

Table S1. Comparison of improved UniSO-N with MLP and with in-context Transformer neural processes (TNP; [Nguyen & Grover, 2022](#)) on unconstrained tasks from Design-Bench and SOO-Bench, where the better one is **Bold**.  $\mathcal{D}(\text{best})$  denotes the best score in the offline dataset. Note that offline BBO differs from online BBO as it evaluates solutions in a single batch rather than iteratively. Therefore, following [Nguyen et al. \(2024\)](#), we first maximize the UCB acquisition function on trained TNP through EA to obtain final candidates.

Task	$\mathcal{D}(\text{best})$	UniSO-N + MLP	UniSO-N + TNP
Ant	165.326	<b>269.691 <math>\pm</math> 77.425</b>	110.143 $\pm$ 229.571
D’Kitty	<b>199.363</b>	173.911 $\pm$ 46.662	-226.316 $\pm$ 317.276
Superconductor	<b>74.000</b>	67.333 $\pm$ 10.838	58.653 $\pm$ 20.171
TF Bind 8	0.439	<b>0.833 <math>\pm</math> 0.005</b>	0.638 $\pm$ 0.000
TF Bind 10	0.005	<b>0.959 <math>\pm</math> 0.115</b>	0.674 $\pm$ 0.000
GTOPX 2	-195.586	<b>-124.995 <math>\pm</math> 56.170</b>	-183.413 $\pm$ 81.862
GTOPX 3	-151.190	<b>-62.622 <math>\pm</math> 22.261</b>	-180.053 $\pm$ 93.678
GTOPX 4	-215.716	<b>-110.284 <math>\pm</math> 17.559</b>	-130.988 $\pm$ 38.336
GTOPX 6	-112.599	<b>-57.435 <math>\pm</math> 18.832</b>	-108.859 $\pm$ 25.493
Avg. Rank	/	<b>1.000 <math>\pm</math> 0.000</b>	2.000 $\pm$ 0.000