

Observation of improved and degraded confinement with driven flow on the LAPD

D.A. Schaffner, T.A Carter, D.S. Guice, J. Maggs, S. Vincena, and B. Friedman
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles.

G.D. Rossi
Department of Physics, University of Texas, Austin
(Dated: April 19, 2012)

Continuous control over azimuthal flow and shear in the edge of the Large Plasma Device (LAPD) has been achieved using a biasable limiter allowing a detailed study of the effect of flow shear on turbulence and transport in LAPD, extending previous work [1]. LAPD rotates spontaneously in the ion diamagnetic direction (IDD); positive limiter bias first reduces, then minimizes (producing a near-zero shear state), and finally reverses flow into the electron diamagnetic direction (EDD). Confinement—decreases in radial cross-field transport—are shown to vary continuously with shearing rate. Confinement degradation is observed in the minimum shearing state and confinement improvement in higher shearing states for both flow directions. Such improvement is shown to begin at shearing rates less than the no-shear turbulent autocorrelation rate. This suppression is dominated by decreases in low-frequency $> 10\text{kHz}$ density fluctuations and a reduction in the radial extent of correlation structures. An increase in fluctuations for the highest shearing states is observed with the emergence of a 10kHz coherent mode; however, overall flux is unchanged as isat/E-field crossphase nulls out radial transport. The variations of density fluctuation and radial correlation length are fit to power-law functions for comparison to simple shearing effects models.

The effect of flow and flow shear on plasma turbulence has long been studied as a mechanism for turbulence reduction and increased particle confinement in both tokamaks and linear machines. The most dramatic observed effect of cross-field flow is the creation of a higher confinement state, called an H-mode, first observed on ASDEX[2] and later on other tokamaks such as the Continuous Current Tokamak (CCT)[3, 4] and DII-D[5, 6]. While some plasma machines rely on a spontaneous flow for study[7], other machines including TEXTOR[8] and LAPD[1, 9] have developed external biasing mechanisms to produce radial electric fields which can drive controllable azimuthal flow by $E \times B$ drift[10].

Theoretical investigation into the nature of effect of sheared flow has focused mainly on its influence on turbulent fluctuations [11] and on the crossphase between electric field and an advected quantity, such as density in the case of particle flux [12, 13]. Fluctuation suppression by shear is thought to arise through the reduction in radial correlation length(i.e., shearing of turbulent eddies) while relative phasing between fluctuation electric field and density can either promote overall inward or outward motion of particles, or zero out such change on average. The simplest mode non-specific models for the effect of shearing on turbulent fluctuations predict a power law decrease[11], while crossphase can have an even stronger scaling[13].

Biasing experiments have been previously conducted on LAPD. In one, an inward pointing electric field produced by chamber biasing demonstrated that increased flow shear resulted in turbulent modification and increased particle confinement[1]. However, penetration of the electric field was low until high biases resulting in a sudden transition from initial states to confined states so

continuous control of flow was never achieved. In another, it was shown that a small biased annulus could produce sheared flows within the main column of the plasma[14]. A new biasing mechanism combines these approaches allowing for a continuous transition from a low shear edge state in the IDD—LAPD’s natural state—to a zero shear state, to a high shear state in the EDD. With this smooth control of flow shear, we can carefully observe the effect of shear on turbulent fluctuations, particle flux, and gradient length scale to a level of detail not yet previously achieved.

