思路：

1. Are the arguments even good?

No. These arguments are **always flawed in some manner**, typically because they come to conclusions based on either **faulty evidence** or **unwarranted assumptions**.

1. When I read the argument, what exactly am I trying to identify?

Most important, author’s **main conclusion**, **main assertion** or **overall prediction**.

Then, identify **evidence** and **‘facts’** the author provide in order to support the argument

Never given own opinion

1. What’s next?

找出潜在的evidence的unstated assumption

Use the use the info (evidence and “fact”) to reason the assumption

1. Start writing

Usually with formula.

结构：

Introduction:

1. Rephrase main conclusion from argument
2. Identtify author’s evidence and/or promise
3. Thesis (to actually follow the specific task instruction)

Body paragraph

1. First supporting idea (make sure to follow the specific task instruction
2. Example 1 (making sure to use ‘maybe’ language)
3. Example 2 (making sure to use ‘maybe’ language)
4. Effect on author’s conclusion if prove to be true

Conclusion

1. State the argument as it stands now, is flawed
2. Request for more evidence
3. Statement of how evidence will help evaluate the argument more effective

**In** the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, it is stated that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The <opponent> have come to this conclusion based on the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ as well as \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. However, before \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ can be properly evaluated, three questions must be answered.

**First** of all \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_question\_\_\_\_\_\_\_? In other words, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_question\_\_\_\_\_\_? It is **possible** <not the case at all>. **Pherhaps** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Further, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_case\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, with \_\_\_\_\_\_conditions/reason\_\_\_\_\_\_. If <either scenarios has merit>, the conclusion drawn in the original argument is significantly weakened.

**Secondly**, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_? <Opponents> <prematurely assume>\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

However this might not be the case. **Perhaps**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. In an ideIf the above is true, then argument does not hold water.

In conclusion, the argument, as it stands now, is considerably flawed due to its reliance on several unwarranted assumptions. If the author is able to answer the three questions above and offer more evidence (perhaps in the form of a systematic research study), then it would be possible to evaluate the viability \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.