Properties of a σ -algebra (Prop. 3.1.1)

Given $X, S \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ -algebra iff

(i)
$$S \neq \emptyset$$

(ii)
$$A \in S \implies A' = X - A \in S$$

(iii)
$$A_1, A_2, \ldots \in S \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in S$$

The σ -algebra definition implies all of

$$(3.1.1 i) A_1, \dots, A_n \in S \implies \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in S$$

(3.1.1 ii)
$$\emptyset, X \in S$$

$$(3.1.1 \text{ iii}) A_1, A_2, \ldots \in S \implies \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in S$$

$$(3.1.1 \text{ iv}) A_1, \dots, A_n \in S \implies \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \in S$$

$$(3.1.1 \text{ v}) \qquad A, B \in S \implies A - B \in S$$

If $K \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ then **K** generates **S**

$$S(K) := \bigcap \{S, \sigma - \text{algebra} : K \subset S\}$$

using that

$$S_{\iota} \in \mathcal{P}(X), \iota \in I \text{ are } \sigma\text{-algebras } \Longrightarrow \bigcap_{\iota \in I} S_{\iota} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra}$$

If $f: X \to Y$ and $S \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ -algebra then $R := \{E \in \mathcal{P}(Y) : f^{-1}(E) \in S\}$ is a σ -algebra in Y.

If $f: X \to Y$ is bijective and $S \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a σ -algebra then both

(a)
$$f(S) := \{f(A) : A \in S\}$$
 is a σ -algebra in Y

(b)
$$S(K) = S \subset \mathcal{P}(X) \implies S(f(K)) = f(S) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$$

Properties of Borel sets (Prop. 3.1.4, 3.1.5 combined)

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) := S(\text{open sets in } \mathbb{R}^n)$

(3.1.4) If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is continuous and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ then $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Additionally, if f is a bijection with a continuous f^{-1} then $f(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$(3.1.5) E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \land F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m) \implies E \times F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$$

Properties of an (abstract) measure (Prop. 3.1.6)

Function $\mu: S \to [0, \infty] \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **measure** iff

(i)
$$\mu \neq \infty$$

(ii)
$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(E_i)$$
 E_i pairwise disjoint

The measure definition implies all of

(3.1.6 i)
$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

(3.1.6 ii)
$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(E_i)$$
 E_i pairwise disjoint

(3.1.6 iii)
$$E \subset F \implies \mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$$

(3.1.6 iv)
$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \qquad E_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \dots$$

(3.1.6 v)
$$\ldots \subset E_i \subset E_{i+1} \subset \ldots \implies \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n)$$

(3.1.6 vi)
$$\ldots \supset E_i \supset E_{i+1} \subset \ldots \implies \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu\left(E_n\right)$$

Construction of the Lebesgue measure

For a "mesh size" $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{R}^n can be partitioned by a set of half-open, half-closed "cubes", i.e.

$$S^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) := \left\{ \left[\frac{\nu_{i}}{2^{k}}, \frac{\nu_{i+1}}{2^{k}} \right] \times \cdots \times \left[\frac{\nu_{i}}{2^{k}}, \frac{\nu_{i+1}}{2^{k}} \right) : \nu \in \mathbf{Z}^{n} \right\}.$$

Any open set G can be similarly partitioned $S^k(G) \coloneqq \{ \sigma \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^n) : \overline{\sigma} \subset G \}$. Define $S^k(G) \coloneqq \bigcup_{\sigma \in S^k(G)} \sigma$,

which is monotone in k (i.e. $S^k(G) \subset S^{k+1}(G)$) and allows recovery of the original set (i.e. $G = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k(G)$). Define the **prototype for the Lebesgue measure**.

$$m(G) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{kn}} \cdot \# \mathcal{S}^k(G).$$

From the prototype Lebesgue measure definition follows all of

(i)
$$G \subset H \text{ open } \implies m(G) \leq m(H)$$

(ii)
$$G, H \text{ open}, G \cap H = \emptyset \implies m(G \cap H) = m(G) + m(H)$$