In this letter, we report on the observation of improved and degraded cross-field confinement as a function of sheared flow, ω_s , ranging from zero to five times a no-shear turbulent autocorrelation rate, $\Delta\omega_d$. This confinement occurs regardless of flow direction and is demonstrated through both gradient scale length variation of density profiles and by turbulent particle flux measurements. We show that radial correlation length, particle flux, and density fluctuation power all decrease with ω_s and decreases begin for $\omega_s < \Delta\omega_s$. The overall decrease in radial flux here is dominated by decreases in density fluctuations and reduction of the radial correlation length of turbulent structures for frequencies less than 10kHz . In this range, crossphase between density and azimuthal electric field fluctuations remain near zero for all shearing rates which tends to maximize outward radial turbulent transport. We do note the emergence of a coherent mode at high shear with frequencies above 10kHz that originates in the region of peak flow. Fluctuations from this mode appear to increase density fluctuations above 10kHz , but do not appear to contribute to flux as crossphase in this region is aligned to minimize any transport on average. We note that electric field fluctua-

tions appear to be much less affected by shearing changes than density. Lastly, we show fits of density fluctuations and radial correlation length to a power-law decay for comparison to theory [11].

The Large Plasma Device [15] (LAPD) is a 20m by 1m cylindrical linear device with a 54cm wide barium-oxide coated nickel cathode pulsed at 1Hz to produce a 45eV electron beam which ionizes the helium gas in the chamber. A column long plasma of density about $5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and temperature of 5eV is produced. The field for this experiment was set to 1000G. To produce the bias, four quarter annulus aluminum plates are inserted half a meter axially beyond the cathode creating an iris-like boundary condition with an aperture of 26cm between the cathode-anode surface and the main plasma chamber. A pulse power circuit connected to a capacitor bank supplies a 5ms bias during the 15ms plasma discharge. Bias ranges from a floating potential of 35V to 230V referenced from the cathode. Measurements of ion saturated current (i_{sat} —proxy for density) and floating potential are taken with a 9-tip flush surface tantalum probe while temperature and plasma potential is taken by swept Langmuir probe.

Azimuthal flow and shear are controlled by adjusting the voltage on the limiter plates. When voltages on the limiter are less than the voltage of the anode—as is the case for LAPD’s spontaneous flow state—an overall azimuthal flow occurs in the IDD as shown in Fig. 1 with velocities peaking just outside the limiter edge. When the voltage on the limiter is brought near anode potential, flow and flow shear zero out. Voltages above anode produce electron diamagnetic direction flows peaked at the limiter edge. The voltage on the power supply cannot be set below the floating potential as the plasma tends to charge the capacitor banks.

Measurements of i_{sat} and particle flux are taken for each bias flow state. Values are averaged over a range from 27 to 31cm, a region where such averaged flow and flow shear scale linearly with limiter bias and is outside the limiter edge to avoid any possible effects from primary electrons. An autocorrelation rate of $\Delta\omega_t = 28\text{kHz}$ is calculated from no-shear i_{sat} fluctuations by taking the half-width at half max of a Hilbert transform of the i_{sat} autocorrelation function.

Density gradient length scale is calculated by $L_n = |\nabla \ln n|^{-1}$ from i_{sat} radial profiles while particle flux, $\Gamma_p = \langle \tilde{n} \tilde{v}_r \rangle = \langle \tilde{n} \tilde{E}_\theta \rangle / B$, can be calculated spectrally as[16],

$$\Gamma_p = \frac{2}{B} \int_0^\infty |n(\omega)| |E_\theta(\omega)| \gamma_{n,E_\theta}(\omega) \cos[\phi_{n,E_\theta}(\omega)] d\omega \quad (1)$$

which allows for separate analysis of fluctuations, crossphase and coherency.

The first clear result is a degradation of confinement from the spontaneous state followed by improved confinement as bias is increased, as indicated by $\langle L_n \rangle$ in




FIG. 1. (a) Velocity profiles using plasma potential from swept measurements. (b) Nearly linear scaling of flow (black) and shearing (red) versus limiter bias.

Fig. 2. Beginning at 9cm with no bias, $\langle L_n \rangle$ reaching a scale length peak of about 15cm at the bias corresponding to zero shear. As bias increases, the density gradient gradually steepens saturating at about 5cm. The initial scale length value and saturated values are consistent with previous biasing experiments done on the LAPD[1], but rather than see a gradual change like here, a sharp threshold was observed. This gradual transition can be observed because the new design allows for continuous variation of flow at the plasma edge. In the previous experiment, a threshold was observed not because of an inherent dependence on a shear value, but because of lack of penetration of cross-field current—and thus flow—from the chamber edge to the plasma source until a high enough bias was reached. By placing the limiters closer to the core plasma edge edge, we can establish cross-field currents at lower bias values than before.

