Name: Le Trung Hieu Student's ID: 1852365

Exercise 1: Posix thread

Matrix 10x10

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./a.out 10
Yup, we're good

real 0m0.005s
user 0m0.000s
sys 0m0.009s

Matrix 100x100

[[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./a.out 100
Yup, we're good

real 0m0.004s user 0m0.011s sys 0m0.004s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

Matrix 1000x1000

[[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./a.out 1000
Yup, we're good

real 0m0.485s user 0m10.557s sys 0m0.011s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

Matrix 10000x10000

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./a.out 10000 Yup, we're good

real 23m55.433s user 440m3.118s sys 0m1.542s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

OpenMP

Matrix 10x10

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./matrix 100 Carrying out matrix-matrix multiplication Checking the results...
Yup, we're good!

Computing time: 0.060831

real 0m0.352s user 0m0.202s sys 0m0.003s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

Matrix 100x100

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./matrix 1000 Carrying out matrix-matrix multiplication Checking the results...
Yup, we're good!
Computing time: 2.021079

real 0m2.182s user 0m18.164s sys 0m0.014s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

Matrix 1000x1000

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./matrix 10 Carrying out matrix-matrix multiplication Checking the results...
Yup, we're good!

Computing time: 0.067494

real 0m0.082s user 0m0.184s sys 0m0.003s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

Matrix 10000x10000

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$ time ./matrix 10000 Carrying out matrix-matrix multiplication Checking the results... Yup, we're good! Computing time: 1413.865642

real 23m39.310s user 477m9.413s sys 0m1.786s

[student@cc02ip95 lab1]\$

Table:

	Posix thread	OpenMP	
Matrix 10x10	0m0.005s	0m0.352s	
Matrix 100x100	0m0.004s	0m2.182s	
Matrix 1000x1000	0m0.485s	0m0.082s	
Matrix 10000x10000	23m55.433s	23m39.310s	

Conclude: The Posix thread is faster than the OpenMP for the small size of matrix. When the size of matrix is bigger, the OpenMP method seems to behave better.

Exercise 2:

For 10000000000000 samples, the program still can run very fast but the result seems to be not improved when increase the number of samples. It may because the random data I have created is not good.