4 \times R$ 

# Agenda

Introduction

RAID levels

- Only interested in performance: RAID 0
- Random I/O performance and reliability: RAID 1+0
- Reliability and capacity: RAID 4
- Reliability and capacity + Random I/O performance: RAID 5

### References for this lecture

- Operating Systems: Three Easy Pieces by R. Arpaci-Dusseau and A. Arpaci-Dusseau
  - Chapter 38: Redundant Disk Arrays (RAID)
- If you are interested in the topic:
  - D. Patterson, G. Gibson, and R. Katz. A case for redundant arrays of inexpensive disks (RAID). ACM, 1988.