$$\left(\underline{\underline{A}}u\right)_{k(i,j)} = \frac{1}{h^2} \left\{ 4u_{k(i,j)} - \underbrace{u_{k(i+1,j)}}_{i < m} - \underbrace{u_{k(i-1,j)}}_{i > 1} - \underbrace{u_{k(i,j+1)}}_{j < m} - \underbrace{u_{k(i,j-1)}}_{j > 1} \right\} = f_{k(i,j)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{h^2}}_{i = m} \left\{ \underbrace{g_{k(m+1,j)}}_{i = m} + \underbrace{g_{k(0,j)}}_{i = 0} + \underbrace{g_{k(i,m+1)}}_{j = m+1} + \underbrace{g_{k(i,0)}}_{j = 0} \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} A_{k(i,j),k(i',j')} &= \begin{cases} \frac{4}{h^2} & \text{if } k = k' \\ -\frac{1}{h^2} & \text{if } (i = i' \pm 1\,, j = j') \text{ or } (i = i'\,, j = j' \pm 1) \end{cases} \\ \lambda^{\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{4}{h^2} \left\{ \sin^2 \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2(m+1)} \right) + \sin^2 \left(\frac{\beta \pi}{2(m+1)} \right) \right\} \\ \mathbf{v}_{k(i,j)}^{\alpha,\beta} &= \sin \left(\frac{\alpha \pi i}{m+1} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\beta \pi j}{m+1} \right) \,, \quad 1 \leq \alpha\,, \beta \leq m \\ \lambda_{\alpha,\beta} &= (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \pi^2 \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{v}_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) = \sin(\alpha \pi x)\sin(\beta \pi y)$

Theorem If $u \in C^4(\bar{\Omega})$ then $\exists C > 0$ (independent of h) such that, for h sufficiently small,

$$||u_{i,j} - u(x_i, y_j)|| \le ||\underline{\underline{A}}^{-1}|| ||\delta_h|| = C_u h^2$$

Problem Statement Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\underline{a}: \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $b: \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\{c, f, \tilde{g}_d, \tilde{g}_N\}: \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ Find $u: \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\begin{split} -\nabla\cdot(\underline{\underline{a}}\nabla u + bu) + cu &= f \;, \quad \Omega \\ u &= g_d \;, \quad \Gamma_D \\ -(a\nabla u + bu) \cdot \nu &= g_N \;, \quad \Gamma_N \end{split}$$

Galerkin Method Find $u_h \in V_h + g$ s.t.

$$a(u_h, v) = f(v), \quad \forall v \in V_h$$

Ritz Method $J(v) = \frac{1}{2}a(v,v) - f(v)$ Find $u_h \in V_h + g$ s.t.

$$J(u_h - g) < J(v), \quad \forall v \in V_h, \quad v \neq u_h - g$$

Continuous (bounded)

$$\exists \eta^* > 0 \text{ s.t. } |a(u,v)| \le \eta^* \|u\|_H \|v\|_H , \forall u,v \in H$$

Coercive

$$\exists \eta_* > 0 \text{ s.t. } a(u,u) \geq \eta_* \|u\|_H^2, \forall u \in H$$

Energy Norm If a(u, v) is bilinear, continuous, coercive, and symmetric it defines a norm

$$||u||_E = \sqrt{a(u, u)}$$

$$\sqrt{\eta_*} \|u\|_H \le \|u\|_E \le \sqrt{\eta^*} \|u\|_H$$

 $\frac{\text{Lax-Milgram}}{\text{and }F:H\to\mathbb{R}}\text{ If H is Hilbert, }a:H\times H\to\mathbb{R}\text{ is bilinear, bounded, coercive }\text{and }F:H\to\mathbb{R}\text{ is linear, bounded, then }\exists!w\in H\text{ s.t.}$

$$a(w,v) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in H$$

Poincarè Inequality If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded then $\exists C(\Omega), C'(\Omega) > 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{split} \left\|v\right\|_{L_2} & \leq C(\Omega) \left\|\nabla v\right\|_{L_2} \;, \quad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega) \\ \left\|v\right\|_{H^1} & \leq C'(\Omega) \left\|\nabla v\right\|_{L_2} \;, \quad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega) \end{split}$$

BVP Solvability Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded with Lipshitz boundary, $\overline{\Gamma_D} = \Gamma$, $\tilde{g}_D \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Consider the problem of finding $u = \tilde{u} + \tilde{h}_d$, $\tilde{u} \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\left(\underline{\underline{a}}\nabla u, \nabla v\right) + (bu, \nabla v) + (cu, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

or

$$\underbrace{\left(\underline{\underline{a}}\nabla\tilde{u}\,,\nabla v\right)+\left(b\tilde{u}\,,\nabla v\right)+\left(c\tilde{u}\,,v\right)}_{g\left(\tilde{u}\,,v\right)}=\underbrace{\left\langle f\,,v\right\rangle-a(\tilde{g}_{D},v)}_{F\left(v\right)}$$

- 1. If $\underline{\underline{a}} \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)^{d \times d}$, $b \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)^d$ and $c \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$ then $a(\tilde{u}, v)$ and F(v) are bounded.
- 2. Additionally, if \underline{a} is symmetric and uniformly positive definite, $c \geq 0$ and $\|b\|_{\infty} < \frac{a_*}{C(\Omega)}$ then $a(\tilde{u}, v)$ is coercive.

