Modern Salary Modeling Project

Justin Mai, Troy Russo, Isaac Muhlestein, Conan Li, Jian Kang

Contents

. Introduction		1
2. Methods		2
2.1 Data Description		2
2.2 Data Manipulation		2
2.3 Model Diagnostics		2
2.4 Model Selection		2
5. Results		3
3.1 Data Exploration		3
3.2 Modeling		3
. Conclusion	:	3

1. Introduction

Description: The job market can be a hard place to navigate, especially with the search of data roles in the recent years. As statistics students, many of us are leaning towards opportunities within data roles. To understand the recent market we will be analyzing data job logistics to investigate the factors and predictors that most impacts the salary of these roles. Within this report, we will be using Salary Index data reported by real people in the industry to (1) discover the factors and variables within the job description that may influence a person's job salary the most to help students like us navigate the market and (2) ...

Disclaimer: We have pivoted from using this dataset https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/uom190346a/ai-powered-job-market-insights which is comprised of synthetic data based off the current job market regarding AI jobs to this dataset https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/murilozangari/jobs-and-salaries-in-data-field-2024/data which consists of real survey data from various people in data roles, reporting through this website https://aijobs.net/salaries/2024/. We decided to make this change because we believe that variables such as experience_level and job_category which can be found in our current dataset would be strong predictors for salary. We also believe that using real survey data as supposed to synthetic data would give us results that are more related to real-life circumstances, making the report more applicable for all.

2. Methods

2.1 Data Description

The first dataset was collected through https://aijobs.net/salaries/2024/, it consists of 14200 different observations, with each observation representing a person in their role in 2024. The **response variable** we are measuring is salary_in_usd which measures a person's annual gross salary. The 8 predictors are experience_level, employment_type, job_title, employee_residence, work_setting, company_location, company_size, job_category. All of these variables are categorical where company_size is categorized as S for small, M for medium, and L for large.

The second dataset consists of cost of living index by country where an index of 100 represents the living cost of NYC, United States, so all the indices are relative to that. We will merge the two datasets by country. The predictors we're looking at in this dataset are Cost of Living Index, Rent Index, Cost of Living Plus Rent Index, and Local Purchasing Power Index. We believe that the cost of living could be indicative of salary_usd.

2.2 Data Manipulation

Our primary dataset will be comprised of the two datasets described in (2.1). We are joining the two datasets on employee_residence which is in form of country. Now each row will consist of the categories for the job description along with the cost indexes for each respective resident. Having all of these predictors in one dataset will allow us to utilize the lm() function to uncover linear trends for all predictor variables in response to salary. It will also allow us to compare models easily which we will do using ANOVA tests and by calculating the F-statistic. We also want to see if being in the U.S. has an impact on salary to the rest of the world, so we are creating a new binary variable called us_resident to utilize as a predictor for salary.

R-Squared: 0.375329

Adj. R-Squared: 0.3624872

P-value: 0

2.3 Model Diagnostics

. . .

2.4 Model Selection

To determine our model, we will fit multiple linear regression models and find the model that minimizes our AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) and/or BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion). If the AIC and BIC suggest different models, we will favor the model selected by lowest AIC because BIC penalizes models with a large number of observations and tends to predict less than the AIC.

3. Results			
3.1 Data Exploratio	n		
3.2 Modeling			
3.3 Model Diagnostic	s		
3.4 Model Description	ns		

4. Conclusion