Given the linear relationship between limiter bias and average shear flow, we can compare $\langle L_n \rangle$ to shearing rate, ω_s , normalized to $\Delta\omega_d$ as in Fig. 3. Confinement improvement occurs continuously and gradually with ω_s and reaches a saturated state of 80% initial levels for $\omega_s \approx \Delta\omega_d$. Improved confinement does not depend on flow direction as both IDD and EDD flow points lie on the same curve.

The change in confinement can be connected to a change in the fluctuation properties of the plasma which




FIG. 2. Density gradient length scale versus limiter bias. Inset shows density profile relaxing then steepening again with bias.




FIG. 3. Gradient scale length versus shearing rate. Inset shows correlation of gradient scale length and turbulent particle flux. Note how this data is inconsistent with a Fick's Law like diffusion, which would be identified by a linearly increasing flux with gradient.

d dictate the turbulent flux. Fluctuation power in isat can be seen in the spectrum of Fig. 4. Most of the power is located in frequencies $< 10\text{kHz}$ and in this range, power decreases overall with increasing shearing rate. A decrease of about one order of magnitude is seen between the lowest shearing point and the high shear regime. Above 10kHz , power drops off considerably; however, just beyond $\omega_s = \Delta\omega_s$, a coherent mode emerges with a frequency that begins at about 10kHz and increases linearly with shearing. The power at these high shearing rates is almost entirely located within this mode.

The changes in L_n and fluctuations are indicative of an overall change in particle flux. This flux can be directly measured by correlating isat with radial flow— $E \times B$ flow—using an E_θ derived from two floating potential tips on either side of the isat measuring tip and rewritten in terms of the integral in (1). Like L_n , flux decreases with shearing rate as in Fig. 5; however, while flux decrease begin immediately with shearing, the decrease is not as fast as L_n with saturation not occurring until at least $\omega_s > 2\Delta\omega_d$. Flux decreases do not depend on flow direction either as again both IDD and EDD points fit on the same curve.

The calculated flux can be analyzed by its fluctuation and phase components separately as in Fig. 6. The top




FIG. 4. Contour plot of log isat fluctuation power versus shearing rate and frequency. Dashed lines show location of decorrelation rate.




FIG. 5. Particle flux normalized to no-shear flux as a function of normalized shearing rate. Filled symbols represent points with flow in IDD.

two plots show fluctuation power—isat and azimuthal electric field (E_θ)—as functions of normalized shearing rate, while the bottom two show crossphase and coherency between the two fluctuating quantities. As expected from the power contour plot, isat fluctuations decrease with shearing for frequencies $< 10\text{kHz}$. Concurrently, $\cos(\phi_{isat,E_\theta})$ for this bandwidth remains steady at nearly 1.0. Thus, since fluctuation power is concentrated in the low frequencies, overall flux is predominately suppressed by decreases in isat fluctuations, not crossphase. Note, however, isat fluctuations appear to increase beyond a normalized shearing of 2.0. These increases, however, are almost entirely from $> 10\text{kHz}$ contributions suggesting that they originate from the coherent mode. Comparing to Fig. 5, it is clear these fluctuations do not contribute significantly to the flux. For high frequencies $\cos(\phi_{isat,E_\theta})$ is nearly zero for $\omega_s > \Delta\omega_d$. Thus, despite




FIG. 6. Components of particle flux versus shearing rate including isat/Density fluctuation power(a), electric field fluctuation power(b), crossphase(c) and coherency(d) with black points for low frequency, red for high.




FIG. 7. Radially correlation lengths with reference probes at 28, 29, 30, 31 or 32 cm. Inset shows 2D correlation structure for IDD flow, no shear and high EDD flow. A mode pattern is seen at flow peak (26 cm).

increased fluctuations at high shear, no overall flux is observed.