3. Additionally, if $b\equiv 0$, then $a(\tilde{u},v)$ is symmetric and there is an energy $J(\cdot)$, inner product $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ and norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ associated with the problem

By Lax-Milgram: 1. + 2. \Rightarrow \exists ! solution $u=\tilde{u}+\tilde{g}_D$ 1. + 2. + 3. \Rightarrow \tilde{u} is a strict minimum of J Proof of 1.

$$\begin{split} |a(\tilde{u},v)| &\leq \left| (\underline{\underline{a}} \nabla \tilde{u}, \nabla v) \right| + |(b\tilde{u}, \nabla v)| + |(c\tilde{u},v)| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \underline{\underline{a}} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot v \right| \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Omega} |b\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v| \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Omega} |c\tilde{u}v| \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \underline{\underline{a}} \nabla \tilde{u} \right| |\nabla v| \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Omega} |b\tilde{u}| \, |\nabla v| \, \mathrm{d}\Omega + \int_{\Omega} |c\tilde{u}| \, |v| \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \\ &\leq ||\underline{\underline{a}}||_{\infty} \left(|\nabla \tilde{u}| \ , |\nabla v| \right) + ||b||_{\infty} \left(|\tilde{u}| \ , |\nabla v| \right) + ||c||_{\infty} \left(|\tilde{u}| \ , |v| \right) \\ &\leq ||\underline{\underline{a}}||_{\infty} \, ||\nabla \tilde{u}||_{L_{2}} \, ||\nabla v||_{L_{2}} + ||b||_{\infty} \, ||\tilde{u}| \, ||\nabla v|| + ||c||_{\infty} \, ||\tilde{u}| \, ||v|| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left(||\underline{\underline{a}}||_{\infty} + ||b||_{\infty} + ||c||_{\infty} \right)}_{\eta^{*} > 0} ||\tilde{u}||_{H^{1}} \, ||v||_{H^{1}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} |F(v)| & \leq |\langle f, v \rangle| + |a(\tilde{g}, v)| \\ & \leq C \, \|v\|_{H^1} + \eta^* \, \|\tilde{g}_D\|_{H^1} \, \|v\|_{H^1} \, , \qquad f \in H^{-1} \\ & \leq \hat{C} \, \|v\|_{H^1} \end{split}$$

Proof of 2.

$$\begin{split} a(v,v) &\geq (\underline{\underline{a}} \nabla v, \nabla v) + (bv, \nabla v) + (cv,v) \\ &\geq \underline{\underline{a}}_* \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{L_2}^2 - \left| (bv, \nabla v) \right| + (cv,v) \,, \; \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &\geq \underline{\underline{a}}_* \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{L_2}^2 - \left\| b \right\|_{\infty} \left\| v \right\|_{L_2} \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{L_2} \,, \; \text{Poincare} \\ &\geq \underline{\underline{a}}_* \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{L_2}^2 - \left\| b \right\|_{\infty} C(\Omega) \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{L_2} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\underline{\underline{a}}_*}{C(\Omega} - \left\| b \right\|_{\infty} \right) C(\Omega) \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{L_2} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\underline{\underline{a}}_*}{C(\Omega} - \left\| b \right\|_{\infty} \right) \frac{C(\Omega)}{(C'(\Omega))^2} \left\| v \right\|_{H^1} \\ &\geq \eta_* \left\| v \right\|_{H^1}^2 \,, \quad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega) \end{split}$$

Stability Choose $v = u_h - g \in V_h$

$$\begin{split} a(u_h, u_h - g) &= f(u_h - g) \\ a(u_h - g, u_h - g) &= f(u_h - g) - a(g, u_h - g) \\ a_* &\| u_h - g \|_V^2 \le \| f \|_V \, \| u_h - g \|_V + a^* \, \| g \|_V \, \| u_h - g \|_V \\ &\| u_h - g \|_V \le \frac{\| f \|_V + a^* \, \| g \|_V}{a_*} \end{split}$$

Error Estimation

$$\begin{split} a(u-u_h,v) &= 0 \,, \quad \forall v \in V_h \\ \text{Take } v \mapsto u - u_h - (u-v) \,, \quad v \in V_h \\ a(u-u_h,u-u_h) &= a(u-u_h,u-v) \,, \quad \forall v \in V_h \\ a_* \, \|u-u_h\|_V^2 &\leq a^* \, \|u-u_h\|_V \, \|u-v\|_V \,\,, \quad \forall v \in V_h \\ \|u-u_h\|_V &\leq \frac{a^*}{a_v} \, \|u-v\|_V \,\,, \quad \forall v \in V_h \end{split}$$

