



Object Oriented Programming

Hurdle Task 1: Semester Test

Overview

Note: This hurdle task is a time-bound test. You have a 48 hour window during week 8 to complete it.

- **If you receive a Complete grade**, you have passed the hurdle and can include the test as evidence of that in your portfolio.
- **If you receive a Fix grade**, you must correct all issues and get your test signed off as Complete to meet the hurdle requirements. If you do not get it marked as Complete during the teaching period, you must include a copy of your corrections in your portfolio to demonstrate that you have addressed the issues raised. Failure to do this will result in an overall fail grade for the unit.
- **If you receive a Redo grade**, you must pass a re-sit test that will occur in week 12 in order to meet the hurdle requirements.

In this unit, you have been using object-oriented programming to implement all of your programs. For this task, you will need to show your understanding of the OO principles.

- Purpose:** Demonstrate your understanding of object-oriented programming and the core concepts of object-oriented design.
- Task:** You must complete two tasks. The first is a coding task, to be submitted as C# source code files, a UML diagram, and a screenshot showing your program's output. The second task asks for a written response, to be submitted as a PDF.
- Time:** This task should be completed during week 8 — see Canvas for the assignment window.

Submission Details


You must submit the following files, formatted using formatmytask.com:

- For Task 1:
 - C# code files of the classes created
 - An image file showing your modified design as a UML class diagram
 - A screenshot of the program output
- For Task 2:
 - A PDF document with your answer

Make sure that you submit code that is readable and is appropriately documented.

Task 1

Consider the following program design:




DataAnalyser is a class that can print a summary of a list of numbers. Those numbers can be initialised through the constructor with a parameter, or alternatively be added using the Add-Number method after object initialisation.

Currently there are two ways of summarising the data. These two ways are implemented in the AverageSummary and MinMaxSummary classes. As their names suggest, AverageSummary calculates the average value of the list, and MinMaxSummary prints out the minimum and maximum values of the list.

At the moment, the DataAnalyser can be asked to summarise its list of numbers with a call to its Summarise method. This method accepts a single parameter, which indicates which summary method should be used. If the parameter's value is "average", it should use the average summary. If the value is "minmax", it should use the minmax summary. The casing of the value should not matter (e.g., passing in the value of "aVeraGE" should result in the same behaviour as passing in the value "average").

Your task is to redesign this program to better follow the principles of object-oriented programming, focusing on the concept of **polymorphism**. To do this, you should restructure the program to use an **abstract SummaryStrategy class**. This class should adhere to the following UML design:



To implement this new class and integrate it with our existing design, the following changes need to be made:

1. Implement the **SummaryStrategy** abstract class according to the above design.
2. Redesign and implement the **AverageSummary** and **MinMaxSummary** classes to be child classes of the new SummaryStrategy class.
3. Modify **DataAnalyser** to have a private variable, “**_strategy**”, that is of the type SummaryStrategy.
4. Add a public property for this new private variable.
5. Modify the **DataAnalyser** constructors to:
 - a) allow the strategy to be set through a parameter
 - b) by default (i.e., if there are no parameters), set the strategy to the average strategy.
6. Modify DataAnalyser’s **Summarise** method to use the currently stored strategy instead of relying on a string parameter.
7. Write a simple **Main** method to demonstrate how your new design works:
 - a) Create a DataAnalyser object with a list containing the individual digits of your student ID (so if your student ID was 12345, the list would contain the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5), and the **minmax** summary strategy.
 - b) Call the **Summarise** method.
 - c) Add three more numbers to the data analyser.
 - d) Set the summary strategy to the **average** strategy.
 - e) Call the **Summarise** method.

Tip: Subclasses need to adhere to the requirements of the parent, but they can still add their own additional functionality. Consider how the code can be broken up so that the methods in your classes have just one purpose and no side effects.

You are required to:

- a) Provide a new UML class diagram for your updated design (hand drawn is fine).
- b) Write the code for **all classes**, including the SummaryStrategy abstract class, and all methods/fields/constructors required.
- c) Write the average, minimum, and maximum summary algorithms. Your answer should use a loop, and must not use the helper methods sum, min, max, or sort.
- d) Write a simple **Main** method as described above.

Task 2

1. Describe the principle of **polymorphism** and how it was used in Task 1.

Tip: Do not get distracted by “ad hoc” or “parametric” polymorphism, which are not specific to object oriented programming.

2. Using an example, explain the principle of **abstraction**. In your answer, refer to how classes in OO programs are designed.

Tip: In object-oriented programming we have talked about the concepts of **abstraction** and **abstract classes**. Remember that they are different, and we are asking you to explain the first one!

3. What was the issue with the original design in Task 1? Consider what would happen if we had 50 different summary approaches to choose from instead of just 2.

Note: Write your answer **in your own words**. You can use as many reference materials as you like, but you must not directly copy text from anywhere.

Assessment Criteria

Outcome	Requirements
Pass	All parts of the submission are correct.
Fix and Resubmit	The submission clearly demonstrates that the author understands the key OO concepts and how to apply them in code, but there are some issues.
Redo	<p>The submission does not clearly demonstrate that the author understands the key OO concepts and how to apply them in code. There are likely clear mismatches between the provided design and the code submitted, and one or more of the written answers are incorrect. UML may not match the code submitted.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The submission was not in the correct format.</p>