As predicted by early theories on shear suppression, the effect of decreasing fluctuations is related to the shortening of a radial correlation length, Δr_c , for turbulent structures. Using a cross-correlation technique, we observe this modification of structures by azimuthal shearing as shown in Fig. 7. Δr_c is defined as the width of the contour plot at one-half its value at the reference point, represented by the black curve in the inset of Fig. 7. Like the flux and fluctuation data, the suppression begins with relatively little shearing and approaches a saturated value, though unlike flux, there appears to be a slight asymmetry in widths for IDD and EDD. This may be due to the influence of the coherent mode. In the high shearing regime shown in inset c) of Fig. 7, a mode pattern is observed in the peak EDD flow region and is distinct from the correlation structure. A similar, more diffuse mode may be present in the IDD flow region, but is more difficult to distinguish from the correlation structure thus adding to the apparent Δr_c .

Lastly, we can compare some of our results to theory. Considering the effect of shearing on eddy step size, the BDT model [11] predicts a power-law scaling of the form $(\omega_s/\Delta\omega_t)^{-\alpha}$ for \tilde{n} and Δr_c . A comparison of the power fit to the predicted exponent is made for each quantity. As seen in Fig. 6 a best fit of $\alpha = 0.530$ compares favorably to the BDT prediction of $\alpha = 2/3$. Similarly, a fit of $\alpha = 0.461$ for Δr_c in Fig. 7 compares well to the BDT prediction of $\alpha = 1/3$. A caveat: BDT theory is based on a constant density gradient while here gradients are always changing. Nevertheless, this initial agreement of data to model is promising for future comparisons.

This letter presents the first continuous variation shearing rate in a plasma device and has shown a clear effect of particle flux and density confinement through both the mechanisms of turbulent fluctuation and radial correlation length reduction.

-
- [1] T. Carter and J. Maggs, Phys. Plasmas **16**, 012304 (2009).
 - [2] F. Wagner and et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1408 (1982).
 - [3] R. Taylor, M. Brown, B. Fried, H. Grote, J. Liberati, G. Morales, P. Pribyl, D. Darrow, and M. Ono, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2365 (1989).
 - [4] G. Tynan, L. Schmitz, R. Conn, R. Doerner, and R. Lehmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3032 (1992).
 - [5] R. Groebner, K. Burrell, and R. Seraydarian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 3015 (1990).
 - [6] R. Moyer and et al., Phys. Plasmas **2**, 2397 (1995).
 - [7] G. Tynan, C. Holland, J. H. Yu, A. James, D. Nishijima, M. Shimada, and N. Taheri, Plasma Phys. Control Fusion **48**, S51 (2006).
 - [8] J. Boedo, D. Gray, S. Jachmich, R. Conn, G. Terry, G. Tynan, G. V. Oost, R. Weynants, and T. Team,

- Nucl. Fusion **40**, 7 (2000).
- [9] J. Maggs, T. Carter, and R. Taylor, Phys. Plasmas **14**, 052507 (2007).
- [10] R. Weynants and G. V. Oost, Plasma Phys. Control Fusion **35**, B177 (1993).
- [11] H. Biglari, P. Diamond, and P. W. Terry, Phys. Fluids B. **2**, 1 (1990).
- [12] A. Ware, P. Terry, P. Diamond, and B. Carreras, Plasma Phys. Control Fusion **38**, 1343 (1996).
- [13] P. Terry, D. Newman, and A. Ware, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 18 (2001).
- [14] S. Zhou, W. Heidbrink, H. Boehmer, R. McWilliams, T. Carter, S. Vincena, B. Friedman, and D. Schaffner, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 012116 (2012).
- [15] W. Gekelman, H. Pfister, Z. Lucky, J. Bamber, D. Lenneman, and J. Maggs, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **62**, 2875 (1991).
- [16] E. Powers, Nucl. Fusion **14**, 749 (1974).