Cea's Lemma

$$\|u - u_h\|_V \le \left(\frac{a^*}{a_*}\right) \inf_{v \in V_h} \|u - v\|_V$$

Galerkin Orthogonality

$$a(u - u_h, v) = 0$$
, $\forall v \in V_h$

Theorem

$$||u - u_h||_a = \inf_{v \in V_h} ||u - v||_a$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_a^2 &= a(u - u_h, u - u_h) \\ &= a(u - u_h, u - v) + \underbrace{a(u - u_h, v - u_h)}_{a} \\ &\leq \|u - u_h\|_a \|u - v\|_a \end{aligned}$$

Ciarlet FEM Definition Let

- 1. $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ domain with piecewise smooth boundary
- 2. \mathcal{P} is a finite dimensional vector space of functions on E (shape functions)
- 3. $\mathcal{N} = \{N_1, \dots, N_k\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{P}' , a set of linear functionals on \mathcal{P} (nodal variables or DOFs)

Then $(E, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ is a finite element

Definition Let $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k\}$ be a basis for \mathcal{P} dual to \mathcal{N} , $(N_i(\phi_j) = \delta_{ij})$ Unisolvence If $\dim \mathcal{P} = k$ and $\{N_1, \ldots, N_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}'$

 $\overline{\{N_1,\ldots,N_k\}}$ is a basis iff

 $N_i(v) = 0$, $\forall i$, then v = 0

Peano Kernel Theorem If L is a continuous linear functional on $C^{k+1}(a,b)$ s.t. L(p)=0, $\forall p\in\mathbb{P}$. Then

$$L(f) = \int_{a}^{b} f^{(k+1)}(\xi)K(\xi)d\xi$$
$$K(\xi) = \frac{1}{k!}L\left((\cdot - \xi)_{+}^{k}\right)$$

Sobolev Spaces

$$W^{m,r(\Omega)} = \{ f : D^{\alpha} f \in L^r(\Omega), \forall \alpha \le m \}$$

Norm and Semi-norm

$$||f||_{m,r,\Omega} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} ||D^{\alpha}f||_{0,r,\Omega}^r\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$
$$|f|_{m,r,\Omega} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||D^{\alpha}f||_{0,r,\Omega}^r\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

Theorem If E is connected with Lipshitz boundary, then \exists constant $\overline{C=C(E)}$ s.t. $\forall v\in W^{k+1,r}(E)$

$$\inf_{p\in\mathbb{P}_k(E)}\left\|v-p\right\|_{k+1,r,E}\leq C(E)\left|v\right|_{k+1,r,E}$$

Theorem $F: \hat{E} \to E$ affine, $F(\hat{x}) = A\hat{x} + b$

$$\hat{v}(\hat{x}) = v(F(\hat{x})) \in W^{m,r}(\hat{E})$$
$$v(x) = \hat{v}(F^{-1}(x))$$

$$\exists C = C(m,d) \text{ s.t. } \forall v \in W^{m,r}(E)$$

$$\begin{split} &|\hat{v}|_{m,r,\hat{E}} \leq C \left\| \underline{\underline{A}} \right\|^m \left| \det \underline{\underline{A}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{r}} |v|_{m,r,E} \\ &|v|_{m,r,E} \leq C \left\| \underline{\underline{A}}^{-1} \right\|^m \left| \det \underline{\underline{A}} \right|^{\frac{1}{r}} |\hat{v}|_{m,r,\hat{E}} \end{split}$$

Theorem

$$\inf_{\hat{p} \in \mathbb{P} + k} \|\hat{v} - \hat{p}\|_{k+1, r, \hat{E}} \le C(\hat{E}) |\hat{v}|_{k+1, r, E}$$

Theorem

$$||A|| \le \frac{h}{\hat{\rho}}$$

$$||A^{-1}|| \le \frac{\hat{h}}{\rho}$$

$$\det A = \frac{|E|}{|\hat{E}|}$$

Corollary $\forall v \in W^{k+1,p}(E), m \leq k+1$

$$\inf_{p\in\mathbb{P}_k}|v-p|_{m,r,E}\leq C(\hat{E})\frac{h^{k+1}}{\rho^m}\left|v\right|_{k+1,r,E}$$

Proof

$$\begin{split} \inf_{p \in \mathbb{P}_k} |v - p|_{m,r,E} &\leq \left(\inf_{\hat{p} \in \mathbb{P}_k} (\hat{v} - \hat{p})_{m,r,\hat{E}}\right) \left\|A^{-1}\right\|^m |\det A|^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq C(\hat{E}) \left\|A^{-1}\right\|^m |\det A|^{\frac{1}{r}} |\hat{v}|_{k+1,r,\hat{E}} \\ &\leq C(\hat{E}) \left\|A^{-1}\right\|^m \|A\|^{k+1} |\hat{v}|_{k+1,r,E} \\ &\leq C(\hat{E}) \frac{\hat{h}^m h^{k+1}}{\rho^m \hat{\rho}^{k+1}} |\hat{v}|_{k+1,r,E} \end{split}$$

Corollary If the mesh is quasi-regular ($\rho \ge \alpha h$ for some $\alpha > 0$) then

$$\inf_{p \in \mathbb{P}_{k}} (E) |v - p|_{m,r,E} \le C(\hat{E}, \alpha) h^{k+1-m} |v|_{k+1,r,E}$$