

Part 2: Vibration analysis

Candidate numbers

October 25, 2013

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

With the displacement of spatial points in x_1 - and x_2 -direction represented as

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

the strain on each point is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

as many notes on linear elasticity will let you know.¹

The connection between the strain ϵ and the stress σ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{1 - \nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{22} \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where E is the Young's modulus and ν is the Poisson's ratio. Young's modulus characterises the solid's stiffness, i.e. large E means that you need a large force to deform

¹Note however that this is the form usually called engineer strain.

the solid. Poisson's ratio is the ratio between the strains in x_1 - and x_2 -direction when submitting the solid to a stress in only one of the directions. To clarify: Consider a stress in only the x_1 -direction direction², then $\nu > 0$ will say that the solid contracts in the x_2 -direction and elongates in the x_1 -direction. Worth noting is that $\nu < 0$ is possible, and some materials actually have this property. Weird. During the course of this analysis we will let E and ν completely describe a solid's properties. No stress or strain due to difference in temperature.

THE EQUATION AND A VARIATIONAL FORMULATION

In continuum mechanics

$$\rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}} = \nabla \sigma(\mathbf{u}) \quad (1)$$

describes the spatial displacement due to internal stresses alone. It is the extension of Hook's law and Newton's second law into a continuous medium. So rather than total mass we look at mass density, here denoted ρ .

To eventually arrive at variational formulation of 1 we should spend some time with the right hand side of this equation to better understand it. For that reason we will take a slight detour and start our journey by considering the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \sigma(\mathbf{u}) &= -\mathbf{f} \\ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix} &= -[f_1, f_2] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

working on some domain Ω , together with some boundary conditions, which in its general form looks a little something like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{g}, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_D \\ \sigma(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} &= \mathbf{h}, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_N \end{aligned}$$

As is usual procedure when trying to derive a variational formulation, we multiply 2 with some test function $\mathbf{v} \in V$, where the function space V will be determined from what seems useful during the derivation. Then we integrate over the domain to get

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \sigma(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega = - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega. \quad (3)$$

We aim at applying Green's formula to the left hand side, and since Green's formula states that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{a} d\Omega = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS$$

we should come up with a good candidate for \mathbf{a} . A good guess seems to be $\mathbf{a} = \sigma(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}$. Now, this isn't exactly the form the integrand on the left in 3 takes, so we need to do some calculations and find a relation between the two. Disclaimer for the following calculation:

² σ_{11} being the only stress different from zero.

It is long, tedious and not extremely easy to read. In addition, for convenience's sake we use the notation $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \partial_{x_i}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}) &= \nabla \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11}v_1 + \sigma_{12}v_2 \\ \sigma_{12}v_1 + \sigma_{22}v_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \partial_{x_1}(\sigma_{11}v_1 + \sigma_{12}v_2) + \partial_{x_2}(\sigma_{12}v_1 + \sigma_{22}v_2) \\ &= v_1\partial_{x_1}\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{11}\partial_{x_1}v_1 + v_2\partial_{x_1}\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{12}\partial_{x_1}v_2 \\ &\quad + v_1\partial_{x_2}\sigma_{12} + \sigma_{12}\partial_{x_2}v_1 + v_2\partial_{x_2}\sigma_{22} + \sigma_{22}\partial_{x_2}v_2.\end{aligned}$$

Up to this point we've just done a vector dot product and some differentiation using the product rule. Let's continue by rearranging the terms to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}) &= v_1(\partial_{x_1}\sigma_{11} + \partial_{x_2}\sigma_{12}) + v_2(\partial_{x_1}\sigma_{12} + \partial_{x_2}\sigma_{22}) \\ &\quad + \sigma_{11}\partial_{x_1}v_1 + \sigma_{12}(\partial_{x_2}v_1 + \partial_{x_1}v_2) + \sigma_{22}\partial_{x_2}v_2.\end{aligned}$$

We're definitely closing in on something. To make it even more easy on the eye we go back to the integrand on the left in 3 and see that

$$(\nabla\sigma(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{v} = v_1(\partial_{x_1}\sigma_{11} + \partial_{x_2}\sigma_{12}) + v_2(\partial_{x_1}\sigma_{12} + \partial_{x_2}\sigma_{22}),$$

which corresponds nicely with the first part of what we have after rearranging terms. The last part we deal with by looking back at how the strain vector was defined. Using these two things we end up with

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}) = (\nabla\sigma(\mathbf{u})) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \epsilon(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}). \quad (4)$$

The result of putting this into 3 is

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}) d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}) d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega,$$

and we've put ourselves in position to employ Green's formula on the second term on the left hand side. What we end up with is

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}) d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\sigma(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{v}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS,$$

and can be further simplified by letting $V = H_{\Gamma_D}^1 = \{v \in H^1 : v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_D\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}) d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega_N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega_N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{h} dS\end{aligned}$$

We are now prepared to make a variational formulation of 2: Find $u \in \{H^1 : u = g, \text{ on } \partial\Omega_D\}$ so that

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{v}) d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega_N} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{h} dS \quad (5)$$

for all $v \in V$.