(iii)
$$G \subset \mathbb{R}^n, H \subset \mathbb{R}^m \text{ open } \implies m(G \times H) = m(G) \cdot m(H)$$

(iv)
$$m((a,b)) = b - a$$

(v)
$$m((a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i)$$

$$G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$
 open $\implies m(G) = \sup \{ m(H), H \text{ open}, \overline{H} \text{ compact} \subset G \}$

Subadditivity and σ -subadditivity of the measure for open sets:

(i)
$$m(G_1 \cup G_2) \le m(G_1) + m(G_2)$$

(ii)
$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} m\left(G_{i}\right)$$

(iii)
$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m\left(G_i\right)$$

$$G \text{ open} \implies \inf_{F} \{ m(G - F) : F \text{ closed} \subset G) \} = 0$$

$$F_1, F_2 \text{ closed}, F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset \implies \exists G_1 \text{ open} \supset F_1, \exists G_2 \text{ open} \supset F_2, G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$$

CHARACTERIZATION OF LEBESGUE MEASURABLE SETS (PROP. 3.2.3, THM 3.2.1)

Obtain the **Lebesgue measure** by extending the prototype measure:

$$m^*(E) := \inf \{ m(G) : G \text{ open } \supset E \} \ \forall E \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

from which it follows that

(i)
$$E \text{ open } \implies m^*(E) = m(E)$$

(ii)
$$E \subset F \implies m^*(E) \leq m^*(F)$$

(iii)
$$m^* \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^* \left(E_i \right)$$

The following three families of sets coincide with each other

(3.2.3 a)
$$\left\{ E \subset \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{G \text{ open} \supset E} m^* (G - E) = 0 \right\}$$

(3.2.3 b)
$$\left\{ E \subset \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{G \text{ open} \supset E \supset F \text{ closed}} m \ (G - F) = 0 \right\}$$

(3.2.3 a)
$$\left\{E \subset \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{G \text{ open} \supset E} m^* (G - E) = 0\right\}$$
(3.2.3 b)
$$\left\{E \subset \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{G \text{ open} \supset E \supset F \text{ closed}} m (G - F) = 0\right\}$$
(3.2.3 c)
$$\left\{E \subset \mathbb{R}^n : \inf_{E \supset F \text{ closed}} m^* (E - F) = 0\right\}$$

and are exactly the **Lebesgue measurable sets**, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following hold:

(3.2.1 i)
$$\mathcal{L}$$
 is a σ -algebra, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(3.2.1 ii)
$$m := m^*|_{\Gamma}$$
 is a measure.

(Corollary)
$$Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n, m^*(Z) = 0 \implies Z \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Lebesgue measurable sets, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can also be characterized as

(a)
$$\{H - Z : H \text{ is } G_{\delta}\text{-type }, m^*(Z) = 0\}$$
 where $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i \text{ for } G_i \text{ open }$

(b)
$$\{J \cup Z : J \text{ is } F_{\sigma}\text{-type }, m^*(Z) = 0\}$$
 where $J = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \text{ for } F_i \text{ closed }$

(c)
$$S\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)\cup\{Z:m^*\left(Z\right)=0\}\right)$$
 generation of a σ -algebra

$$F \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}, Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, m_{n}^{*}(Z) = 0 \implies m_{m+n}^{*}(F \times Z) = 0$$

$$E_{1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), E_{2} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{m}) \implies E_{1} \times E_{2} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$$

$$m_{n+m}(E_{1} \times E_{2}) = m_{n}(E_{1}) \cdot m_{m}(E_{2})$$

Properties of Measurable (Borel) functions (Prop. 3.4.1)

 $f: \mathbb{R}^n \supset E \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is **measurable** iff both

- (a) E is measurable
- (b) $\{y < f(x)\} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : x \in E, y < f(x)\} \text{ is measurable.}$

from which it follows that

- (3.4.1 i) $E \subset \text{dom } f \text{ measurable }, f \text{ measurable } \Longrightarrow f|_E \text{ measurable}$
- (3.4.1 ii)

$$f_i: E_i \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$
 measurable, E_i pairwise disjoint $\Longrightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i: \bigcup_{i=1}^{E_i} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable

- (3.4.1 iii) f measurable, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \implies \lambda f$ measurable
- (3.4.1 iv) $f_i: E \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} \text{ measurable} \implies \sup_i f_i, \inf_i f_i, \limsup_i f_i, \liminf_i f_i \text{ measurable}$

Similar properties hold for Borel sets.

$$\operatorname{dom} f = E \operatorname{open}, f \operatorname{continuous} \implies f \operatorname{Borel} \implies f \operatorname{measurable}$$

 $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ an affine isomorphism, $f \operatorname{measurable} \iff f \circ g \operatorname{measurable}$

Property P(x) is satisfied **almost everywhere** (a.e.) in \mathbb{R}^n iff $m(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \neg P(x)\}) = 0$.

$$f_1 = f_2$$
 a.e. on $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \implies (f_1 \text{ measurable} \iff f_2 \text{ measurable})$

PROPERTIES OF LEBESGUE INTEGRAL (PROP. 3.5.1)

For f measurable, $f \ge 0$, the **Lebesgue integral** is defined as

$$\int f \, dm := m_{n+1} \, (S \, (f)) = m_{n+1} \, (\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : x \in \text{dom } f, 0 < y < f(x)\})$$

Every nonnegative measurable function is Lebesgue integrable. For f measurable with arbitrary sign, define

$$f^+(x) := \{ \max(f(x), 0) : x \in \text{dom } f, f(x) \ge 0 \}$$

$$f^{-}(x) := \{ \max(-f(x), 0) : x \in \text{dom } f, f(x) < 0 \}$$

which allows definition of the integral as

$$\int f \, dm = \int f^+ \, dm - \int f^- \, dm$$

provided that $\int f dm \neq \infty - \infty$.

For measurable, nonnegative functions the following properties hold

(3.5.1 i)
$$m(E) = 0 \implies \int_{E} \varphi \, dm = 0$$

(3.5.1 ii)
$$\varphi: E \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}, E_i \subset E \text{ pairwise disjoint } \implies \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \, dm = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} \varphi \, dm$$

(3.5.1 iii)
$$\varphi, \psi : E \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \varphi = \psi \text{ a.e. } \in E \implies \int_{E} \varphi \, dm = \int_{E} \psi \, dm$$

(3.5.1 iv)
$$c \ge 0, E \text{ measurable } \implies \int_E c \, dm = c \, m(E)$$

(3.5.1 v)
$$\varphi, \psi : E \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \varphi \le \psi \text{ a.e. } \in E \implies \int_{E} \varphi \, dm \le \int_{E} \psi \, dm$$

(3.5.1 vi)
$$\lambda \ge 0 \implies \int (\lambda \varphi) \ dm = \lambda \int \varphi \ dm$$

FATOU'S LEMMA

Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem (for non-negative functions, Thm. 3.5.2)

HÖLDER AND MINKOWSKI INEQUALITIES

Hölder Inequality: If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable, $f,g:\Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable with $\int_{\Omega} |f|^p \ dm$, $\int_{\Omega} |g|^q \ dm < \infty$ where $p,q>1:\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ then $\int_{\Omega} fg \ dm < \infty$ and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} fg \, dm \right| \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p \, dm \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g|^q \, dm \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

For $1 \le p < \infty$ define $||f||_p \coloneqq \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p \ dm\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$

Minkowski Inequality: If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ measurable and $f,g:\Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ measurable with $\|f\|_p$, $\|g\|_p < \infty$ where p > 1 then $\|f + g\|_p < \infty$ and

$$||f+g||_p \le ||f||_p + ||g||_p$$
.

Properties of open sets, properties of closed sets, properties of the operations of interior and closure (all in context of general topological spaces)

CHARACTERIZATION OF OPEN AND CLOSED SETS IN A TOPOLOGICAL SUBSPACE

CHARACTERIZATION OF (GLOBALLY) CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS (PROP. 4.3.2)

PROPERTIES OF COMPACT SETS

THE HEINE-BOREL THEOREM

THE WEIERSTRASS THEOREM

Properties of sequentially compact sets (Prop. 4.4.5)