

HW3

Part 1: Understanding the Evaluation Metric

1. What exactly is this RMSLE error? (write the mathematical definition).

RMSLE (Root Mean Squared Logarithmic Error) computes the error between the predicted and true values using their logarithms.

$$RMSLE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\log(y_i + 1) - \log(\hat{y}_i + 1))^2}$$

2. What's the difference between RMSLE and RMSE?

RMSLE focuses on relative difference (how much bigger or smaller the prediction is compared to the actual value) while RMSE focuses on the absolute difference between the predicted and actual prices.

3. Why does this contest adopt RMSLE rather than RMSE?



RMSLE focuses more on smaller errors and doesn't let very large house prices dominate the score. For example, if the prediction for a cheap house is way off, it matters more than being slightly off for an expensive house making the scoring fairer across all price ranges

4. One of our TAs got an RMSLE score of 0.11 and was ranked 28 in Spring 2018. What does this 0.11 mean intuitively, in terms of housing price prediction error?

A score of 0.11 means the predictions are about 11% off on average in terms of the logarithmic scale. The predictions are pretty close to the actual values

5. What are your RMSLE error and ranking if you just submit `sample_submission.csv`?

My RMSLE error is 0.40613 and my ranking is 5289.

5289	OSU-24-11582		0.40613	1	1m
 Your First Entry! Welcome to the leaderboard!					

6. Why do you need to work in the log output space?

When working in log space, we train the model to predict $\log(\text{price})$ instead of price. Logarithms shrink the scale of numbers, making predictions more stable and less influenced by very large or small prices.

Part 2: Naïve Data Processing: Binarizing All Fields

1. How many features do you get?

I got 7226 features after binarization

2. How many features are there for each field?

Features per field:			
Field	Features		
MSSubClass	15	BsmtFinSF1	601
MSZoning	5	BsmtFinType2	7
LotFrontage	108	BsmtFinSF2	131
LotArea	989	BsmtUnfSF	730
Street	2	TotalBsmtSF	686
Alley	3	Heating	6
LotShape	4	HeatingQC	4
LandContour	4	CentralAir	2
Utilities	2	Electrical	6
LotConfig	5	1stFlrSF	721
LandSlope	3	2ndFlrSF	390
Neighborhood	25	LowQualFinSF	21
Condition1	9	GrLivArea	810
Condition2	8	BsmtFullBath	4
BldgType	5	BsmtHalfBath	3
HouseStyle	8	FullBath	4
OverallQual	10	HalfBath	3
OverallCond	9	BedroomAbvGr	8
YearBuilt	110	KitchenAbvGr	4
YearRemodAdd	61	KitchenQual	4
RoofStyle	6	TotRmsAbvGrd	12
RoofMatl	8	Functional	7
Exterior1st	15	Fireplaces	4
Exterior2nd	16	FireplaceQu	6
MasVnrType	4	GarageType	7
MasVnrArea	305	GarageYrBlt	97
ExterQual	4	GarageFinish	4
ExterCond	5	GarageCars	5
Foundation	6	GarageArea	422
BsmtQual	5	GarageQual	6
BsmtCond	5	GarageCond	6
BsmtExposure	5	PavedDrive	3
BsmtFinType1	7	WoodDeckSF	253
		OpenPorchSF	193
		EnclosedPorch	116
		3SsnPorch	17
		ScreenPorch	72
		PoolArea	8
		PoolQC	4
		Fence	5
		MiscFeature	5
		MiscVal	21
		MoSold	12
		YrSold	5
		SaleType	9
		SaleCondition	6
		Total	7226

3. Train linear regression using `sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression` or `np.polyfit` on `my_train.csv` and test on `my_dev.csv`. What's your root mean squared log error (RMSLE) on dev? (Hint: should be ~0.152).

My RMSLE on dev is 0.15204486336818437.

4. What are your top 10 most positive and top 10 most negative features? Do they make sense?

Top 10 Positive Features:


FullBath_3: 0.1391803101172374
 OverallQual_9: 0.13822104913354893
 Neighborhood_StoneBr: 0.12485454803598492
 2ndFlrSF_472: 0.11303556417848983
 OverallQual_8: 0.10846032143079476
 RoofMatl_WdShngl: 0.09353808451727999
 GrLivArea_1192: 0.09202309588032372
 Neighborhood_NoRidge: 0.08903533688780349
 LotArea_8029: 0.0861051097519646
 GarageCars_3: 0.08566089859805856


Top 10 Negative Features:

YearRemodAdd_1958: -0.08723416085801855
 OverallQual_1: -0.0895517309352441
 GarageCars_1: -0.09386822180224487
 OverallCond_3: -0.10118895825875515
 BsmtFinSF2_311: -0.10765241191128676
 LotArea_8281: -0.10811563641389013
 OverallQual_3: -0.11705702585843902
 EnclosedPorch_236: -0.12269207774472377
 GrLivArea_968: -0.12702549636299457
 MSZoning_C (all): -0.18380761875307466

Yes, they make sense since most of these features associate with the house prices. Positive features point to higher quality, location, or size, while negative features highlight poorer quality, smaller spaces, or less desirable conditions

5. **Do you need to add the bias dimension (i.e., augmented space) explicitly like in HW2, or does your regression tool automatically handle it for you? Hint: `coef_` and `intercept_`. What's your feature weight for the bias dimension? Does it make sense?**
No, we do not need to add the bias dimension explicitly since the regression model automatically accounts for the bias term. The bias term (intercept) of 12.1546 means that the baseline predicted house price is approximately \$189,000 before accounting for any features. It makes sense because it is close to the mean price (182159.0487062405).
6. **What's the intuitive meaning (in terms of housing price) of this bias feature weight?**
The bias term acts as the foundation for the model's predictions. It reflects the base price of a house in the dataset, assuming all features are zero.
7. **Now predict on `test.csv`, and submit your predictions to the kaggle server. What's your score (RMSLE, should be around 0.16) and ranking?**

4550	OSU-24-11582		0.19396	4	5m
------	--------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	---	----



Your Best Entry!
Your most recent submission scored 0.19396, which is the same as your previous score. Keep trying!

Part 3: Smarter binarization: Only binarizing categorical features

1. **What are the drawbacks of naive binarization? (Hint: data sparseness, etc.)**
The naïve binarization increases the dimensionality of the data making the model more complicated. It also causes loss of information (natural representation of numerical values) because all values are now treated the same.
2. **Now binarize only the categorical features, and keep the numerical features as is. What about the mixed features such as `LotFrontage` and `GarageYrBlt`?**
Mixed fields such as `LotFrontage` and `GarageYrBlt` will be treated as numerical unless they have missing or non-numerical values. Missing numerical values (`LotFrontage`) are imputed using the mean via the `SimpleImputer`.
3. **Redo the following questions from the naive binarization section. (Hint: the new dev error should be around 0.14, which is much better than naive binarization)**
 - (a) **How many features are there in total?**
There are 286 features in total.
 - (b) **What's the new dev error rate (RMSLE)?**
The new RMSLE is 0.12394186786345536
 - (c) **What are the top 10 most positive and top 10 most negative features? Are they different from the previous section?**

Top 10 Positive Features:


	Feature	Coefficient
126	RoofMatl_Membran	0.639415
127	RoofMatl_Metal	0.491167
100	Condition2_PosA	0.441535
123	RoofStyle_Shed	0.345296
128	RoofMatl_Roll	0.307944
131	RoofMatl_WdShngl	0.303081
247	GarageQual_Ex	0.280938
129	RoofMatl_Tar&Grv	0.276326
125	RoofMatl_CompShg	0.253371
267	MiscFeature_Gar2	0.230099


Top 10 Negative Features:

	Feature	Coefficient
124	RoofMatl_ClyTile	-2.473988
101	Condition2_PosN	-0.731932
102	Condition2_RRAe	-0.499126
36	MSZoning_C (all)	-0.328501
252	GarageCond_Ex	-0.247543
231	Functional_Sev	-0.208653
227	Functional_Maj2	-0.205660
134	Exterior1st_BrkComm	-0.196562
270	MiscFeature_TenC	-0.174267
208	Heating_Grav	-0.167343

The top features differ because naive binarization binarized numerical data, emphasizing specific values like FullBath_3 and OverallQual_9, while smarter binarization preserves numerical trends, allowing categorical features like RoofMatl_Membran and RoofMatl_Metal to stand out.

(d) Now predict on test.csv, and submit your predictions to the kaggle server. What's your score and ranking?

3528
OSU-24-11582

0.15352
8
11h


Your Best Entry!
Your most recent submission scored 0.15352, which is the same as your previous score. Keep trying!

Part 4: Experimentation


- Try regularized linear regression (sklearn.linear_model.Ridge). Tune α on dev.**
Should improve both naive and smart binarization by a little bit
It did improve a bit. My public score now is 0.13378
- Try non-linear regression (sklearn.preprocessing.PolynomialFeatures)**
It was slower and my public score now is 0.17627.
- How are these non-linear features (including feature combinations) relate to non-linear features in the perceptron? (think of XOR)**
Polynomial features capture non-linear relationships by creating new features ($x_1 * x_2$, etc.), which help models understand complex patterns. This is similar to how a perceptron struggles with the XOR problem because it's not linearly separable. Adding non-linear features, like $x_1 * x_2$, makes XOR separable in a higher-dimensional space.


Polynomial features in regression work like this, allowing linear models to handle non-linear problems, just as multi-layer perceptrons do with non-linear activations.

4. **Try anything else that you can think of. You can also find inspirations online, but you have to implement everything yourself**

I tried feature engineering and was able to improve the error a little bit. My RMSLE is 0.11953790845602928

5. **What's your best dev error, and what's your best test error and ranking? Take a screen shot of your best test error and ranking, and include your best submission file**

671	OSU-24-11582		0.12455	19	19m
-----	--------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	----	-----



Your Best Entry!

Your most recent submission scored 0.12455, which is an improvement of your previous score of 0.13375. Great job!

[Tweet this](#)

My best RMSLE is 0.11953790845602928. My public score is 0.12455 and ranking is 671.

Debriefing:

1. **Approximately how many hours did you spend on this assignment?**
I spent about 9 hours on this assignment.
2. **Would you rate it as easy, moderate, or difficult?**
I would rate it as somewhat difficult.
3. **Did you work on it mostly alone, or mostly with other people?**
I worked on it with a friend this time.
4. **How deeply do you feel you understand the material it covers (0%–100%)?**
I feel like I understand the material about 85%
5. **Any other comments?**
I don't have any comments.